[G./11]
2,402—8-8-95.

VE

1373

√12-6-03
6-3-07 √

ORDINARY, MS.

Marine DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 13-5-95
No. 132.

INDEXED.

When given for copying. 13|7.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing Orders with reference to the proposal to employ the Dredger "Wenlock" in connection with the work about to be carried on in the Madras Harbour.

[illegible]

13|V

	Presy. Port officer	P. W. D.
READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED 189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.		
ENCLOSURES.		
CURRENT No. 244 292 329 332	q	x pr
Chief Engineer's note d 7/5/95	a	q
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.		
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.		
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.		
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).		

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No. of 189 .

FURTHER PAPER, No. 377=80 of 1895.

G.O 181 d 21-6-95

x Re Dredger Wenlock.

---

under these circumstances, there are any of the crew he would recommend to be dispensed with, about filling whose vacancies there wd: be no difficulty.

from orders

11/5/95

J. D. B.
11/V/95.

P. P. Offr.
P. W. Dept.
} Despd 13/5
sep emt nothing on the docket [illegible]

13/5

The Wenlock

from orders

G.O. 132 d/ 13 May '95

~~Office~~

~~Done 13/5~~

~~Issue from Office & let me have the file on Monday.~~

~~J. D. B.~~
~~11/V~~

~~Submitted to C. E. with C. No. 349~~

13/V

Presy. Port office

C. W.D.

BACK PAPER, No. of 189 .

FURTHER PAPER, No. 377=80 of 1895.

G.O. 1812 21-6-95

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.	244 292 329 332	q	× p
	Chief Engineer's note D 7/5/95	a	q

+ Re Dredger Wenlock.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

EXCLUDED LOCAL FUNDS.
CIVIL WORKS.

MADRAS.

FINAL ISSUE.

Public Works Department.

BUDGET ESTIMATE
OF
REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE
UNDER
CIVIL WORKS
IN THE
MADRAS PRESIDENCY
FOR THE YEAR
1895-96.

MADRAS,
25th April 1895.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

2,500—8-3-95.

EXCLUDED LOCAL FUNDS.
CIVIL WORKS.

MADRAS.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
ABSTRACT BUDGET ESTIMATE OF REVENUE	1
DITTO OF EXPENDITURE	2 & 3
DETAILED BUDGET ESTIMATE OF EXPENDITURE—	
PART I.—Detail of Estimate for Original Works	4 & 5
PART II.— Do, for Repairs	6

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE.

Abstract Budget Estimate of Revenue from Civil Works in Madras for the year 1895–96.

	Actuals, 1893–94.	Budget Estimate, 1894–95.	Revised Estimate, 1894–95.	Budget Estimate, 1895–96.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Realised in the Public Works Department ...	...	...	...	...
Realised in Civil and Military Departments ...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	...	...	...	...

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EXPENDITURE.

Abstract Budget Estimate of Expenditure on Civil Works in Madras for the year 1895–96.

	Actuals, 1893–94.	Budget Estimate, 1894–95.	Revised Estimate, 1894–95.	Budget Estimate, 1895–96.		
				Works in progress.	Works not commenced.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
ORIGINAL WORKS.						
Civil buildings	— 960	12,800	14,829	2,360	...	2,360
Communications	...	...	...	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements.	20,234	1,57,942	96,243	66,000	...	66,000
Total ...	19,274	1,70,742	1,11,072	68,360	...	68,360
REPAIRS.						
Civil buildings	3,219	...	2,928	...	...	2,500
Communications	...	...	...	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements.	6,448	...	5,362	...	...	...
Total ...	9,667	...	8,290	...	...	2,500
TOTAL, WORKS AND REPAIRS.						
Civil buildings	2,259	12,800	17,757	...	...	4,860
Communications	...	...	...	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements.	26,682	1,57,942	1,01,605	...	...	66,000
Total ...	28,941	1,70,742	1,19,362	...	...	70,860
Establishment	4,382	11,867	12,759	...	...	4,417
Tools and plant	247	278	587	...	...	73
Grand Total ...	33,570	1,82,887	1,32,708	...	...	75,350

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

	Funds to which the Grants for 1895–96 are chargeable.		
	University Fee Fund.	Port Fund.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
ORIGINAL WORKS.			
Civil buildings	...	2,360	2,360
Communications	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements	...	66,000	66,000
Total ...	...	68,360	68,360
REPAIRS.			
Civil buildings	2,500	...	2,500
Communications	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements	...	...	...
Total ...	2,500	...	2,500
Total, Works and Repairs ...	2,500	68,360	70,860
Establishment.	575	* 3,842	4,417
Tools and plant	38	† 35	73
Grand Total ...	3,113	72,237	75,350

---

* Represents 23 per cent. on the grant of Rs. 2,360 for the Port officer's residence at Masulipatam, and 5 per cent. on the grant of Rs. 66,000 for the Tuticorin pier.

† Represents 1½ per cent on the grant for the Port officer's residence at Masulipatam.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EXPENDITURE.

Abstract Budget Estimate of Expenditure on Civil Works in Madras for the year 1895–96.

	Actuals, 1893–94.	Budget Estimate, 1894–95.	Revised Estimate, 1894–95.	Budget Estimate, 1895–96.		
				Works in progress.	Works not commenced.	Total.
ORIGINAL WORKS.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Civil buildings	— 960	12,800	14,829	2,360	...	2,360
Communications	...	...	...	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements.	20,234	1,57,942	96,243	66,000	...	66,000
Total ...	19,274	1,70,742	1,11,072	68,360	...	68,360
REPAIRS.						
Civil buildings	3,219	...	2,928	...	...	2,500
Communications	...	...	...	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements.	6,448	...	5,362	...	...	...
Total ...	9,667	...	8,290	...	...	2,500
TOTAL, WORKS AND REPAIRS.						
Civil buildings	2,259	12,800	17,757	...	...	4,860
Communications	...	...	...	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements.	26,682	1,57,942	1,01,605	...	...	66,000
Total ...	28,941	1,70,742	1,19,362	...	...	70,860
Establishment	4,382	11,867	12,759	...	...	4,417
Tools and plant	247	278	587	...	...	73
Grand Total ...	33,570	1,82,887	1,32,708	...	...	75,350

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

	Funds to which the Grants for 1895–96 are chargeable.		
	University Fee Fund.	Port Fund.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
ORIGINAL WORKS.			
Civil buildings	...	2,360	2,360
Communications	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements	...	66,000	66,000
Total ...	...	68,360	68,360
REPAIRS.			
Civil buildings	2,500	...	2,500
Communications	...	...	...
Miscellaneous public improvements	...	...	...
Total ...	2,500	...	2,500
Total, Works and Repairs ...	2,500	68,360	70,860
Establishment.	575	* 3,842	4,417
Tools and plant	38	† 35	73
Grand Total ...	3,113	72,237	75,350

---

* Represents 23 per cent. on the grant of Rs. 2,360 for the Port officer's residence at Masulipatam, and 5 per cent. on the grant of Rs. 66,600 for the Tuticorin pier.

† Represents $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on the grant for the Port officer's residence at Masulipatam.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Part I.

Detail of the Budget Estimate for Original Works in Madras for the year 1895-96.

Funds.	Executive Division.	Locality.	Item No.	Projects and Works.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay. Actual to end of 1893-94.	Outlay. Probable during 1894-95.	Grant, 1895-96. Amount.	Grant, 1895-96. Total.	Item No.	Remarks and References.
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
				Civil Buildings.							
Excluded Local Port Funds.	Kistna Eastern.	Masulipatam ...	1	Residence for Port officer, Masulipatam, at Bunder Fort.	6,860	...	4,500	2,360	2,360	1	G.O., No. 232 W., dated 31st January 1895.
				Miscellaneous Public Improvements.							
	Tinnevelly ...	Tuticorin ...	2	Pier at Tuticorin including the reclamation of the foreshore.	...	...	85,000	66,000	66,000	2	Estimate is awaited.
				Total, Original Works ...	...	...	...	...	68,360	...	

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Part I.

Detail of the Budget Estimate for Original Works in Madras for the year 1895-96.

Funds.	Executive Division.	Locality.	Item No.	Projects and Works.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay.		Grant, 1895-96.		Item No.	Remarks and References.
						Actual to end of 1893-94.	Probable during 1894-95.	Amount.	Total.		
				Civil Buildings.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Excluded Local Port Funds.	Kistna Eastern.	Masulipatam ...	1	Residence for Port officer, Masulipatam, at Bunder Fort.	6,860	...	4,500	2,360	2,360	1	G.O., No. 232 W., dated 31st January 1895.
				Miscellaneous Public Improvements.							
	Tinnevelly ...	Tuticorin ...	2	Pier at Tuticorin including the reclamation of the foreshore.	...	...	85,000	66,000	66,000	2	Estimate is awaited.
				Total, Original Works ...	...	...	...	...	68,360	...	

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Part I.

Detail of the Budget Estimate for Original Works in Madras for the year 1895-96.

Funds.	Executive Division.	Locality.	Item No.	Projects and Works.	Amount of Estimate.	Outlay. Actual to end of 1893-94.	Outlay. Probable during 1894-95.	Grant, 1895-96. Amount.	Grant, 1895-96. Total.	Item No.	Remarks and References.
				Civil Buildings.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Excluded Local Port Funds.	Kistna Eastern.	Masulipatam ...	1	Residence for Port officer, Masulipatam, at Bunder Fort.	6,860	...	4,500	2,360	2,360	1	G.O., No. 232 W., dated 31st January 1895.
				Miscellaneous Public Improvements.							
	Tinnevelly ...	Tuticorin ...	2	Pier at Tuticorin including the reclamation of the foreshore.	...	...	85,000	66,000	66,000	2	Estimate is awaited.
				Total, Original Works ...	...	...	...	...	68,360	...	

EXCLUDED LOCAL FUNDS.
CIVIL WORKS.

MADRAS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PART II.

Detail of the Budget Estimate for Repairs to Civil Works in Madras during 1895–96.

Executive Division.	Locality.	Item No.	Name of Work.	Grant, 1895–96.	Total.	Remarks.
				Rs.	Rs.	
			University Fee Fund.			
Architect's division.	Madras ...	1	Repairs to the Senate House...	2,500	2,500	
			Total ...	...	2,500	

5
Mer
1
345

CURRENT No. 345

25TH APRIL 1895, No. 972 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General,
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

Resolution of the Government of India, Public Works Department (Account—Civil Works), dated Calcutta, the 21st March 1895, No. $\frac{17}{\text{B.O.}}$ A.—C.W.

REVISED ESTIMATE FOR 1894–95 AND BUDGET ESTIMATE FOR 1895–96.

XXXII and 45.—*Civil Works.*

Provincial and Incorporated Local.

Read—

* * * * *

Letter from the Government of Madras, dated 5th Feb. 1895, No. 283 W.
" " " " 5th " " " 285 W.
Tel. " " " " 25th " "

* * * * *

Resolution.—The revised and budget estimates of revenue and expenditure for 1894–95 and 1895–96, respectively, are passed by the Government of India for the amounts shown in the accompanying statement.

2. On a consideration of the progress of revenue and expenditure, the Government of India have increased the amount proposed as the revised estimate of revenue from Provincial Civil Works in charge of departmental officers in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh by Rs. 20,000 and have reduced the amounts proposed as the revised estimates of expenditure on Provincial and Incorporated Local Civil Works in charge of departmental officers as follows:—

	Provincial Civil Works.	Incorporated Local Civil Works.
	RS.	RS.
Lower Burma	...	86,000
Assam	40,000	65,000
Bengal	90,000	...
North-Western Provinces and Oudh	2,00,000	75,000
Punjab	...	10,000
Madras	10,000	10,000
Bombay	25,000	...

3. The Government of India invite attention to the following remarks in connection with the provision for establishment in the estimates for the ensuing year:—

Central Provinces.—Provision has been made for the whole of the sanctioned Engineer establishment. As three officers are on furlough it is unnecessary to provide for the whole number as if on duty.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PART II.

Detail of the Budget Estimate for Repairs to Civil Works in Madras during 1895–96.

Executive Division.	Locality.	Item No.	Name of Work.	Grant, 1895–96.	Total.	Remarks.
				Rs.	Rs.	
			University Fee Fund.			
Architect's division.	Madras ...	1	Repairs to the Senate House...	2,500	2,500	
			Total ...	...	2,500	

5
[illegible]
1
345

CURRENT No. 345

25th April 1895, No. 972 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

**General,
Account.**

Read—the following paper :—

Resolution of the Government of India, Public Works Department (Account—Civil Works), dated Calcutta, the 21st March 1895, No. $\frac{17}{\text{B.O.}}$ A.—C.W.

Revised Estimate for 1894–95 and Budget Estimate for 1895–96.

XXXII and 45.—Civil Works.

Provincial and Incorporated Local.

Read—

• • • • •

Letter from the Government of Madras, dated 5th Feb. 1895, No. 283 W.
" " " " " 5th " " " 285 W.
Tel. " " " " 25th " "

• • • •

Resolution.—The revised and budget estimates of revenue and expenditure for 1894–95 and 1895–96, respectively, are passed by the Government of India for the amounts shown in the accompanying statement.

2. On a consideration of the progress of revenue and expenditure, the Government of India have increased the amount proposed as the revised estimate of revenue from Provincial Civil Works in charge of departmental officers in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh by Rs. 20,000 and have reduced the amounts proposed as the revised estimates of expenditure on Provincial and Incorporated Local Civil Works in charge of departmental officers as follows :—

	Provincial Civil Works.	Incorporated Local Civil Works.
	Rs.	Rs.
Lower Burma	...	86,000
Assam	40,000	65,000
Bengal	90,000	...
North-Western Provinces and Oudh	2,06,000	75,000
Punjab	...	10,000
Madras	10,000	10,000
Bombay	25,000	...

3. The Government of India invite attention to the following remarks in connection with the provision for establishment in the estimates for the ensuing year :—

Central Provinces.—Provision has been made for the whole of the sanctioned Engineer establishment. As three officers are on furlough it is unnecessary to provide for the whole number as if on duty.

Lower Burma.—The provision for office and petty establishment appears excessive.

North-Western Provinces and Oudh.—A sum of Rs. 1,02,000 has been provided for the Chief Engineer's office against a sum of Rs. 96,000 for 1894–95. The increase appears excessive.

Punjab.—Provision has been made for the full number of Engineers. A reduction might be made by allowing for absentees.

The provision of Rs. 29,532 for petty establishment appears excessive. For 1894–95 a sum of Rs. 19,317 only was provided.

4. In the final issues of the estimates for 1895–96 and in the estimates of future years the grants for Original Works should be distributed between "Works not commenced" and "Works in progress" in the abstract of expenditure by departmental heads.

5. The final issues of the Provincial and Incorporated Local Public Works budget estimates should be prepared for the amounts passed and 50 * copies despatched so as to reach the Government of India not later than the 1st May 1895.

Order.—Ordered that the foregoing be communicated to the Local Governments and Administrations noted in the margin for information and guidance.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Agent to the Governor-General, Rajputana.

Ordered also that copies be forwarded to the Finance Department and to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, for information.

(Signed) R. G. MACDONALD,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

---

* 15 copies to Simla and 35 to Calcutta.

LOCAL.

Incorporated Local Funds.								
Budget estimate, 1894–95.			Revised estimate, 1894–95.			Budget estimate, 1895–96.		
Public Works in charge of departmental officers.	Public Works in charge of civil officers.	Total.	Public Works in charge of departmental officers.	Public Works in charge of civil officers.	Total.	Public Works in charge of departmental officers.	Public Works in charge of civil officers.	Total.
RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
8,000	9,45,000	9,53,000	11,000	9,67,000	9,78,000	7,000	9,62,000	9,69,000
47,000	45,49,000	45,96,000	36,000	37,44,000	37,80,000	78,000	39,87,000	40,65,000

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

ORDER—dated 25th April 1895, No. 972 W., Public Works.

The revised and budget estimates of revenue and expenditure under Incorporated Local Civil Works for 1894–95 and 1895–96, respectively, as shown in the statement annexed to the resolution of the Government of India recorded above, have been adopted in the final issue of the budget.

2. The revised estimate of charges for 1894–95, as shown in the preliminary budget, amounted to Rs. 47,630, but the modifications of grants subsequently sanctioned up to the 25th February 1895, the time limit fixed by the Government of India, brought the estimate down to Rs. 45,975. This was further reduced by the Government of India to Rs. 36,000 in round figures. The grant for establishment has therefore been reduced by Rs. 9,975. The revised estimate takes no account of the additional grants aggregating Rs. 8,353 sanctioned* by the Local and Municipal Department after the prescribed date as noted above. They have been excluded from the revised estimate. The difference will be duly explained by the Examiner in his finance accounts for 1894–95.

* G.O., No. 743 W., dated 25th March 1895.
„ „ 744 W. „ 25th „ „
„ „ 763 W. „ 26th „ „
„ „ 792 W. „ 29th „ „

3. The estimate of expenditure for 1895–96, as shown in the preliminary budget, amounted to Rs. 77,626. The Government of India having passed the estimate for Rs. 78,000 in round figures, the increase has been distributed among item 40, "Repairs" Rs. 20, "Establishment" Rs. 342, and "Tools and Plant" Rs. 12.

4. No outlay should be incurred against allotments for the unsanctioned works entered in the budget until estimates have in due course been sanctioned by competent authority.

5. The revised estimate of charges for 1894–95 under Excluded Local Funds adopted in the preliminary budget amounted to Rs. 1,70,564. The modifications of grants subsequently sanctioned reduced the estimate to Rs. 1,32,708.

6. The budget estimate of charges for 1895–96, as shown in the preliminary budget, amounted to Rs. 75,700. It has been reduced to Rs. 75,350 in the final budget, the difference being due to the following causes:—

(*a*) Reduction of Rs. 3,463 in the grant for the Port officer's residence at Masulipatam.

(*b*) Addition of Rs. 3,113, being the grant for repairs to the Senate House at Madras assigned after the issue of the preliminary budget.

7. A sum of Rs. 6,400 under "Works" was provided in the preliminary budget for the residence for the Port officer at Masulipatam. As the balance of the estimate to be spent during 1895–96 inclusive of the percentage charges amounts to Rs. 2,937 only, the excess provision of Rs. 3,463 is withdrawn and replaced at the disposal of the Financial Department.

8. Applications for funds required for "Minor Works" and "Repairs" under "Excluded Local Funds" should be submitted as early as possible, the particular works for which allotments are proposed being given in detail.

9. With these remarks, the final issue of the Incorporated and Excluded Local Fund budget estimates for 1895–96 will be printed and circulated to the officers concerned for information and guidance and 50 copies, as shown in the margin,* will be forwarded to the Government of India, Public Works Department.

* To Simla	15
„ Calcutta	35

[Here enter No. 973 W., dated 25th April 1895.]

(True Extract.)

Unde Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ the Accountant-General.
„ the Financial Department.
„ the Marine Department.
„ the Educational Department.
„ the Local and Municipal Department.

Mal CURRENT No. 345

Marine Dept

Marine 145 of 24-4-95

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

N
Mis

Order dd 10th May '95, No. 131.

Commd. to the
Presidency Port officer.

F. D. B.
for C. S.
9/5/95

Dated April, 25th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 25th April 1895, No. 972 W.

Recd 7/5
Regd 8/5
Samles 1

To
The P. P. Officer
P P

Copied xd 15/5.
15/5

DESPATCHED
20 MAY 95
IN M.S

APRIL.

CHIEF SECRETARY
7. MAY. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Incorporated and Excluded Local Fund Budget Estimates for 1895-96

The final issue of the —, with remarks thereon, ordered to be circulated to officers concerned and forwarded to the Government of India.

WITH ORDER

[G. / 11]

244

Heading. No. 695 / 77 G

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 22d March 1895.

From
Commander W. Powell, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

In view of the Dredger "Wenlock" having to lay up at Tuticorin till the further orders of Government, I have the honor to point out the desirability of reducing the cost of her Establishment Charges. An Engineer, Gunner and full complement of crew were engaged at Bombay on a six months' agreement which, except in the case of the Engineer and Gunner, makes no provision for dispensing with their services on notice before they have worked out their time, it being unusual to make such a

stipulation

Mal CURRENT No. 345
Marine Dept

Mays. 145 D/ 24-4-95

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

N/Mis

Order d/10th May 95, W. 131.

Commd. to the
Presidency Port officer.

F.D.B.
for C.S.
9/V/95

Dated April, 25th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 25th April 1895, No. 972 W.

Recd 7/5
Regd 8/5
Genl. 1

To
The P. P. Officer
P. P.

Copied 15/5
XD 15/5.

DESPATCHED
20 MAY 95
IN M.S

APRIL.

CHIEF SECRETARY
7 MAY 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Incorporated and Excluded Local Fund Budget Estimates for 1895-96

The final issue of the —, with remarks thereon, ordered to be circulated to officers concerned and forwarded to the Government of India.

WITH ORDER

G/11

244

Heading. No. 695 G/77

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 22d March 1895.

From

Commander W. Powell, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

In view of the Dredger "Wenlock" having to lay up at Tuticorin till the further orders of Government, I have the honor to point out the desirability of reducing the cost of her Establishment Charges. An Engineer, Gunner and full complement of crew were engaged at Bombay on a six months' agreement which, except in the case of the Engineer and Gunner, makes no provision for dispensing with their services on notice before they have worked out their time, it being unusual to make such a

stipulation

stipulation in agreements with ships crews. The total cost of Establishment is Rupees (928) Nine hundred and twenty eight and of provisions for those entitled to it Rupees (300) Three hundred per mensem.

2. I have ascertained that the crew are willing to leave on being given a month's pay and free passage with food to Bombay. The Engineer will be kept on as directed by Government.

80. 79/13.3.95

3. I propose that the 8 Crabmen be discharged at once on the conditions specified in para 2, as they will not be required for the navigation of the vessel to Tuticorin. This will reduce the cost of the Establishment by Rupees (120) One hundred and twenty and of provisions by Rupees (96) Ninety six. On the arrival of the dredger at Tuticorin the Engine driver and 3 Lascars may be dispensed with also on the same conditions. There will then be a further reduction of Rupees (115) One hundred and fifteen in cost of Establishment and of Rupees (48) forty eight in provisions.

arrivd on the 5th April

4. The gunner has been given a month's notice on the expiration of which, according to the terms of his agreement, he will be provided with a free passage to his native place (Cochin). The rest of the crew will have to be kept on to maintain the vessel and machinery in an efficient state.

5. I request the orders of Government on these proposals.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. servant,
Walter Bowden
Commander, R.I.M.,
Presy: Port Officer

md

CURRENT NO. 244

Marine (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. 695/77 G.

Dated 22nd }
Received 23rd } March 1895.

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
23 MAR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Subject.

Requesting sanction for reducing the establishment of Dredger Wenlock.

WITH ORDER

Mar. G.O. No. 79 d. 13-3-95 Ms.
" " 474 d. 12-12-94.
" " 452 d. 17-11-94.
~~" " 457 d. 16-11-94.~~

H.E. the Governor

Order

The P. P. O's proposals are approved.

29/3/95

F.D.B.
26.3.5.

They appear reasonable

29/3

To the P. P. Offr.

" a.g.

I don't think so. Why cannot the P. P. O. attend to orders? He was asked to inform Govt. if the dredger can be employed anywhere and he never makes any answer but proposes to get rid of the crew. I suppose with the usual fatality wh. attends this vessel, he will ask us to re-engage the crew as soon as they are dismissed! I wd. wire to him to reply to the questions put to him as to the possibility of employing the dredger before sanctioning the dismissal of any of the crew.

31 Mar

C 29/3
R 1/4

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Code Class
Monthly Number.

To (Office) Telephone
From (Office) Message

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	1	17	34	

To (Person) Chief Asst. Chief Secy.
From (Person) P. P. O's office

Commander Powell and Mr. Ashpital were at Pointdivi last Thursday. Have not heard yet of their further movements.

1-4-95

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

Recd. from I.N.
At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to I.N.
At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

G. G. P.—No. 321 T—29-3-93—23,00,000.

2/4

Marine Current 254

Soon

Ref No 735 d/ 4 April 1895

A reply to para: 2 of G.O. 13.3.95, No. 79, is awaited. It should be submitted at once. If Comdr: Powell is not at headquarters he should be comm.. with by telegraph.

3/4/95.

J.D.B.
2.4.95.

C.S.

Please see telephone message attached

Presidency Post Office
despd 4/4/95

Copied 3/4
Ex.d 3/4

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To (Office) Telephone	From (Office) message			
Words.	Day 8	Hour. 13	Minute. 32	Official Instructions.

To (Person) Chief Secretary

From (Person) P. S. O

The dredger Newlock arrived at Tuticorin on the 5th.

8-4-95

64 Word Form.

P.C 2.

Recd. from	I.N.	Sent to	I.N.	
At Hrs. Mts. by		At Hrs. Mts. by		Telegraph Master.

C. G. P.—No. 331 T—29-9-93—25,00,000.

1182

CURRENT No 27

Heading. No. 695/95 G

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 5th April 1895.

From

Commander W. Powell, R. I. M.
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

In reply to Marine Department Memorandum No 735 dated 4th April 1895. I have the honor to state that a reference having been made to the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board on the 25th ultimo I have this day received a reply asking for certain particulars for the information of the Board's Engineer which are being furnished.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
Walter Powell
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

Mar 272

CURRENT No. 272

Marine 1895 DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
9. APR. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No. 695/95 G

Dated 5th } April 1895.
Received 9th }

No.

Subject.

Dredger "Wenlock"

Informing that a reply has been received from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board asking for certain particulars which are being furnished.

Ref W/22 apr Endt. No. 900 24.4.95

The P. P. O. is requested to expedite ~~the~~ by reply ~~matter as much as possible and to report the result~~. The crew cannot be reduced until it is known definitely that the dredger cannot be utilised elsewhere at present.

19/4/95

F. S. B.
17/4/5

P. P. Offr. (D) Desp'd 24/4

Copied 24/4

24/4

Issue from Ooty

24/4

C 20/4
R 22/4

[9.]

No. 72.

From

The Engineer,
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras.

To

The Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras.

Madras 25th April 1895.

Sir,

In reply to your endorsement No. 23 C. forwarding letter No. 695/365 M. dated 9th instant from the Presidency Port Officer, regarding the Dredger "Wenlock"; I have the honor to return the Plan and specification, forwarded for inspection and to say that although the "Wenlock" is not the best type of Dredger for the Madras Harbour Works or what I should advise, if a new Dredger was to be purchased; it will nevertheless do all the dredging required for the Boat Basin scheme, and later on, the Harbour could be dredged deeper, under careful supervision, and by an addition to the machinery at a small cost.

2. I would therefore recommend the Dredger being taken over, if it can be hired, or purchased at a reasonable price, after being docked.

3. The machinery is all that is required and if not in working order, it can be easily made so on these works; but what I feel doubtful about

is

is the state of her hull, an examination of which, can only be made, when docked.

4. From the information before me, the Dredger was launched early in 1891, and was docked in December 1891 at Bombay it was then allowed to float for 15 months without docking; and in March 1893, it was for the second time docked at Calcutta and has since been two years without docking.

5. The hull is of 5/16" iron and steel-plating and with only twice docking, in over four years; it must be in a bad condition, and must therefore be docked by Government, for any future use. I would therefore suggest the Dredger being docked at Bombay without delay, when an examination and report might be made by the Bombay Dockyard authorities, as to the condition of the hull.

6. The Dredger is of course not required at Madras, till the north section of the Harbour Improvements has been sanctioned, and commenced upon; and its upkeep would be an unnecessary outlay before then, besides the danger of being in the Harbour in November, unless some portion of the Boat Basin Breakwater has been built, which would afford it shelter in a storm.

7. It would require three months' progress at construction, of the canal section of the Improvements, before sufficient shelter could be provided to make the Dredger safe in the Harbour against a storm; and therefore the Boat Basin should very shortly to be commenced, or the Dredger question lay over until actually required.

I have &c

(Signed) A. Lee Pogson
Engineer
Harbour Trust Board.

No. 206.

Copy forwarded to the Presidency Port Officer, for his information.

(By order)
(Sd.) C. Prinsent
Secretary
Harbour Trust Board.

True copy
Walter Powell

Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

1895
Mar
/
329

[G. / 11]
2,500—3-3-95.

No 24.

From

The Engineer,
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras.

To

The Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras

Madras 3d April 1895.

Sir,

In reply to the letter from the Presidency Port Officer enquiring whether the Dredger "Wenlock" will be required for the proposed Harbour Improvements, I have the honor to say that it is impossible for me to reply until I can be furnished with full details of her maximum and minimum draft, also the maximum and minimum depth to which the Dredger has successfully worked for any length of time.

2. I should be glad to receive the original specification, and to know the following viz:

At what cost, dredging has been done in the cutting per cubic yard; total quantity dredged over any known period, and cost of repairs, following such quantity.

3. From what I have heard of the Dredger

I am of opinion that a more suitable Dredger could be hired from Colombo, but on receipt of the above information, I shall be able to say if the "Wenlock" will be of use to me; and if so, would suggest that as she would be required for the Canal section of the Improvements, Government would probably lend the Dredger for the purpose, free of cost; the Trust meeting cost of fuel and labour.

I have &c

(Signed) A. Lee Pogson
Engineer Harbour Trust Board.

True Copy

Walter Powell
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

5
Mar
2
329

CURRENT No. 329

No. 695/108 G

Heading.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 1st May 1895.

From
Commander W Powell, R.I.M.,
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

× Para 2 of G.O. Marine No. 79, dated 13th March 1895.
Marine Deptt: Memo No. 735, D/ 4th April 1895
——— Do ——— 900 D/ 24th April 1895.

In reply to the communications noted in the margin[×], I have the honor to enclose, for the information of Government, copy of letter from the Engineer to the Harbour Trust Board, dated 25th April 1895, No. 72, received by me from the Board's Chairman on the 29th idem, from which it will be observed that the "Wenlock" could be utilised for the dredging required for the Boat Basin Scheme in connection with further improvements to the Madras Harbour and in the Harbour

Harbour itself

2. With reference to para 4 of the Engineer's letter, I would observe that in his last letter* in respect to the "Wenlock" referred to in my letter to Government, No. 695/95 G, dated 5th April 1895, no information was asked for by him in respect to the docking and repairs which the "Wenlock" last had. I am, therefore, informing him through the Board's Chairman that the "Wenlock" was docked in the Royal Indian Marine dockyard, Bombay, in November 1894 and after a minute examination of the Hull and machinery by the professional officers of the dockyard, underwent thorough overhauling, repairs, cleaning and painting at a cost of Rupees (6244) Six thousand two hundred and forty four and was sent out of dock on 10th January 1895. Since then she has practically done no work so that she is in every way fit for service at any time the Board may require her.

* Copy attached

C No. 292 under Govt
No 900 d 24-4-95

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. servant
Walter Powell
Commander R.I.M.,
Presy. Port Officer

CURRENT No. 329

Marine (1895) DEPARTMENT.

From
THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To
THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. $\frac{695}{108}$ G

Dated 1 } May 1895.
Received 2 }
Regd 3
Enclos. 2

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
-2 MAY 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Subject.

~~Forwarding~~ copy of letter from Engineer Harbour Trust Board informing that the Wenlock could be utilized for dredging required for the Boat Basin Scheme.

Madras Harbour Trust
12th May 1895.

No 23.

From
W. J. H. Le Fanu Esqr. I.C.S.
Chairman H T Board

To
The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No $\frac{695}{394}$ M dated 27th April and to inform you in reply that the Boards Engineer reports that the Dredger "Wenlock" would be of Service in constructing the proposed entrance to the Canal to connect the Harbour with the Buckingham Canal, but this is a matter which should apparently be dealt with by the Chief Engineer Public Works Department.

2.

2. The Harbour Trust Board has not yet received the report of the Committee appointed under G.O Marine No 47, dated 9th February 1895 to consider Harbour Improvements and until this is before the Trustees and until they have decided what Harbour Improvements are to be undertaken, it is impossible for them to say whether the dredger will be of service or not, though I understand that if the recommendations made by the Committee are adopted by the Board she would be of service.

3. The matter will be placed before the Board at its next meeting and I will address you further on the subject.

I have &c.
Sd/ W. J. H. Le Fanu
Chairman H T Board
(True Copy)

5
Mar
1
332

Walter Powell
Commander R.I.M.
Presidency Port Officer

Madras
2 May 1895

2,500—8-3-95.

Urgent

CURRENT No. 332

No. $\frac{695}{111}$ G

Heading.

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 2d May 1895.

From

Commander W. Powell R.I.M.
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

To

The CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

C No 329 of 95
written

In continuation of my letter No $\frac{695}{108}$ G of the 1st instant, I have the honor to forward, for the information of Government, copy of a letter received this day from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, on the question of employing the Dredger "Wenlock" at Madras. The further views of the Board will be communicated when received.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
Walter Powell
Commander R.I.M.
Presidency Port Officer

Urgent

CURRENT No. 332

Marine 1895 DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

E

No. $\frac{695}{111}$ G

Dated 2d May 1895.
Received
Rgd 4-5-15
Enclo 1

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
3 MAY 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No.

Subject.

Forwarding copy of a letter received from the Chairman, H. I. Bd on the question of employing the dredger "Wenlock" at Madras.

Mar G.O. No 91 d/ 25-3-95 Ms } Dredging at Madras
.. 79 d/ 13-3-95 ..
.. 47 d/ 9-2-95 s.e. Madras Harbour Com
.. 474 d/ 12-12-94 Ms }
.. 452 d/ 17-11-94 Ms }
.. 294 d/ 25-7-94 .. } Establishment of the "Wenlock"
.. 237-38 d/ 19-6-94 .. }
191 d/ 30-5-94 .. }
188 d/ 14-5-94 }

E

H. E. the Governor

For orders whether the further views of the Board may be awaited before any orders are passed in regard to the crew. If she will not be required until work is actually commenced in accordance with the recommendns: of the Comttee whose report has not yet been recd: some months will probably elapse. Meanwhile the crew costs 1228 Rs: a month, & are doing nothing at Tuticorin

J.D.B.
4/v/95.

The report will I believe soon issue but it will probably be some time before operations can be commenced as estimates will have to be prepared & sanctioned

J.D.
4/5/95

The report will come in I hope in a few days - I would refer the matter to the C.E. as to whether there is any likelihood of the dredger being used for the Madras Harbour works - and if so - whether she could be moved up before the monsoon sets in - and set to work soon. If he thinks that this question cannot be settled off hand, I think we had better reduce the crew at once & only leave enough staff to maintain the dredger from rust etc.

C 4/5
Rgd

W
5 May

C

Recd. 7-3-95

E

Endt. No. 981

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of information as to whether there is any likelihood of the dredger being used in connection with the Madras harbour, and, if so, whether she could be moved up before the monsoon sets in and set to work soon. If the Chief Engineer is of opinion that this question cannot be settled at present the dredger can be left at Tuticorin and the crew reduced.

J Frederick Price
Chief Secretary

Ootacamund
7th May 1895

J.D.B.
6.v.95.

For orders

D 54/

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

Urgent

CURRENT No. 332

Marine 1895 DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

E

No. $\frac{695}{111}$ G

Dated 2d May 1895.
Received
Rpd 4-5-15
Enclos 1

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 3 MAY 1895

No.

Subject.

Forwarding copy of a letter received from the Chairman, H. T. Bd on the question of employing the dredger "Wenlock" at Madras.

Mar G.O. No 91 Dt 25-3-95 Ms } Dredging at Madras
" " 79 Dt 13-3-95 "
" " 47 Dt 9-2-95 Se Madras Harbour Com

" " 474 Dt 12-12-94 Ms
" " 452 Dt 17-11-94 Ms
" " 294 Dt 25-7-94 "
" " 237-38 Dt 19-6-94 "
" 191 Dt 30-5-94 "
188 Dt 24-5-94 } Establishment of the Wenlock

E

H. E. the Governor

For orders whether the further views of the Board may be awaited before any orders are passed in regard to the crew. If she will not be required until work is actually commenced in accordance with the reconmdns: of the Comttee whose report has not yet been recd: some months will probably elapse. Meanwhile the crew costs 1228 Rs: a month, & are doing nothing at Tuticorin

J. D. B.
4/v/95.

The report will I believe soon come but it will probably be some time before operations can be commenced as estimates will have to be prepared & sanctioned

Wd
4/5/95

The report will come in I hope in a few days. I would refer the matter to the C. E. as to whether there is any likelihood of the dredger being used for the Madras Harbour works - and if so - whether she could be moved up before the monsoon sets in - and set to work soon. If he thinks that this question cannot be settled off hand, I think we had better reduce the crew at once & only leave enough staff to maintain the dredger from rust etc.

C 4/5
R 5/5

W
5 May

C

Recd. 7-3-95

E

Endt. No. 981

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of information as to whether there is any likelihood of the dredger being used in connection with the Madras harbour, and, if so, whether she could be moved up before the monsoon sets in and set to work soon. If the Chief Engineer is of opinion that this question cannot be settled at present the dredger can be left at Tuticorin and the crew reduced.

Fredrick Price
Chief Secretary

Ootacamund }
7th May 1895 }

J. D. B.
6. v. 95.

For orders

Ab 54/

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

Urgent

CURRENT No. 332

Marine 1895 DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. 695/111 G

Dated 2d May 1895.
Received
Recd 4-5-95
Enclos 1

CHIEF SECRETARY 3 MAY 1895 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No.

Subject.

Forwarding copy of a letter received from the Chairman, H. I. Bd on the question of employing the dredger "Wenlock" at Madras.

Mar G.O. No 91 dd 25-3-95 Ms } Dredging at Madras
" " 79 dd 13-3-95 "
" " 47 dd 9-2-95 S.C. Madras Harbour Com
" " 474 dd 12-12-94 Ms
" " 452 dd 17-11-94 Ms
" " 294 dd 25-7-94 "
" " 237-38 dd 19-6-94 "
191 dd 30-5-94 "
188 dd 24-5-94 } Establishment of the "Wenlock"

H.E. the Governor

For orders whether the further views of the Board may be awaited before any orders are passed in regard to the crew. If she will not be required until work is actually commenced in accordance with the recommendns: of the Comttee whose report has not yet been recd: some months will probably elapse. Meanwhile the crew costs 1228 Rs: a month, & are doing nothing at Tuticorin

F.D.B.
4/V/95.

The report will I believe soon issue but it will probably be some time before operations can be commenced as estimates will have to be prepared & sanctioned

4/5/95

The report will come in I hope in a few days - I would refer the matter to the C.E. as to whether there is any likelihood of the dredger being used for the Madras Harbour works - and if so - whether she could be moved up before the monsoon sets in - and set to work soon. If he thinks that this question cannot be settled off hand, I think we had better reduce the crew at once & only leave enough staff to maintain the dredger from rust etc.

W
5 May

C 4/5
R 6/5

---

[11]
2,500—8-3-95.

C

Recd. 7-3-95

Endt No. 981

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of information as to whether there is any likelihood of the dredger being used in connection with the Madras harbour, and, if so, whether she could be moved up before the monsoon sets in and set to work soon. If the Chief Engineer is of opinion that this question cannot be settled at present the dredger can be left at Tuticorin and the crew reduced.

Frederick Price
Chief Secretary

Ootacamund
7th May 1895

F.D.B.
6.V.95.

for orders

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

D 524/

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W. Dept:—

I have very little doubt that the "Wenlock" will be found useful in connection with the proposed improvements to the harbour.

2. The report of the Committee appointed by G.O. Marine No. 47 dated 9th February 1895 is now in the press and will be submitted to Government very soon; I do not anticipate any serious difference of opinion as to the engineering portion of the Committee's recommendations and there is no reason why work should not be commenced in the course of a few weeks.

3. I should advise the

dredger (if she is not at present in use) being moved up to Madras at once.

(Sd.) John Pennycuick
Colonel R.E.,
Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Ootacamund
May ~~April~~ 7th 1895

CURRENT No. 337
Marine Dept.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
8 MAY 1895

No. 118 D. dated 8th May 1895
Recd. frm. – do.
Encls. Cur 332 ref.

Transferred to the Marine Dept. with advertence to its endt. No. 981 dated 7th May 1895 and the referred papers returned.

Colonel R.E.,
Secretary to Govt. P. W. Dept.

URGENT

To
The Marine Dept.
with papers returned

C. 11
2,500—8-3-95.

300-28-5-94.

Marine DEPARTMENT.

No. 337.

From The P. W. Dept.

Dated 7th May 1895.

FOR ORDERS. Whether the P. P. O. may be directed by wire to send the dredger up to Madras.

Two points shd be considered. There is no shelter at Madras for the dredger in case of bad weather – and nothing has been arranged as to the terms on wh: she is to work for the H. S. Bd.

See para 7 of Mr Pogson's letter of the 25th April.

J.D.B.
8/V/95.

It is now the cyclone season and if the dredger is caught in one either inside the harbour or out of it Govt. will certainly not be troubled with anything concerning her again beyond receiving the report of her loss. I submit that it will be better to wait until all risk of a cyclone is over. She could come up I believe easily from Paumben to Madras during the S W monsoon as she would be under the lee of the land the whole way

J.D.
8/5/95

I think it would be as well to tell the P. P. O. that in all probability the dredger will be required to work in Madras Harbour before 3 months are over – and ask him whether it would be as well to leave her in Tuticorin – or bring her round to Paumben now – or some other port this side of Ceylon, in order that if wanted she could come up to Madras during the S. W. monsoon.

Also if under these circs. there are any of the crew he would recommend to be dismissed ~~now~~, about filling whose vacancies there would be no difficulty.

W
10 May

Dredger Wenlock

E/

H.E. the Governor

c 9/5
P. 10/5

[11]
2,500—5-3-95.

Marine dept

C. 244. 292, 329,
332, & 337.

Order. 13 May 1895. No. 132.

The Chief Engineer's note will be comd: to the P. F. officer with the intimation that in all probability the dredger Wenlock will be required to work in the Madras Harbour within the next three months, and with the request that he will state whether it wd: be better to leave her at Tuticorin or to bring her ~~up~~ at once to Pamban or some other port ~~[illegible]~~ to the north of Ceylon in order that, if wanted she could come up to Madras during the South west monsoon. Comdr: Powell will also report whether, under these circumstances, there are any of the crew he would recommend to be dispensed with, about filling whose vacancies there would be no difficulty.

for orders

11/5/95

F.D.B.
11/V/95.

D. S. Offr. }
D. W. Dept. } Despatched 13/5 see instructions on the docket slip

13/5

The Wenlock

for orders

G.O. 132 of 13 May '95

~~Office~~ ~~Done 13/5~~

~~Issue from Monday & let me have the file on Monday.~~

F.D.B.
11/5

~~Submitted to C. A. with~~ C. No. 349

13/V

[G./11]
2,500—5-3-95.

	For what purpose.					
Date taken out.	Department.	C. No.	Year.	Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.