

[G.]
[11]
2,500-3-3/5.

ORDINARY, MS.

Mar. DEPART 'ENT.
G.O., DATED 25-6-75
No. 186-7

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 27/6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Commr. of the P. P. O.
letter from the G. I. stating
that Commander Hewett
was not entitled to
any extra allowance
for any part of the
period during which
he acted as President
Post officer, but that
however, a refund of
the extra allowance
already drawn need
not be demanded;
and requesting the
G. I. to inform the
Govt. of the correct
method of disposal of
applications for privilege
to leave from
officers of the Royal Indian
Marine holding shore
appointments under it.

16/3 (ay)
18-3-08
12-7-12

2 books

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

546 1607

OF 1895

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

CURRENT No. 460

No. 2793

Government of India.

Finance and Commerce Department
Simla, the 12th June 1895.

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras,
Marine Department.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your
letter No. 27, dated 19th January last, and
to invite your attention to the rules contained
in paragraph 30, Clause XV, and paragraph
131, Clause XVII of Volume I, Part II of the
Marine Regulations. It will be observed
that under the rules Commander Hewett
was not entitled to any extra allowance
for any part the period during which
he acted as Presidency Port Officer, Madras,
in October or November last. I am
however to say that a refund of the
extra allowances already drawn

need

need not be demanded.

I have the honour to be
Your ^{Sr.} most obedient servant,

Mathan

Off. Under Secy to the Govt of India

Copy forwarded to the Accountant General,
Madras, for information, with reference to
the correspondence ending with his letter
No 1377, dated 3rd May 1895, and with the
remark that there is no conflict between
the rules in the Marine Regulations quoted
above and the decision contained in the letter
from this Department, No 1626, dated 20th April 1891.
That letter does not deal with the question
whether acting advances are admissible in the
case of an officer acting in an appointment
in consequence of the absence of another officer
on privilege leave.

CURRENT No. 460

Marine Dept

Marginalia: 26-27/19-1-95
457 230-11-94
385-6 21-10-94

From

The Govt of India
Finance & Commerce
Department



No. P/2793

12. 6. 95
18. 6. 95
20. 6. 95

Subject.

Stating that Commander
Hewitt was not entitled
to any extra allowance
for any part of the
period during which
he acted as Presidency
Port Officer, Madras.

Marine 6. 460

Order of 25th June 1895 No. 186

bound: to the P. P. O.
with ref. to his No. 442
of the 21st Dec: last.

To the P. P. Officer

No. 187

The Secy to the Govt of India
Fin. & Com. Dept

Sir,
In acknowledging
the receipt of your No.
P/2793 of the 12th inst.
I am directed to request
that this Govt. may be
informed as to the cor-
rect method of disposal
of appus: for privilege
and other leave from
officers of the Royal
Indian Marine holding
shore appts: under it.

25/6/95

27/6/95

There is uncertainty
as to the correct
procedure.

[Ref. 4]

15,000-13-2-06.

Jm DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous
Routine

No. *186/7*, dated *26-6-1907*

Date taken out.	For WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
<i>16-3-07</i>	<i>Im</i>	<i>364/a</i>			<i>25-3-07</i>	<i>Y Y</i>
<i>17-3-08</i>	<i>Marine</i>	<i>358/08</i>			<i>1-4-08</i>	<i>Ob</i>
<i>12-7-12</i>	<i>Mar</i>	<i>766/12</i>		<i>Jms</i>	<i>22-8-12</i>	<i>2</i>

