

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1243 M.

DATED 31-8-99.

INDEXED. DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Tax Drawing the attention of the
Chairman, M. C. Srivilliputtur
to the remarks of the collector of
Tinnevely regarding the number of
persons assessed to the tax on arts.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2214

From the Chairman
Municipal Council
Trivilliputtur

No. 881 Sm.

Dated 11th August 1899

Received 18 AUG 1899

Registered

Enclosures nil

Spare copies. "

Order

On D 64 d 10-8-99 by D. 10-8-99.
Forwarded to Government Local Municipal
Departments. The Chairman's explanation has not
seem to me altogether satisfactory. He should give
his personal attention to the matter, and (see that
adequate income is realized from this source) ^{See that}
Sincerely yours, ^{Collector}
Dated 10 Aug 99

11-8-99

To the Secretary to Govt & M. S. P. S. Madras

SUBJECT.

This order No 1243 dated 31/8/99 Submitting explanation
for the fall in the number
of persons assessed to
tax on carts during
1898-99.

The Chairman's attention
is drawn to the remarks of the
Collector in his endorsement.
V.A.
23/8/99
For the Chairman, Mr. Trivilliputtur, through the Collector 22/8.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Trivilliputtur
Municipal Office

No 8818m.

11th August 1899.

From The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Trivilliputtur.

The Secretary to the Government
of Madras, Local and Ma-
nicipal Department, Madras,

Through the Collector of
Tinnevely.

Sir,

With reference to Government
Order No 911^m, dated 30th June last,
para 3 (1), I have the honor to state
that the fall in the number of
persons assessed to tax on carts
during 1898-99 and in the amount
of the tax by 229 and Re 438-8-0
respectively, was due to the removal
of the following description of
persons from the assessment

list of 1898-99, while in the year
1897-98 when, for the first time,
the new profession tax schedule
was put into operation, they had
been by wrong estimates of their
income brought to assessment.

(1) Pipers, brick layers and
other country artisans whose
earnings in these parts never
exceed Rs. 8 per diem but
who, nevertheless, were assessed
to tax on arts during 1897-98
presumably by wrong estimates
of their income.

(2) A number of petty traders
and persons following miscellaneous
callings who were assessed as
deriving taxable incomes in 1897-98
but who, on subsequent
inquiries, were found to get

below such income.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. M. Woomersley

Deputy Collector in charge
of the Office of Chairman.

14.8.99