

ORDINARY, MS.

Marine DEPARTMENT.  
G.O., DATED 26<sup>th</sup> Apr: '95  
No. 121

**INDEXED.**

When given for copying.

27/4

[Despatch Abstract.]

Communicating to Com-  
mander Powell letter from  
the Govt of India stating  
that leave allowances  
admissible to him for  
the period of his journey  
from Madras to Bombay  
while proceeding on furlough  
out of India and from  
Bombay to Madras on his  
return to duty, should be  
adjusted in accordance  
with XXI Para 130 of the  
Marine Regulations; and  
taking steps to make the rules  
more clear on the point.

F 1566.

Statement of expenses incurred by Her Majesty's Government  
in respect of Thomas Samuel of the "Lady Armstrong"  
O.N. 98312 of Greenock

Particulars of Expenses at Port Said.

| Date    |                      | Sterling.   |
|---------|----------------------|-------------|
| 1894.   |                      |             |
| Aug. 23 | Boarding             | £ s d       |
| to      | 5 days @ 3/- per day | ..... 15. 0 |
| „ 27    |                      |             |
|         | Travelling .....     | 1. 6        |

Total £ — 16. 6

(Sd) Cosmo Monkhouse

Asst. Secy

Finance Dept.

Board of Trade.

8th February

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

343

OF 189

5

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED  
189, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A — Copy in full.

B — Not to be copied.

P — Copy abstract only.

Q — Copy heading only.

[  $\frac{G}{9}$  ]  
2,000—23-1-95.

Current No. 311

Marine DEPARTMENT.

From

The Govt of India,  
Marine Department.

No. 313

Dated

15<sup>th</sup>

Received

23<sup>rd</sup>

Regd -

24<sup>th</sup>

Enclosures

} April / 95.

6 enclosures

SUBJECT.

Re Commander W. J. Powell's  
leave allowances.

Marine G.O. No. 2160, 21-12-94  
254-55, 22-6-94

Order 26 April 1895, No. 121.

Communicated to  
Commander Powell with  
reference to G.O. d/28-6-94,  
No. 254, Mar.

Job.  
25/4/95.

25/4/95

Despatched  
3 MAY 95  
IN M.S.  
To Comdr. W. Powell. R. J. M.  
To Acc<sup>l</sup> General - 20/4/95  
The P.P.O.  
copy to the Acc<sup>l</sup> Genl.

Office (H.O.)  
our copies of the Regn. shd  
be corrected accordingly.

Pls. see to it that  
the copies of the  
Regn. are corrected.  
21/4/95

hadn. copy corrected  
21/4/95

The 2nd clause of the rule should be reconstructed as follows :—

“ The day of return from leave is a day of duty, also that of return from furlough where duty commences at port of embarkation.”

COPY, with copy of above docket, forwarded to the Examiner of Marine Accounts, with reference to the correspondence ending with his No. 348-E-G., dated 18th December 1894.

COPY forwarded to—

The Accountant General, Military Department.

Finance.  
Allowances.

No. 313.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Simla, the 15th April 1895.

It is requested that the above heading, with number and date of this communication, may be quoted in any subsequent correspondence on this subject.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 233, dated 28th June 1894, forwarding an appeal from Commander W. J. Powell, against the decision of the Account authorities in the matter of the leave allowances admissible to him for the period of his journey from Madras to Bombay while proceeding on furlough out of India, and from Bombay to Madras on his return to duty.

2. In reply, I am to state for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council that the Government of India are of opinion that under rule XXI, paragraph 130 of the Marine Regulations, India, Volume I, Part II, Commander Powell must be held to have been on subsidiary leave during the period specified above, and that the leave allowances admissible to him for that period should be adjusted in accordance with that rule.

3. As, however, it may not appear quite clear from the rule quoted that furlough is held to cease on the date of return to India and that the period from that date to the date of assuming charge is considered subsidiary to furlough, steps will be taken to make this quite clear in the Marine Regulations referred to.

I am,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

*M. J. Kingham*

Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

COPY forwarded to—

The Director of the Royal Indian Marine with reference to his No. 3024, dated 27th July 1894, with the request that the following corrections to Rule XXI, paragraph 130, Marine Regulations, India, Volume I, Part II, may be included in the next list of corrections to the Marine Regulations :—

In the fifth line after the words "earlier date" insert "and ceases on the day of return to India."

Finance.  
Allowances.

No. 313.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Simla, the 15th April 1895.

It is requested that the above heading, with number and date of this communication, may be quoted in any subsequent correspondence on this subject.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 255, dated 28th June 1894, forwarding an appeal from Commander W. J. Powell, against the decision of the Account authorities in the matter of the leave allowances admissible to him for the period of his journey from Madras to Bombay while proceeding on furlough out of India, and from Bombay to Madras on his return to duty.

2. In reply, I am to state for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council that the Government of India are of opinion that under rule XXI, paragraph 130 of the Marine Regulations, India, Volume I, Part II, Commander Powell must be held to have been on subsidiary leave during the period specified above and that the leave allowances admissible to him for that period should be adjusted in accordance with that rule.

3. As, however, it may not appear quite clear from the rule quoted that furlough is held to cease on the date of return to India and that the period from that date to the date of assuming charge is considered subsidiary to furlough, steps will be taken to make this quite clear in the Marine Regulations referred to.

I am,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

COPY forwarded to—

The Director of the Royal Indian Marine with reference to his No. 3024, dated 27th July 1894, with the request that the following corrections to Rule XXI, paragraph 130, Marine Regulations, India, Volume I, Part II, may be included in the next list of corrections to the Marine Regulations :—

In the fifth line after the words "earlier date" insert "and ceases on the day of return to India."

The 2nd clause of the rule should be reconstructed as follows :—

" The day of return from leave is a day of duty, also that of return from furlough where duty commences at port of embarkation."

COPY, with copy of above docket, forwarded to the Examiner of Marine Accounts, with reference to the correspondence ending with his No. 348-E-G., dated 18th December 1894.

COPY forwarded to—

The Accountant General, Military Department.

[ G. ]  
11  
2,500-8-8-95.

ORDINARY, MS.

*Marine* DEPARTMENT.  
G.O., DATED *29-4-95*  
No. *122*

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Forwarding to the  
Under Secy. of State  
by of a Court from  
the Insp. of Police  
Kagapalam embody-  
ing the result of the  
enquiry by the Police  
regarding Mr. Pottinger  
the missing 3<sup>d</sup> Officer  
of the S. S. 'Mearnsby'*