

ORDINARY, MS.

Marine DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED *7th August 1895*
No. *239*

INDEXED

When given for copying. 7/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing orders on the reply
of the Harbour Trust Board
in Connection with the
proposals of the Committee
appointed to Consider the
question of Improvements
to the Madras Harbour;
and disbursing an hono-
rarium of Rs 1000/- to Mr.
Pinsent for his Valuable
services on the Committee.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED 1905, No. 190. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 566

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A—Copy in full.
- B—Not to be copied.
- P—Copy abstract only.
- Q—Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 1895.

633 mar
655
673

698 of '95
821 of '95.

Ma. 845 22.2.96

Board at no distant date.

A copy of the report will be forwarded to the Presidency Port Officer for early remarks on the Committee's recommendations as regards the harbour.

4. Adverting to para. 4, it will be pointed out to the Board that the case is not one for the grant of a personal allowance and that it is not usual to limit such an increase of pay to a definite period. The Govt. is pleased to authorise the Board to

disburse to Mr. Pinsent an honorarium of Rs. 1000 for his services in connection with the Committee and the schemes considered by it.

5. The proposal in para. 5 is approved.

TO The Public Works Dept.
The Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
,, Presidency Port Officer,
(with copy of the report.)

I agree with H.E. the Governor's view. Much of the work he did for the Committee is outside his ordinary work, & he did it well. We have opposed the H.E. about adding to the salary of Mr P. so often that now when no principle is involved I think we should all rather propose an honorarium. It must be safeguarded as the Hon. Mr. suggests.

Not to let it be supposed that we undertake to bear the whole cost. The Hon. Mr. Pinsent's salary will be safeguarded.

As regards the honorarium to Mr. Pinsent, it should be remembered that the work he has been engaged in in connection with this Committee is strictly germane to the ordinary work for which he is paid. I have little doubt that, in proposing to give him Rs. 1000, the H.T.B. has been influenced by the remembrance that Govt. has disapproved of former proposals to increase his emoluments & has taken the opportunity to propose as big a grant as it thought would be decent. I think Rs. 500 is quite enough & do not sanction more than Rs. 500. I am, of course, a poor fellow. I am, indeed, I do not quite see why Govt. should give anything.

NOTE
I have seen John of H.E. & have thanked him with thanks & have said.

H.E. the Governor

Hon. H. W. Blythe, C.S.

Hon. J. G. G.

Para 20 of the Committee's Report recommended that Govt. should give Mr. Pinsent an honorarium in recognition of his services - & added that they had no doubt the H.T.B. would gladly contribute towards this object. On my asking Col. Pennycuik what sum he would consider sufficient for this purpose, he named 500 Rs. The H.T.B. being consulted on this point now say he ought to receive 1000 Rs. altogether - and submit this for the favourable consideration. Tho' they ask it to be given in the form of a personal allowance. This as the note says cannot take this shape - but on consideration of the work he has done, & the constant refusal of Govt. to add to his salary, I think that we might on this occasion be a little more generous - and not only give the H.T.B. to disburse 500 Rs. to him but also supplement it on the part of another 500 Rs. & I say so in the note.

C. No. 566.

ORDER

7th August 1895 No. 239

Para. 2 will be communicated

to the Public Works Department with re-

ference to para. 11 of G.O. No. 1851 M,

dated 7th November 1894, with the re-

quest that the estimate for the canal

which is being prepared by the Chief

Engineer may include also the arm within

the harbour should it be considered

necessary for the protection of the

entrance to the canal.

*The question of the distrib-
ution of the cost of these
works must stand over
until the estimates are
made.*

2. With reference to para. 3,

the Harbour Trust Board will be requested

to state whether the harbour improvements

sketched in plans A I are generally ap-

proved, in view to the Military authori-

ties being consulted without delay in

regard to the erection of sheds, cranes &c

The Govt. trusts that the estimates for

A.I and A I with extension which are

being prepared by the Board's Engineer

will be pushed on as rapidly as possible

and be taken into consideration by the

Board

I think we should add to para 1
"The question of the destination of the
cost of these works must stand over
until the estimates are received" or to
that effect. I am quite willing that
Govt. should undertake the construction of
the canal & the connected works, as far
as it can afford to do so, but what I have
always thought (and said) is that I am
very doubtful whether Govt. will be able to
afford to provide funds with sufficient regularity
& completeness to complete these works
within any reasonable time, and that

Subject to my remarks on

para 4.

W

26 July

It was therefore most probable that,
if the H.T.B. wanted the connection
between the Harbour & the Dock Canal
established at an early date, it would
have to come to the assistance of Govt.
to contribute to the cost. I certainly, when
I suggested the construction of this connecting
Canal, never thought that the projecting
arm inside the harbour would be required
to protect the entrance. This
must considerably increase the cost.
On the other hand, the fact that the
block making works cannot (at least
I presume they cannot) be moved
until all the new arms & groins in-
side the harbour are complete, or,
at all events, until the block making
works are made, these new works, must
tend to defer the date at which the
new canal, which will pass through
the site of the block making works, can
be carried through from the Dock Canal
into the harbour. It is therefore probable
that the Govt. will have been more likely
able than I thought to find all the
money for the canal & the connected
works - that I think that until we
know what the canal & connected
works will cost, we should be careful

made, however, it would
be better to authorise
the H.T.B. to pay the
whole 1000. itself. It wd.
come to exactly the
same thing in the
end. It is a large
amount & really means
increasing the Board's
est^d charges for the year
by Rs. 1000/-

7.5.13.
29.7.5.

29/7/95

Then all we need do is to the
authorize the H.T.B. to disburse
1000 Rs. to Mr. Piusent as an honorarium
out of their own funds - & not as a
personal allowance. This seems
unobjectionable - except that perhaps
it is a large sum - but under the cir-
cumstances, I think it will be on
the whole a very equitable arrangement.

W
2 Aug.

I think the H.T.B. may
authorise accordingly

2 Aug
25/8

Mr Piusent's
honorarium

you H. W. Bliss

and give

Please pass the draft order
as altered. Need it go again
to the Hon. Mr. Bliss?

7.5.13.
3/8/95

Yes. It must be in the
minutes

Leave
3/8/95

Issue as corrected
and return to me please

File submitted
to H.A. & checked
with the 1/8

Marine Dept.

Note for the Hon'ble
Mr. Bliss. C. S. R.

With ref. to the
Hui's note of the 26th inst,
it is subd. that the
honorarium to Mr
Pinsent would not come
out of Provincial but
out of Port Funds.

But neither art. 287
of the Civil Code nor
art. 89 of the C. S. R. applies
to Mr Pinsent for he is
not an officer in govt.
employ. Section 36 (b)
Act X of 89, the Indian
Ports Act, enumerates the
objects on which port
funds may be expended
and the honorarium
to Mr P. would not fall
under any one of them.
The question whether
the transfer each year
of the Madras Port Fund
balance to the H. S. Bd.
is strictly legal need
not perhaps be consider-
ed. As the transfer is
made

I am glad to hear it -
I suppose the sum of Rs 1000, however

MM
30/7/95

CURRENT No. 566

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

DATED 16TH JULY 1895.

DESPATCHED 19TH

RECEIVED

SUBJECT.

HARBOUR IMPROVEMENTS.

Replying to G. O., No. 190, Marine, dated 1st July 1895.

Man 60.10.190 71-7.95 orig
189 220.5.95 min
47 279-2.95 orig
Pub - 8 2710-1-95
Ltm 81.10.1651 M 27-11-94.0c
Mar - 320 2710-7-88.0c
698 2715-12-86.0c
414 2730-10-94 min
197 2731-5-94
71 2723-2-94
435 279-12-93
359 277-10-93
219 2727-6-93 orig
193 2720-4-93 min
90 2723-3-93 orig
16 2715-1-94 min
Rm 201 2720-2-93.0c
Mar 116 2711-4-92 min
115 2711-4-92
97 2724-3-92
Rm 209 2725-9-91.0c
Mar 48 2723-2-92 orig
347 2724-2-91
Railway
line in
front of
columns
battery
Landings
of goods
by H J
Public Works
Warehouse
Docks
South of
harbor.

Sea customs Act of 78 corrected upto
1st July 1891. & rules framed under it.
Madras Act II of 1886
Bombay Act VI of 1879 & 1 of 73.
Mar 279 2725-8-88.0c
365 271-8-88
250 2713-6-88 orig
205 2721-5-88.0c
15 2717-1-88
161 2727-4-88
43-43A 272-2-87

Go 8 Public a/10/1/85
returned to H.C.C.S
for a tel message 27/19/96
512 2719/8797

Marine Dept.

CURRENT No. 586

2

Harbour Trust Board Office,

MADRAS, 16th July, 1895.

No. 1554.

From HALL FANU, Esq., I.C.S.,

CHAIRMAN, HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,

Madras.

To THE HON'BLE MR. J. F. PRICE, C.S.I., I.C.S.,

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Marine Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of G. O., Marine, No. 190, dated 1st July, which was considered by the Trustees of the Harbour at the last Meeting of the Board, held on Friday, 12th instant: and I submit herewith for the information of Government, copy of resolution then passed.

2. The Board presumes that the canal, and the entrance thereto, including the necessary protecting arm, will be constructed at the expense of Government, as part of the scheme for improving the sanitation of the city, and cleansing the river Cooum:

3. As regards the other works proposed by the Committee and which are purely Harbour Improvements, the Board will be prepared to undertake the construction of such as are finally sanctioned; but the estimates of the cost of A 1 and A 1 with extension, must be awaited before the Trustees can take the recommendations of the Committee into consideration. In the meantime the Board is willing to place all its resources, in the Engineer's Department, at the service of Government, to carry out the canal connection, which it is understood must, in any case, be preliminary to the suggested improvements within the Harbour itself.

4. The Trustees are pleased to note the testimony borne by the Committee, in its report, to the assistance rendered to it by the Board's Secretary and Engineer: and with reference to para. 4 of the G. O., calling for remarks on para. 20 of the Committee's report, would submit for the favourable consideration of Government, that Mr. Pinsent should be granted a personal allowance of Rs. 200 per mensem, from the time he had formulated and submitted a scheme for General Harbour Improvements, to the time the Committee concluded its deliberations, viz., from November 1894 to the end of March 1895, inclusive, or for five months in all.

5. As all charges connected with the Committee must ultimately be debited to Harbour Funds, the Board proposes to pay all preliminary expenses direct at once, from the provision made in the Budget for petty construction, including the honorarium to the Secretary.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

The Chairman
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.

3

Extract of Proceedings of the TRUSTEES of the HARBOUR of MADRAS, at a Meeting held at Offices of the Board on Friday the 12th day of July 1895.

13. Read G. O., No. 190, Marine, dated 1st July 1895, passing orders on the report of the Committee appointed to consider the question of improvements to the Madras Harbour.

Resolved that the Chairman is requested to address Government. It is understood that the canal and the entrance thereto, will be constructed at the expense of Government.

The estimates from the Board's Engineer, referred to in the Committee's report, are awaited.

True extract.

C. PINSENT,

Secretary, Harbour Trust Board.

11 June Sept.

8

Journal of the Proceedings of the THIRTY-THIRD
OF MADRAS at a Meeting held at the Office of the
on Friday the 12th day of July 1935.

13. Read G.O. No. 100 Madras dated 1st July 1935, passed under the
report of the Committee appointed to consider the question of improvement
of the Madras Harbour.
Resolved that the Chairman be requested to address Government. It is
understood that the usual and the ordinary business will be postponed on the
expense of Government.
The estimates from the Madras Harbour Board referred to in the Committee's
report are noted.

RESOLVED

Madras Harbour Board