

Bridge - Submits
PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 972

Dated 13-4-88

Despatched

[Despatch Abstract.]

Plague Expenditure

Recording P.O. nos. 479 & 480 of 4-4-88 in answer
to instructions regarding the classification of
—, and deciding that the charges incurred at
the Railway Inspection Stations of Tiruvannamalai and
Chingleput should be paid from "Provincials".

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

Government {1899} of Madras. FINANCIAL.

Recd. P. C.
7- APR. 1899
Regd. 1899.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., &c., Nos. 479, 480, 4th April 1899.

APRIL.

Boys No 972 of 13-4-99
Received, pending reference
from the Accountant General.

Long 10/4/88 1/2 10/4
Due important papers 1517 & 2030
8. & 15. 2. 98

2030 is already in the file. 1517 is the one. 2030 is already in the file. 1517 is the one. 2030 is already in the file. 1517 is the one.

Plague Expenditure.

Directing that the charges for all plague establishments needed for general control and expenditure incurred in connection with such establishments be borne by the State and paid from Provincial funds, while charges for similar establishments required for local control be paid from Local or Municipal funds; and issuing certain further orders in connection therewith. Copy to the Government of India.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 720, Financial, dated 4th September 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the Accountant-General to maintain separate accounts of all the charges incurred in connection with the bubonic plague, whether Direct or Indirect, Provincial or Local, and to include them in the quarterly statements to be submitted by him.

G.O., No. 547, Financial, dated 18th June 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Directing, with remarks, that the plague expenditure incurred in frontier districts shall, with effect from the 1st April 1898, be distributed in certain proportion among all the districts of the Presidency.

G.O., No. 576, Financial, dated 4th July 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the incidence of plague charges incurred at the port of Tuticorin between 1st April and 30th September 1897.

G.O., No. 698, Financial, dated 15th August 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Explaining the distinction between *Direct* and *Indirect* charges, and issuing a revised appendix to G.O., No. 547, Financial, dated 18th June 1898, directing that the plague charges incurred in frontier districts shall, with effect from the 1st April 1898, be distributed in certain proportion among all the districts of the Presidency.

G.O., No. 7, Financial, dated 3rd January 1899.

ABSTRACT.—Considering that it is unnecessary to maintain G.O., No. 900 M., dated 13th April 1897, as regards the distribution of local plague charges in each district between the district board and the municipalities in that district, and directing that each municipality shall bear its own charges.

G.O., No. 261, Financial, dated 18th February 1899.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Local and Municipal Department letter from the Government of India forwarding copy of correspondence with the Government of Bombay re the principles on which plague expenditure should be regulated; and addressing the Government of India on the procedure adopted in this Presidency in the matter and on the necessity of a special assignment being made to Provincial Funds and Local Boards on account of plague expenditure.

READ—also the following papers:—

From the Local and Municipal Department, No. 156, dated 15th Feb. 1899.

"	Plague Commissioner, Ref. on C. No. 2030		
"	"	1955	" 21st "
"	"	3097	" 22nd "
"	"		" 13th Mar. "

ORDER—No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899.

The papers read above relate to the expenditure incurred on plague preventive measures at the railway inspection stations of Chingleput and Tiruvannamalai. Both these stations are in Municipalities and the question arises whether the charges which are non-Provincial should be borne entirely by the Municipality in each case.

2. In the correspondence recorded in G.O., No. 261, Financial, dated the 18th February 1899, the Government of India held that the cost of measures taken with

reference to a municipal area for the medical treatment of plague patients, or for the prevention of the spread of the disease, or for the purposes of sanitation, cleansing or disinfection cannot be thrown upon the

State, on the ground that extra municipal areas and residents have directly or indirectly received benefit from such measures by being saved from infection; since a municipal body owes it to its neighbours to prevent the spread of infection from itself to them, and cannot expect them to meet the cost of carrying out this duty, merely because they benefit by its not being neglected. The Government of India agreed, however, that the cost of inspection and observation of travellers by sea and by land, and of segregation and medical treatment of such as are affected or suspected to be affected by the plague, so far as it can be separated from expenditure on the sanitary measures above described, may be accepted as part of the general measures undertaken on the part of the State.

3. In supersession of all previous orders on the subject the Government directs that the charges for all plague establishments needed for general control and expenditure incurred in connection with such establishments shall be borne by the State and paid from Provincial funds; while charges for similar establishments required for local control shall be paid from Local or Municipal funds according to the area within which the establishments are entertained.

4. The expenditure at the railway inspection stations of Chingleput and Tiruvannamalai, being needed for general control, will accordingly form a Provincial charge.

5. The instructions in G.O., No. 576, Financial, dated 4th July 1898, in regard to the distribution of the plague charges at the port of Tuticorin will be modified with reference to the foregoing ruling, and the entire charges incurred at that port for the protection of Ceylon and other places against plague will be borne by the State and debited to Provincial.

6. The foregoing re-distribution will take effect from the 1st April 1898 and the Accountant-General will be requested to consult the Plague Commissioner and to report, at an early date, the transfers to be made in the expenditure already looked against Provincial, Local and Municipal funds in consequence of these orders.

7. The Accountant-General will also, in communication with the Plague Commissioner, revise the appendix to G.O., No. 698, Financial, dated 15th August 1898, with reference to the present instructions and submit a draft of the revised distribution of plague charges for the approval of Government.

(True Extract.)

Abdington
for Chief Secretary.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

" the Surgeon-General.
" the Marine Department.
" the Plague Commissioner. ✓
" the Accountant General.
" all Collectors.

ENDORSEMENT—No. 480, Financial, dated 4th April 1899.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

(1899)

CURRENT No. 1955-

Progs.
Letter

Ref. Car no. 1955-
29

Forwarded to the Financial Dept with the request that the charges connected with the newly opened inspection station at Chingleput may be distributed among the districts in the same manner as the charges for precautionary measures in the frontier districts.

Long
14/2/99

Wm

Medical Dept - L20

on

These papers may be recorded as being receipt of orders as to the distribution of charges incurred on account of the inspection station.

Wm

Keep this alone Wm

Despr 24.2.99

From The Collector of Chingleput &c

Ref. No. 221 Act of 99

Dated

13P2 99
14 FEB. 1899

Received

Registered 14-2-99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation in connection with the remarks raised on the Plague Estimate for February 199-

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

F

Plague Urgent

Refn No. 221 Act of 99

C No 1955

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

13th February 1899.

FROM

D. W. G. Coomie Esq

COLLECTOR OF

Chingleput Dist

Saidapet.

TO

The Plague Commission

Madras.

SIR,

With reference to para 1 of your Proceedings Regn on C Nos 1614, 1442 and 1626 dated 9-2-99, I have the honour to state that in my estimate for February 99, provision was made for the Establishment in connection with the railway inspection station at Chingleput as detailed below:—

- (1) One Hospital assistant on Rs 25 + plague allowance Rs 10 under Local Fund.
- (2) A Superior Medical Officer on Rs 200—
- (3) A nurse on Rs 100—

The last two under Provincial Fund. The exact pay of the Superior Medical Officer Mr. B. B. Sarabhai was not known then and was therefore roughly put down as Rs 200 which is the pay of the

permanant assistant Surgeon at Chingleput. It has been since ascertained that Mr Dorabsett is to draw Rs 350 per mensem. I request therefore that the necessary correction may be made in the statement the date of his joining appointment may also be altered to 24-1-99.

(his list from Mr S. P.)

2) The provision for a nurse was made under Provincial fund with reference to similar provisions made in G. O No 134 Financial of 24-1-99 against the Madras and Tinnevely Districts. It will now be transferred to 'Municipal' as directed in para 2 of the proceedings. It is not known what menial servants are necessary and none has been entertained as yet. The District Surgeon has been written to for the information. In this connection I beg to invite your attention to para 2 of my letter No 124 G.O of 9-2-99

wherein I pointed out that the inspecting staff at Chingleput may be reduced and it does at present the same work that has hitherto been done by a single Hospital Assistant.

3. As regards provision for sheds, I beg to draw your attention to para 3 of the letter quoted above in which it was stated that the plague sheds constructed and maintained by the Chingleput Municipality would be made use of if necessity arises, while for requisiment, indents have been forwarded to the Surgeon General in this office letter refer to No 277 of 99 of 9-2-99. On receipt of a reply the sum required will be budgetted for next month.

4. The instructions issued in para 2 to 4 will be attended to.

I have the honor to

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[Signature]
For the Collector

C No 2030

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE. (1899)

CURRENT No. 2030

Submitted for order to the Commissioner
papers 6/289

Proga
Kotien
Ref. in Current

2030 9/8 21/15
Forwarded for the very early
order of Government in
Continuation of this office
Reference on Cus 15/7 d/o February
1899.

Review of the
monthly plague
estimate.

From The Collector of
South Arcot

Reference on No. 12 of 89

Dated 13th February 1899
Received 15-2-99
Registered 15-2-99
Enclosures
Spare copies



Chief Clerk to Govt.
To the Financial Dept L20

15/2/89

Despd 21-2-89

Keep this pending. There is a
reminder today from Mr
10/30

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed to
what head certain charges
should be debited

FROM
THE
COLLECTOR OF

TO
THE

Dated
Despatched
Received
189

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

SOUTH ARCOT.

No.

117 of 89

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Cuddalore

DATED 13th February 1899

FROM

L. M. Weyuch Esq. J. C. S.
Acting COLLECTOR OF SOUTH ARCOT.

TO

THE Plague Commissioner
Madras.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES :-

With reference to the appointment of Town-
ammal as an Inspection Station, I have the
honour to request you to be good enough to inform
me to what head, the charges should be debited.
According to the classification at pages 129 & 130 of
the "Plague Regulations and Rules" the pay of Mr. Corra
and his Travelling and other allowances &c. should be
debited to "Provincial" Direct "24-D" Grants for
Medical purposes" (4). The other charges appear to
fall under II "Local" "Direct Charges" 24-D.
(1) In para 2 of G. O. No. 7 Financial dated 3rd January
1899 it was decided that each municipality should
bear its own charges. The charges of the Inspection
Station appear therefore to be debitable to the Municipality.
But from our conversation on the 3rd instant
I understood that the charges were to fall on Local
fund. I request your early instructions. The Camp is
within municipal limits. I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

W. J. Hudson

for Ag. Collector

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

(1899)

CURRENT No. 3097

Progs.

Letter

Ref. on: Carno. 3097 989

Forwarded to the Financial

Department for favor of very early
orders on this office Ref on: Car no:
1517 & 2030 989 & 8.2-89 & 152-89
respectively.

From

The Collector of

South Arcot.

Reference No. 117 of 89

Dated 11th March 1899.

Received

Registered 13-3-99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to favour reply
to certain references made on the
13th February 1899.

Requesting early orders on his reference
regarding the debit of expenditure
incurred in connection with the inspection
Station at Tiruvannamalai

BACK PAPERS.

No	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

SOUTH ARCOT.

Reference on No. 117 of 89

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

DATED 11th March 1899

FROM

L. M. Selys, Esquire J.C.S.
Acting COLLECTOR OF SOUTH ARCOT.

TO

THE

Plague Commissioner
Madras.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES :—

I have the honour to request the
favour of an early reply to my letter
No. 117 dated 13th February 1899 request-
ing to be informed whether the Charges
of Railway Inspection Station at
Tiruvannamalai should be debited
to "Local" or "Municipal" Funds.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

L. M. Selys

11. 3. 89.

For Ag. Collector.