

Mar DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 23-8-95
No. 259

When given for copying. 26/8

Forwarding for the
scrutiny & remarks of
the Advocate General,
the proposed bye-laws
for the new pier at
Tuticorin.

20th Sept 1893, No. 279

72618

It is to allow the use of the Press for _____

Ma. C. 700.

Ma. 279:20-9-95

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DETACH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.H.

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- P - Copy abstract only.
- Q - Copy heading only.

Current No. 615

Marine DEPARTMENT.

From

The Presidency Post Office
Madras.

No. 614 9
206

Dated

3rd } Aug. / 95

Received

5

Ref^d

Enclosures - 2

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for approval
and sanction schedule of
rates and byelaws for the
new pier at Subicorin.

WITH ORDER

Hq. the Governor

The notice should be submitted
to the H. G. first with the papers
before Govt. can approve. ^{the} ^{are}
The notices: as corrected

by Govt. are approved
and will be published
in the Fort St. George
and Simmerelly District
Gazettes.

to

14/8/95

7013
12/8/95

vide G.O. 483 of 14.11.94

P. P. Offr.
Commr. of Trimmerally
Gazette.

I have checked all the items in the
list of 18th. Those marked / are
merely a repetition of the 18th on
the old pier.

The proposed charge for adult passengers
is one cent at the old pier under
a previous Executive Order.

The charge of 3 pms for children is
new - 20 is Rs for babies of children
which seems a special & favorable
rate.

There seems no objection to the
proposed 18th.

As for the byelaws they are substantially
the same as those of the old pier (G.O.
in 190. 7th May 1886) but under the
old rules which were included from 7 to 8
the same rule existed at C. S. H. and it
should be retained in the new
as to allow the use of the pier for recreation 14.

FOR HIS EXCELLENCY

The 2nd notis. sanctioning certa
byelaws seems to have been
rather carelessly prepared

In no 1. the "de" is indefinite. It may
be omitted altogether as in
Rule VII of Calicut rules, Co. 20.
78 & no 4 of Sopacpur rules Co. 27.
or other words substituted "and d.
things liable to toll"

No 5. "except on written requisition" both
to whom? In the Calicut Sopacpur
the words are "except in cases of em"
these might be substituted to show
permission will not be given as
matter of course, adding the words
"with the written authority of
Port authorities"

no 9. as in Calicut rules the words "

ordered by the Port Officer" sh^d be

Heading.

No.

614

20/4

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 3rd August 1895.

From Commander W. J. Powell, R. I. M.,
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
MADRAS,

To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of
G.O. No 219, Marine, dated 20th
July 1895, I have the honor to report
that a schedule of rates and bye-
laws for the new Pier at Tuticorin
drawn up by the local Port Officer
have this day been received from
the Collector of Tinnevely with
his approval. These have been
recast in the form of draft
notifications and are herewith
submitted for the approval and
sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,
Walter B. B. B.

Commander R. I. M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

28
Mar. bur. 615.

Order: - 23 Aug 1895, No. 259.

The proposed bye
laws will be forwarded
for the scrutiny ^{of}
the Advocate General.

from orders

20
21/8/95

2573.
19.8.95.

To the Govt Solicitor
with one enclo

DEPARTED

29 AUG 95

M.S.

C.P.D. +
25/6