


Legislative (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 23.

DATED 16. 4. 98.

INDEXED.



[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling allowance for taking delegation leave

*Despatching. Stating that Mr. H. T. Jagan
- witha Chettyar, being delegate of the Tami
of the may as a special case under art 272 A of
the Civil Act Code draw travelling allowance from
Kumhakanam to Travelling stock.*

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
"	12	Leg	10.7.93				
"	125	"	26.10.95				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

M²⁰
The Accountant General CURRENT No. 2112

dep. 25/3

leg

red. 25/3 1898

Endorsement P. 1466d/2 5th March 1898
Order

Transferred to the
Legislative Department

Munajim
As under Secy to Govt

Fort St. George
25th March 1898
Red. 18.3.98
To

The Legislative Dept.

From the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)

Ref: No. 2112

Dated 28th February 1898

Received 15 Mar

Registered 16 Mar

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting through the Accountant General for the orders of Government a bill for Rs 32-8-0 submitted by (Mr. K. Raj. K. Jaganmohan Chettiar) Sirdar of Kumbakonam, being the amount of travelling allowance due to him for his journey to and from Erichinapoli, where he went as a voting delegate for the District of Tanjore.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Tanjore Collectors
11th February 1898

Ref on cur N^o 2820 of 1897.
eye Rev

From

J. Andrew Esquire,
Collector of
Tanjore.

To

The Secretary to the
Commissioners of
Land Revenue
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit
herewith a bill for Rs 32-8-0 being the tra-
-velling allowance due to Mr R. R. K. Jagannatha
Chettiar, Tahsildar of Kumbakonam, for his
journey to and from Trichinopoly to which place
he went as a voting delegate for the District Board,
Tanjore, when the election for nominating a
candidate for the Madras Legislative Council
was held in August 1897, and to request that the
Sanction of Government may be obtained for payment
of the same under G.O N^o 73 Legislative dated 10th
July 1893. The Tahsildar was then on privilege
leave

leave and residing in Conjeevaram. The
 Accountant-General takes exception to the claim
 of the Tahsildar as it is not covered by any rule
 in the Civil Service Regulations (please see the
 enclosed copy of the Accountant-General's n^o 2. a. C.E.
 239
 dated 2nd December 1897 to the Treasury Officer, Tanjore).

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,
 your most obedient servant.

J. Subramaniam

For collector.

1105

8 A.

21-9-97
Produce as above

DISTRICT OF	Travelling Allowance of Ministerial Officers of the		Month of
	<i>K. Jaganmudra</i>		<i>1897</i>
Head of Service Chargeable.	Head-quarter Station		Foucher No. of List of Payments for 188
	<i>Mumbay</i>		
Name.	Designation.	Rate of salary.	Date of Journey.
		ROUTE.	
		From	To
		PURPOSE OF JOURNEY.	
		By Rail 2nd or 3rd class.	By Road entitling to Mileage.
		Days halted.	Rate of Mileage.
		Amount.	REMARKS.

K. Jaganmudra K. Subramaniam K. Subramaniam	17. 250	22	Chauri Kumbharwadi	Orkut	116
			V. Kumbharwadi	20	
		23	Kumbharwadi	Orkut	3
			Kumbharwadi	20	
		24	Orkut	20	
		25	Orkut	Chauri Kumbharwadi	7
			20	20	
Carried over 278					

239

The enclosures to your letter under reply are herewith returned.

The enclosures to your letter under reply are herewith returned.

Shute & Sons
For collector

For collector

For collector

Total Rupees... Two hundred and thirty five rupees only, 12/8.

Received payment.
Certified that the amounts drawn on former Bills have been disbursed to the Officers therein named, and their receipts taken in the Acquittance Roll; and that the Officers named in this Bill travelled on Railway journeys here shown by the class marked in each case or that they paid not less than the amounts here charged in Railway fares.

Signature of the Head of the Office Dagmar A. Hennrich
Office München

Signature of the District Officer _____

Office _____

Allotment for 188	...	...	...
-------------------	-----	-----	-----

Expenditure including this Bill	100	100
---------------------------------	-----	-----



Balance available	...
-------------------	-----

Passed for Re Hydrogen: 7

188 Signature of the Controlling Officer _____

Office _____

In replying the above should be quoted.



Read — letter from J. Andrew Esq., Collector of
Tanjore, to the Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue, Madras, Ref. on Car. No. 2820
of 1897, dated 11th February 1898.

Reference — No. 211 dated 28th February 1898.

Submitted through the Accountant-
General for the orders of Government with
reference to G.O. No. 73 Legislative dated 10th
July '93.

Recd
Secretary.

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, through
the Accountant-General with letter
& 2 enclosures.

N^o 1007

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's office
14th March 1898.

Forwarded to Government.

Mr. K. Jagannatha Chettiar having performed the journey during leave is not entitled to travelling allowance under article 1232 of the Civil Service Regulations. If however in the peculiar circumstances Government sees fit to treat the case as an exceptional one not covered by any rule they may deal with it under the discretionary powers contained in article 278 A of the Civil Account Code.

The Government order No 73 Legislative dated 16 July 1893 quoted by the Board merely sanctioned travelling and halting allowances to the Voting Delegates. But this sanction cannot set aside the rules in the Civil Service Regulations.

Williamson
Accountant General.

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

[G.
25 A.]
500-12-97.

Dep. DEPARTMENT.

C. No 121

SUBJECT.

Travelling allowance of an official voting delegate on privilege leave.

(1) H.E. the Governor.

(2) The Honorable Sir Henry Bliss, K.C.S.E.

FOR ORDERS.

I don't think it is necessary to trouble H.E. or H. Sec with this.

To give to from his present place of residence to an official voting delegate on leave out of the district, to establish an awkward precedent. Such an official is never nominated except with his own consent, & he often intrigues for a nomination. It is often convenient for him to return to his own district for a few days, & I don't see why he should be paid for it.

order No 2397 16.4.98
Mr. K. Jagannatha Chettiar may be treated as a special case under Art 278 A - Civ. Acc. C. draw Rs. 32-8-0 from Chembakonam to Trichy & back. 14.4.98.

In the above current sanction is required for the payment of a travelling allowance of Rs. 32-8-0 to Mr. K. Jagannatha Chettiar, Tahsildar of Kumbakonam, who proceeded to Trichinopoly in August last from Jangarum - a place wholly beyond the limits of the Tanjore District, not even on its borders - as the voting delegate of the Tanjore District Board. The Tahsildar was on privilege leave at the time of the voting delegates' meeting at Trichinopoly, and was residing in Conjeveram, and he claims travelling allowance from Conjeveram.

The Accountant General objects to the payment of the sum claimed on the ground that under article 1232 of the Civil Service Regulations an officer on leave is not entitled to travelling allowance, that G.O. 73 Legue cannot set aside the Civil Service Regulations. 10.7.98

aside the rules in the C.S.R., but add, that if Govt. sees fit to treat the case as an exceptional one, it can do so under the discretionary power vested in it by the article 278-A of the Civil Account Code.

The head-quarters of the ~~off~~ Tahsildar is Kumbakonam, and under ordinary circumstances he would be entitled to draw travelling allowance from Kumbakonam to any place in his taluk to Trichinopoly - a sum of Rs 7-4-0 or a little more or less as the case may be - which is less than one-fourth of the sum now claimed - viz. 32-8-0.

The Tahsildar's three months privilege leave commenced from the 10 June 1897; the meeting of the ~~meeting~~ ~~of the Tahsildar's~~ ~~on the 2nd August~~ the District Board ~~the 2nd August~~ ~~to select a Tahsildar to go to the meeting to select~~ ~~the meeting~~ ~~was held on the 26 June~~ and the District Board has selected the Tahsildar in question - who was ~~to~~ ~~on leave at the time~~ ~~the meeting~~ ~~Trichinopoly being~~ ~~leave, and without his official duties~~ ~~interfering with the work~~

July 10. No. 73. Hence there is nothing to warrant the assumption that it contemplated cases

x Flag B-

Tanjore Dt. Gazette - Note
p. 85 - Flag C. The Dt. Gazette file has been removed.
CRV
6/4/98

Flag II

x Flag E

cases of travelling allowance like the one in the present current.

In this connection, attention is respectfully solicited to the principle laid down in G.O. No. 5 L dated 2nd April 1895 that a non-official member of a District Board who attends a meeting from a place outside the limits of the District, is not entitled to draw more than the travelling allowance that he would ordinarily receive for a journey from his permanent place of residence within the above. It is therefore submitted that it would be a bad precedent to sanction the whole of the travelling allowance claimed on the principle laid down in the proceedings of the Local & Municipal Department quoted above. The Tahsildar may be allowed to draw travelling allowance from Kumbakonam to Trichinopoly & back under article 278-A of the Civil Account Code.

CRV
6/4/98 Submitted that the case quoted above of G.O. No. 5 L d. 2nd April 1895 is not in the slightest degree applicable. There the member of his own free will increased the distance he would have to travel to reach the place of meeting in the District. It was then held that he was only entitled to the t.a. between his fixed residence in the District & the place of meeting.

Here the case is completely different.
 The place where the voting was
 to take place was fixed by
 Government and there is no restriction
 in G.O. No. 73 of 10.7.93. ~~on~~ *Flag 1*
 proceeding outside officers' districts, in
 fact it is clearly contemplated that
 Govt. officers, appointed as voting
 delegates, should do so. This being so
 the case finally resolved itself
 into the question whether Govt. should
 part. The answer is the answer suggested
 by the A.C. under Article 27 of the C.P.C. *Flag 4*
 It is submitted that the travelling
 allowance may be so sanctioned
 should be so sanctioned but as a
 special case in India being addressed
 if Govt. think it necessary to have
 Article 123 of the C.P.C. applied
 to meet similar cases. It is for consideration
 whether it is not actually to the advantage
 of Govt. that a Govt. officer appointed
 as voting delegate should be on leave at
 the time instead of being called away
 from his regular duties. If it is to the
 advantage of Govt. the article might be
 altered accordingly. Similar cases will
 not be very numerous.

S.S.R.
 4.98

Here see para 480 424/98 *Flag*
 17.5.97

When given for copying 18/4
 When copied 18/4
 When examined 20/4 189
 When signed 20/4

Read again G.O., No. . DATED
 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

PAGES NOT FILLED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
 OF ORIGINAL

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 C = Copy extract only.
 D = Copy heading only.