

[L. & M.]
[1000-15-6-98.]

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 376 Prio

DATED 10-3-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Detachment of Native Infantry at Jalapet

*Permitted to — on leave duty to
return to Bangalore.*

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

[$\frac{L_1 \& M_1}{L_2 \& M_2}$]
119-10-11-08.

Again G.O., No.

dated

189

Again G.O, No.

dated

189

Current No.

179 P.

of 189 , dated

26.1.99

, from

the Public Dept
the League for Mr.

184 A.P.

P 189 , "

27. 1. 99

, ”

„ 189 „ „

” ”

ORDER. No. 376 P² in 97 10-3-99

The detachment of
native Infantry at Jalor
pet will now be allowed
to return to Bangalore.
(From orders)

To, The Dy Adjutant-General,
m.c.,
with 7 [✓]enclos: returned
" Magne Commr.
" Collector of Salem ✓

HT 8399
To Ind. for c/i as
affecting Police force

~~Post hi-Sci~~
11/3

H. M.

May A

May E

NOTE FOR THE Secy. 20th Sept

With Cus 595 P, to Plague
Comr. forward

- (1) the papers received from the
military authorities objecting
to the further employment
of the troops in guard duty
at the Jalarpet plague camp,
- (2) a letter from the S. G. of
Police addressed to the Chief
Secy to Government on the
subject of addl police
required for plague duty in
the Salem &c

2. The history of the employment
of troops at Jalarpet is as
follows. On the 10 October
1898, a suspicious death
occurred at Vanigambadi
when an attempt was made
to carry out the prescribed
plague measures, the
Muhammadans of the place
threatened a serious opposition.
It was also found that the
people of the neighbouring

Towns were ~~was~~ in a very excited
 condition. The Plague Comm.
 therefore telegraphed for
 two Companies of troops for the
 purpose of preventing a
 disturbance of the peace. The
 troops arrived on the 14th Oct and
 there was no further trouble at
 Vanigambadi; but as the Coll.
 anticipated opposition to plague
 measures found a great amount
 of prejudice towards any
 plague measures among the people
 of Vanigambadi, Salem, Tirupattur
 and other places, he suggested
 that a company or two of troops
 should be stationed at Salem
 as a safeguard against
 "disturbance and a means
 of persuasion". This was approved
 neither by the G.C. nor by Government
 (para 2 of G.O. No 13657. dt 25-10-98) May F
 Mr. Hammett however suggested
 in his note dt 21-10-98 that it
 would be "well to have a depoy
 round over the camp at Jalapet -
 30 depays are there now - and
 to allow them and the troops
 at Vanigambadi to remain
 for

May F
 May G

*The papers forwarded by the
 military dept show that
 the thought was first at 60
 to admit of the men having
 4 nights in bed. May H

= for another fortnight, and then
 = if there is no further excitement
 = send them all back to Bangalore
 = At Vanigambadi
 = the troops are handy in case of
 = trouble at Vallur where they have
 = had two cases of plague, and
 = there will be no further excitement
 = if left at Vanigambadi. The
 Govt accepted these proposals
 May F (para 3) of G.O. No 13657. dt 25-10-98
 3. In his letter No 368. dt 26-10-98
 the Coll. of Salem reported that
 he had ascertained from ^{Capt Hodgson} the officer
 in charge of the troops at
 Vanigambadi that there was no
 objection to keeping a detachment
 at Jalapet, that there were
 60 men at Jalapet, and that
 he had written to Capt Hodgson
 whether such a large force was
 required. This letter was
 submitted to Govt by the G.C. with
 the following endorsement -
 "The case at Vanigambadi
 = has not led to any fresh cases
 = and the town is quiet. The troops
 = may therefore go back as proposed
 = I think it is well to keep a
 military

Towns were ~~also~~ in a very excited
 condition. The Mayor Council
 therefore ~~there~~ telegraphed for
 two companies of troops for the
 purpose of preventing a
 disturbance of the peace. The
 troops arrived on the 14th Oct and
 there was no further trouble at
 Vanigambadi; but as the Coll.
 anticipated opposition to plague
 measures found a great amount
 of prejudice towards against
 plague measures among the people
 of Vanigambadi, Salem, Ichappattur
 and other places, he suggested
 that a company or two of troops
 should be stationed at Salem
 as a safe guard against
 "disturbance and a means
 of persuasion". This was approved
 neither by the P.C. nor by Government
 (para 2 of G.O. No 13657. dt 25-10-98) May F
 Mr. Hambrick however suggested
 in his note dt 21-10-98 that it May G
 would be "well to have a depoy
 guard over the camp at Jalapet -
 30 depoy are there now - and
 might allow them and the troops
 at Vanigambadi to remain
 for

* The papers forwarded by the
 military dept show that
 the threat was fired at 60
 to assist of the men having
 4 night in bed. May H

3
 "for another fortnight, and then
 "if there is no further excitement
 "send them all back to Bangalore.
 "At Vanigambadi:
 "the troops are handy in case of
 "trouble at Vellore where they have
 "had two cases of plague, and
 "there will be no further excitement
 "if left at Vanigambadi". The
 Govt accepted these proposals
 May F (para 3) of G.O. No 13657. dt 25-10-98
 3. In his letter No 368. dt 26-10-98
 the Coll. of Salem reported that
 he had ascertained from ^{Capt. Hodgson} the officer
 in charge of the troops at
 Vanigambadi that there was no
 objection to keeping a detachment
 at Jalapet, that there were
 60 men at Jalapet, and that
 he had written to Capt. Hodgson
 whether such a large force was
 required. This letter was
 submitted to Govt by the P.C. with
 the following endorsement -
 "The case at Vanigambadi
 "has not led to any fresh cases
 "and the town is quiet. The troops
 "may therefore go back as proposed
 "I think it is well to keep a

"military guard at Jalapah.
"We have a very valuable camp
"there and if excitement again
"arises it is quite possible an
"attempt might be made to fire
"this camp". The papers were
"handed by foot (see no 1434) Aug J
"d 9. 11. 92.

(4) The troops were deputed under the impression that they were required "in aid of the civil power for the maintenance of peace" and that their duty had ceased;

(6) That guarding the plague camp
is the duty of the Civil Police
& not of Troop;

(c) That the men cannot be spared on account of the very heavy duties at present devolving on the Garrison at Bangalore.

24
The General Officer Commanding
Bangalore further points out that
a Soldier has not the status of
a policeman & that what the
latter may legally do may be
illegal on the part of a Soldier.
He also stops takes exception
to the C.O.s of Sales calling upon
the officers in charge of the detachments
for explanation regarding certain
and escapes from the Camp.

6. The papers were referred to the
P.C. and he wrote — "I agree that
that the de pps are now on police
work and work called out to
assist the civil power. Their
position appeared to me to be
anomalous

...at once but as
 "They were there within the knowledge
 of fact and without any one
 raising any objection (as far as
 I then knew), I hesitated to raise
 the question, knowing police were
 not available. The work that the
 depots are doing should be done
 by the police. These views were
 communicated to the Coll. of Salem
 and the Sd. of Police. Both these
 officers are agreed that the following
 extra police are required to replace
 the troops at Jalurpet:

1 Head Constable, 2 nd grade	-	Rs 20
1 " " 4 th " "	-	Rs 14
6 Constables - 1 st class	-	Rs 48
14 " " 2 nd " "	-	Rs 98
		Rs 180 per month

But I think however writes as
 "The Police Camp at
 Jalurpet is now guarded by depots,
 which is a great advantage in the
 objection to the guard remaining
 there any longer, on the ground that
 the depots are really performing
 police duties. I venture to think
 that the duty is very like any other
 guard duty performed by soldiers
 and I hope the objection will not
 be pressed at a time when the
 strain

Time, but they are not
 sending military posts
 or building
 1/3 LB

at Vaniyambadi to remain
 for

"Strain on the police is so great.
 Moreover, I think that the
 presence of even a small military
 force in that neighbourhood has
 a good moral effect, and on the
 account also I deprecate the
 withdrawal of the guard. The C.
 however considers that the objection
 is valid one, and if the fact taken
 the same view, an addl. temporary
 Police force of 2 Head Constables
 and 20 Constables costing Rs 180
 per month will be necessary,
 and I solicit sanction for this
 employment. I should add however
 that it is by no means easy
 to obtain really suitable
 temporary men, and when obtained
 they are anxious to resign their
 appointments after a very short
 experience of the work."

7. The employment of troops on
 guard duty does not entail any
 extra cost, because troops so
 employed are not entitled to
 any allowances (G.O. No 173 P.
 dt 2-2-98) As against this aspect
 of economy, it must be noted
 that the plague in Salem is now
 remaining

X The Govt of India Memo
 printed in this G.O. shows that
 troops may be employed on
 guard duty.

M

though lived in the N. church St., that
there has been a great improvement
in the attitude of the people since
Oct 1898, ^{that all other camps are founded by the British,} that the troops are
reported to be with becoming truth
in Home taluk, and that a riot
between the Sepoys & British at
P. has just occurred at Vallu
S. In the letter from which
the extract given in para 6 has been
quoted, the Dy. of Police asks
for

1 head Constable on Rs 25 pay + Rs 15 home allowance M.A.
46 Constables on Rs 8 each
for police duty in the Salem St.
The application has been made
on the recommendation of the Collector
who explains the necessity for the
entire staff in his letter No 342 dy
6-2-99. The establishment is
necessary & the application may
be forwarded to the Fin Dept for
sanction.

3/3/99 Note furnished as requested
A/3 B
H. H.
For orders whether the Dy. 1
8th be asked to keep the Sepoys at
Jalarpet.
The papers will then be sent to
Judic. H 1 4399
at Vaniyambadi is for

These are additional
reasons strengthening
the appln of the
Military authorities.
The Sepoys at
Joharpet should not
I think be allowed
to return to
Bangalore.

6.3.99.
P.A. v.u.
H
8359

Public (189

[L. & M. Dept.
1,000-26-1-99.]
NOTE FOR THE P.C.

L.M. no 179

Recd 26-1-99
Regd

Order encl No 259 date

Transferred
the Local and Munici-
(Plague) Department

for Chief Secretary
Encl No 259 dated 26-1-99
C. Referred to Pl
25-1-99 Comm for rema
H. Treven
Secy 26

Transferring letter from
the Dy Dy of M.C.

This Sepoy matter
has become rather
involved. Both you
and I are
put upon office
note rec. fact
correspondence
1399
P.A. in you kindly
Copy 13 1/2

Not submitted
L.M.
3/3/99

Public (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 27#



Lotm ch0179P

From *Me D. N. S.*

Recd } 26-1-59
Recd }

Order Inv N^o 259 dated 25.1.99

Transferred to
the Local and Municipal
(Plague) department

No. A
E.T. 1128

Dated 20

Received 22

Registered 2

Enclosures 7

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

By a detachment of 1
gained and 30 rank
and file sent to go Harpet
to guard over the Magu
Camp ^{there} may be relieved of
their duties and return
to return to Bangalore

These are additional reasons strengthening the appl^y of the military authorities. The sepoy at Joharpet should now I think be allowed to return to Bangalore.

215 home alluvium KA

6.3.99.

FA. v. u.

May N

western

Part 1

poss at

ent to

42

for

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Salem Collector's Office
Dated 27th Decemr 98.

From Robert B. Clegg Esq.
as Collector of Salem.

To Captain Hodgson
in charge of the Detachment of
troops of 17th M. I. at Jalarpet.

Sir,
The medical officer Jalarpet wires
that Rayappa and Sowriamma absconded
with their child mathalamthun who was
patient in the Plague Hospital at Jalarpet
last night. I have the honor to request
you will be good enough to explain this
neglect on the part of the Sepoy guard
and let me know what you intend
doing to prevent such escapes. This is the
fifth case of its kind and to allow
persons suffering from Plague to escape
may lead to much serious consequences.

I have etc

(sd)

True copy, for aff Mr.

Special and Miscellaneous.

No. A/N.T. 1125

From

The Dy. Adjutant General, Madras Command,

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Telegram No.

3-T.B. dated

17th Oct 1898

from the G.O.C.-er, Madras, for two companies of Native Infantry to be sent

Bangalore Dt.

to Vaniembadi in the Salem District, a Detachment of that strength from the 17th Madras Infantry at Bangalore was despatched. This requisition did not specify for what purpose the troops were required but as it came from the Civil authorities direct it was understood that they were required in aid of the Civil power for the maintenance of peace under para 4 Section XXVII A.R.I., Volume II, (Provisional Issue).

2. On the completion of the duty required of this Detachment, the Officer Commanding in charge was instructed by the Plague Commissioner to despatch to Jollarpet, 1 Jemadar and 30 rank and file to form two guards over the camps at that station which appear to be the duties of Civil Police rather than of soldiers. I have the honour to point out that as the services of this Detachment appear to have been required for special duties other than those "in aid of the Civil Power to maintain peace" the application for their services should have evidently been made through Government.

3. I am further to bring to your notice the tone of the letter of the Collector Salem District to Captain Hodgson Commanding the Detachment.

4. As the General Officer Commanding Bangalore Dist. has urgently represented the very heavy duties at present devolving on the Garrison, it would appear very desirable that the Detachment now at Jollarpet should be relieved of their duties and return to Bangalore.

5. The correspondence on this subject is herewith forwarded

Ootacamund,
19th January 1899.

In Madras to print
take in the R.R.

B

C

forwarded which please return when done with.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

J. Murphy

Colonel,

for Deputy Adjt. General.

L+M C No 184 AP

NOTE FOR THE

Recd 27-1-99
Rgd

Office. This is not possible.
Please put on. Date 21/10/98.

in Otago 237 - flag B.
75-1-99

the Hammick originally
meant the Leprosy to remain
at Jambet a fortnight or
so till sentiment calmed
down. (see office note of 21-10-98
flag A. Disposed on 6/6/99.)

In his proceedings of 27.10.98
Ref in C. 785, he seems
to have wished the troops
to remain on more or less
police duty.

I was on tour in Dec. I
visited Jambet just before
Xmas. The status of the
Leprosy seemed to me doubtful
& I wished to know the
authority under which the
Leprosy were kept there. I
was referred to Mr. H's
order just posted. This was
signed by Mr. H.
I then asked Eastern if the
detachment could not be
dispensed with or turned on to
disinfection work somewhere.

forwarded which please return when done with.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,

J. K. M. P.

Colonel,
for Deputy Adjt. General.

L.M.C. No 184-A.P.

NOTE FOR THE

Read 27-1-99
R.S.D.

office. This is not final.
Please put on. Date 21/10/98.

in Orgo $\frac{237}{25-1-99}$ - flag B.

to Hammeth originals
went the Sepoy to remain
at Jalapet a fortnight or
so, till excitement calmed
down. (See office note of 21-10-98
flag A. Dated 21-10-98.)

In his proceedings of 27.x.98
Ref. C. n. 785, he seems
to have wished the troops
to remain on more or less
police duty.

It is true to be sure I
visited Jalapet just before
Xmas. The status of the
Sepoy seemed to me doubtful
& I was not sure of his
intentions as far as
as possible.

Defence No. 134
to 18. P.M.

Sir, Here is a copy of the
the Jalapet report of 1/11/98
for the Military authorities.

but as the Government, & without
anyone raising any objection (as
far as I know) I hesitated
to raise the question, knowing
police were not available.
The work that the Sepoy
are doing should be done by the
police & the 1. S. should be

(Please see my letter of 5th
 9). He requires (please
 see his letter 80 of 10.1.53
 "It was intended that the
 Sepoy guard should be kept at
 Jalapet in lieu of police."
 x x x I do not
 advocate dispensing with the
 Sepoy guard, unless a strong
 police force is provided, as
 this District cannot furnish.
 On this I have not passed orders
 but my note of 12th is sent
 by you on 16th.

I agree that the Sepoys are
 not on police work, I am
 glad that to assist the Civil
 forces. Their position appeared to
 be somewhat better at once.

but as the Government, I intend
 to make any objection (as
 far as I know) I hesitated
 to raise the question, knowing
 police were not available.
 The work that the Sepoys
 are doing should be done by the
 police & the 1st should be

Flag C.
 in Group 237
 25-1-53

Flag D

Expt - 12th

Office. Please Encl.
 27/1/53
 1

ST 1/2
 made family
 report
 1/1/53
 Defence No. 134
 W 1-8. Price

NOTE FOR THE

asked for the men created.
 What number is required?
 cannot say at the spot;
 Jalapet is very differently
 situated to Tungabhadra,
 while the Hindupur & Arona
 groups are comparatively small.
 Hospital is the best to compare
 with, but that is sufficient
 for Hospital will probably be
~~little~~ not enough for
 Jalapet. The Director
 has been asked. The Hospital
 staff of police is ~~given~~ below.
 accurately, but is believed to be 13.

27/1/53

The P.C. is requested to
 consult the D.S. Police &
 report his views as soon
 as possible.

Sir, here is a ~~copy~~ found
 the ~~original~~ ~~copy~~ ~~copy~~
 for the Military authorities.

10

raise objections to the
Safeguards remaining there any
longer at Jalapet, on
the ground that they are
really performing police
duties. The objection
appears to be a valid
one, but the troops can-
not yet be allowed to
return to Bangalore until
their place can be taken
by police. The G.O. of Salem
states that the necessary
police cannot be
provided in the
District. I. h. t. l.

∴ to ask you if you in any way
furnish the necessary
guards. The number of police
required is not known &
the District has been
requested to report; it
will probably be about
20 judging from the number employed at Hosur.

To L.G. Police
Ref. on C. 1343 of 22/99
Copy to the G.O. of Salem with the
request that he will report
urgently which number of policemen
are required to replace the military posted at Jalapet.

Desd. 11/2/99.

The L.G. of Police
think there are already 24
policemen on duty at the
Station.

No 59 P of 8/3/99

Draft Rust. on C. no 3957.

Forwarded with the
request that the sanction
applied for may be
accorded at a very early
date.

2. Orders have issued in
this department for the
return to Bangalore of
the military detachment
now on guard duty at
Jalapet.

V.R.
5/3/99

At. 1.
8399

To the Judicial Department.

Copy to the P. Commr.

With file returned.

Desd. 10/3

When given for Copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Copy to be made

to be made for the
copy to be made for the

to be made for the
copy to be made for the

to be made for the
copy to be made for the

to be made for the
copy to be made for the

to be made for the
copy to be made for the

to be made for the
copy to be made for the