

16/9/08

[L. & M.]
[5,100-5-4-99.]

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 997 M

DATED 14-7-99.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report Recording the explanation of points noticed in the ^{Committee} of the Vaniyambadi Municipal for 1898-99.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department

CURRENT No. 1760 77

u. misc.

Order

No. 997 Misc 2 14/7/99

Recorded.

From

The vice chairman
Municipal Council
Tanjavur

No. 455.

Dated 1st July 1899.

Received 3rd

Registered

Enclosures nil

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Explaining certain
discrepancy in the annual
statement and report
of this Municipality for
the year 1898-99.

977/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Memorandum No. 455

MUNICIPAL OFFICE VANIYAMBADI.

Dated 28th June 1899.

From Mahomed Abdul Hafiz Sahib B.A.
Esquire,

Vice Chairman, Municipal Council,

MUNICIPAL DEPT. VANIYAMBADI.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

THROUGH THE COLLECTOR

RAJAHMUNDRAM.

Sir,

Adverting to para 5 of memorandum No 674 dated 23rd June 1899, I have the honor to report that the exact period during which the post of the midwife was vacant was 2 months i.e. from 1st September to 28th October 1899.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Masbafur

Vice Chairman

Points noted in the various
statements in Report 1896
CN 1452.10

on Rs. 674
23/6/99

The following points are noted
in the statements submitted
with the Chairman's report
and in the Medical officer's
report:-

(1) In col 5 of Statement III,
the amount collected under
the tax on lands is given as
Rs 207-12-10 instead of Rs 200-8-2
as shown in col 2 of Statement I

(2) In col 23 of Statement VI, the
average net cost per pupil in
the Rahimanpet, Periapet and
the Panchama primary schools
is given as Rs 19-14-4, Rs 21-15-8
and Rs 11-11-1 respectively instead
of Rs 7-1-9, Rs 9-5-8 and Rs 5-0-6

(3) In Statement II, item 9, the date
of appointment of Mr. Ry T. V.
Gopalaswami Aiyar as chair-
man of the Municipal Commis-
sion should have been given as
1st June 1896 instead of 1st April
1898.

(4) In para 4 of the Medical
officer's report, the year in which
compulsory vaccination was
introduced into the Municipality

given as 1890 instead of 1889.
 of the same report
 (5) In para 5(8) it is stated that
 the post of midwife was vacant
 for nearly a month and a half,
 but from para 57 of the chair
 - man's report it appears that
 the place remained vacant
 for about three months.

The necessary corrections
 have now been made with
 reference to the first four
 clauses above. The discrepancy
 noticed in clause (5) should
 be explained as soon as
 possible.

V.A.
 20/6/99

d.

To
 The Ch: M: Vaniyambadi
 dupl 28/6/99

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1677 m



From

Misc.
Order

No. 1000 Misc. 14/7/99
The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Kurnool

Sanctioned.

No. 140

2. With reference to para.

2 of the Chairman's letter read Dated 10-6-1899
above, it is observed that the Received 26-6-99
Sanction of Government is necessary Registered 27-6-99
for all alterations in the per. Enclosures nil
manent establishments, even Spare copies in
though the total cost of such
establishments is not affected.

SUBJECT.

Soliciting sanction
for the alterations in
the scale of municipal
establishments as directed
in Clause (1) of G.O. No.

Note for precedent, please see para 53 m. Misc. dated 26
11/4 of G.O. No. 214 m. 18-2-93 fly. X.
May 1899.

To the Chairman, m. Kurnool
" the Collector
" Accountant General
10/7/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	753	Sub	26-5-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No.

MUNICIPAL COUNCILLORS' OFFICE,

Kurnool, June 1899.

From

THE CHAIRMAN,

MUNICIPAL



To

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

(Through the Collector of Kurnool.)

As directed in clause (1) of G.O.

V. No 753 M. M. dated 26th May 1899, I have the honour to request that you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the following alterations in the scale of municipal establishments referred to in para 10 of the audit report of this Municipality for 1897-98:

(1) For the year 1896-97 the scale of toties sanctioned in the budget was 6 toties (male) on Rs 7 each and two toties (females) on Rs 5 each, with a total expenditure of Rs 52 a month. In the month of March 1897 two of the

3 Toties on Rs 7 each
2 — 5 —

the male toties refused to work and the female toties demanded Rs 6 each. To get on with the work the female toties had to be employed on Rs 6 each and the requisite number of toties was made up by employing 4 men on Rs 7 each and 4 females on Rs 6 each. They were paid at this rate in April 1897 for the work done in March.

(2) When handing over the water works to the Municipality the Assistant Sanitary Engineer proposed in his letter No 1119 dated 15th October 1897, that in order to get on with the work at the pumping station it was necessary to employ two filter coolies on a pay of Rs 6 each and that they could be paid from the lump sum of Rs 200 sanctioned in the budget as contingent charges for the cleaning of filters &c. Two filter coolies were therefore entertained and the charges met from the provision for contingencies in the budget.

This involved no additional expenditure.

(3) In view of the special difficulties in the collection of the taxes in 1897-98 as already pointed out by me in paras 8 and 18 of my annual report for that year, it was found necessary to employ two additional bill collectors, one on Rs 9 and another on Rs 8, for the collection of the taxes in February 1898, inasmuch as all the taxes had to be collected, as far as possible, before the end of the official year. The entertainment of these additional hands did not involve additional expenditure, as they were paid from the savings effected in the pay of the Public Works Inspector and an office peon, who had been employed on other duty.

2. In conclusion I may submit that

that the immediate sanction of Govern-
ment was not obtained in all these
cases, because no additional expendi-
ture was incurred in any instance.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Ulidambacabai
Chairman

Submitted.

H. V. Saniwarra
Secy. Com.
17. 6. 99

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed 17/7 } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.