Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 797 M.

DATED 6-6-99.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rules regulating the personal Conduct of Govt Servants

Declining to sanction the transmission to Mr ———
of the Copy of the resolution of the M. C. of ———
thanking him ——— for his work in Connection
with the revision of the House tax registers and
stating that under No. 51 of the ——— the
resolution recording the thanks of a local body to
a Govt officer should not be moved until the
officer has left the station

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government (1899) of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 797 M., 6th June 1899.

JUNE.

Rules Regulating the Personal Conduct of Government Servants.

Declining to sanction the transmission to Mr. ——— of the copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council of ——— thanking him for his work in connection with the revision of the house-tax registers and stating that, under Rule 51 of the —, the resolution recording the thanks of a local body to a Government officer should not be moved until the officer has left the station.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From the Chairman, Municipal Council, ———, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department (through the Collector of ———), dated 27th April 1899, No. 104.

I have the honour to forward, herewith, for communication to Assistant Collector Mr. ——— copy of a resolution passed by the Council at its meeting held on the 15th instant regarding the revision made by that officer of the house-tax registers of this Municipality for 1899–1900.

Enclosure.

Extract from the proceedings of the Municipal Council of ——— passed at a meeting held on the 15th April 1899.

* * * * *

Read report of Mr. ——— Assistant Collector, on the revision made by him of the house-tax registers for 1899–1900.

In adopting the house-tax registers as revised, the members of the Municipal Council avail themselves of this opportunity of recording their great appreciation of the valuable services rendered by Mr. ———, Assistant Collector, ——— division, in the revision of the house-tax which difficult work, they are of opinion, has been done very satisfactorily and very carefully.

* * * * *

Endorsement thereon by the Collector of ——— district, dated 2nd May 1899.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government. I fully concur with the remarks made by the Municipal Council.

Mr. ——— executed his troublesome task most thoroughly and conscientiously.

Order—No. 797 M., dated 6th June 1899.

The Government is unable to sanction the transmission to Mr. ——— of the copy of the resolution in question. Under Rule 51 of the Rules Regulating the Personal Conduct of Government Servants, it should be understood that resolutions recording the thanks of a local body to a Government officer should not be moved until the officer has left the station.

now 48.

w 1901
Edu
(Jun

(True Extract.)

M. HAMMICK,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To all Chairmen of Municipal Councils.
,, all Presidents of District Boards.
,, all Collectors.
,, the Departments of the Secretariat.
,, the Sanitary Commissioner.
,, the Sanitary Engineer.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

150

12/6

Government (1899) of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Proof for approval

Correct & [illegible] 14/11/99

16/11/99

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 797 M., 6th June 1899.

JUNE.

Rules Regulating the Personal Conduct of Government Servants.

Declining to sanction the transmission to Mr. ——— of the copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council of ——— thanking him for his work in connection with the revision of the house-tax registers and stating that, under Rule 51 of the —, the resolution recording the thanks of a local body to a Government officer should not be moved until the officer has left the station.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

the/ & From ~~[illegible]~~ Chairman, Municipal Council, ———, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department (through the Collector of ———, dated 27th April 1899, No. 104.

I have the honour to forward, herewith, for communication to Assistant Collector Mr. ——— copy of a resolution passed by the Council at its meeting held on the 15th instant regarding the revision made by that officer of the house-tax registers of this Municipality for 1899–1900.

Enclosure.

Extract from the proceedings of the Municipal Council of ——— passed at a meeting held on the 15th April 1899.

* * * * *

Mr/ Read report of ——— Assistant Collector, on the revision made by him of the house-tax registers for 1899–1900.

In adopting the house-tax registers as revised, the members of the Municipal Council avail themselves of this opportunity of recording their great appreciation of the valuable services rendered by Mr. ———, Assistant Collector, ——— division, in the revision of the house-tax which difficult work, they are of opinion, has been done very satisfactorily and very carefully.

* * * * *

Endorsement thereon by the Collector of ——— district, dated 2nd May 1899.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government. I fully concur with the remarks made by the Municipal Council.

Mr. ——— executed his troublesome task most thoroughly and conscientiously.

Order—No. 797 M., dated 6th June 1899.

The Government is unable to sanction the transmission to Mr. ——— of the copy of the resolution in question. Under Rule 51 of the Rules Regulating the Personal Conduct of Government Servants, it should be understood that resolutions recording the thanks of a local body to a Government officer should not be moved until the officer has left the station.

(True Extract.)

for Ag. Secretary to Government.

of To all Chairmen, Municipal Councils.
,, all Presidents of District Boards.
,, all Collectors.
,, the Departments of the Secretariat.
,, the Sanitary Commissioner.
,, the Sanitary Engineer.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

(Through the Collector of South Arcot

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 378 / Essen 1899

ASSTT SECY TO GOVT LOCAL MUNL EDL & LEG DEPT 4 MAY 1899

COLLECTOR'S 30 APR 9

3 8

From The Ex: Off: Chairman Cuddalore Municipal Council, ~~Cuddalore~~.

No. 104

Order.

Endorsement thereon by the Collector of — district

Forwarded to the Secy to Govt. I fully concur with the remarks made by the Municipal Council.

Mr. (~~[illegible]~~) executed the troublesome task most thoroughly and conscientiously.

2/5/99

Dated 27th April 1899.

Received 4th May 99

Registered 5th ——

Enclosures 1

Spare copies nil

DESPATCHED 3-

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for communication to the Assistant Collector Mr. Johnson copy of a resolution passed by the Council at its meeting held on the 15th Instant regarding the revision made by that officer of the house tax registers of this Municipality.

P. O.

2

Misc order

A Copy of the Municipal Councils' resolution and of the Collector's Endorsement thereon will be forwarded to Mr C. T. H. Johnson

Note

For a precedent please see G.O. No 1757 M. 25.10.95. Flagged A.

To

Mr C. T. H. Johnson
with copies of the Councils reso.
and the Collr's Endt.

" Ch: M.C. Cuddalore

" Public Department (with copies of reso. & Endt.)

a/11/5/99

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	1220	Jud.	16-1-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

3

Municipal Commissioner's Office,

No. 104

Cuddalore, 27th April 1899.

From,

M. Azizuddin Saheb Bahadur,
Ex-Officio Chairman Delegate,
Municipal Council,
Cuddalore.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1238 A

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

(Through the Collector of South Arcot.)

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, herewith, for communication to Assistant Collector Mr. Johnson, copy of a resolution passed by the Council at its Meeting held on the 15th Instant regarding the revision made by that Officer of the house tax registers of this Municipality for 1899-1900.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Azizuddin
Ex-Officio Chairman
Delegate.

Copied by M.
Comp'd by D.S.

4 Extract from the proceedings of the Municipal Council of Cuddalore passed at a meeting held on the 15th April 1899.

281

Present.

C. T. H. Johnson Esquire I.C.S. Ex: Off: Chairman
M.R.Ry. M. Azizuddin Saheb Bahadur
" M. Tillainayagam Pillai Avl. B.A.
" M. Ganapathi Mudr. Avl.
T. J. O'Connell Esquire.
C. A. Smith Esquire.
M.R.Ry. A. R. Dandapani Chettiar Avergal
Mahomed Murad Merkair Saheb
M.R.Ry. M. B. Susai Pillai Avergal, M.A.
" T. Seshachellam Naidu Garu.
" Subadar Bahadur Tiruvengadam Pillai Avl.
" P. T. Shadagopachariar Avergal.

Absent 6 Councillors.

* * *

Read report of C. T. H. Johnson Esquire, Assistant Collector, on the revision made by him of the house tax registers for 1899-1900.

In adopting the house tax registers as revised, the Members of the Municipal Council avail themselves of this opportunity of recording their great appreciation of the valuable services rendered by Mr Johnson, Assistant Collector, Cuddalore Division, in the revision of the house tax which difficult work, they are of opinion, has been done

very

very satisfactorily and very carefully.

*　　　*　　　*

(Signed) C. T. W. Johnson,
Ex: Off: Chairman,

(True Extract.)

[illegible]
Ex: Off: Chairman Delegate.

Copied by: M.
Compd by: S.P.

6

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

[L. & M. / 25]
590—19-12

C. No 1223 M

Dul 797 M / 1-6-99 B

SUBJECT.

Vote of thanks to Mr Johnson, Assistant Collector, Cuddalore.

Mis

ORDER.

The Government cannot appr the resolution of the Municipal Council, as it is not covered by Article 51 of the Rules regulating the personal conduct of Government servants.

V.R.
18/5/99

Mr Johnson has since left the district having been transferred to Bellary under notification no 274 of 6 May 1899 published in the Fort St George Gazette of the 9th idem. The resolution in the present case was passed at a meeting of the Municipal Council held on the 15th April 1899 and presided over by Mr Johnson himself. It is therefore submitted that the resolution is not covered by article 51 of the rules regulating the personal conduct of Government servants. It is [illegible] opinion that the vote of thanks [illegible] of the officer concerned [illegible] the station or district. The [illegible]

[illegible] he was [illegible]

To
The Chairman, Munl. Council, Cuddalore,
thro' the Collector of S. Arcot.
circul:

KA 1.6.99

very satisfactorily and very carefully

[L. & M.]
250—19-12-98.

7

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

48

Again G.O., No. dated 189 .

Again G.O, No. dated 189 .

Current No. 1223 M of 1899, dated , from

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

Vote of thanks to Mr. Johnson – Asst. Coll. Cuddalore.

P.S.

ORDER. No. 797 M 8/6/99

Substitute

is unable to sanction the transmission to Mr. (Johnson) of the copy of the Resolution in question

Don't print the name.

ARA 5/6

ACt

Issue this in manuscript first & then Return this to depts hereafter deptt

Bey

Don't print 5/6

Prd 10

To The Ch: Mc Cuddalore thro' the Coll. of S. Arcot

Copy to 6/6/99 ... have been reported

All Chairmen of M.c's
– Presidents of Dt. Bds.
– Collrs.
The Depts of the Secret
Sanitary Commr.

H. 9. vi 99.

Desp 22/6/99

I don't think so. The practice of an officer presiding while a resoln. is passed in his own favour is very objectionable.

The G.O. with name & place omitted should be circuld. to all L. Bds & munis.

ACt 30.v.99.

H 30 v. 99.

The Government [~~do not consider that the copy of the Resolution referred to shd. be communicated to Mr. Johnson~~]

Under Rule 51. of the Rules regulating the Personal Conduct of Govt. Servants, it shd. be understood that resolutions of recording the thanks of a local bodies to a Government officer should not be moved until the officer has left the station.

Ww
30. v. 99.

Perhaps it may be considered better to communicate the resolution with the remark that under the rules Mr. Johnson shd. have pointed out to the Council that he was unable to permit the resolution to be put to the meeting as long as he was in the chair a

8

CS

Judicial

Exp. no 797 m, dated 6.6.

It is submitted that the L & M Dept. may be asked why this order is comm. to Judicial. If it refers only to matters with which L & M is concerned its communication is unnecessary. If it amounts as apparently it is intended to do, to an amendment of art 51. of the Rules regulating the personal conduct of Govt. servants, the order should have been sent to Public for consideration. If Public found it necessary to make an alteration in the book of rules it would have issued an order accordingly which would have gone to all depts. As matters stand an order has issued - apparently of general import - which does not correspond with the rules.

AK
5.7.88

It seems to me that the question involved in the order in question was one of the construction of the Rules for the Conduct of Govt. Servants: if there was any question in it at all. Further it relates to the conduct of a Civilian which is a Public subject. I think therefore the paper might have been transferred to Public. But the L & M Secretary seems to have regarded it only as a very small matter not a general one as the order seems to have been passed by only one Member. In this view however the order ~~To L & M Dept. (Civ)~~ should not have been generally circulated. The order however lays down

new doctrine strongly declares
t obvious meaning of Rule
. I do not see that there
any alteration under that
may be regarded as an addition
hat it is made plain that
~~office~~ the resolution of
local Board must not
be passed till after an officer
has left the district. Does
L & M. wish this interpretation
to be incorporated in the rules.

JF
6/7/99

To L & M Dept (also) No. 1766 d/ 7.7.99

The paper should have been transferred to the Public Depart. This Depart, I understand, is in agreement with the Chief Secretary that the order was merely an obvious interpretation of Rule 57.
The incorporation of the order in that Rule would seem to be unnecessary.

GSW
9.7.99

H.M.

The G.O. should certainly have been c.i'd by Public, and should I think have been issued by Public.

AA 10.7.99

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
11 JUL. 1899
OOTACAMUND.

Very well — as the C.S. & Secy L & M. think so.

AA

To Pub. Depart. w. O

GSW
11.7.99

12.7.99

L & M. in O. No. 1829/13.7.99 seen & returned with remarks.

Complimentary resolutions regarding District officers.

* on page 14 of the pamphlet.

The Hon'ble Mr. Winterbotham —
His Excellency the Gov'r. —

I am not sure that it is safe to accept the newspaper report as accurate —
The offending Resolution is said to have been moved at the close of an ordinary meeting, & probably Mr. Brodie had no notice of what was coming —
Under Sec 31 (2) of the Act I do not see that the President could prevent a Resolution, whether laudatory or condemnatory, from being passed —
The only remedy would be to cancel it under Sec 37 (1) as suggested by the Hon. Mr. Arundel —
At the close of the meeting it would, I take it, be open to the members to bid farewell to their President in complimentary terms — The only objection wh. can be taken is to the recording of what was said in the form of a Resolution —
I am disposed to think that it would be better to take no notice of the newspaper report

W.W. 29-6-99 —

Local and Municipal Dep

Note for the ~~Secretary~~ Hon'ble Member.

Under No 3 of the rules subject of the receipt of testimonials addresses by servants of Government, sued with the Proceedings of the Government of India No $\frac{29}{1629-45}$ dated 22nd July 1887, there is no prohibition again "such an expression of regard for the private or official character of an officer * * leaving his station or district, as is involved in a farewell entertainment supported by his personal friends, even though some of these may be his official subordinates. But it is expected that the proceedings on such occasions will be substantially of a private and informal character."

And under No 4 of the same rules, "Local Governments and Administrations are authorized to forward to an officer who has left a station or district, the resolution of any local public body recording a vote of thanks to for help and advice afforded uring his official connection with the ion or district."

2 The resolution of the Godavari strict Board regarding Mr Brodie not covered by Rule 3 as the eting at which it was passed was t of the nature of a "farewell enter- inment supported by his personal iends". Further, the resolution was f a public and formal character. Nor is the resolution in question covered by Rule 4, as this rule evidently requires that the resolution should be passed in the absence of the officer and perhaps after he leaves the station or district. In the present case the resolution was passed in Mr Brodie's presence and he thanked the Board for its resolution. It is submitted that the irregularity may be pointed out to Mr Brodie demi officially.

3 There are no papers in the office regarding the Tinnevelly District Board's

Board's approval of Mr Higgin's ministration. From G.O. No 700 dated 29 March 1893 and No 412 dated 2nd March 1894, however, i will be seen that Messrs Jo and Wynne, Presidents of the District Boards of Ganjam and Godavari, respectively, were than ed by the Boards, these gentleme not being present at the meeting although still in the station, and that the resolutions were duly forwarded to them by Government.

V.R.
18/5/99

This seems all that is advisable in the case

22.v.99.

22.v.99

Yes — this will be enough. The rules of the G.O. permit the passing of such a complimentary resolution ~~and its~~ after an officer has left the Station or District and its transmission to him.

25.5.99.

Circ. to H.G. & H.M. as this affects the conduct of the officers.

I do not think that, in such a case, there could be any harm in a few informal words of farewell; but I consider that such words of farewell should not take the shape of a formal resolution. I would suggest that this should be said (D.O.) to Mr. Brodie.

A.24 4.6.99

Local & Municipal Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

OOTACAMUND,

My dear Brodie. 17 vi 1899

The attention of Government has been drawn to a notice in the "Hindu" of a meeting of the District Board Godavari, held on the 29th April-99 - at which it is reported that a formal resolution was passed recording the appreciation of the District Board of your services - Presuming the newspaper report to be correct, I am to point out to you that it was irregular & contrary to the Rules, that any such formal resolution should have been passed in your presence - The resolution was apparently of a public & formal character

14.6.99 | 13.vi.99.

Prepare copy for signature please

vi 99

And under Rule 4 should not have been passed until you had left the station.

While considering that in such a case there would be no harm in a few Complimentary informal words of farewell the Government considers that (Such an expression should not take the shape of a formal Resolution).

Yo

7. vi. 99

Despd 17/6

To V. A. Brodie Esqr I.C.S.

I would prefer you should have used your influence to have prevented the same from being recorded as a formal Resolution

I agree

14. 6. 99

passed, why not condemnatory? I shall be glad if you will look the matter up & see if any orders have been passed on the subject.

If Govt. expresses its disapproval of resolutions on the personal conduct of any member of a local board, and if nevertheless such a resolution were passed, it could be cancelled either by the Govr. in Council, or better under a general G.O. by the Collector under Sec 37 (1) of the L. Bd. Act.

Yours sincerely

A. Arundel

14.6.97

13.11.97.

Prepare copy for signat

please

11.97

19

10

F.A.
will you please
look this matter up
& let me have
papers &
6. v. 99

5th May. 1899.

My dear Hammick,

Please see in the enclosed copy of the "Hindu" the proceedings of the Godaveri Dt. Board re Mr. Brodie. If I remember right the Tinnevelly Dt. Board expressed its approval

14.6.99

13.vi.99.

Repeat copy for signa
please
vi 99

of Mr Higgins' administration
It seems to me that all
this is open to serious objection.
If complimentary resolutions
be not passed, District
Officers may feel them-
selves slighted. Their &
duty is to secure the
approbation of Govt
& in obeying the orders

of Govt it is quite possible
they may be in antagonism
to the boards. It is
moreover unbecoming for
a Collr to receive a compli-
mentary ~~aa~~ resolution
for which a number of
his subordinates, Dy Collrs
Tahsildars, & in some D. Bds even village
Officers, must have
voted. Then if compliment-
-ary resolutions can be

passed, why not condemnatory?

I shall be glad if you will look the matter up & see if any orders have been passed on the subject.

If Govt. expresses its disapproval of resolutions on the personal conduct of any member of a local board, and if nevertheless such a resolution were passed, it could be cancelled either by the Govt. in Council, or better under a general G.O. by the Collector under Sec 37 (1) of the L. Bd Act.

Yours Sincerely
A. Arundel

Repap copy p
please
1-97

19

Recirculated to the Hon. Min with a draft D.O. for approval.

MA
7.vi.99.

approved.
AH 8.6.99

Let Hon Mr Winterbotham see.

Seen WD
10-6-99

Recirculated to H.M: with reference to Hon: Mr Winterbotham's suggested amendment of the D.O.

agreed to.
AH
14.6.99

MA
13.vi.99.

Prepare copy for signature please
JH vi 99

con

a

new

wer

cau

Con

gene

mid

[L. & M. Dept.
1,000—26-1-99.]

20

NOTE FOR THE Secretary

Submitted that this may now be sent to Madras to be stitched with the original of G.O. No 797 M dd 16-6-99

Pd
13/7/99

Yes
B.13.7

Herewith submitted
15/7/99

Rab
[illegible] to the [illegible] 26
15/7

Secretary

Submitted that this may now be sent to Madras to be stitched with the original G.O. no 797 d/ 6-6-99

[illegible]

First copy sent to Press. 7-6-99

Returned fo

Ref / Please stitch this with the orig. G.O. no 797 dated 6-6-99

2-10-99

For. H.M. – & Circ.

12. ix 99

The letter may be recorded

12. ix 99

Yes

20.9.99

21-9-99.

A.E.H 27.9.99

21

Druminnor House
Rhynie N.B.
24th August 1899

12. ix 99
Papers please

Please see papers in G.O. no 797 ... 6-6-99.

14/9/99

My dear Hammick

Owing to a misunderstanding on the part of Messrs H.S. King & Co I only received your letter of the 17th June last week too late to acknowledge its receipt by last mail

In reference to the matter to which you refer I can only say that I contravened the rules unintentionally and

P4/11/15

rst copy sent to Press. 7-6-99

eturned for signature. 21-6-99

espatched in print. 22-6-99

Number of copies required. Order complete. 750
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

5/

ead again G.O., No. 189 . Dated

urrent No. 1223 M A

losures to C. No. A

Press

Print soon please.

of Government .. .. .. A

of Government.

with ditto.

not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

6/6.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
= Print abstract only.
= Print heading only.