

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 23-5-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Marine

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 367-68

Press
Mis.

Dated

10th October

1892.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. F. FINLAY, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 23rd September 1892, No. S.R.-3887.

The attention of the Government of India has been drawn to two notices to mariners issued by the Presidency Port Officer, Madras—

- (1) dated the 31st August 1892, declaring that no light will be exhibited from Hope Island lighthouse after the 15th October next until the 1st December 1892, and
- (2) dated the 2nd September 1892, notifying that it is intended to alter the character and position of the Madras lighthouse within the next three months.

In a letter, dated the 9th September 1892, from the Government of Madras, it is stated that the Hope Island light will be extinguished from the 15th November to the 31st December next.

2. I am to state that the Government of India are of opinion that, though the notice given in the case of the Hope Island lighthouse, namely, a month and a half, may be sufficient for coasting steamers, it is inadequate for masters of vessels from foreign countries bound for ports on the Madras coast, and the safety of such vessels might in consequence be seriously jeopardised.

3. The notice in the case of the Madras lighthouse is also short, being about three months only.

4. I am to say that it is desirable that six months' notice should be given, as a rule, of any changes in lights. In regard to the cases under notice, it would not be expedient to alter now the notice regarding the Hope Island lighthouse, but six months' notice should be given of the date on which the new light at Madras will be exhibited, and I am to request that, with the permission of the Governor in Council, the Port Officer may be instructed to give similar notice in every future case of alteration in the character and position of lights.

5. I am further to state that the Government of India think that both the notices are lacking in precision for the purpose of navigation, and I am specially to suggest that when, as in the notice regarding the Hope Island light, a sector of a light is mentioned as covering a shoal, the exact bearings should be stated. In this connection, I am to invite your attention to the correspondence noted in the margin, and to suggest that particular care should be taken to make such notices as precise as possible.

To the Government of Madras, No. 3696, dated the 18th July 1889.

To the Government of Madras, No. 4505, dated the 2nd September 1889.

From the Government of Madras, No. 421, dated the 30th September 1889.

To the Government of Madras, No. 4786, dated the 28th November 1891.

From the Government of Madras, No. 546, dated the 18th December 1891.

ORDER—dated 10th October 1892, No. 367, Marine.

Communicated to the Presidency Port Officer for attention and for guidance.

2. Proposals in regard to the change in the Madras light should be submitted.
3. Captain Street's attention is specially directed to paragraph 5 of the foregoing letter.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 10th October 1892, No. 368, Marine.

Copy, with copy of G.O., dated 9th September 1892, No. 327, forwarded to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

Chief Secretary.

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.
MARINE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 10th October 1892,
Nos. 367, 368.

OCTOBER.

Notices to Mariners.

Communicating to the Presidency Port Officer letter from the Government of India containing remarks regarding certain — in connection with the Hope Island and Madras lights, and stating that six months' notice should be given as a rule of any changes in lights and that notices of such changes should be as precise as possible.

CURRENT No. 890

[9]

Marine DEPARTMENT.

From

The Government of India,
Finance & Commerce Dept.

No. ^{S.R.} 3887

Dated 23rd Sept^r 1892

Received 29th " Do

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that six months' notice should be given as a rule of any changes in lights —

WITH ORDER

18/12/93 No 545/6 Pms

Hul.

Order

10th Oct 1892. N: 367

10/10/92

Bound: to the Presy Port Officer for attention and for guidance.

2: Proposals in regard to the change in the Madras light should be submitted.

3: Capt Street's attention is specially directed to para: 5 of the foregoing letter.

Presiding Port Officer despatch 13/10/92
Encl. N: 368.

Copy, with copy of G.O. of 9.9.92, No. 327, for to the G. of S. Fin: & Commerce Dept.

J.B.B.

5/10.

Issue in news to P.P.O. and print afterwards

5/10

CURRENT NO

No. ^{L.R.} 3887

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCE AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT.

Simla, the 23rd September 1892.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras.
Marine Department.

Sir,

CHIEF SECRETARY
29 SEP 92
FINANCE & COMMERCE

The attention of the Government of India has been drawn to two notices to Mariners issued by the Presidency Port Officer, Madras. (1) dated the 31st August 1892, declaring that no light will be exhibited from Hope Island Light house after the 15th October next, until the 1st December 1892, and (2) dated the 2nd September 1892, notifying that it is intended to alter the character and position of the Madras Light house within the next three months.

In a letter dated the 7th September 1892 from the Government of Madras it is stated that

Page 1237 of
Gazette put up

No 328

that the Hope Island light will be extinguished from the 15th November to the 31st December next.

2 I am to state that the Government of India are of opinion that though the notice given in the case of the Hope Island Light house, namely, a month and a half, may be sufficient for coasting skippers, it is inadequate for masters of vessels from foreign countries bound for ports on the Madras Coast and the safety of such vessels might in consequence be seriously jeopardised.

3. The notice in the case of the Madras light house is also short, being about 3 months only.

4. I am to say that it is desirable that six months' notice should be given as a rule of any changes in lights. In regard to the cases under notice it would not be expedient to alter now the notice regarding the Hope Island Light house, but six months' notice

notice should be given of the date on which the new light at Madras will be exhibited, and I am to request that, with the permission of the Governor in Council, the Port Officer may be instructed to give similar notice in every future case of alteration in the character and position of lights.

5. I am further to state that the Government of India think that both the notices are lacking in precision for the purpose of navigation and I am specially to suggest that when, as in the notice regarding the Hope Island light, a sector of a light is mentioned as covering a shoal, the exact bearings should be stated.

40
420
30 9 80

*
To the Government of Madras, No. 3696, dated the 18th July 1891.
To the Government of Madras, No. 4505, dated the 2nd September 1891.
From the Government of Madras, No. 421, dated the 20th September 1891.
To the Government of Madras, No. 4786, dated the 28th November 1891.
From the Government of Madras, No. 546, dated the 18th December 1891.

Not put up with
6 2185/32

In this connection I am to invite your attention to the correspondence noted in the margin, and to

suggest that particular care should be taken to make such notices as precise as possible.

I have the honour to be,
Your most obedient servant,

M. M. L.
Secretary to the Government of India.
11/11/91

CV

1031-80 414
1057/92 426
1084/92 426
1125/92
1147/92
339/93

60

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Marine DEPARTMENT.

G.O. DATED 10-10-92

No. 367

368

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press 14-10-92

Returned for signature 18-10-92

Despatched in print 20-10-92

[Despatch Abstract]

Notes to mariners.

Coming to the President
Port officers letter from the
Govt of India containing
remarks regarding the
certain — in connection
with the Hope Island &
Madrass lights & stating
that six months ^{notice} should be
given as a rule of any
change in lights & that
notice of such change
should be as precise as
possible —