

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 767 *in*

DATED 30-5-1899

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget. According the explanation
of points noted in the Bimlipatam
Municipal — for 1899-1900

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 134107m



From *W. St. B. Lydenham Esq*
Chairman Delegate
Bunilpatam
 No. 53

Order *80767* *Min 8* *30/5/99*

Recorded the necessary
Correction having been made.

MR
26-5-99

ale
29/5/99

Dated *11th* May 1899
 Received *15th*
 Registered *17th*
 Enclosures *nil*
 Spare copies. *nil*

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation
to the points noticed in the
Budget Statements for 1899/1900

25/5/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No: 53

Municipal Office,
Bimlipatam,
11th May 1899.

From

W. H. B. Lydenham Esquire,
Chairman, Delegate, M. C.,
Bimlipatam DEPT.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir

With reference to your Memorandum
No: 86, dated 31st January 1899 on the Budget for
1899-1900, I have the honor to submit my
explanation to the Clause (1) as follows.

The figure shown in Column 2 of
statement I under Private Scavenging fees is correct.
Rs 540/- was erroneously put down in column 5 of
Statement IV A. I therefore request you will be good
enough to alter the figure from Rs 540/- to
Rs 610/- in column 5 of statement IV A.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,
W. H. B. Lydenham,
Chairman Delegate.

BIMLIPATAM MUNICIPAL BUDGET FOR 1899-1900.

CP 31.2.10

Memorandum.

Dated the 31st January 1899.

No. 86

The following points are noticed in the budget statements and in the Chairman's covering letter:-

(1) In column 2 of Statement I, the estimated income from private scavenging fees is given as Rs. 610, whereas in Column 5 of Statement IV.A it is shown as Rs. 540.

(2) In Column 4 of the same Statement, the estimated income from tolls should have excluded the share payable to the District Board, as required by the heading.

(3) In Column 4 of Statement I.A, the receipts from the High School Endowment and in Column 13 the proposed expenditure should have been given.

(4) In Column 6 of Statement VI, the budget estimates for 1898-99 should have been modified with reference to the allotments and transfers of funds subsequently sanctioned - Vide para. 1 (21) of I. G.O. No. 2149 L., dated 8th November 1898.

(5) Statement VI.A should have been discontinued, as required in para. 1 (21)

of

of G.O. No. 2149 L., dated 8th November 1898.

I.

(6) In para. 3 of the Chairman's letter, it is stated that the variations between the budget and revised estimates for 1898-99 are explained in Column 7 of Statement VI, but no explanations are given in that statement.

(7) In para. 9, the increase in the revised over the budget estimate for 1898-99, under school fees, is given as Rs. 450 instead of Rs. 350 according to Columns 4 and 5 of Statement I.

The necessary corrections have now been made with reference to Clauses (2) to (4) and (7) above. The discrepancy noticed in Clause (1) should be explained as soon as possible.

V.R.
27/1/99

H. 1. 1. 99
27

To

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Bimlipatam.

Copy to B. K. 13
27/1

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.