

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.)

G.O. No.

223

Press

11/10.

Dated

26.5.1859

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Marine Department,
from 18th to 27th May 1859.*

No. 24. From R. B. CHAPMAN, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government of Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, the 19th April 1859, No. 791.

Home Department,
Marine. SIR,

With reference to the letters noted in the margin, I am directed to convey the sanction of

<p>4th March 1857, No. 90, 1 enclosure 11th " " " 99, 1 do. 26th " " " 122, 7 do. 22nd April " " 153, 1 do. 25th " " " 158, 2 do. 29th " " " 164, 1 do. 6th " " " 182, 8th May " " 191, 4 do.</p>	<p>22nd May 1857, No. 213, 2 enclosures. 11th June " " 234, 1 do. 24th Sept. " " 395, 18th Feb. 1858, " 79, 6 Plans. 17th March " " 116, 1 Plan. 12th April " " 147, 2 Maps. 28th April " " 161, 1 Map.</p>
--	---

His Excellency the Governor General in Council to the proposed Limits and Port Rules of the following fourteen Ports in the Presidency of Madras, under the Provisions of Act, No. XXII of 1855, viz.

Vizagapatam
 Bimlipatam
 Cuddalore
 Tuticorin
 Cochin
 Calicut
 Tellicherry

Cannanore
 Masulipatam
 Cocanada, including Coringa
 Paumbem
 Mangalore
 Negapatam, and
 Tranquebar.

2. The question of providing for the levy of Port-dues, and the prevention of ballast-shooting having been disposed of by the passing of Act, No. XVIII of 1858, needs no further orders.

No. 25. ORDER THEREON, 26th May 1859, No. 223.

The above letter conveys the sanction of the Governor General in Council to the proposed limits and Port Rules of 14 Ports in this Presidency, which were submitted for sanction in accordance with the Provisions of Sections III and VII of Act XXII of 1855.

2. It is observed that the limits and Port Rules of all these Ports, but one have already been published, and the Ports themselves brought under the operation of Act XXII of 1855.

3. The exception is Paumbem. The Superintendent of Marine will report whether it be desirable that this Port be brought under the operation of the Act, and if so, who should be appointed Conservator.

4. It is remarked that no Port Fees will be leviable at Paumbem under Act VII of 1858.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
 Chief Secretary.

Marine Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following letter
from the Government of
India:—

(Here enter 19th April 1859
No. 491)

Order thereon 26th May 1859 No. 223.

The above letter contains
the sanction of the Gover-
nor General in Council
to the proposed Limits
and Port Rules of 14
ports in this Presidency,
which were submitted
for sanction in accordance
with the provisions of
Sections III and VII of
Act XXII of 1855.

2. — It is observed that,
the Limits and Port Rules
of all these ports but one
have

[Handwritten initials and marks]

877-11/110

9-2-500
5-5-900

Pro: 27th May

Marine 1859 Report to

27th 223

Proceedings of Govt.

26th May 1859

With reference to
the letter from the
Govt of India, dated
10th Nov 1858, proposing
Limits and Port Rules
of 14 Ports in
this Presidency—
request the Adm^l to
of Marine to report
whether it is desi-
table that Rules be
brought under
the operation of Act
XXII of 1855, and if so
who should be ap-
pointed Conservator.

Notification 16th June 1854
and 9th April 1858.

have already been published, and the ports themselves brought under the operation of Act XXII of 1855.

3. — The exception is Pambour. The Superintendent of Marine will report whether it be desirable that this Port be brought under the operation of the Act, and if so, who should be appointed Conservator.

4. — It is remarked that no port fees will be leviable at Pambour under Act VII. 1858.

JF

The Supt of Marine. }
with Letter N^o 791 } Disptd 31st

W. H. H. H. H.

W. H. H. H. H.

Pro: of Govt 24 May
Marine 1859 Sept 11

No 223

Pro: of Government

2/26 May 59.

No 233 -

Current

24 x 25

C 18 May
R 25 "