

Legislative (189) Department.

VE

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 44

DATED 1-6-1897

INDEXED.

9/10

N. P.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recommendation of candidates for nomination to the Legislative Council

Stating that there is no objection to the District Boards and Municipalities giving their voting delegates two or more names to propose seriatim in the event of candidature of the first or other person proposed being withdrawn or falling through.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government { 1897 } of Madras. LEGISLATIVE.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

Spare copies

1897.

G.O., No. 44, 1st June 1897.

JUNE.

Recommendation of Candidates for nomination to the Legislative Council.

Stating that there is no objection to the district boards and municipalities giving their voting delegates two or more names to propose *seriatim* in the event of the candidature of the first or other person proposed being withdrawn or falling through.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 42, Legislative, dated 17th May 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the Madras Municipal Commission and the several district municipalities and district boards to recommend candidates for nomination to the Legislative Council, and issuing certain instructions in connection therewith.

READ—also the following paper:—

From M.R.Ry. P. RATNASABAPATHI PILLAI Avargal, B.A., Member, District Board of Tanjore, to the Secretary to Government, Legislative Department (through the President, District Board of Tanjore), dated Negapatam, 2nd May 1897.

On the occasion of the last and the preceding elections to the Legislative Council, the Government invited the several municipalities and district boards each to nominate one candidate. In several cases the same candidate was nominated both by certain municipal councils and district boards. In such cases, if the nominee of the district boards was elected by the municipalities, whose election takes place on the first day, there would be no nominees of the boards to stand for election by the latter on the second day. For instance, at the last elections in the southern group, one particular candidate had been nominated by about fourteen municipalities and five district boards. He stood for the municipalities on the first day and was elected with the result that, on the following day's boards' election, these five boards had no nominee of their own. The Salem District Board alone anticipated this contingency and submitted to Government the nomination of a second candidate, which was accepted. Under the existing system of elections, it is reasonable and necessary that the district boards should each be allowed the option of nominating two candidates to meet the contingency of one of them being elected on the first day by the municipalities.

2. I therefore respectfully request that Government will be pleased to call upon the district boards each to nominate two candidates in connection with the ensuing elections to the Legislative Council.

Cur. No. 948-D.B of 1897.

Forwarded.

2. It is submitted that, on general principles, there is no objection to allowing any district board or municipality that chooses, to nominate a second candidate to meet the contingency suggested by M.R.Ry. Ratnasabapathi Pillai. On the other hand, the instructions issued on the occasion of the two previous elections (G.Os., No. 32, Legislative, dated 12th April 1893, and No. 39, Legislative, dated 4th May 1895) clearly direct the nomination of only one candidate.

12th May 1897.

(Signed) W. B. AYLING,
President, District Board, Tanjore.

ORDER—No. 44, Legislative, dated 1st June 1897.

In paragraph 4 of the Government Order read above, it has been pointed out to the municipalities and district boards that they have power to instruct their voting delegates exactly how they are to vote under any circumstances which may arise at the meetings of the delegates; there is therefore no objection to giving the voting delegate two or more names to propose *seriatim* in the event of the candidature of the first or other person proposed being withdrawn or failing through.

2. Paragraph 3 of the same Government Order, which refers to the submission by district boards and municipalities of only one name for nomination to the Legislative Council, should be read subject to the foregoing instruction; and if any district board or municipality proposes, as indicated in the preceding paragraph, to give the voting delegate the names of more than one candidate for nomination, it is requested that the names of all such candidates may be shown in the statement to be submitted to the Secretary to Government in the Legislative Department.

(True Extract.)

G. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the President, District Board, Tanjore.
" the Presidents of all other District Boards.
" the Chairmen of all Municipal Councils.
" the Collector of Trichinopoly.
" the Collector of Kistna.
" all other Collectors.
" the Local and Municipal Department.

Exd. A. Raiff.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 44, LEGISLATIVE, DATED
1st JUNE 1897.

[SUBJECT.—*Alternative nominations of candidates for the Legislative Council.*]

From the President, District Board, Tanjore, dated 12th May 1897, No. 948.

This question of alternative nomination will be found dealt with in G.Os., Nos. 63 and 75, Legislative, dated 9th and 21st August 1895, and a paragraph has been added to the draft order to issue this year regarding the elections in the light of the remarks made in the papers under cover of the above orders.

The paragraph is not exactly to the effect that the District Boards should recommend two candidates as requested in paragraph 2 of the current, but the District Boards as well as Municipalities are told that they have power generally to issue instructions to their voting delegates *exactly how to vote under any circumstances which may arise at the meetings of the delegates.*

2. When the list of persons recommended for nomination and of persons nominated as voting delegates is circulated, the local bodies concerned will no doubt be in a better position to issue the necessary instructions to their voting delegates, and the exercise then of the power mentioned above [which it is submitted covers much more than this right of recommending either of two candidates] will obviate any inconveniences arising as pointed out in paragraph 1 of the current, and make ample provision for the contingency suggested by M.R.Ry. Ratnasabapati Pillai.

May he therefore be told that the needful provision has been made to meet the contingency suggested in paragraph 1 of his letter.

C. R. VIJAYARAGHAVA CHARI—16-5-97.

The order regarding the approaching nominations, which has gone to Madras for issue, contains a paragraph embodying the general instruction that voting delegates should be informed "how to vote under any circumstances that may arise," and this is intended to cover such a contingency. This may be pointed out with the remark that there is no objection to giving the voting delegate two or more names to propose *seriatim* in the event of the first or other person nominated withdrawing his candidature.

G. S. FORBES—19-5-97.

Yes.

A. E. H[AVELOCK]—10-10-04.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

C.R.V.—27-5-97.

G. S. FORBES—28-5-97.

Approved.

A. E. H[AVBLOK]—31-5-97.

H. W. B[LISS]—1-6-97.

J. G[ROSE]—1-6-97.

[G.O., No. 44, Legislative, dated 1st June 1897.]

Legislative *E*
Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

108

Order.

From

*The President
 District Board
 Tanjore*

Current No. 948

97

Dated

12th May 1897

Received

15 MAY 1897

for Regⁿ

17-5-97

Registered

15-5-1897

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT

*Forwarding ^{with remarks} the letter
 received from Mr. By
 P. Ratnasalhapathi
 Pillai Member of the Board
 dated 2nd May 1897 on
 the question of electing
 members for the Legisla-
 tive Council.*

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	63	Legre	9-8-95
	74	"	19-8-95
	39	"	4-5-93
	32	"	12-4-93.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2-5-1897

72 mi

Member - District Board of Tanjore
Negapatam

To

The Secretary to Government
Legislative Dept.

Fort St. George
Madras

Through the President-District Messrs
of Lahore

Yrs, -

On the occasion of the last and the preceding elections to the Legislative Council the Government invited the several Municipalities and District Boards each to nominate one candidate. In several cases the same candidate was nominated both by certain Municipal Councils and District Boards. In such cases if the nominee of the District Board was elected by the Municipalities, whose election takes place on the first day, there would be no nominees of the Boards to stand for election by the latter on the 2nd day. For instance at the last elections in the Southern group one particular candidate had been nominated by about 14 Municipalities and 5 District Boards. He stood for the Municipalities on the 1st day and was elected with the result that on the following days' Boards' elections these 5 Boards had no nominee of their own.

The Salem District Board alone anticipated this contingency and submitted to Government the nomination of a 2nd candidate which was accepted. Under the existing system of elections it is reasonable and necessary that the District Boards should each be allowed the option of nominating two candidates to meet the contingency of one of them being elected on the first day by the municipalities.

2

I therefore respectfully request that Government will be pleased to call upon the District Boards each to nominate two candidates in connection with the ensuing elections to the Legislative Council.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

P. S. S. S. S.

Member District Board of
Tanjore
Negapatam

LOCAL & LEGISLATIVE DEPT.
1333 L

Current No. 948 of 1897

C 101089

Forwarded

2. It is submitted that, on general principles, there is no objection to allowing any District Board or Municipality that chooses to nominate a second candidate to meet the contingency suggested by Mr. K. R. Ratnasabapathy Pillai. ~~The instructions issued on the occasion of the two previous elections (G.O's Nos. 32 of 12.4.93 & 39 of 4.8.95 L) clearly direct the nomination of only one candidate.~~

W. H. P.
Pres. Bd.
H. Sanyal

12/5/97 DESPATCH 13 13.97

500-3-10-96

E

Legis. DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 108

Legislative Council Elections

2P

FOR ORDERS.

Returned to Madras 8/12

in the file re Draft G.O. regarding elections
X Submitted on the 5th instant

This question of alternative nomination will be found dealt with in G.O. 63 of 1895, and a para has been added to this legislative, dated 9th 21st 1895, and a para has been added to Draft G.O. to issue this year regarding elections in the light of the remarks made in the papers under cover of the G.O.s quoted above

The para is not exactly to the effect that the District board should recommend two candidates as requested in para 2 of the current, but the District board as well as municipalities are told that they have power generally to issue instructions to their voting delegates exactly how to vote under any circumstances which may arise at the meetings of the delegates.

When the list of persons recommended for nomination of persons nominated as voting delegates is circulated, the local bodies concerned, will no doubt be in a

C 19/5/97
21/5/97

tion to issue the necessary instructions
their voting delegates, & the exercise then
the power ~~conferred upon them~~ mentioned
above, [which it is submitted covers much
more than this right of recommending
either of two candidates], will obviate
any inconveniences arising as pointed
out in para 1 of the current, & make
ample provision for the contingency
suggested by MRR Kabra Sahaspathi
Billai.

He therefore be told that the needed
provision has been made to meet the
contingency suggested in para 1 of
his letter.

H.C.

The G.O. regarding the ap-
proaching nominations, which
has gone to Madras for issue,
contains a para. embodying
the general instruction that
voting delegates sh^d. be in-
formed "how to vote under
any circumstances that may
arise," & this is intended to cover
such a contingency. This may
be pointed out with the remark

38
that there is no objection to
giving the voting delegate two
or more names to propose
separation in the event of
the first or other person
nominated withdrawing
his candidature.

J.B. 9.5.7

Kn.
9.5.7
21.5.97

Leg

August P. only

800—20-1-97.

Current No. 108

189 , No.

Findings:—
 Priya & Rita from MMR Lalnasabafatta
 P. 42 Lique Board Tanjore the con.

League Council Elections

„ 189 „

6

18. đức

V. E.
In her clock
Don't have their
printed & issued
very promptly
please
A. 1/6/97

App.
A.5h
31.5.97

29
11/6/97

© 31/5/97. © 1/6/97
R 31/5/97. R 1/6/97

proposes, as indicated in the preceding paragraph, to give the voting delegate
of these proceedings, more than one candidate
for nomination, it is requested that
the names of all such candidates may
be shown in the statement referred to
above to be submitted to the Secy to
Govt in the Reg. Dept.

To S. L. 27/5/97

The President, District Board, Tanjore.

All the Presidents of District Board.
All Chairmen of Municipal Councils.
The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Kistna.
All other Collectors.
The Reg. Dept.

car
27/5/97

copy sent to Press 3/6/97

signed for signature. 5/6/97

patched in print. 5/6/97

AGAIN G.O. No. 42 Kevr
189

DATED 17-5-97

Letter & Enst

FORWARDED TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

AGREEMENT WITH, DITTO.

PLEASE NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ON ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRE.

Order complete 650 copies
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.