Legislative (1898) Department.

Press
Leg D.
Cir
12/4/98

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 63.

DATED 17.9.98.

21/22

C.

Finished

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling allowance to non-official additional members of the Local Legislative Council.

Considering that the view of the Accountant-General recorded in F.O 22 Legre of 13th February 1894 that no daily allowance is admissible to non-official additional members of the Legislative Council for days spent at the head-quarters of Govt in connection with the business of the Council is correct, the Govt stating that the Govt is prepared to allow them their actual travelling & other necessary expenses on basis of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates under the provisions of Part 12 83 of the C. S. Regulations

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	
				22	Leg		13-2-94.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

List of Hon'ble Addl Members

1 Hon. Lt Col Sir George Moore c
2. C. Vijayaraghavachariar
3. C. Jainulabdeen Saib
4 Dewan Bahadur Rao Bahadur
5. V. Ratna Sabhapati Pillai
6 Hon Mr S. R. Tumbull
7. P Rangayya Naidu
8. Hon Raja of Bobbili K.C.I.E
9 V. Bhashyam Ayyangar C.I.E. D.L. B.L
10 Hon Ghulam Muhammad Sahib B.L
11 Hon Mr H.P. Hodgson
12 Shungrasoobyer. C.I.E

Hon Mr R.Ry on the case of native Hindu names.

VR
28/1/98

Hon'ble Rai Bahadur
C. Jambulinga Mudaliar, B.A., M.L.

Cuddapah,
22nd June 1898.

To

The Secretary to Government
Legislative Department
Madras.

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith bills for travelling allowance for attending Council meetings of the Committee Members in the months of April May and June 1898. As for the latter two Bills I have to solicited in the absence of any instructions as to what amounts

should be entered, I have charged daily allowances and mileage under articles 1251 & 1252 respectively of the Civil Service Regulations, the latter section read with appendix 26C of the said Regulations my actual expenses were much higher than I have no account of details.

So for the bill for April I have as usual claimed only Railway fare without daily allowances in accordance with the ruling in a certain G.O. based upon the opinion of the Accountant-General that non-official members are not entitled to daily allowance during their stay at the seat of Government on duty. But I take this opportunity of pointing out that the opinion of the Accountant-General is erroneous. His conclusions are apparently based upon the wording of the first para-graph of article 1283 which states that non-official members "shall receive first class travelling allowances at the ordinary rates".

Evidently he interprets "travelling allowances" to mean only the cost of travelling and excludes daily allowances. I think the expression is generic and includes all expenses necessary for moving as well as for stay on duty at the seat of Government. The same expression used in article 1086 of the Regulations which enunciates the principle on which travelling allowance is granted to officers on tour. The principle of travelling allowances including daily allowance and mileage seems to be to reimburse the necessary expenses incurred in the discharge of public duty.

As the matter is one of principle I request you to be good enough to place the matter before His Excellency the Governor-in-Council for reconsideration of the G.O. referred to.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient &c

C. Jambulinga Mudaliar
Member Legislative Council

Hon'ble Rai Bahadur
C. Jambulinga Mudaliar, B.A., M.L.

Cuddapah, 189

Government {1898} of Madras.

LEGISLATIVE.

Recd.	1898.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 63, 17th September 1898.

SEPTEMBER.

Travelling Allowance to Non-Official Additional Members of the Local Legislative Council.

Considering that the view of the Accountant-General recorded in G.O., No. 22, Legislative, dated 13th February 1894, that no daily allowance is admissible to non-official additional members of the Legislative Council for days spent at the head-quarters of Government in connection with the business of the Council is correct, and stating that the Government is prepared to allow them, under the proviso to Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations, their actual travelling and other necessary expenses in lieu of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 22, Legislative, dated 13th February 1894.

Abstract.—Communicating to all non-official additional members letter from the Accountant-General regarding the travelling allowance admissible to them under the Civil Service Regulations and the manner of drawing such allowance.

Read—also the following paper:—

From the Hon'ble M.R.Ry. C. JAMBULINGAM MUDALIYAR, Rao Bahadur, Additional Member, Legislative Council, to the Secretary to Government, Legislative Department, dated Cuddapah, 22nd June 1898.

I have the honour to forward herewith bills for travelling allowance for attending Council meetings, and Select Committee meetings, in the months of April, May and June 1898. As for the latter two bills I have to state that in the absence of any instructions as to what amounts should be entered, I have charged daily allowance and mileage under Articles 1251 and 1252 respectively of the Civil Service Regulations, the latter section read with Appendix 26-C of the said Regulations. My actual expenses were much higher, but I have no account of details.

As for the bill for April I have as usual claimed only railway fare without daily allowance in accordance with the ruling in a certain Government order based upon the opinion of the Accountant-General that non-official members are not entitled to daily allowance during their stay at the seat of Government on duty. But I take this opportunity of pointing out that the opinion of the Accountant-General seems erroneous. His conclusions are apparently based upon the wording of the first paragraph of Article 1283, which states that non-official members "shall receive first-class *travelling allowances* at the ordinary rates, &c." Evidently he interprets "*travelling allowances*" to mean only the cost of travelling and excludes daily allowances. I think the expression is generic and includes all expenses necessary for moving as well as for stay on duty at the seat of Government. The same expression used in Article 1086 of the Regulations which enunciates the principle on which travelling allowance is granted to officers supports this view. The principle of travelling allowance including daily allowance and mileage seems to be to reimburse the necessary expenses incurred in the discharge of public duty.

As the matter is one of principle I request you to be good enough to place the matter before His Excellency the Governor in Council for reconsideration of the Government order referred to.

Order—No. 63, Legislative, dated 17th September 1898.

The Government still considers that the view of the Accountant-General, recorded in the Government order read above that no daily allowance is admissible to non-official additional members of the Legislative Council for days spent at the headquarters of Government in connection with the business of the Council, is correct. The non-official additional members are only entitled to travelling allowances at the ordinary rates prescribed for officers of the first class in chapter XLVIII of the Civil Service Regulations for journeys to and from Madras or Ootacamund, as the case may be, to attend meetings of the Council and of Select Committees.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is, however, prepared, under the discretionary power vested in the Local Government by the proviso to Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations, to allow the non-official additional members their actual travelling and other necessary expenses, within a limit of Rupees one thousand, for each session, in lieu of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates. The meetings of the Council and of Select Committees held at Ootacamund will for this purpose be regarded as constituting a separate session. It is to be observed in this connection that the exchange of actual travelling and other necessary expenses for travelling allowances at the ordinary rates extends over the entire session, and that a non-official additional member should therefore first decide which of the two rates he will avail himself of and abide by that decision throughout the session.

3. The travelling allowance bills of the Honourable Members should be forwarded for countersignature to the Secretary or the Assistant Secretary, instead of to the Under Secretary to Government, in the Legislative Department, as required in paragraph 2 of the Government order read above.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Non-Official Additional Members of the Legislative Council
with copy of G.O., No. 22, Legislative, dated 13th February 1894.
„ Accountant-General.
Copy to the Financial Department.

Exd. C. M. Thambuswamy.

Government {1898} of Madras.

LEGISLATIVE.

Submitted to G.O. for approval. Proofs received only today.
CRV 28/9/98
approved 29/9/98

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

Original not received

G.O., No. 63, 17th September 1898.

SEPTEMBER.

Travelling Allowance to Non-Official Additional Members of the Local Legislative Council.

Considering that the view of the Accountant-General recorded in G.O., No. 22, Legislative, dated 13th February 1894, that no daily allowance is admissible to non-official additional members of the Legislative Council for days spent at the head-quarters of Government in connection with the business of the Council is correct, and stating that the Government is prepared to allow them, under the proviso to Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations, their actual travelling and other necessary expenses in lieu of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 22, Legislative, dated 13th February 1894.

Abstract.—Communicating to all non-official additional members letter from the Accountant-General regarding the travelling allowance admissible to them under the Civil Service Regulations and the manner of drawing such allowance.

Read—also the following paper:—

From the Hon'ble M.R.Ry. C. JAMBULINGAM MUDALIYAR, Rao Bahadur, Non-official Member, Legislative Council, to the Secretary to Government, Legislative Department, dated Cuddapah, 22nd June 1898.

I have the honour to forward herewith bills for travelling allowance for attending Council meetings and Select Committee meetings in the months of April, May and June 1898. As for the latter two bills I have to state that in the absence of any instructions as to what amounts should be entered, I have charged daily allowance and mileage under Articles 1251 and 1252 respectively of the Civil Service Regulations, the latter section read with Appendix 26-C of the said Regulations. My actual expenses were much higher, but I have no account of details.

As for the bill for April I have as usual claimed only railway fare without daily allowance in accordance with the ruling in a certain Government order based upon the opinion of the Accountant-General that non-official members are not entitled to daily allowance during their stay at the seat of Government on duty. But I take this opportunity of pointing out that the opinion of the Accountant-General seems erroneous. His conclusions are apparently based upon the wording of the first paragraph of Article 1283, which states that non-official members "shall receive first-class *travelling allowances* at the ordinary rates, &c." Evidently he interprets "*travelling allowances*" to mean only the cost of travelling and excludes daily allowances. I think the expression is generic and includes all expenses necessary for moving as well as for stay on duty at the seat of Government. The same expression used in Article 1056 of the Regulations which enunciates the principle on which travelling allowance is granted to officers support this view. The principle of travelling allowance including daily allowance and mileage seems to be to reimburse the necessary expenses incurred in the discharge of public duty.

As the matter is one of principle I request you to be good enough to place the matter before His Excellency the Governor in Council for reconsideration of the Government order referred to.

Order—No. 63, Legislative, dated 17th September 1898.

The Government still considers that the view of the Accountant-General, recorded in the Government order read above that no daily allowance is admissible to non-official additional members of the Legislative Council for days spent at the head-quarters of Government in connection with the business of the Council, is correct. The non-official additional members are only entitled to travelling allowances at the ordinary rates prescribed for officers of the first class in chapter XLVIII of the Civil Service Regulations for journeys to and from Madras or Ootacamund, as the case may be, to attend meetings of the Council and of Select Committees.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is, however, prepared, under the discretionary power vested in the Local Government by the proviso to Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations, to allow the non-official additional members their actual travelling and other necessary expenses, within a limit of Rupees one thousand, for each session, in lieu of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates. The meetings of the Council and of Select Committees held at Ootacamund will for this purpose be regarded as constituting a separate session. It is to be observed in this connection that the exchange of actual travelling and other necessary expenses for travelling allowances at the ordinary rates extends over the entire session, and that a non-official additional member should therefore first decide which of the two rates he will avail himself of and abide by that decision throughout the session.

3. The travelling allowance bills of the honourable members should be forwarded for countersignature to the Secretary or the Assistant Secretary, instead of to the Under Secretary to Government, in the Legislative Department, as required in paragraph 2 of the Government order read above.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Non-Official Additional Members of the Legislative Council
with copies of G.O., No. 22, Legislative, dated 13th February 1894.
,, Accountant-General.
Copy to the Financial Department.

Ed. C. Thumbuswamy.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 3rd February 1894, No. 265.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 125, dated the 23rd of January 1894, enquiring whether, under Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations, non-official members of the Legislative Council can claim—

(I) halting allowance for days spent in Madras in connection with the business of the Council, and

(II) travelling allowance for journeys to Madras to attend meetings of Select Committees appointed to consider Bills,

I have the honour to state that the words "travelling allowance to and from the place of meeting" cannot in this case be interpreted to include "daily allowance" for halts. Non-official members cannot, therefore, claim halting allowance for days spent in Madras.

In regard to the second question it appears to me that, as Select Committees are Committees of the Legislative Council appointed for the purpose of doing work which could not be performed expeditiously at meetings of the full Council, such members of the Council as are appointed members of Select Committees can draw travelling allowance for journeys to Madras to attend Select Committee meetings.

ORDER—dated 13th February 1894, No. 22, Legislative.

The Accountant-General's letter will be communicated to all non-official members of the Legislative Council. They will observe that no daily allowance is admissible, but that they are entitled to travelling allowance at the ordinary rates prescribed for officers of the first class in Chapter XLVIII of the Civil Service Regulations for journeys to and from Madras to attend meetings of the Council and of Select Committees.

2. The travelling allowance bills should be drawn up in the form prescribed for gazetted officers, should be signed by the Honorable Member concerned, and should be forwarded for countersignature to the Under Secretary to Government in the Legislative Department. When countersigned they will be payable at the office of the Accountant-General or at any mufassal treasury.

(True Extract.)

A. BUTTERWORTH,
Under Secretary.

To the non-official additional members of the Legislative Council.
" Accountant-General.
Copy to the Financial Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

LEGISLATIVE.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 13th February 1894, No. 22.

FEBRUARY.

Travelling Allowance to Non-Official Members of the Legislative Council.

Communicating to all non-official additional members letter from the Accountant-General regarding the travelling allowance admissible to them under the Civil Service Regulations and the manner of drawing such allowance.

[L. / 15]

L.S.—29-7-96.

Legislative (189) Department.

CURRENT No.

From The Hon'ble Gajapathiraju

Order

Endorsement No 1468

Transferred to the Financial Department for Disposal of the general question raised in the letter of the Hon'ble member regarding the travelling allowances to which non-official members of the Legislative Council are entitled

Dated 22
Received 25 } 6 1898
Registered 30

Enclosures Two
Spare copies.

No.

Orta caused 5th July 1898

A Edgington
Acting Assistant Secretary to Govt

Note. The Hon'ble member requests a reconsideration of the Legislative order of 1894 on the question of the rules in the Civil & Military Regulations, the Financial Department will be consulted in the matter.

SUBJECT.

Travg T. A. bills for his attendance at the meetings of the Council held on the 4th April, 13 January & 13 June 1898

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Dat
R 167	Final	20	1894

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G. 25]
500—16-5-98.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1837.

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Daily allowance to non-official members of the Legislative Council during their stay at the seat of Govt. on public duty.

FOR ORDERS.

Article 12 (6) of the Civil Services Regulations lays down that non-official members of the Legislative Council of Govt. when summoned to attend a council from a place other than the head-quarters of the Govt. should receive full pay for travelling allowances at the existing rates for the journey to and from the place of meeting. Provided that at the discretion of the Govt. they may [illegible] and other

of Rs 1000 for each session in lieu of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates.

2. The Accountant General to whom a reference was made in 1894 as regards the interpretation of the article, definitely stated that the words "travelling allowance to and from the place of meeting" in the article above quoted were used in the same sense as the words "travelling allowance on tour" in the Civil Service Regulations and

the Member's remedy is to table that the interpretation of the Auditor General "were erroneous" and that the expression "travelling allowance" in the article is general and includes all expenses necessary for moving [illegible] from one duty at the seat of government to another.

It is further contended that the definition of "travelling allowance" in article 10 does not cover expenses given to an officer for meeting the actual travelling expenses during travelling on the business of the public service, inclusive of his halting and return to head quarters, but is only intended to cover the G.O. grades which may be considered.

[illegible]

Actual Travelling Expenses is defined in the Code and can not possibly include a halting allowance.

12/1/18

4 It is submitted that the Accountant General's ruling of 1894 [G.O. No. 22 Legislative of 13th February 1894] is correct. If the expression "Travelling Allowance" occurred in Sec. article 1283 the construction placed upon it by the Hon'ble Jambulingam Mudaliyar might hold, but the expression in the article is "Travelling allowance to & from the place of meeting" and the proviso admits of "actual travelling and other necessary expenses" being transferred allowed ~~subject to a~~ in lieu of travelling allowance at the ordinary rates, subject to a limit of Rs 1000 for each session.

5 The Legislative Dept. has transferred the papers to Financial for disposal of the general question raised by the Hon'ble Jambulingam Mudaliyar. There can, it is subd, be no doubt as to the disposal of that question, viz. that the Hon'ble Member's contention cannot be upheld. At the same time, it seems to be a question of for the

Legislative Dept. to consider whether in view of the 8 Legislative Council meetings at Ootacamund the 2 non-official additional Members should not be given the option of the proviso to article 1283 - i.e. should be if they desire it be given the option of drawing actual travelling & other necessary expenses in lieu of travelling allowance at the ordinary ratio subject to the limit of Rs 1000 for the Session. It will be then also for that Dept to consider whether "Session" should not be defined, for the questions arise "Did the Session of the Legislative Council terminate when first left Madras? Do the meetings at Ootacamund constitute a Session by themselves? If not, do they belong to the Sessions of 1897-98 or will they form part of the Sessions of 1898-99? The settlement of these questions is necessary as the exchange of actual travelling and other necessary

I should then send be Circular to Vice-Chancellors.

V1

V2

V3

expenses must either for travelling allowance at the ordinary ratio extends over the entire Session? and an Hon'ble Member will have to decide which of the two he will avail himself of and abide by that decision throughout the Session.

If the foregoing views are accepted the papers might be returned with this note to Legislative D.O.

Cecil Schmidt
23.9.98

V4

VP

No. 1622 dt 25th Sept 1898.
To Legislative D.O.

Stokes
23/9/98.

Not to be sent to D

C 11/8 C 13/9
R 13/9 A 13/9

[Gr. 25 A.]
500-27-6-98

Legislative Department.

C. No. 232, 256 &c.

SUBJECT.

Travelling allowance of non-official additional Members of the Legislative Council

FOR ORDERS.

H.E. The Governor.
Hon. S. H. Bliss.

Please see notes below on the Financial department on the questions raised by the Hon'ble Gaya Ambigapa Mudaliyar. Some of the other additional members have since forwarded their travelling allowance bills in which they claim daily allowance of Rupees 7-8-0 - each. One of them, the Hon'ble P. Rattna Sabhapathi Pillai requests that daily allowance may be sanctioned as a special case in consideration of the hill station.

2. The point to be decided by Legislative department is "whether the non-official additional members should not be given the option of the proviso to "Art. 1283 of the Civil service Regulations and be "granted actual travelling and other necessary "expenses in lieu of travelling allowance at the "ordinary rates subject to the limit of Rs. 1000 "for a Session."

To this it is submitted there is no objection.

Official additional members as well as non-official additional members are placed in the same rank in the warrant of precedence. Whereas officials draw daily allowance also the non-officials are as the Accountant General has already stated not entitled to such allowances. It is therefore to propose that they should be permitted to avail themselves of the proviso to article 1283. But they must decide which of the two ~~alternatives~~ they will avail themselves of ~~whether~~ as the exchange of actual travelling and other necessary expenses for travelling allowance at the ordinary rates extend over the entire session & abide by that decision throughout the Session.

If it is decided to give the ~~the~~ benefit of the proviso, as pointed out in notes of the Financial Department, the term "Session" has necessarily to be defined & that leads to the consideration of the following questions: ——

(a) Did the ~~session~~ of the Legislative Council terminate when Government last ~~met~~ adjourned or whether the meetings at ~~Ootacamund~~ Ootacamund form a Session in themselves or if they do not whether they form part of the session of 1897-98 or that of 1898-99.

(b) Can a portion of the Supreme Legislature Council proceedings on ~~other~~ meetings be held at Simla from July every year —— and of the second paragraph of Art 1282 of the Civil service Regulations prescribing the travelling allowance of non-official additional members of the Viceroy's Legislative Council, it is presumed that there are two sessions of that Council — one at Calcutta & the other at Simla. On the same principle it may perhaps be considered that the meetings at Ootacamund form a Session in themselves or ~~that~~ they are only a continuation of the previous meetings, it is submitted that the point is one for the final consideration of the Government of India.

Orders are requested.

C&U 2617

A&G 3/7/98

Unless the Acct-Gen requires this, I cannot see it necessarily.

J.P. Hewett 28/7/98

J.-P. to Acc.-G., first.

H.T. Trumbull 28/7/98

A Copy has been received and is submitted.

A. Cadell 8/8/98

Submitted that the Sittings of the Council at Ootacamund may be regarded as a Session, & the non-official members given the benefit of the proviso noted in para 2.

H.T. 8-8-98.

Office. Attached copies of Art. 1283.

H. Trumbull

I submit the meetings at Ootacamund constituted a Session, but Acct. will be asked J.-P. whether he accepts this view. H.T. 28/7/98

If they do not form a separate session, they would form part of the Session of 1898-99, being held in February A.D.

Transcribed from original
A.G. Wheeler 11.9.98

Free from objection. Whether the proviso will confer "benefit" in another section. Should certainly suggest

RA 13/9/98

B

[G. 75]
2,000—25-11-06

Legislative Dept

rec'd 31/7

Dear Mr. Biggs,

I am directed to enquire, with ref. to Art. 1283 C.S.R. whether you would raise any objection to an interpretation which would regard the late meetings of the Leg. Council at Ootacamund as constituting a Session.

H.T. 29/7/08

Would the meetings held at Ootacamund this year, for instance, form a session in themselves, as is believed to be the case with the meetings of the Supreme Legislative Council at Simla, if they do not, would they form part of the session of 1897-98, or of the session of 1898-99?

NOTE FOR THE

D.O. for approval.

To The Accountant-General.

My dear Mr. Biggs

Please refer to Article 1283 of the Civil service Regulations regarding the travelling allowance of non-official members of the Legislative Council. In what sense is the word 'session' used by the term 'session' in the article, whether it covers all the Legislative sittings in a year or whether for the time being it is determined by the head-quarters of the Government. There is nothing in the records to which I have access which throws any light on these points and I shall be obliged if you will let me know what you think about the matter. Do you consider that any action on this Government may come to on the subject would require the confirmation of the Government of India.

Yours sincerely,

(From Secretary's order)

A&C
29.7.08

To. T. H. Biggs Esq. I.C.S.

CRV
29/7

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
R. A. C. 1898
MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,
FORT ST. GEORGE,

5th of Aug 1898.

Sch VI

Sir

I am directed to
forward herewith a
Memorandum showing the Taluk meetings
of the Legislative Council at
which Mr Carmichael attended during
the year - I have no others in
the subject - I should not
object - If the Local Govt: dispensing
with the meetings attended
at Lessis -

I have &c

H. Bell

J. H. Bremner Esq

NOTE FOR THE Secretary.

The file has returned from circulation & is submitted ~~for approval~~ with a draft order (below) & the following D.O for approval.

Dear Sir

In reply to your letter of the 22nd June last on the subject matter of travelling allowances to which non-official additional members are entitled, I am to inform you that the matter was duly placed before His Excellency & the orders of Government are issuing (now Chs to subject being issued). The non-official additional members are not entitled to daily allowance, but His Excellency in Council has been pleased to give them the benefit of the proviso to Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations. I, therefore, return herewith duly countersigned your bill for attending the meeting of the Council held on the 4th April 1898. The other bill received with your letter of the 22nd June referred to above is also enclosed for such revision as may be considered necessary

I have the honour to be Sir Your most obedient humble Servant

The Hon. Mr. Ley I. Secretary to Government

may the proper course
Civil Deptt has combined with
the Deptt under
CRW
Geo. A Sprigg
1-7-98.

Then Sanction
CRW 1898

[L. & M. Dept. 500—28-9-48.]

NOTE FOR THE

E. & L. Dept.
500—13-11-98.

NOTE FOR THE Secy

I have looked at the places indicated by marks –

It is possible that in para 5 of its note the Finl Dept. meant that Hon. Members should be given the benefit of the proviso to art 1283 only for the session at Ootd, but it does not appear clear that this was meant, & it seems to be doubtful whether Govt could allow the benefit of the proviso for one session & disallow it for another. Though it might be said that the discretion of the Local Govt covered this.

I did not take the notes at Vs to mean that the proviso should be allowed only for the session at Ootd at Vs the order is a general one & the idea is not confined to Ootacd

ABe 20/2/99

Spear 1/3/99

& it was urged that as a special case daily allowance might be sanctioned for the meetings at Ootacd.

(2) whether the Hon. Members shd be given the option of the proviso to art 1283 ?

The first question was again decided in the negative, but Hon. Members were given the option of electing T.A under the proviso to art. 1283, the session at Ootacd being considered for this purpose a separate session. It does not appear from the notes in Govt no 63 dy that d. 7/9/98. Hon members were to be given the option only for the session at Ootacd

ABe 16/2/99

[G / 24]

560—16-5-98.

Legn S DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 13th February 1894 189 , No. 22 Legislative — p.

Current No. 232 of 1898, dated 22nd June , from the H le M.R.Ry C. Tambulinga Mudaliyar Rao Bahadur —

" " " 189 , " " "

" " " 189 , " " "

To The Finl Dept for C. i. ff

ORDER. No 6387/17-9-98

PS.

The Government still considers that the view of the Accountant General, recorded Mch. O. read above that no daily allowance is admissible to non-official additional members of the Legislative Council days spent at the head-quarters Government in connection with the of the Council, is correct. The non additional members are only entitled travelling allowances at the ordinary prescribed for officers of the first in Chapter XLVIII of the Civil Service Regulations for journeys to and Madras or otherwise on the case to attend meetings of the Council Select Committees.

2. His Excellency the Govern is, however, prepared, under discretion vested in the L

by the proviso to Article 1283 of the Civil Service Regulations, to allow (the non-official additional members) their actual travelling and other necessary expenses, within a limit of Rupees one thousand, for each session, in lieu of travelling allowances at the ordinary rates. The meetings of the Council and of Select Committees held at out-stations will not for this purpose be regarded as constituting a session or sessions apart from the session at Simla. It is to be observed in this connection that the exchange of actual travelling and other necessary expenses for travelling allowances at the ordinary rates confined to the non-official additional members (who should therefore decide which rates he will accept himself) does not debar any such member from receiving travelling allowance bills of the ordinary kind for journeys undertaken by him on Government business, whether during the session or not.

The travelling allowance bills of the non-official members should be forwarded for countersignature to the Secretary or Assistant Secretary instead of to the Secretary to the Legislative Department, as required in paragraph 1 of the G.O. cited above.

A.R.
6/11/98

16/11/98 17/11/98

[illegible] numbers of the Legislative Council proceedings
[illegible] Legislative Dept. G.O. 22 dated 13.2.94
Financial Dept.

NOTE FOR THE Asst Secretary
I may the G.O. now issued.
Finl Dept has communicated
the draft G.O.
ZRV
17/11/98 17/11

Proof copy sent to Press. 17/11/98

Returned for signature.

Dispatched in print.

Simla 1894 G.O. No. 22 dated 13-2-94

Receipt No. 232 a

Number of copies required: Order complete. 60 / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

Show proof please
ZRV
17/11/98

Delhi

A

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.