

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 727 M

DATED 19-5-99.

INDEXED.

DISPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Election.

Informing the Collector of South Canara that the report prescribed in G.O. No 690 M, dated 22nd June 1888 need not be submitted to Govt in cases in which no petition questioning the validity of the — is received.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1899} of Madras. LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1899.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 727 M., 19th May 1899.

MAY.

Election.

Informing the Collector of South Canara that the report prescribed in G.O., No. 690 M., dated 22nd June 1888, need not be submitted to Government in cases in which no petition questioning the validity of the — is received.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Mangalore, 26th April 1899, Dis. No. 13-D.—G.B.

With reference to G.O., No. 479 M., dated 11th instant, I have the honour to state that I had sent the names of the successful candidates direct to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, as required by the new election rules, and that in forwarding the Chairman's letter read in the said order I thought that the Government might still require the information contained in the statement submitted with the Chairman's letter, with reference to G.O., No. 690 M., dated the 22nd June 1888.

2. I beg also to state that in consequence of the names of successful candidates having been again sent to press by Government the names have come to be twice published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* (vide pages 110 and 134 of Part I-A of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 28th March and the 11th April 1899, respectively). I therefore beg that the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, may be directed to publish an erratum withdrawing the second notification in so far as it relates to the three names printed in the first notification.

ORDER—No. 727 M., dated 19th May 1899.

In cases in which no petition questioning the validity of the election is received, the report prescribed in G.O., No. 690 M., dated 22nd June 1888, need not be submitted to Government, as, under No. 33 of the rules for the conduct of elections by wards and No. 31 of the rules for the conduct of elections by the town as a whole, the Collectors can forward the names of the successful candidates to the Superintendent, Government Press, direct for publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

2. The publication of an erratum in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, suggested in paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter, is unnecessary. The candidates will, under section 17 (1) of the Act, be deemed to have been appointed as Councillors on the 28th March 1899, when their election was first notified in the Gazette.

(True Extract.)

M. HAMMICK,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of South Canara.
„ all other Collectors.
„ all Chairmen of Municipal Councils.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Government {1899} of Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

1899.

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 727 M., 19th May 1899.

MAY.

Election.

Informing the Collector of South Canara that the report prescribed in G.O., No. 690 M., dated 22nd June 1888, need not be submitted to Government in cases in which no petition questioning the validity of the — is received.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Mangalore, 26th April 1899, Dis. No. 13-D.—G.B.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 479 M., dated 11th instant, I have the honour to state that I had sent the names of the successful candidates direct to the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, as required by the new election rules, and that in forwarding the Chairman's letter read in the said order I thought that the Government might still require the information contained in the statement submitted with the Chairman's letter, with reference to G.O., No. 690 M., dated the 22nd June 1888.

2. I beg also to state that in consequence of the names of successful candidates having been again sent to press by Government the names have come to be twice published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* (vide pages 110 and 134 of Part I-A of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 28th March and the 11th April 1899, respectively). I therefore beg that the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, may be directed to publish an erratum withdrawing the second notification in so far as it relates to the three names printed in the first notification.

ORDER—No. 727 M., dated 19th May 1899.

In cases in which no petition questioning the validity of the election is received, the report prescribed in G.O., No. 690 M., dated 22nd June 1888, need not be submitted to Government, as, under No. 33 of the rules for the conduct of elections by wards and No. 31 of the rules for the conduct of elections by the town as a whole, the Collectors can forward the names of the successful candidates to the Superintendent, Government Press, direct for publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

2. The publication of an erratum in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, suggested in paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter, is unnecessary. The candidates will, under section 17 (1) of the Act, be deemed to have been appointed as Councillors on the 28th March 1899, when their election was first notified in the Gazette.

(True Extract.)

for Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of South Canara.
 „ all other Collectors.
 „ all Chairmen of Municipal Councils.

Exd A. F. Eccleston.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 11907M

From

The Collector of South Canara.

PS Order No. 727 M. 19/5/99

In cases in which no petition ~~is received~~ questioning the validity of the election, the report prescribed in G.O. No. 690 M., dated 22nd June 1888 need not be submitted to

Dated 26th April 1899

Received



Government, as under No. 33 of the Registered rules for the conduct of elections by wards and No. 31 of the rules for the conduct of elections by the town as a whole, the Collectors can forward the names of the successful candidates to the Superintendent, Government Press, direct for publication in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*.

Enclosures nil

Spare copies —

No. 1950 M. 19/5/99 S.

SUBJECT.

Municipal Election. — Requesting that the Superintendent of the Government Press, Madras, be ordered to publish an erratum withdrawing certain notification publishing a

2. The publication of an erratum ~~second time~~ the names of successful candidates at the — held in the *Fort St. George Gazette* — the month of February 1899.

suggested in paragraph 2 of the collector's letter is unnecessary. The candidates will, under section 17(1) of the Act, be deemed to have been appointed as councillors on the 28th March 1899, when their election was first notified in the Gazette.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To
The Collector of South Cauara
all other Collectors
Chairmen of Muni Councils
pwp. 21/5/99

First copy sent to Press. 19-5-99

Returned for signature. 31-5-99

Despatched in print. 31-5-99

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- R = Not to be printed.
- F = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 250
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

1190 m A

WR 1875

A