Marine (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 142 Mar.

DATED 6/3/96.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. E. D. Rozario. Manager, office of Agent for Govt Consignment —
The grant of a charge allowance of Rs 30 per annum to — for the period he was in charge of the office in addition to his own duties sanctioned

[M. 6. / 500—13-6-96.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	195	Mar:	21-3-96				

B

MARINE ~~Public Works~~ DEPARTMENT.

No. 142 Marine d/ 6 March/96.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 1217/38 G. d/13th FebY. 1896.

Endorsement thereon by the Accountant-General, No. 514 d/21st Feby. 1896.

Sanctioned, the charge of the Office, having, in the opinion of the Government, entailed a substantial increase of responsibility and additional work.

W
2 Mar

27/2/96

CHMS
26.2.96

DESPATCHED
6 - MAR. 96

DESPATCHED
6 - MAR. 96

To

The Presy. Port Officer.
,, Accountant-General.

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

H6

Charge allowance to the Manager of the Office of the Agency for Govt. Consignments

No. 142. Marine d/ 6-3-96.

9/3

22/3

H.E. 27/2 R 3/3.

---

utant General

D.W.

Marine C No 187

S.A.W.

I have signed this inadvertently. It is not clear that Mr Rozario had charge of 2 offices.

CAM 24/2/96

D.W.

There seems no reason

allowance as recommended.

C.S.
25 Feby 96

C.W.M
25.2.96

Thro' the Accountant General

Heading.	
Allowance.	No. $\frac{1217}{38}$ G

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 13 February 1896.

From
Commander W. J. Powell, R.I.M.,
~~The~~ PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
MADRAS,

To
THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
~~FORT ST. GEORGE.~~ Chepauk

19 FE 96

SIR,

With reference to para 3 of my letter recorded with G.O. Marine No. 389, dated 19th December 1895 I have the honor to report that Mr: E. D. Rozario, Manager of the Office of Agent for Government Consignments, was in charge of that office from 24th December 1895 to 6th February 1896, and as during this time he had additional work and responsibility to discharge I recommend the grant to him (under article 176 of the Civil Service Regulations) of a charge allowance of Rupees (30) Thirty per mensem for the period in question, minus the first 30 days.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obdt. servant,
Walter Powell
Commander, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer

Exd

H.8.27/2 R3/3.

Marine Current No: 187

1217/38 G

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. 1217/38 G

Dated 13 } February 1896.
Received }

No.

Subject.

Recommending the grant of a charge allowance to Mr. E.D. Rozario, Manager of the office of Agent for Govt. Consignments

Recd: 24-2-96

No. 514

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
21st February 1896

Forwarded to Government.

There is no objection to a charge allowance at Rs 30/- per mensem being granted under Article 176 Civil Service Regulations to Mr E.D. Rozario, Manager of the Office of Agent for Government Consignments for the period from 23rd January the thirty first day of his taking charge to 6 February 1896 provided that Government consider that the charge entailed on Mr Rozario a substantial increase of responsibility and additional work

Offg Accountant Genl.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Marine Department

Marine Current No: 187

Government of Madras.

1896

~~P. W. DEPARTMENT.~~

~~CURRENT No.~~

DISPOSAL.

Recd: 24-2-96

No. dated

From

The Accountant General
Madras

No. 514 ~~Enclos.~~

Dated 21. 2. 96

~~Received~~

No. 72 d/17-12-95 Marine ✓
" 67 " 14-12-95 " ✓
~~" 72 d/17-12-95~~
" 388 " 19-12-95 " ✓
" 389 " 19-12-95 " ✓
" 14 m " 14-1-96 " ✓

SUBJECT.

Mr E. D. Rozario, Manager, Office of the Agent for Govt. Consignments: Forwarding, with remarks, letter from the Presy. Port Officer regarding the grant of a charge allowance to ——

29-2,000-23-9-93.

H.S. 27/2 R 3/3

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

2641

Govt. of 1896 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

2.12.08

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 6th March 1896, No. 143-4 Marine

Audit of the Harbour Works Accounts

Ordered that the application from the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board for a reconsideration of the rate fixed by the Govt. of India for the —, be forwarded for the orders of that Govt.

Audit of the Harbour Works Accounts

Forwarding, for orders, application from the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board for a reconsideration of the rate fixed by the Govt. of India for the —*

Cha: 1121A. 27-12-99

No 143,

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

Dated March, 6th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 143, 6th March 1896.

MARCH.

Audit of the Harbour Works Accounts

Ordered that the application from the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board for a reconsideration of the rate fixed by the Government of India for the — be forwarded for the orders of that Government.

No. 143. Marine

No. 143, MARINE, 6TH MARCH 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From W. AUSTIN, Esq., I.C.S., Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 21st February 1896, No. 5087 A.

Referring to G.O., No. 2770 W., dated 2nd November 1895, I am requested by the Trustees to again address Government with reference to the fee charged for the audit of the Harbour Works Accounts.

2. The Board has since obtained information from Calcutta and Bombay as to the audit fees paid by the respective Trusts at those ports, and would submit that, in view of the amounts paid by them, the fees fixed for Madras are excessive.

3. The figures compare as follows :—

	RS.	
Calcutta—		
Disbursements, 1894–95	41,04,607	
Audit fee	5,000	= ·12 per cent.
Bombay—		
Disbursements, 1894–95	48,71,153	
Audit fee	2,500	= ·05 „
Madras (Harbour Works—(Constr. account)		
Disbursements, 1893–94	2,21,586	
Audit fee	3,878	= 1¾ „
Disbursements, 1894–95	2,24,260	
Audit fee	3,925	= 1¾ „
Revenue Account—		
Disbursements, 1893–94	5,08,205	
Audit fee	1,000	= ·19 „
Disbursements, 1894–95	5,34,373	
Audit fee	1,000	= ·18 „

X

4. The Trustees wish also to bring prominently to notice that the audit fees which Government has directed should be paid by the Trust, during the past two years, aggregate Rs. 9,803, or an average of Rs. 4,900 *per annum*. The Bank of Madras pays, to two duly qualified Public Accountants, for the bi-annual audit of the accounts of that institution, only Rs. 1,800; and the audit of the accounts of the Madras Bank is a far more complicated, laborious and more responsible undertaking than the audit of the Harbour Trust Accounts; and moreover the fees fixed for Madras upon transactions of only about one-sixth of Bombay are just double the amount paid by the Trustees of that port. If the receipts and disbursements were both taken into account at all three ports, the disproportion would be still greater.

5. The Trustees, therefore, hope that Government will be pleased to represent to the Government of India the enormous difference in the amounts paid by the Calcutta and Bombay Port Trusts, and by the Madras Bank for audit fees, as compared with the fees which the Board has been directed to pay, with a view to obtaining a reduction in the rate fixed (1¾ per cent.) for the audit of the Harbour Works Accounts.

No. 143, Marine, 6th March 1896.

Order—No. 143, Marine, dated 6th March 1896.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

[Here enter No. 144, Marine, dated 6th March 1896.]

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Government.

To the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
" " Examiner P.W. Accounts.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.
Spare Copies

No 143. Marine of 6 March 96 ([illegible])

No. 144, Marine, 6th March 1896.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Letter—from

Colonel D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Marine Department,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

I am directed to forward, for the orders of the Government of India, the accompanying copy of a letter * from the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board requesting a reconsideration of the rate fixed by the Government of India for the audit of the Harbour Works Accounts.

2. In your letter No. 299 M., dated 6th August 1895, it was decided that the Madras Harbour Trust Board should be charged a fixed annual fee at the rate of $1\frac{3}{4}$ per cent. on the outlay † on works for the services of the Examiner of Accounts and his office.

3. In the Chairman's letter recorded with G.O. No. 2770 W., dated 2nd November 1895, a copy of which is enclosed, a reduction of this rate was solicited, but His Excellency the Governor in Council was not then prepared to apply to the Government of India for a reconsideration of the orders which had been passed, as the sum fixed did not appear to be unreasonable.

4. The Chairman now submits further information from which it would appear that the amount payable by the Madras Harbour Works is in excess of that recovered from the Trusts both at Calcutta and Bombay. I am accordingly to forward the application for reconsideration, and such orders as the Government of India may consider necessary.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Government.

Madras,
6th March 1896.

---

* No. 5087 A., dated 21st February 1896, printed in G.O. No. 143, Marine, dated 6th March 1896.

† Excluding payments in England and exchange thereon.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.
Spare Copies

Gobt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

Dated March, 6th.

Recd. *Encl.*

No. 144, 6th March 1896.

MARCH.

Audit of the Harbour Works Accounts

Forwarding, for orders, application from the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board for a reconsideration of the rate fixed by the Government of India for the —.

Marine Council No. 151
29/2/96

NC

Recd 24-2-96

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

From

THE CHAIRMAN,
Harbour Trust Board,
MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department.

Dated *21st February 1896.*

Despatched 22nd "

Received "

No. 5087(a)

SUBJECT.

Madras Harbour Works Accounts.

Audit Fee —— Requesting, for reasons given, that a representation be again made to the Government of India, for a reduction in the rate fixed *viz.* 1¾%.

G. C. & CO., SCOTTISH PRESS.

No. 143. Marine [illegible]

18 MAR 1896

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

From

THE CHAIRMAN,
Harbour Trust Board,
MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department.

Dated *21st February* 1896.

Despatched 22nd ”

Received ”

No. 5087(a)

SUBJECT.

Madras Harbour Works Accounts.

Audit Fee —— Requesting, for reasons given, that a representation be again made to the Government of India, for a reduction in the rate fixed *viz.* 1¾%.

G. C. & CO., SCOTTISH PRESS.

of the Harbour Trust Accounts; and moreover the fees fixed for Madras upon transactions of only about one-sixth of Bombay, are just double the amount paid by the Trustees of that port. If the receipts and disbursements were both taken into account at all three ports, the disproportion would be still greater.

5. The Trustees, therefore, hope that Government will be pleased to represent to the Government of India the enormous difference in the amounts paid by the Calcutta, and Bombay Port Trusts, and by the Madras Bank for audit fees, as compared with the fees which the Board has been directed to pay; with a view to obtaining a reduction in the rate fixed (1¾%) for the audit of the Harbour Works Accounts.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

W Castill

Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board.

No. 1108 W d/ 9-5-95
– 1109 W – 9-5-95
– 2029 W – 15-8-95
– 2770 W – 2-11-95

No. 143. Marine d/ 6 March/96 (Marine)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Chairman H.T.B. H.E. No. 5087(a) d/ 21 July 95

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

(H.E. No. 144 Marine W d/ 6 March/96)

Chairman H.T.B.
The Ex. K. W. D.
Issued 25/3
w/ 2 Mar

HP 4/3/96

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Public Works Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the orders of the Government of India the accompanying copy of a letter* from the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board requesting a reconsideration of the rate fixed by the Government of India for the audit of the Harbour Works Accounts.

* No. 5087(a) dated 21 July 1896. printed in G.O. No. 143 Marine d/ 6th March 1896.

w

2. In your letter No. 299 M

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

decided that the Madras Harbour Trust Board should be charged a fixed annual fee at the rate of 1¾ per cent on the *outlay on works for the services of the Examiner of Accounts and his office.

3. In the Chairman's letter recorded with G.O. No. 2770 W dated 2nd November 1895, a copy of which is enclosed, a reduction of this rate was solicited, but His Excellency the Governor in Council was not then prepared to apply to the Government of India for a reconsideration of the orders which had been passed, as the sum fixed did not appear to be unreasonable.

4. The Chairman now submits further information from which it would appear that the amount payable by the Madras Harbour Works is in excess of that recovered from the Trusts both at Calcutta & Bombay. I am accordingly to forward the application for reconsideration, and such orders as the Govt. of India may consider necessary.

27/2/96

prd 25/3/96

R.B. [illegible] 27/2.

Rd: 6/3

[illegible] 27.2.96

Rate fixed for the audit of the Madras Harbour Works Accounts.

* excluding payments in England and exchange thereon.

Press
~~Miscellaneous~~ No. 10/14 dated [illegible] 190
Routine

Heading

e taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
5/3/04	Mar	636	of 03	[illegible]	2/4/04	[illegible]
2.12.08	Lil	8025	of 1886		9-2-09	[illegible]

No. 695/71 G

Heading.

"Wenlock"

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 28th February 1896.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
~~Fort Saint George.~~ Chepauk

SIR,

I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the Port Officer, Pamban, has brought to notice that the Pamban channels are greatly in need of dredging, and that in consequence of the accumulation of sand in the Horse Shoe Channel the steamers making use of it are constantly running agrou

2. Messrs Mackinnon Mackenzi of Calcutta have also addressed me on the subject, and as the matter seems urgent it is adv to

Rd: 6/3 27.2.96

96

commence dredging operations s soon as possible. On enquiry I find that the state of the velock's bottom is so foul - she appears to have been docked last in December 1894 - that it would be useless to employ her n dredging until she has had her bottom cleaned and painted. It is reported that she is unlikely to be able to go at a greater speed under her own steam than 2 knots an hour, so it will be necessary to have her towed to Bombay, for she could not possibly steam against the head winds likely to be experienced on the voyage. I therefore beg that sanction may be accorded (a) to my making the best arrangements possible for aving the vessel towed to Bombay d (b) to her being docked at at port. The cost of towing will , I expect, between Rupees (3,000) ree thousand and Rupees (4,000) r thousand, and the cost of king, painting, &c., may be put down approximately at Rupees (3,000) Three thousand, and this expenditure Rupees (7,00 seven thousand can be debited t Port Funds of Eastern and Western groups and Madras on the lin laid down in G. O. Financia No. 586 of 13th August 1895.

Rd. 10483 30.8.95

3. It will be unnecessary to hav the vessel towed back from Bombay

4. An estimate of the cost of towing the vessel to Bombay will be submitted in due course but as the matter is urgent I beg that early sanction may be accorded to my sending the vessel to that port as soon as possible.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient servan

H. A. Street
Commander, R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer

Urgent

6-8-09 √
Marine Current No: 215

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ (MARINE) DEPARTMENT,

~~*Madras*.~~ Chepak

Recd: 28. 2-96

No. 695/71 G

Dated 28 } February 1896.
Received }

No.

No. 368 of 14-9-94 marine
" 404 " 16-10-94 "
" 441 " 13-11-94 "
" 451 " 16-11-94 "
" 474 " 12-12-94 "
" 88 " 19-3-95 "
" 586 " 13-8-95 Finl
(R. 104 of 30-8-95 marine)

Vide (No. 216.

104

Subject.

Requesting permission to send the Dredger "Wenlock" to Bombay for docking.

Recd 6: 15 Plw

B/

No. 145. marine d/ 7 March/96 (Marine).

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

96.

READ the following

Letter from the Presy: Port Officer (P.W. No. 695/71 G d/ 28 Feby 96

I do not see how this expense is to be avoided – if docking is absolutely necessary. She is close to the place where she is wanted. Cannot she make her way there at 2 miles an hour – & do her work with a foul bottom! Last time we proposed to get her scraped & cleaned on the beach, but that was held to be impossible. If she goes to Bombay, I know they will report that to put her in working order will cost another 8000 rupees – but I think this must be resisted.

The hon. Mr. Stokes should see this.

W
4 Mar

To,

The Presy Port Officer

" Accountant Genl.

" Financial Dept.

DESPATCHED
7 MAR 96
(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

The proposals of the Presidency Port Officer are approved.

2. There being no budget provision for the expenditure, the question of funds will be considered in the Financial Dept.

3/3/96

Copy
9.3.96

It was Capt Powell, I think who told us that the "Wenlock" couldn't be beached in order to have her bottom cleaned. If Capt Street is of the same opinion, I don't see what we can do but send her to Bombay as ruth is we are ~~we~~ not eeing after the upkeep of e vessel in a proper way. let her lie at Tuticorin until o foul that she can't steam against a moderate head wind, & then, when we want to use her, we find that time is lost by the necessity of docking before use is possible. This is not the way to deal with an iron vessel. Every such vessel should be docked at fixed intervals ~~[illegible]~~, the length of which will depend on the water in which she lies. I believe ship owners usually dock iron vessels at intervals of not more than 6 months, but perhaps, if we laid this vessel up during the S.W. monsoon in Cochin, in practically fresh water, she might go longer. Another thing we don't attend to is overhaul of machinery. Capt Campbell told me at the Calcutta dockyard that once a year the machinery ought to be overhauled by the skilled staff of a dockyard. I think Capt Street shd. be asked to advise on these points & to lay down some regular system.

In this connection wd. ask when the Pearl fishery Str. was last docked & what the arrangements are for her being docked & having her machinery overhauled at regular intervals.

5/3/96

R/S circ 27/2. 6/3

#6

Docking of the Dredger "Wenlock" —

Urgent

The Hon Mr. Bliss C.I.E

No. 145. marine d/ 7-3-96

(1) Issue &
(2) Send back to
Chd.
6. Mar

9/3

9/3.

No. 695/72 G.

Heading.

Dredger "Wenlock"

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 28th February 1896.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

~~*Fort Saint George.*~~ Chepauk.

SIR,

Chd. 215 marine

In connection with my letter No. 695/71 G, dated 28th February 1896, reporting the state of the dredger "Wenlock" and asking sanction to sending her to Bombay to be docked, I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that in order to ascertain whether the Vessel could be taken on the patent slip at Colombo I directed Mr. J. H. Baker, Port Officer, Tuticorin to to proceed there and to furnish me with a report on the matter after having inspected the slip. I have this day received his report which is to the effect that the "slip" is out of order and also is too small for the "Wenlock."

I beg that my action in the matter may be approved.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

H. A. Street
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ (MARINE) DEPARTMENT,
~~Madras.~~ Chepok

No. 695/72 G

Dated 28 } February 1896.
Received }

No.

Subject.

Informing of the difficulty
f docking the Dredger
Wenlock" at Colombo.

Marine Current No: 216

H E/β

Obes 28.2.96

No 146. Marine d/ 7th March/96

w/ 4 Mar

Approved

3/3/96

The P.P.O.

Clrd 3.3.96

Vide C No 215-

DESPATCHED
7-MAR 96