

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 690M

DATED 15-5-99.

INDEXED.

RECEIVED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Informing Saigud Ala-ud-din Sahib, late Secretary to the Munl. Council, Nagpur, that his request that an enquiry may be made into the charges brought against him that he may be confirmed in his post if he is found free from blame cannot be complied with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1153m



From

H. A. Sim Esquire J.C.
Private Secretary to
Mr. the Premier
No.

For Orders

Please see the notes and orders
in G.O. No. 464M. dated 29th March 1899.
flagged B.

It would seem sufficient
to say that Government cannot
accede to the petitioner's request. He
wants a fresh enquiry - and clearly
from G.O. got intended to
make the case closed by the order

Dated 22nd April 1899.

Received 27th

Registered 28th

Enclosures nil

Spare copies "

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated
17 April 1899 from Syed
Ala-ud-din Sahab, late Secretary
Kegapattam Municipal Council
now residing at Adakkara Street
Manambichavadi Sanjore,
praying that an enquiry may
be made into the charges
brought against him by the
Chairman. (By order)

note

Order No. 69000000
1575299

The petitioner's request cannot
be complied with. (From order)

no

25/4

2. The Pet. also copy 17/6/99

H. A. Sim
Private Secretary


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
sp	464	hile	29-3-99
u	1199	hile	5-7-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Tanjore, 17th April, 1899.



To

HIS EXCELLENCY SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL OF FORT SAINT GEORGE AND ITS DEPENDENCIES.

The humble petition of Syed Ala-ud-din Sahib, late Secretary to the Municipal Council, Negapatam, now residing at Adakara Street, Manambuchavadi, Tanjore.

Most respectfully sheweth.

I. That the petitioner was appointed Secretary to the Municipal Council of Negapatam by G.O. No. 1199 of the 5th July 1898 and assumed charge on the 30th July 1898.

II. That the chairman brought various charges against the petitioner and with the permission of a majority of the Municipal Council placed the petitioner under suspension and prayed the Government to remove the petitioner from office on the 19th November 1898.

III. That the Government in its Order No. 464 M. Mis. dated the 29th March 1899 has very properly said that no charges were formally framed against the petitioner and that no explanation was taken from him and has remarked that "In the present case, however, the Secretary's case was placed before the Council, not by means of a memorandum reciting his faults and accompanied by his explanations, but by two letters to the Collector entering into no specific details, unless read with a mass of memoranda and explanations which nobody of Councillors could digest. Further the Chairman has commented upon the Secretary's replies and explanations denied their correctness and made new statements, which the Secretary had never seen. Hence the Secretary had no opportunity of knowing exactly of what he was accused of or of meeting the accusations in the shape in which they went before the Municipal Council." This shows that the Chairman has had a special

4

desire to work out the ruin of the Secretary placing him in the dark.

IV. That the Government in its above order has not approved the proposal of the Council to remove the petitioner from the post of Secretary and has further held that the action of the Council in placing the petitioner under suspension cannot be upheld. But the very same order has directed the petitioner to revert to his post in the Registration Department on the ground that harmonious working between the Chairman and the Secretary is impossible.

V. That the petitioner most humbly submits that it is very hard upon him and that it is calculated to injure his reputation and prospects seriously that he should be removed from office without any decision of the question as to whether he or the Chairman was to blame. If harmonious working has become impossible owing to the misconduct of the petitioner he should in justice submit to the consequences. If on the other hand, the Government finds on enquiry that the Chairman is to blame, the petitioner submits that it is an injustice to him that he should suffer for the fault of the Chairman.

VI. That the petitioner tried his best to the very last moment to be in harmonious terms with the Chairman, and that it is the Chairman that tended to break the string of harmony all along and began to stand in the way of the petitioner whenever he aimed at the good of the Municipality, for which he was ^{purposely} ~~properly~~ appointed by the Government.

The petitioner therefore, prays, in justice to the petitioner, Your Excellency will be pleased to direct an enquiry to be made into the charges brought against him, and to permit him at the enquiry to appear in person to defend himself putting forth all that took place between him and the Chairman, and if on the same enquiry, the petitioner is found free from blame, to confirm him in the post of Secretary with his duties and powers clearly defined so that harmony, which the petitioner will ever try to keep up, may prevail over the actions of the Chairman and the Secretary without room for the unnecessary interference

in each other's sphere of duties.

That the petitioner as in duty bound ever pray
for Your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

The petitioner begs to remain,

Your Excellency,

Your Excellency's most obedient and humble servant,

Sayer al amman shelo.