

(1) Number of pages 10
(2) Date of repair 28-11-49
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Marine DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<u>344</u>	<u>Press</u>
B.P. No.		<u>Mis.</u>
Dated	<u>5th August</u>	<u>1889.</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Trans. & Act. Dept.

Fort-Saint-Georges
Accounts-General's Office
18 July 1882

N^o 268

600720

From

J. W. Rawlinson Esq.
Accountant General

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Marine Department

Sir,

In forwarding letter from the Presidency
Post Office to Government, N^o 322 G. dated 9th instant,
sent through this Office, I have the honor to state
that under Section 374 of the Indian Postal Code
Local Fund Officers are not entitled to the privilege
of using Service Postage stamps on their official cor-
respondence, or of superscribing the covers containing them
"On Her Majesty's Service". The Post Fund being an
Excluded Local Fund, the objection taken by the Post
Master General in the present case is quite correct.

2. As to the question of the Post-Department
resuming the use of Service Postage stamps, I would
invite attention to the Government of India's letter
dated 31st July 1882, recorded in G. O. N^o 945 dated 15th
August 1882, Financial Department, which prohibits
such a course.

I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your most obed^t Servant

J. W. Rawlinson

Accountant General

Through the Accountant General.

No. *322*

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Heading.

277

Madras, *9th July* 188*9*

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

MADRAS.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the Post Master General, Madras, has objected to the covers posted by the Port Department being superscribed "on Her Majesty's Service" on the ground that when covers are so superscribed it follows that service postage labels are to be used and not ordinary postage stamps. The question was once before raised by the Postal Department and referred by this

Office

Office to Government, and on the decision then passed (S.O. Marmie dated 24th January 1883, Miscellaneous, No 48) the superscription was continued to be adopted; but the Port Fund being an excluded Local Fund, and the use of Service Postage labels being forbidden to Local Fund Officers and Government Officers acting in a capacity connected with a Local Fund, ordinary postage labels have been, and still continue to be, used by the Post Department.

2. I would now beg, that the Postal Department may be authorized to accept covers posted by the Port Department with the superscription "On Her Majesty's Service," or that the Port Department may be permitted to resume the use of Service Postage Labels. In the latter case, a large saving

can be effected, as at present, private rates are paid under which a letter, weighing 10 tolas, which at the official rate could be posted with a one anna service label, requires 10 annas ordinary labels.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

Yr. affect
Captain H. M. J. M.
Presidency Post Officer.



5/19/89

5 Aug 1889 No. 344
Order & 1 Aug.

The post covers with the
half year. Post funds
must, like District Boards
municipalities, remain
under the private post-
age

seen
20/

DESMITCHED
The mmo
sent to
IN V M

Revenue (1889) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE CHIEF SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. 322
277

Dated

Received

9th July 1889.

No.

50 945 741 915 882
50 48 111 924 113

Subject.

Reporting on the objection
raised by the Postmaster General
Madras regarding the Port
Department Covers being
stamped with ordinary labels
& superscribed on H. M.'s ser-
vice and soliciting orders
to resume the use of service
Postage labels.

660720
Marine (1889) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Madras,

To

THE Chief Secy to Govt
Marine Departt

No. 2468

Dated 18

Received

July 1889.



Subject.

Forwarding letter from the Presy Post
Officer to Government advising &
through this office and stating that
Local Fund Officers are not entitled
to the privilege of using Service Package
Stamps in their official Correspondence
or of superintending the covers containing
them. On "His Service" and the Post Fund
being an excluded Local Fund, the
objection taken by the Post Master
General in the present case is quite
correct.

For order

Presy Post officer
acct. G. E.
C. P. 81

CURRENT No.

720

Letter fr. Presy Post officer g/p
Letter from Acmt. Genl a/p

ORDINARY, MS.

Mar DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 5/8/89
No. 344.

When given for copying 8/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Concerning with the
Rock General and deciding
that Post funds much
remain under the private
postage rules.

2-10-05 ✓ 2/5/05

21-7-23-11 ✓