[L. & M.
1,000—16-5-99.]

# Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

## Plague.

MISCELLANEOUS.

**G.O., No.** 88/P

**DATED** 12—6—99

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Plague Expenditure

Recording G.O. Financial issuing instructions relating to the classification of ——.

Recd
20/5/99

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cno | 1746 P | | | | | | |

Recd
27/5/99

---

# Government {1899} of Madras.

## FINANCIAL.

u/

C.A.

Show Kurnool G.O. in which it was said that the scheme was intended to benefit the whole Dt & not Kurnool alone & also G.O. debiting these charges in the proportion of 2/3 - 3/4

26/5

Cases 3 of [illegible] 547 [illegible]

C.N. 1145 P.

Keep till the other papers complete

29/5

Recd. } P. C. 26 MAY 1899 } 1899.
Regd. } 26-5-99

Enclosures

Spare copies 6

### G.O., No. 615, 17th May 1899.

Cur. ~~[illegible]~~ 1145 P.
1146
1147

& Pcs ~~[illegible]~~, 4285, 4607, 21352.

G.O. No 828/14 Misc 12-6-99
Recorded

29. v. 99.

Dcns 26/5/99

Note A separate note has been submitted regarding G.O. No 615.

**MAY.**

### Plague Expenditure.

Directing that expenditure in connection with Road Frontier Inspection Stations and Observation Circles be debited to Local or Municipal Funds, according to the area in which the stations and circles are situated, and that charges in connection with Railway Inspection Stations and plague camps and subordinate staff attached thereto be debited to Provincial—Direct.

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

---

Read—again the following paper:—

G. O. No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899.

Abstract.—Directing that the charges for all plague establishments needed for general control and expenditure incurred in connection with such establishments be borne by the State and paid from Provincial funds, while charges for similar establishments required for local control be paid from Local or Municipal funds; and issuing certain further orders in connection therewith.

---

*Read*—also the following papers:—

Plague Commissioner's Note, Ref. on C. No. 1352, dated 19th April 1899.

---

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner, Reference on C. No. 4605, dated 2nd May 1899.

---

1352 & 4605 have been sent to Ooty.

*Read*—Letter from the President, District Board, Cuddapah, dated 25th April 1899, No. 628.

With reference to G.O. Nos. 479 and 480 of 4th April 1899, I have the honour to enquire if road-frontier stations, of which I have one at Chegalaboyulu near Madanapalli, are to be charged to Local or Provincial. A road frontier station seems to me to fall under general control and therefore to be properly a Provincial charge, but the matter is open to doubt and I do not know what orders are issued on this point for the Presidency.

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner, Ref. on C. No. 4605, dated 2nd May 1899.

Submitted to Government, Financial Department for favour of orders, in continuation of this office Note, Reference on C. No. 1352, dated 19th April 1899. I agree with the Collector.

M. S. MASCARENHAS,
for *Plague Commissioner*.

---

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner, No. 1209, dated 3rd May 1899.

*Read*—Letter from the Collector of Salem, dated 27th April 1899, Ref. No. 799-P.C.

I have the honour to state that out of the provision made in my budget for the Jalarpet Inspection Station, that for 4 Hospital Assistants and 4 Inspectresses only has been transferred in G.O. No. 520, Financial, dated 17th Instant to Provincial, the rest being treated as Local. The entire charges including the staff at the camps and the cost of their construction and maintenance are, I consider, required in the general interests of the Presidency and therefore for general control as defined in G. O. No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899. For purely local purposes the camps and their staff are not necessary and such an enormous outlay would never have been dreamt of. The Salem District does not benefit by the Inspection

Station and Camps which are an indispensable adjunct to it any more than other districts. The case is, however, perhaps different with regard to our Road Frontier and ghât Inspection Stations. I think therefore and strongly urge that the entire expenditure of the Jalarpet Inspection Station including the sheds should be met from Provincial Funds. Pending your orders I have directed that the bills should continue to be passed from Local Funds.

Proceedings No. 1209, dated 3rd May 1899.

The Plague Commissioner agrees with the Collector in considering that the entire cost of the Jalarpet Camp should be debited to Provincial Funds. The necessary alterations in the accounts should be made with effect from 1st April 1898 as directed in para. 6 of G.O. No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899.

2. The Collectors of Kistna, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur, North Arcot, Coimbatore, Chingleput and South Arcot are requested to take similar action with regard to the Railway Inspection Stations in their Districts. Plague Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1168, dated 28th April is cancelled. The charges referred to therein should be charged to Provincial Funds.

3. The question whether the G.O., quoted above refers to Road Frontier Inspection Stations and Observation Circles has been submitted for the orders of Government.

M. S. MASCARENHAS,
for *Plague Commissioner*.

---

Order—No. 615, Financial, dated 17th May 1899.

Road Frontier Inspection Stations and Observation Circles afford protection which is local in its character; while Railway Inspection Stations protect the Presidency in general. Expenditure in connection with the former will therefore be treated as Local or Municipal, according to the area in which the inspection stations or observation circles are situated. Charges in connection with Railway Inspection Stations will be treated as Provincial—Direct.

2. The cost of construction and maintenance of plague camps at the Railway Inspection Stations and of the subordinate staff employed at these camps will also be debited to Provincial—Direct.

(True Extract.)

G. Stokes

*Chief Secretary.*

To the Local and Municipal Department.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Surgeon-General.
,, All Collectors.

# Government {1899} of Madras.

## FINANCIAL.

CN° 1146P.

*Recd.* }
*Regd.* } 26-6-99 } 1899.

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies* 6

---

**G.O., No. 616, 18th May 1899.**

---

**MAY.**

## Plague Charges.

Informing the Accountant-General that it was not the intention of G. O. No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899 to debit to Local bodies the salaries of Government officers deputed to carry out plague measures and that these proceedings were intended to apply only to the classification of expenditure incurred at Ports, at Railway and Road Frontier Inspection Stations and in Observation Circles.

### GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G. O., No. 261, Financial, dated 18th February 1899.

Abstract.—Communicating to the Local and Municipal Department letter from the Government of India forwarding copy of correspondence with the Government of Bombay regarding the principles on which Plague expenditure should be regulated.

G.O., Nos. 479, 480, Financial, dated 4th April 1899.

Abstract.—Directing that the charges for all plague establishments needed for general control and expenditure incurred in connection with such establishments be borne by the State and paid from Provincial funds, while charges for similar establishments required for local control be paid from Local or Municipal funds; and issuing certain further orders in connection therewith. Copy to the Government of India.

Read—also the following paper:—

Extract from letter from the Accountant-General, No. T/A, dated 7th May 1899:—

In the G. O. above referred to [No. 479, Financial, dated 4th April 1899], Government have made no reference to the Government of India orders (No. 4547-A, dated 15th October 1898, paras. 4 and 5) directing that in the Bombay Presidency expenditure on account of salaries, &c., of Government Officers should not be charged directly upon Local Funds. The Plague Commissioner concurs with me in advising the adoption of these orders. Statement A attached to this letter shows approximately the amounts that will have to be transferred from Provincial to Local on account of 1898-99 if the Government of India orders in question are *not* adopted. Some local bodies may be well able to bear these charges for which, according to the Government of India orders, they are to be held liable and it therefore seems advisable to add * * * * that local bodies will be liable to be called on for contributions on this account.

### ENCLOSURE.

### Statement A.

Memo. showing the approximate charges incurred during 1898-99 which should be transferred from Provincial to Local.

| Districts. | Salary of officers including travelling allowance and exchange compensation allowance. | | | Establishments. | | | Contingencies. | | | Total. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs. | A. | P. | Rs. | A. | P. | Rs. | A. | P. | Rs. | A. | P. |
| Kistna ... ... | 1,481 | 1 | 10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,481 | 1 | 10 |
| Cuddapah ... ... | 3,760 | 8 | 11 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3,760 | 8 | 1 |
| Anantapur ... ... | 8,993 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 2 | 7 | ... | ... | ... | 9,025 | 3 | 8 |
| Bellary ... ... | 6,803 | 8 | 5 | 250 | 4 | 6 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 7,075 | 12 | 10 |
| Kurnool ... ... | 5,015 | 4 | 8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,015 | 4 | 8 |
| North Arcot ... ... | 8,796 | 15 | 2 | 1,108 | 10 | 4 | 220 | 13 | 2 | 10,126 | 6 | 8 |
| Salem ... ... | 11,387 | 10 | 4 | 234 | 15 | 6 | 170 | 15 | 9 | 11,793 | 9 | 7 |
| Presidency ... ... | 72,722 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... | ... | 5 | 10 | 8 | 72,728 | 1 | 1 |
| Total... | 1,18,960 | 8 | 10 | 1,626 | 0 | 11 | 419 | 7 | 6 | 1,21,006 | 1 | 3 |

Order—No. 616, Financial, dated 18th May 1899.

The Accountant-General will be informed that it was not the intention of G. O., No. 479, Financial, dated the 4th April 1899, to debit to local bodies the salaries of Government officers who may be deputed to carry out plague measures. That order was intended to apply only to the classification of expenditure incurred at Ports, at Railway and Road Frontier Inspection Stations and in Observation Circles. In accordance with the orders of the Government of India, expenditure on account of the salaries, &c., of officers of Government in various departments, including doctors and nurses procured from England, who may be specially deputed, wholly or in addition to their own duties, to plague duty should be met entirely out of Government funds and should not be charged directly upon the funds of municipalities or local bodies.

Para. 4 of Finance and Commerce Department letter No. 4547-A, dated the 15th October 1898, on page 23 of G. O. No. 261, Financial, dated 18th February 1899.

(True Extract.)

*Chief Secretary.*

To the Accountant-General.
,, the Local and Municipal Department.
,, the Surgeon-General.
,, All Collectors.

# Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 114/P.

**From** The Financial Department

*Order*

No 622

**Dated** 22nd May 1899

**Received**
**Registered** } 26th May 99

**Enclosures**

**Spare copies**

## SUBJECT.

Referring the Accountant Genl. to G.os no. 615 of 17th and no. 616 of 18th May and requesting him to furnish at an early date a statement in print of the actual plague expenditure incurred in 1898-99 under Provincial, Local & Munl.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

---

Financial Department.

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

----

Read the following extract from letter from the Accountant General No.T/A, dated 7th May 1899:-

Para. 6. "If Government sanction the proposed rules " I propose to call upon all local bodies concerned to submit " detailed statements showing the charges already incurred by " them which are according to the new rules properly debitable " to Provincial. The statements will have to be supported by " vouchers so that the charges may be audited in my office."

---

Read also G.O.No.586,Financial, dated 9th May 1899.

--

ORDER:-No. 622, dated 22nd May 1899.

The Accountant-General will be referred to G.O's Nos. 615 and 616 Financial, dated the 17th and the 18th May 1899, respectively, and to reference No. 1310, dated 18th May 1899 which appear to settle all the doubtful questions of classification of Plague charges as between PROVINCIAL and LOCAL. He will be requested to furnish at an early date a statement in print of the actual plague expenditure incurred in 1898-99 under each of the heads PROVINCIAL, LOCAL (by districts) MUNICIPAL (by Municipalities), forwarding copies of the statement both to the Financial and to the Local and Municpal departments.

(True Extract)

for Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
,, Local and Municipal Dept.

C.R.

U

Show these G.Os

26/5

615 & 616 submitted

This was probably assumed to A.G. alone.

Recd 24/5/99

20.5.99

L. & M. Dept.
1,000—26-1-99.

NOTE FOR THE Secretary

I remember that G.O. No. 615 Financial dt 17.5.99 was sent to this Dept for c.i. It is submitted therefore that the original of the G.O. may be obtained & looked into before sending the note below to Fin. Dept. A requisition for the original is submitted for signature

30.5.99

The Financial G.O. (original) is put up. It will be seen from flag A that the note in the G.O. was sent to L & M for c.i.

3/6/99

We need not interfere: I have discussed the matter with the CS

7 vi 99

P.T.O.

---

~~Forwarded to Financial Dept~~

Forwarded to Financial for favour of opinion – The throwing of all the Frontier Establishments in Bellary & Kurnool on the Local Bds. of these two Districts will be a very heavy burden – and it is certainly very hard on the Frontier districts that they shd. have to bear such a much heavier expenditure than districts in the interior which distinctly benefitted G.O. No 93 F. dt 26-2-98 by the Frontier arrangements.

I do not think the Finl. G.O. 615 came to L & M Dept for C.I. If it did not I think it shd. have done so.

30-v-99

---

NOTE FOR THE Secy.

Ref. G.O. No 615 Financial dt 17-5-99 (recd today).

In this G.O. the Government says "Road frontier Inspection Stations and Observation circles afford protection which is local in its character; while Railway Inspection Stations protect the Presidency in general. Expenditure in connection with the former will therefore be treated as Local or Municipal according to the area in which the inspection stations or observation circles are situated".

2. The Dts of Bellary & Kurnool were the first to be placed under Observation. The Dt Boards & Municipalities were not consulted, not even the Collectors. A large amount of expenditure was suddenly thrown upon them. They do not know when it will cease. In March 1898, the Collr of Bellary (vide his letter, para 2 on page 4 of G.O. No 547 Fin dt 18-6-98)

L. & M. Dept.,
1,030—26-1-99.

represented that the observation schemes were for the protection of the whole Presidency & not merely for that of the Dts placed under obsn, & suggested that all local boards should be required to contribute towards such of the charges as were debitable to Local Funds. The Government admitted the force of this argument and directed in para 3 of G.O. No 547 Financial dt 18-6-98 that the portion of plague expenditure in frontier districts and which ~~is~~ was not Provincial should be distributed in certain proportions among all the Districts in the Presidency. This distribution has now been followed for more than one year.

3. Again in G.O. No 1037 P., dt 8-9-98, the Govt made a clear declaration that "the object of "placing the Kurnool district "under obsn & of organizing "obsn circles . . . was not simply "to protect the Kurnool Dt, but "to protect the Presidency generally." A similar declaration may be made with respect to other Dts under observation.

4. The Financial Dept now rules that observation scheme afford protection which is local in its character. It is no doubt the province of that Dept to settle the principles which should guide the classification of plague charges, as has been done in G.O. 479 Fin dt 4-4-98 but the administration of plague is vested in the Local & Municipal Dept and it is submitted it must be left to this Dept to decide what measures ~~affr~~ are intended for local protection & what for general protection. At any rate the issue of contradictory orders must lead to confusion of accounts & unnecessary work.

5. It is therefore suggested that orders may be taken as to whether G.O. No 615 Fin dt 17-5-99 should ~~Stand~~ be modified with reference to G.O. No 547 Fin dt 18-6-98 & G.O. No 1037 P. dt 8-9-98, or whether it should stand & should be considered as modifying the earlier G.O.s

L.M.J.
26/5/99

L. & M. Dept.
1,030—26-1-99.

Plague Commissioner's Office,

dated 17th April 1899.

Ref. on C. No. 1352

**NOTE FOR THE FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.**

Am I right in considering the principles laid down in G.O.479 Finl: dated 4-4-99 mean that all charges in connexion with inspection on the frontier, not only by rail but also by road ~~(both rail~~ and Sea, ~~and~~ also in connexion with frontier observation circles ~~port~~) are to be borne by Provincial? If so, it would seem that the passed ~~[illegible]~~ subsequently estimates of plague charges ~~at the ports of Mangalore and Calicut~~ to G.O. No. 479 of 1899 require revision.

19/4

Plague Commissioner.

H.S.
18.4.99
R.C.

Ov 19/4

Have the estimates for frontier districts been framed under G.O. 479?
18/4

Ganjam
Ests of Godavari, Kistna, Vizag, Coimbatore, Cuddapah & South Canara — the last 2 have been sent to G.O. for sanction
19/4

Have charges on account of frontier defence been debited to Provincial

Despd 19.4.99

[G./75]
5,630—21-11-98.

Financial Dept

NOTE FOR THE C. A.

Current No. 192. B In G. O. No. 576 Financial, dated 4th July 1898, it was laid down that, as every tract of country is bound to protect itself from disease (plague) from whatever source it ~~[illegible]~~ may originate, the charges incurred for the protection of Tuticorin and the tracts in the interior entered through Tuticorin from the chance of importation of plague by vessels coming from infected ports should be borne by Local and Municipal Funds. It was also laid down

Para 4 →

C (G. O. No. 1122 Fin'l dated 28th November 98 — para 20) that the portion of Local expenditure would be distributed, if necessary, among the several districts of the Presidency in the proportion indicated in G. O. No. 547 dated 18th June 1898. (para 3).

A 2. In G. O. No. 7, Financial, dated 3rd January 1899, Government considered it unnecessary to maintain G. O. No. 900 M

D dated 18th April 1897 as regards the distribution of local plague charges in each district between the district board and the municipalities in that district and directed that each municipality ~~[illegible]~~ shall bear its own charges.

C 23-1-99
R 24-1-99

The Plague Commissioner enquires if G.O. No 7 Fin! dated 3rd January 1899 affects the decision in para 4 of G.O. No 576 Finl dated 4th July 1898 as amended by para 20 of G.O. No 1122 Fin! dated 28th November 1898 –

It is submitted that the rulings in the two G.Os are distinct. The one specifies that the charges incurred for the protection of Tuticorin shall ~~should~~ be borne in equal proportions ~~[illegible]~~ between (a) Provincial and (b) ~~Local~~ Municipal & Local funds ~~[illegible]~~ — The other maintains that no distribution of local charges in each district between the district board and the municipalities in each district is necessary, and that each municipality shall ~~[illegible]~~ bear its own charges. In other words, G.O. No 7 of 1899 lays down a general rule that each municipality shall ~~should~~ bear its charges and that there ~~is~~ shall be no distribution of charges between local and municipal funds; but G.O. No 576 Finl is applicable to Tuticorin as a special case.

JS
17-1-99

For the C.S.

The above appears to be the correct view & sub? that the P.C. may be so informed

CWB
18-1-99

~ H.M.

Draft 26-1
Jaffree
MA
23.1.99

Yes. It may I think be looked on in this light. The case is a special one ~~but~~ because the charge is incurred not only or even primarily for the protection of Tuticorin Municipality but for that of the whole of ~~the~~ S. India of which Tuticorin is the gate.

H 21-1-9

---

NOTE FOR THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER

It is difficult to follow the note of the Financial Dept in this matter.

G.O. No 883 Fin dt 13-10-98 para 19 states that municipal Councils need not contribute to plague expenditure in other Dts.

G.O. No 7. Fin dt 3-1-99 states that they need not also contribute to plague expenditure in their own district, but should bear their own expenditure.

But an exception has been made in the case of the Tuticorin Municipality, and the reasons for treating it as a special case are thus stated — "The case is a special one because the Charge is incurred not only or even primarily for the protection of Tuticorin Municipality, but for that of the whole of South India of which Tuticorin is ~~the~~ its gate."

This statement however does not agree with the Collr's report. In his letter printed on page 2 of G.O. No 576 Fin dt 4-7-98 the Collr said that the expenditure in question was being incurred solely with a view to prevent the importation of plague into Colombo, and that the Ceylon Govt offered to meet this expenditure but the Madras Govt declined. It is submitted that the examination of passengers going to Ceylon with the object of preventing plague getting into there does not protect the whole of S. India or any part of S. India. This charge is however Provincial.

In the same letter, the Collr also reported that the staff was also engaged in examining arrivals by railway at the Tuticorin Ry Station. ~~This~~ Similar examination is also done at all other municipalities & the examination at Tuticorin protects the rest of India no more than the similar prevention arrangements at other places. It is therefore submitted that there is nothing special in the Tuticorin arrangement.

It is stated in para ~~[illegible]~~ 2-(ii) of G.O. No 576 Fin dt 4-7-98 that the expenditure is incurred for the protection of

"of Tuticorin and the tracts in
"the interior entered through
"Tuticorin from the chance of
"importation of plague by
"vessels coming from infected ports"

~~The infected~~ Now almost every important port in the Madras Presidency takes exactly the same precautions under the Regulations printed on pages 46-54 of the Plague Manual, and there is nothing special as regards Tuticorin; The only infected ports now are in the Bombay Presidency & there is more danger of importing plague through the ports in Malabar & S. Canara than through Tuticorin.

The case of Tuticorin is therefore special only as regards expenditure incurred on account of Ceylon, which is Provincial & not as regards that incurred for the protection of Tuticorin itself, which is debitable to ~~[illegible]~~ municipal funds –

28/8/98

Please see H[illegible]
[illegible]

31/8/98

500—29-11-98.

NOTE FOR THE

Can you tell me on what paper the assertion in para 2(i) of G.O. 576/98 is based? His [illegible] the present standing Hosp. I cannot find the authority for it.

[illegible]

Please see H.M's note on the original of G.O. No $\frac{576}{4-7-98}$ d/-

Ling
31/1/99

J.G. [illegible] is [illegible] above [illegible] no authority for this [illegible] as far as I can see. But [illegible] if passengers coming in by sea are examined by this staff?

31/1 [illegible]

Yes - vide entry in col. 8 of Estab. list - but this inspection of passengers is done at other ports also.

Ling
31/1/99

e.g. in Calicut where a special Asst. Surgeon has been sanctd. for the purpose - vide G.O. No $\frac{1040}{\text{Press}}$ 28-12-98 and

---

500—29-11-98.

NOTE FOR THE Chief Asst.
Jud. Dept.

The Plague Commr. wishes to know the authority for the statement in para 2(ii) of G.O. No 576 Jud. d/ 4-7-98. Will you kindly give the information?

Mascarenhas
30/1/99

Ref.

Conl
30.1

Submd. ~~a~~
a requisition for the G.O. is also submd.
30/1/99.

To.

P.A. to P.C.

Please see H.M's note in the G.O.

Conl
31.1.99

$\frac{152 P}{7-3-98}$

500—29-11-98.

NOTE FOR THE F. C.

The only places at which Asst. Surgeons have been specially appointed to inspect vessels ~~at~~ are Calicut & Tuticorin.

At Cocanada, a Hospital Asst. has been appointed Asst. Port Health Officer for inspecting vessels & is paid Rs 5 for each inspection from Port & Marine Fund.

At Cuddalore, the Municipal Hospital Asst. attends to such inspection & is paid plague allowance of Rs 10 a month from Municipal funds. The same course is adopted at Cannanore, Cochin & Tellicherry.

In S. Canara, there is a special Hospital Asst for this duty at Hangarkatta. At Malpe & Gangoli, the work is done by the local apothecaries. At Mangalore, an apothecary is specially appointed on Rs 100 pay & Rs 50 allowance & the

Cost is shared in equal proportions by the Municipality & the Port Board under G.O. No. 856 dt 25-2-98.

The question as regards the proportion is now pending in the Fin. Dept.

Subm
2/2/99

Await orders

Vide Cno 1908
[illegible] file
17/2/99

---

500—29-11-98.

NOTE FOR THE Chief Assistant Financial Dept.

The Plague Commr. has told me to ask you to ~~[illegible]~~ kindly get the reference about distribution of plague charges in the Presidency disposed of at an early date. The accompanying file (blue note) awaits its disposal.

6/2/99

CHIEF SECRETARY 8 FEB 1899

To PA DRC

The paper is being pushed on as desired

7.2.99

---

Cno 1352/99

Reg.

The continuance of the apothecary is sanctioned.

~~As regards the proportion~~ The Plague Commr. is of opinion that G.O. No. 7 dt 3-1-99 should be universally applied, and ~~[illegible]~~ that there is not sufficient reason for treating Tuticorin (G.O. No 536 [illegible] 2-98), Calicut (G.O. No 1567 [illegible]), Mangalore (G.O. 851 dt 25-2-98) exceptionally. At present arrangements are in force at every port in the Presidency for inspection of vessels and of persons arriving by sea from infected ports. In some places this duty is done by special establishment and in others by ordinary establishment. The preventive arrangements at place do not only benefit that place but indirectly protect the of the Presidency, and it cannot urged that ~~[illegible]~~ any particular confers more protection than others. In the case of Tuticorin,

500—29-11-98.

3. Further the orders requiring that a portion of the ~~[illegible]~~ salary of the Asst Surgeons at Tellicherry & Calicut should be borne by Local & Municipal funds seems opposed to the principle laid down in G.O. No 920 Fin d/5-10-89 & followed in framing the monthly plague estimates that all charges on account of temporary medical officers should be debited to Provincial funds.

Lws
2/12/98

To the Collrs of S. Canara
[illegible] Fin Dept

---

# PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

## (1899)

**CURRENT No.** 4485

DV 1/5

**From** The Pt. Dt. Board
Cuddapah.

Refn C No: 4605 of 98

**Order**

Submitted to Govt. Fin Dept for favor of orders in continuation of this office note refn: C No: 1352 d/17. 4. 98. The Govt has already ~~[illegible]~~ recognized that the plague precautionary measures in frontier Dts such as observation schemes, not only benefit those Dts but also directly protect other parts of the Presidency as well (vide G.O. ~~[illegible]~~ No ~~54~~ 547 Fin d/18-6-98) and hence there can be little doubt that the charges referred to by the Collrs should be charged to Provincial funds in accordance with the ruling in G.O. No 479 Fin d/4-4-98.

&/
1.5.99

**No.** 628

**Dated** 25-4-99

**Received** 1-5-99

**Registered** 1-5-99

**Enclosures**

**Spare copies**

× [illegible] this before [illegible]
S.V.C.
[illegible]

H

Lws
1/5/99

Copy with the note. See ~~[illegible] G.O. 567 d. 18.11.98~~

by 2/5

To Secy to Govt Fin Dept L&O
Collr of Cuddapah S&O
Copy to all other Collrs L&O

**SUBJECT.**

Enquiring whether the charges of Road Frontier stations should be charged to Local or Provincial.

Despd 3/5/99

[illegible]

## BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
| | |

---

C No 4605

~~Disposal~~ Reference No. 628 / D. B. of 1899.

P. C.
1 - MAY. 1899

Enclosure.

Cuddapah District Board's Office,
Dated 25th April 1899.
Despatched 29 . 4 . 1899.

From

H O D Harding Esquire I.C.S.
~~The~~ President, District Board,
CUDDAPAH.

To

The Plague Commissioner
Madras

Sir

With reference to G. O 479 and 480 of 4. 4. 99, I have the honour to enquire if road frontier Stations, of which I have one at Chegalaboyala, near Madanapalli, are the charge to Local or Provincial. A road frontier Station seems to me to fall under General Control, & therefore to be properly a Provincial charge, but the matter is open to doubt & I do not know what orders are issued on this point for the Presidency.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

189.

### Local Fund Department.

From
The President District Board,
CUDDAPAH.

To
The

Dated }
Despatched } 189 .

No. D. B of 189 .

Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

---

1,000—7-2-99.

NOTE FOR THE P. C

No 972
~~1324~~

Invite the Pres.?

Plague Commrs Procgs containing the 3 references referred to in G.O. No 479 Fin is put up.

2. As regards ports, charges are incurred for ~~examining~~ (a) inward bound vessels & (b) outward bound vessels. ~~[illegible]~~ Arrangements for (a) protect the local area while those for (b) benefit foreign places.

3. I read para 5 of G.O. No 479 Fin as referring to (b) only.

(4). The charges referred to in this current come under (a). In the budget for April sanctd subsequent to G.O. No 479 the charge is debited to municipal. Vide para (7) of the estimate filed with Procgs No 1076 d/ 17-4-99.

Lms 17/4/99

Ref on L. Cr 1352

D/17/4

Note for Fin.l Dept. (type)

Am I right in considering the principle laid down in ~~[illegible]~~ G.O. 479 Fin d/ 4-4-99 ~~[illegible]~~ that all charges in connexion with operations in the frontier (both rail & port) are to be borne by Provl.

If so, it wd seem that the estimates ~~[illegible]~~ of quarantine charges at the ports of Mangalore & Calicut ~~[illegible]~~ require revision.

---

Note.

The facts relating to each port are submitted below.

(1.) Tuticorin.

An establishment consisting of an apothecary & a female Hospital Assistant was first entertained at the port with a view to prevent the importation of plague into Columbo. Subsequently the Port Health Officer, among other duties was directed to inspect all arrivals at the Tuticorin Ry. Station. The Collector of Tinnevelly decided that the Tuticorin Municipal Council should bear 50 p.c of the pay of these establishments, the contingent charges being borne by Govt. in full. The Sectn. Genl. objected to this procedure, & contended that the full amount should be debited to municipal funds. The Government passed orders that generally such

16/4

such charges must be borne by Local & Municipal fund, but made an exception in the case of Tuticorin & accepted the Collector's proposal to distribute the plague charges incurred at Tuticorin in equal proportions between (1) Provincial, & (2) Municipal & Local Funds, as such charges were incurred (1) for the protection of Ceylon & other foreign ports & (2) for the protection of Tuticorin & other interior parts of the Presidency entered through Tuticorin from the chance of being infected by vessels coming from infected parts. The charges on account of the second were rightly debited to "Local & Municipal funds", while those of the 1st. though strictly debitable to 'Port funds' were ordered to be borne by Govt. (Provincial) on account of work undertaken by this Government.

G. O. No. 576 Fin.
4.7.98

Flag M.

The following is the distribution ordered by Govt.

50 p.c — Provincial
~~[illegible]~~ — × { Local
the remaining 50 p.c. — { Municipal
~~[illegible]~~

× In the Estat. list received from the Colr., this has been divided equally bet: local & municipal.

(2) **Calicut.**

The Surgeon Genl. reported that the medical inspn. of the vessels coming to this port could not be done by the Dt. Medical & Sanitary Officer or the Asst. Surgeon without prejudice to their own duties, and applied to Govt. for sanctioning the appointment of a temporary Asst. Surgeon on Rs. 100 with a deputation allowance of Rs 2 per diem. At first his proposal was not sanctioned & he was asked to report the no of vessels inspected daily, & why, when the work was done the previous year, without the aid of any such Asst., a new hand was required that year, and whether the work could not safely be done by the existing staff. The Surgeon Genl. furnished replies to the above queries, & it was decided by Govt. in the Public Dept. that a temporary Asst. Surgeon be sanctioned on a salary of Rs. 100 per mensem & daily allowance of Rs. 2. The charges in this case also were ordered to be distributed in equal proportions between (1) Provincial & (2) Municipal & Local funds, 'was decided' as in the case of Tuticorin.

G. O. No 1368 Public
11-11-98.

Flag R

(3.) Mangalore

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mangalore reported that the duty of inspecting all vessels at the port should be entrusted to a man of better qualifications than an Hospital Assistant who was originally deputed for the purpose, & stated that ~~on~~ the Council, ~~owing to~~ ~~the bad financial condition~~ *

taken by this Government.

~~of the Municipality~~, was unable to bear his emoluments which including his allowance amounted to Rs. 100, and addressed Govt. to sanction the charge from Provincial funds. The reasons he advanced were (1) that the actuals considerably exceeded the budget grant, & (2) that owing to the reduction in the Professional tax assessments to half the usual amount, the financial equilibrium of the Municipality had been considerably disturbed, & that the Council was unable to decide from what source the large unforeseen expenditure on account of plague purposes could be met. The Collector remarked that the Apothecary was entitled to no extra allowance as he was only transferred from duty at the Munl. Hospital to duty at the Port. The question being referred to the Surgeon Genl., he was of opinion that as the Apothecary's work was hard & trying, he would recommend the grant of an allowance of Rs. 25. The Surgeon Genl. was again asked to report whether the Dt. Medical & Sanitary Officer or Asst. Surgeon could not be entrusted with the duty of inspecting the steamers & examining the passengers. & he replied that ~~it~~ this could not be done without serious detriment to their legitimate work. But Govt. dissented from the Surgeon General's opinion & ordered that the Dt. Medical & S. Officer & Asst. Surgeon should share the work between themselves & that if an additional apothecary be required for plague inspection work, in spite of the above arrangement, the Dt. Board should pay half his ~~sh~~ salary (the allowance not being sanctioned), as the preventive measures

6

G.O. No. 85-P.
25/2/98
Flag N

measures benefited the whole Dt. In this case, the charge was ordered to be distributed equally between Municipal & Local Funds.

(4) Negapatam.

The Chairman, Municipal Council addressed Govt. to sanction a moiety of the Plague charges incurred at that port, from Provincial funds as was done in the case of Tuticorin, and in so doing, contended ~~too as a precedent in applying to Govt., [illegible]~~ that as there was a good deal of passengers & traffic ~~bet~~ ~~between~~ between Negapatam & the Straits Settlements & Rangoon, the circumstances of the port were analogous to those of Tuticorin. But Govt. took a different view & thought the circumstances of the two were not similar. The reason in treating Tuticorin as an exceptional case was that a special establishment was maintained at Tuticorin & (2) that the expenditure on account of this establishment was greater than what the municipality would have incurred but for the special circumstances of the port. But in the case of Negapatam no special establishment was maintained & consequently the expenditure incurred was in no way greater than what would otherwise have been. Thus Govt. declined to comply with the ~~[illegible]~~ Chairman's request. In this case the charge should be borne entirely by the municipality, as laid down in G.O. No 7 Fin. 3.1.99

Wd.
2.3.99

G.O. No 214. Fin.
11.2.99
Flag Z

Cho 1352/99

Drafts

The continuance of the apothecary is sanctioned.

2. The question whether G.O. No 7 Fin. d/ 3-1-99 affects the distribution of the plague charges incurred at the port of Mangalore will be submitted for the orders of Government. In this G.O.; the Govt. ~~considers it un~~ directs that each municipality shall bear its own charges

Flag A.

3. The special plague inspection staff at the port of Mangalore consists of one apothecary on Rs 100 pay + Rs 50 allowance and of the following menial servants—

Flag D.

1 peon on Rs 7
1 cooly – Rs 6
1 " Rs 2
2 boatmen – Rs 15.

As the ordinary medical establishment of the town was unable to cope with the work of inspecting passengers arriving by sea, disinfecting their baggage, attending to the quarantine sheds on the beachside, the Govt. sanctioned the employment of a special apothecary by the municipality. In doing so, the

Govt expressed its opinion that the D. Board should pay half the salary of this officer as the purpose for which he was appointed benefitted the whole district (G.O. No 85 P. dt 25-2-98)

Flag N.

Nothing has since occurred to alter this position. Similar precautionary measures are also in force in the other ports of S. Canara, viz Hangarkatta, Malpe, Gangoli and Baindur; these ports not being municipalities, the cost of the arrangements is debited to Local Funds.

4. Precautions of a similar kind are being taken in the other Sea Coast Dts, the work being mostly done by the ordinary staff and, ~~those~~ remunerated, where necessary, by the grant of special allowances or fees. Cochin however has a special Hospital Assistant, while for Calicut ~~and~~ a special officer of the grade of Asst Surgeon has been sanctioned by Govt in G.O. No 1368 Public dt 11-11-98. Tuticorin also has a special establishment consisting of

Flag R.

| | |
|---|---|
| one Port Health Officer | Rs 250 |
| one Inspectress | Rs 100 |

This

Flag M.

~~This staff~~ The Port Health Officer was originally employed for the purpose of working the special Regulations enacted for the protection of Ceylon (G.O. No 149 Marine dt 22-2-97 and G.O. No 184 Marine dt 2-3-97).

Flag J
Q

The Ceylon Govt has since engaged a special medical officer of its own to examine persons proceeding to that Colony (vide Regulation 2 page 56 of the Plague Manual), and the special establishment at Tuticorin is now engaged in

(a) inspecting arrivals by railway under the Regulations printed on pages 8-21 of the Plague Manual

(b) inspecting vessels and passengers arriving at the ports under the Regulations printed on pages 46-54 of the Plague Manual

(c) inspecting passengers leaving Tuticorin for foreign ports under the Regulations printed on pages 55-60 of the Plague Manual.

These arrangements are not peculiar to Tuticorin but are more or less in force at all other ports and railway station in the Madras Presidency. They benefit directly the places where they are in force and indirectly the rest of the Presidency. There is no reason to assume that plague is ~~be~~ more likely to be imported through Tuticorin than through Cocanada or Tellicherry. The facts are therefore not such as to justify an exceptional treatment in the case of the ports of Tuticorin, Calicut & Mangalore, and I would recommend that all ports be treated alike.

5. The Govt. [illegible] has already recognized that the charges incurred for the protection of Ceylon and other foreign ports (Clause (c) of the previous paragraph) is a proper charge upon Provincial Funds. I have nothing to urge against the adoption of this principle or against the ruling that half the total plague charges at Tuticorin should be taken as the provincial share on this ~~[illegible]~~ account. But the principle should be applied to other ports in the Presidency.

6. As regards the remaining charges i.e. the expenditure incurred for the purposes set forth in clauses (a) & (b) of para 4 of this letter, the proper ~~[illegible]~~ procedure seems to be that laid down in G.O. No 7 Fin dt 3-1-99, viz that each local body shall bear its own charges, exception being of course made with regard to the cost of Ry Inspection stations and of Observation schemes, which should continue to be shared by ~~[illegible]~~ all Dt Boards. ~~[illegible]~~ If the Tuticorin, Calicut and Mangalore municipalities are allowed to debit a portion of their charges to Local funds, then they must also make a similar contribution to Dt Boards for charges incurred at non-municipal ports, and whatever mode of apportionment is decided upon, it should be applied to the whole Presidency.

Flag A.

Flag Y.

7. According to G.O. No 720 Fin dt 4-8-97 & the principles adopted in framing the monthly plague estimates, the salary of the Special

officer.

Special Asst. Surgeon at Calicut is a charge ~~upon~~ that must be met wholly from Provincial funds.

Dipy
3/2/99

7:3-1-99 SC
576:4-7-98 "
1122:28-11-98 "
883:13-10-98 "

CHIEF SECRETARY
12 JAN. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

N 11/A

192 Rul

Printed in G.O. No 6896 dt 18-7-98

A

B

C

NOTE FOR THE Financial Dept.

Does G.O. No 7 Fin dt 3-1-99 ~~affected~~ affect the decision in para 4 of G.O. No 576 Fin dt 4-7-98 (as amended by para 20 of G.O. No 1122 Fin dt 28-11-98) directing that certain charges incurred for the protection of Inter-comm should be borne by Local and Municipal Funds?

4/1.

Plague Commr.

PA
14-1-99

Dipy
11/1/99

To
the Financial Dept.

Recd 12-1-99
Regd 12-1-99

No 280, dt 27-1-99.

To.

The Plague Commr.

—

Please see the notes below.

Cms
26.1.99

27.1.9

# PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

## (1899)

This may lie over till orders are passed on the note to Govt of 19·4·99

22·4·99

Yes: Return for Depts orders

P. C.
18 APR 1899

**Progs.**
**Letter**
**Ref.**

No: 85 Plague / 25·2·98, 576 Gen / 4·7·98, 1368 Public / 11·11·98, 214 Gen / 11·2·99 returned

on: 22·4·99

22·4·99

**CURRENT No.** 4285

**From**

The Collector of
South Canara.

Reminder to
C. No. 336/Eng /99.

**Dated** 14th April 1899.

**Received** 18-4-99

**Registered** 18-4-99.

**Enclosures** "

**Spare copies** "

## SUBJECT.

Requesting that early orders may be passed on reference C. No. 336/Eng d/27. 1. 99 regarding continuance of the payment of the Contribution to the Municipality of Mang lore from the Dt. Board on account of the salary of Mangalore Port Health Officer.

BACK PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
|  |  |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| No. | Date. |
|---|---|
|  |  |

---

C No 4285

2000. 27—1—99.

Remainder to Plague Department.

C. No. 336/Eny/99.

SOUTH CANARA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Camp, Mangalore 14th April 1899.

FROM

A. F. Pinhey Esq.,

Ag Collector of South Canara,

To

The Plague Commissioner,

Madras.

Sir,

Cho 1352 of 99

I have the honour to invite your attention to my letter Reference No 336/Eny dated 27th January 1899 and to request that you will be good enough to pass early orders thereon as the contribution payable to the Municipality by the District Board from 25th November last remains still unpaid, as the District Board awaits your orders on the said reference for making the payment.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

for Ag Collector.

Compd
[illegible]
12.4.99.

[illegible]
12.4.99

DEPARTMENT.

Plague
22/4

April 22 Mangalore

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

*South Canara,*

4 January 99

TO

THE

The Plague Commissioner
Madras

*Dated*
*Despatched* 189 .
*Received*

№ 236/Plag

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

I have the honour to invite your attention to my letter Reference No 236/Plag dated 27th January 1899 and to request that you will be good enough to pass early orders thereon as to the [illegible] payable to the Municipality by the District Board from 25th November last remaining still unpaid as the District Board awaits your orders on the direct reference for making the payment

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
for Collector

---

Ref on C No 4607

A 1/5

Please fix Cols short
1/5

Note for the Accountant-General.

-----------

Your unofficial memorandum of the 14th instant is returned herewith with thanks and with the following remarks.

2. I agree with you in your proposed recommendation to Government that the orders of the Government of India contained in para 4 of the letter printed on page 23 of G.O. No.261 Fin., dated 18-2-99 directing that in Bombay the pay of certain classes of officers employed on plague duty should not be charged upon the funds of Municipalities or local funds, should be adopted in this Presidency. This ruling is in accordance with the practice in Madras with regard to Superior Medical Officers(vide in this connection para 1(1) of G.O.No.720 Fin., dated 4-9-97) .

Plague charges relating to [illegible] which are [illegible] at the Dept of Railway [illegible] establishment & [illegible] [illegible]

I doubt whether it was intended that the orders in this G.O. on this point should be cancelled by G.O.No.479 Fin.,dated 4-4-99.

3. Under the new orders, the entire cost of Railway, Port & Road Inspection stations, and of Frontier Observation Circles including the charges for plague sheds as well as those for establishment is debitable to Provincial. The charges on account of the sheds at Railway stations and on account of the staffs at road frontier stations and on observation circles have been very heavy & local bodies should in my opinion, be relieved of the large expenditure they undergone.

(they amount to 2 to 2½ lakhs.)

I recognise the attending on reclassif

but I consider it should be carried out in the interests of local bodies.

## General Rules.

4. Your proposed general rule 3 is an enumeration of the orders on particular cases rather than a definition of direct & indirect charge. But 3(a) appears opposed to G.O. ~~Rule 3~~ 215 Fin: d. 11.2.97.

It is difficult to frame a general rule to define what charges on account of pay of officers are direct and what indirect in accordance with the orders of Government. An instruction of what is to be treated as direct and what as indirect is given in the appendix to G.O. 698 Financial, dated 15-8-98.

6 Charges connected with new appointments created exclusively for plague duty are treated as direct and only medical expenditure on account of plague should be recorded as direct in the accounts ~~etc~~. "Those charges relating to appointments which are made with the object of strengthening existing establishments to cope with the addl work caused by plague are treated as indirect & debited to the proper service heads." So Medical officers deputed to plague duty exclusively are paid as a direct charge against 24A Medical. Military Officers employed as Special Plague Officers are debited to 24D grants for Medical Purposes. But when we come to Deputy Collectors on plague duty the charge is treated as indirect against 3A Land Revenue, and Policemen in the same way are debited to indirect 20 Police. It seems that with the exception of Medical Officers (the charge~~s~~ on whose account is booked direct because they are Medical) Government has looked rather to the position of the officers before appointment to plague duty than to the appointments held on plague duty. For Military officers appointed Special Plague Officers occupy the same

position with regard to Collectors and do the same work as Dy Collrs similarly appointed. Yet one is debited direct and the other indirect. Government has nowhere laid down (that I know of) the object of classifying as direct or indirect, nor defined what is direct, but has said what charges are to be debited direct or otherwise. The cause of the different treatment of charges on account of Military officers & Deputy Collectors seems to be that the former are not already provided for in the Civil Budget, while the latter are. If I am correct, the classification into direct and indirect depends not upon the nature of the appointment held on plague duty, but on the nature of the appointment held prior to appointment to plague duty. If this is admitted as being the reason for the different treatment of the two classes of officers fixed principles for classification may be discovered.

See A attached below

A. Direct plague charges.

( I think that so far as pay and allowances are concerned direct charges may be defined as those which are incurred ~~on account~~ to meet the pay & allces of officers entertained for duty whose pay & allowances of plague ~~and~~ have not been provided for in the Civil Budget under other heads and indirect ~~for~~ as those incurred ~~on account~~ to meet the pay & allowances of officers deputed from the Civil Establishment for plague duty, whose pay & allowances ~~of plague which~~ have been provided for under ~~other~~ the ordinary heads in the Civil Budget (other than Local & Municipal); ~~or to~~ be ~~more~~ specific.

(a) Charges on account of the pay of all officers newly entertained in the Civil Department for plague duty.

(b) Charges on account of the plague allowances of all officers.

A.

NOTE FOR THE

The expression "direct charges" & "indirect charges" ~~are~~ appear to me somewhat misleading. The charges which have to be met appear to be direct, but they may be met either directly ~~i.e.~~ by (a) allotments from unappropriated ~~[illegible]~~ amounts or by borrowing, or indirectly by transferring funds from other ~~[illegible]~~ heads to which they have been allotted

My view is therefore as follows —

So far as pay and allces are concerned direct charges (items) may be defined as those which are incurred to meet

(a) the pay and allowances of officers specially ~~entertained~~ in the Civil Estabt for plague duty where pay and allowances have not been provided for in the Civil Budget, & cannot be met by a transfer of allotment made for ~~that purpose~~ head by reduction ~~[illegible]~~ from the head under which they are made to the special head of plague; & (b) plague allowances; ~~and the direct charges as~~ those incurred to meet the ordinary allowances pay of officers deputed, from the Civil Establishment for plague duty whose pay and allowances have been provided for under the existing heads in the Civil Budget, other than Local & Municipal, & can be met by a transfer of ~~funds~~ allotments made for

such pay & allowances from the
head under which they are
made to the Special head
of plague. The exception
made for officers provided for
under Local & Municipal heads
is made as the funds provided
under those heads are not at
the disposal of the departments
but at the ~~individual~~ disposal of
~~the~~ the individual bodies working
under those departments.
All charges on account of
plague, other than charges
for pay & allowances appear
to be direct.
These we will have

A. Indirect charges.

~~Charges~~ of
~~the~~ Pay and ordinary allowances for duty on plague work exclusively
of officers deputed from any
of the Civil departments, other
than those drawing pay & allowances
ordinarily met from Local
& Municipal Funds.

B. Direct charges.

All other plague charges.
These will include.
(a) Pay of all officers newly

9 of allowances

[ Note
This means that pay might be
debited to one head & allowances
to another. But compare
the sources of income of
Madras Corporation.
21/4 ]

of the whole to shape the whole of

2.

~~NOTE FOR THE~~

entertained in the Civil
Dept. for plague duty.
(b) Pay of officers deputed
exclusively to plague duty
whose pay & allowances are ordinarily
met from Local & Municipal.
(c) ~~Charges~~ of:
All plague allowances.
(d) Pay of officers temporarily
entertained in the ordinary
Civil departments to strengthen
existing estt. on account of pressure
of plague work.
(e) Cost of works. Contingencies etc.

Indirect charges will be
booked originally against the
depts. concerned, & adjusted
subsequently to plague.

(c) Charges on account of officers temporarily entertained in the ordinary Civil departments to strengthen the existing establishments to meet the pressure on account of plague.

(d) Charges on account of all other items but pay and allowances.

B. Indirect plague charges.

(a) Charges on account of the pay & ordinary allowances of officers deputed from any of the Civil Departments for duty on plague work exclusively, ~~Medical~~ charges will be booked at first against the department concerned and adjusted, subsequently to plague.

Local & Munl accounts

~~(b)~~ Charges on account of officers temporarily entertained in the ordinary Civil departments to take the place of those deputed to plague duty, are not plague charges and must be met by the departments concerned from savings or by a grant from Provincial or Imperial. ×

× The depts will be relieved ~~eventually~~ of the charges originally booked against them to Plague Indirect which will be adjusted against Plague eventually.

The adoption of these principles will involve the following important changes in classfication.

Medical charges on account of the pay & ordinary allowances of permanent Medical Officers will be indirect instead of direct. The cost of strengthening ~~of~~ the existing establishment will be "direct" and not indirect. The pay and allowances of the Plague Commissioner will be debited as an indirect charge, not direct.

Also the same principle for Local & Munl.

I dont know how this scheme will work from an account point of view, ~~but~~ The scheme it will enable me to know exactly what is spent on

Marked add pd. B overleaf

account of newly entertained staff, and to what extent expressed in money each department has given help. As now, no charges are to be made against plague on account of the ordinary executive staffs of the districts. Plague is all in their days' work.

Following on the lines of the letter No. 262, dated 18 Feb 1899, recently addressed to India by Madras Government regarding the Imperial share of plague charges, under the system proposed by me Direct charges would be charges on Imperial; Indirect on Provincial.

Enter as B. above

There will be a difficulty ~~under the~~ ~~proposed scheme~~ about the pay of the establishments of special officers. Some of these may have been in Govt. service before, others not. The cost of such establishments may ~~[illegible]~~ follow the classification of ~~cost of head of~~ pay of head of office, unless separate bills can be drawn for direct & indirect charges.

21/4

I offer remarks on your further proposals —

5 Closing sentence of General Rule 3 –

This is in accordance with existing practice. But it is opposed to the policy laid down by the Sec. of State & referred to by the Indian Govt in its letter 262/18-2-98 to India — For "Local" sh'd be read "Local or Municipal".

[illegible]

D

5. Rule 3 (c). This ~~principle~~ rule seems correct in principle but is opposed to G.O. No 215 Fin' dt 11-2-99.

6. Rule 5. This may be placed after Rule 2, and amplified as follows:–

"~~It has, however, been decided~~ ~~that~~ The emoluments of officers whether belonging to the Indian ~~Medical~~ Civil Service, the Indian Staff Corps, the Indian Medical Service, or the Army Medical Service, and of superior officers of the Forest, Survey, Customs Salt & other departments deputed wholly or in addition to their own duties to perform plague duty; as well as the emoluments of ~~nurses and~~ medical men sent out from England shall be borne entirely by Government, though the officers may be specially deputed to particular ~~municipalities~~ or local areas."

para 1, pages 8 & 9 &
para 4, page 23 off G.O. No 261 Fin/18-2-99 / E

Special rules (new line)

7. ~~Rule 3~~ 3. Land Rev (2). The original ruling that only medical expenditure

on ~~account~~ of plague should be recorded as direct in the accounts has apparently been given up and the Govt has ordered that "the emoluments of the Plague ~~Officer~~ & of military officers transferred to plague duty should be treated as direct" (G.O. No 185 Fin dt 4-2-99). Temporary Dy Collectors, Doctors & other ~~the various officers~~ referred to in this rule hold appointments specially created on account of plague, and I do not see why their pay etc should not also be treated as a direct charge ~~in accordance with the general rule 3 (a).~~

Commr.

F

5. 24-A. Medical Establt. ~~[The pay of the M & S. Officers is debitable debited to 24 A and the charges for tents supplied to them would seem debitable to the same head & not to 24 D]~~. ~~The charges for~~ The tents supplied to the special medical officers on plague duty are at present supplied by the Plague Commr. & the charges are included in his own budget and shown under

Certain classes of

X also military officers, plague Dy Collrs. &c.

C

P

NOTE FOR THE Accountant General

Your unofficial memorandum of the 14 instant is returned herewith with thanks and with the following remarks.

2. I agree with you in your proposed recommendation to Government that the orders of the Govt of India contained in para 4 of the letter printed on page 23 of G.O. No 261 Fin dt 18-2-99 directing that in Bombay the pay of ~~the Superior~~ Officers employed on plague duty should ~~be~~ not be charged upon the funds of municipalities or local funds, should be adopted in this Presidency. This ruling is in accordance with the practice in Madras with regard to Superior Medical officers (vide in this connection para 1-(1) of G.O. No 720 Fin dt 4-8-97). ~~and~~ it was doubted ~~is doubtful~~ whether the orders in this G.O. on this point should be cancelled by G.O. No 479 Fin dt 4-4-99 ~~are intended to keep discontinue this practice~~.

3. Under the new orders, the entire cost of Railway Port & Road ~~for~~ Inspection Stations of Tented Observation Works, including the charges for plague sheds as well as those

for Establishments is debitable to Provincial. The charges on account of the sheds at ~~the~~ railway inspection stations have been very heavy and local bodies should in my opinion, be relieved of ~~this~~ the large expenditure they have undergone. I therefore venture to think that ~~if~~ every endeavour should be made to adopt the new classification with effect from the 1st April 1898.

& on account of the staffs at road frontier stations and in [illegible] works

I recognise the difficulties attending a reclassification of grant charges, but I consider it should be carried out in the interests of local bodies.

General Rules.

4. Rule 3. It is difficult ~~to frame a general rule to define what~~ define is a direct & what an indirect charge, for I can find no fixed principle in the existing orders as to the method of classification. Military Special Plague Officers occupy exactly the same position with regard to the Collectors & perform the same duties as Deputy Specially Collectors, yet one charge is direct & the other indirect.

See separate Rules

## General Rules

4. Rule 3(h). It is not understood why the plague allowances of medical officers and subordinates doing plague work in addition to their ordinary duties should be treated as direct and those of all other officers similarly employed should be regarded as indirect. It is further not clear what classes of officers & establishments are referred to in the last clause of 3(h). If they hold appts newly created on account of plague, they come under rule 3(a), and if they form part of the existing service, they will come either under ~~clause~~ rule 3(c) or the first clause of 3(h).

5.

2 Your proposed rule 3 general is an enumeration of the orders and particulars are so rather than a definition of direct & indirect charges.

Rule 3c

Queries

It is difficult to frame a rule to define what charges on account of pay of officers are direct & what indirect in accordance with the orders of Government. The ~~explanation~~ instruction of what is to be treated as direct & what indirect is given in the ~~Instructions~~ appendix to Gov. 695 Financial d. 15.5.95. Charges connected with ~~[illegible]~~ appointments created exclusively for plague duty are treated as direct & only medical expenditure on account of plague should be recorded as direct in the accounts &c &c. So medical officers deputed to plague duty exclusively are paid as a direct charge against 24 A. Medical-Military officers employed as Special Plague Officers are debited to 24 D Grants for Medical Purposes. But whenever

Come to Deputy Collectors on plague duty ~~&~~ the charge is treated as indirect appoint [illegible] & allowance, & salaries are debited to Indirect to Police.

It seems ~~that~~ with the exception of Medical officers (the charges on whose account is treated direct because they are medical) Government has looked rather to the ~~condition~~ position of the officers ~~appointments held~~ before appointment to plague duty than to the appointments held on plague duty. For Military Officers appointed Special Officers occupy the same position with regard to Collectors, & do the same work as ~~[illegible]~~ Deputy Collectors similarly appointed. Yet one is debited direct & the other indirect. The direct charges will presumably be included in the final total charges of plague administration, while the indirect will not — & thus a proper estimate of the real plague charges will not be

3(c) or

5

---

~~[illegible]~~ I think ~~[illegible]~~ direct charges may be defined as those which are incurred on account of plague ~~but~~ and ~~which~~ have not been provided for in the civil budget, & indirect to those incurred on account of plague which have been provided for under other heads in the Civil Budget; or to be more specific

(b) or (c). charges on account of officers [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

~~Exhibited~~ — Government has nowhere laid down (that I know of) the object of classifying as direct or indirect, nor defined ~~[illegible]~~ what is direct, but has said what charges are to be debited direct or otherwise. The cause of the different treatment of the charges on account of Military Officers & Deputy Collectors seems to be that the former are not already provided for in the Civil Budget, while the latter are. If I am correct, the classification into direct & indirect depends not upon the nature of the appointment held on plague duty, but on the nature of the appt. held prior to appt. to plague duty. If this is admitted as being the reason ~~underlying~~ for the different treatment of the two classes of officers, ~~[illegible]~~ fixed principles for classification may be ~~laid down~~ [illegible] ~~can easily be evolved~~.

A Direct plague charges

~~with the exception of charges on account [illegible] Medical officers are direct & [illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

~~(a)~~ (a) Charges on account of the pay ~~([illegible])~~ in the Civil Budget for plague duty of all officers newly entertained ~~as~~ ~~[illegible]~~

(b) charges on acct. of

(c) the plague allowances ~~are direct~~ of plague charges ~~while~~

(d) The charges on account of all other ~~[illegible]~~ items but ~~[illegible]~~

B. Indirect Plague charges

(a) Charges on account of the pay & ordinary allowances of officers ~~[illegible] on plague duty [illegible]~~ permanently borne (deputed from any of the) Civil Departments ~~[illegible]~~ (for duty on plague work exclusively) ~~[illegible]~~ indirect. Charge will be booked against the Dept. concerned & not ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible] against the Dept. concerned~~ as plague.

(b) Charges on account of temporary officers entertained in the ordinary Civil department to take the place of those deputed to plague duty ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ are not plague charges, & must be met by the Depts concerned so far from Provincial [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~

The Plague ~~Estimate~~ budget will be charged with all expenditure incurred on account of plague Direct ~~and~~ indirect; the Depts ~~[illegible]~~ from which the relieving officers are drawn will not be charged, but debited with the cost of (The cost of [illegible] will be transferred to Plague from the Provl. accounts [illegible] a corresponding transfer of the) any ~~extra staff entertained to take~~ the ~~place of officers withdrawn for~~ (Without Plague [illegible] [illegible] from [illegible] funds) plague duty. The adoption of this principle will involve the following (important) change in classification — ~~At~~ Medical charges on account of the pay & ordinary allowances of permanent medical officers will be indirect instead of direct. ~~Only that on account of establishment newly entertained.~~ — The strengthening of existing establishment will be direct & not indirect ~~[illegible]~~ || I don't know how this scheme will look from an account point of view, but it will enable me to know exactly what is spent on account of newly entertained staff, & to what extent in moving such departments Expenses ~~[illegible]~~ is [illegible] help. As now, no charges are to be made agst plague on account of the ordinary Executive staffs of the Districts. Plague is all in their days' work.

~~The [illegible] on the [illegible] of~~ the letter relieving [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ which by [illegible] for [illegible] plague charges, should be charged to plague on the Provincial [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] officers on [illegible] [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

~~The charges for [illegible]~~ to ordinary of [illegible] & allowances

(c) The pay of the P.C. will be debited as an indirect charge ~~[illegible]~~

The ~~[illegible]~~ method of classification which then seems correct in principle is as follows: —

class of officers — classification of charges on account of (a) pay (b) allowances

Military officers employed in special Plague ~~[illegible]~~ offices (& officers of the Civil Depts employed) Deputy ~~[illegible]~~ in Plague Regulations — (a) pay Direct 24 D. medical ~~[illegible]~~; (b) ~~[illegible]~~ Indirect to the Dept concerned and not to Dept. concerned Plague Direct 24 D Medical; (b) Direct. 24 D. Medical

~~[illegible]~~ Military officers in command of detachments on [illegible] work.

Medical Officers & subordinates deputed to plague duty those

(a) Indirect 24 A. Medical
(b) Direct 24 D. Medical

Deputed to plague duty in addition to ord. duties.

(a) not debited to plague at all
(b) Direct 24 D. Medical.

~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~Officers specially [illegible]~~ [illegible] ~~for plague duty~~ &

Officers newly entertained & those specially entertained exclusively for plague duty & do not form part of an existing department or service

(a) direct. 24 D Medical.
(b) unless medical officers. when 24 A. Medical

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

The Dept — at [illegible]

The pay & ordinary allowances of officers (~~already entertained~~ holding permanent appts in the Civil Depts) ~~appointed~~ deputed to plague duty exclusively is debitable to Plague Indirect under the Dept to which the officer belongs; the plague allowances of such officers, & of officers (holding permanent appts in the Civil) deputed to plague duty in addition to ord. duties is debitable to Plague Direct. The pay and allowances of officers of other than Civil Depts, or of officers other than medical officers, specially entertained for plague duty are debitable to ~~[illegible]~~ Plague Direct 24 D. Medical. The pay of medical officers specially entertained for plague duty is debitable to Plague Direct 24 A Medical.

c. P.A.

Please criticise the draft at the wording of it is not very carefully ~~[illegible]~~ & [illegible] [illegible]

~~NOTE FOR THE~~

It is difficult to frame a rule to define what is ~~a direct~~ ~~distinct~~ ~~in~~ indirect [above: a direct or] charge. Military officers transferred to Civil employ create, no doubt, a direct charge on the Civil dept. not previously provided for, & though they occupy the ~~same position~~ with regard to Directors, & perform the same duties as Deputy Directors, there seems reason, for ~~treating~~ ~~this cost~~ the charge on ~~their~~ account of the two classes of officers differently to [illegible] [illegible]. Plague medical officers (other than those ~~appointed~~ recruited specially for plague work) are already provided for in the Civil Estimates, & I do not understand why the charge on account of their employment on plague duty is ~~not~~ classified as direct while that of Deputy Directors officers is classified as indirect.

~~⊗ It is not altogether clear, however, why the Military officers [illegible] medical & Deputy [illegible] under head [illegible].~~

[illegible] Staffs of the Dt. working Plague is all in their days' work.

---

Code Class. }

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. }

| | |
|---|---|
| To (Office) Telephone | From (Office) Message |

| Words. | Day. | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 19 | 6 | 44 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| To (Person) Plague Comr. | From (Person) Acct. Genl. |

12.30 will suit me

Discussed

20/4 L.

64 Word Form.

F. C 2.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recd. from | LN. | Sent to | LN. | | |
| At Hrs. Mts. by | | At Hrs. Mts. by | | | Telegraph Master. |

C G P—No. 101 T—8 12 97—3000000

Please ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

Mr P.C.'s note is being typed. Meanwhile this is submitted

Long
20/4/[illegible]

[illegible] P.C. for the funds [illegible]

This was originally debitable as an indirect adjustment to other heads but subsequently transferring the provision for the Depts concerned to the head 24 D (except in the case of medical officers debitable to 26 A.)

So long as ~~[illegible]~~ a special heading – 24-D. Grants for medical purposes – ~~[illegible]~~ exists for booking all expenditure connected with plague, it is submitted that such expenditure should be shown as much as possible under that head and as little as possible under the ordinary service heads. From this point of view, one should start from G.O. No 215 Fin dt 11-2-98, which lays down that "the emoluments of an officer,
= who is employed solely on plague
= duty, should be treated as a
= plague ~~charge~~, whether such
= officer is appointed to perform his ordinary duties or not".

2 An officer on plague duty may be ~~one~~

(a) one who is newly entertained ~~to fill up~~ for the purpose.
(b) one who ~~[illegible]~~ belongs to the existing establishment & is ~~deputed~~ posted to ~~hold~~ a plague* appointment with a fixed salary & fixed duties
(c) one who belongs to the existing establishment & is deputed on his existing salary ~~for~~ to plague duty, his place in the service being filled up.
(d) one who belongs to the existing establishment

*i.e. one newly created e.g. P.C.

but my [illegible] is provided for in a general way under I.C.S., Land Revenue.

his deputation ~~[illegible]~~ his existing salary to plague duty, without a substitute being appointed to act for him.

Now there is no doubt that Charges due to (a) & (b) are direct plague Charges & (c) may be treated as an indirect Charge but it will continue to be shown* against the proper service head; the department concerned may however adjust towards plague the extra cost involved by the deputation of the officer i.e. the deputation or other allowance, if any, & the cost of the substitute. As regards (d), no extra ~~Charge~~ cost is involved but the Charge may be treated as indirect but the Dept Concerned will not be entitled to adjust it towards plague on the principle quoted in the margin.

3. Military officers transferred to plague duty may be treated as new men for the purpose of (a). They belong to the Military Dept, they in principle have nothing to do with plague in their ordinary capacity & so far as the Civil Dept is concerned, they ~~are~~ may be treated as new men specially appointed for plague. Their pay & allowances will therefore be a direct plague Charge. Same as regards the allowances of officers & troops ~~[illegible]~~ doing plague work without being transferred to the Civil Dept (the charge is local). ~~&~~ Temporary ~~English~~ doctors obtained from England or locally will also come under 2 (a)

* This is on the principle lately stated by the Govt of India that "the Charge "of plague operations in an infected "district must be regarded as part "of the general duty of an executive "officer"

4. Under (b) will come
(1) the Plague Commr. & his Clerks
(2). ~~[illegible]~~ Plague Supervisors
(3) Plague Inspectors
~~[illegible]~~

(5) Under (c) will come
(1) Officers like Dy Collrs Lever, ~~[illegible]~~ Gahan, Marshall &c.
(2) Police Constables whose places in the Establt. have been filled up.
(3) medical officers like Capt Pereira (Hospt)

6. Under (d) will come
(1) reserve medical subordinates transferred to plague duty
(2) Police Constables supplied from the Dt. reserve.

7. This leads to the distinction between direct & indirect. The definition given in para 2 of G.O. No 698 Pl dt 15-8-99 may stand.

(8. The question remains

as regards allowances given to officers of the existing establt for doing plague duty in addition to their ordinary work. There have been very few instances of this kind & no separate provision appears to have yet been made in the budgets on account of these allowances. There is no order as to whether the charge should be treated as direct or indirect. I think it may be treated as indirect vide para 2 supra as regards Class (c).

~~[illegible]~~

3. There is however one difficult point in connection with charges which are indirect. The administrative authority which is in charge of the service head should control them, but how can, say, the Board of Revenue decide whether a Dy Collr or Tahsr ~~should~~ should be placed on special duty for plague & how can it anticipate the expenditure & provide for it in the budget, when it has no voice in plague administration? Of course it is impossible that every head of a Dept ~~[illegible]~~ ~~can~~ should have a voice in plague admn

It is certainly a direct charge.

take
It knows what officers it can & can provide for them

admn simply because some of his subordinates may be taken on plague duty. The alternative ~~[illegible]~~ would be that deputations under para 2 (c) should be avoided & temporary appointments created instead under 2 (b). This course will make the expenditure direct & bring it under the direct control of the Plague Commr. as it should be.

20/4/98

No. Not a man is taken from a Sanitary dept. he works [illegible] pl. His pay &c is temporary [illegible] agst the dept. indirectly & subsequently transferred as a charge agst plague.

2/4

Note

Under the S.C.'s scheme, his pay will continue to be debited to D. A. L. R., because he is a permanent member of the Rev Dept. If ~~he belonged to the~~ Mr Hammick were to ~~continue~~ be again apptd. P.C., his pay should, according to this scheme be debited, to Police. Now when there is a special budget head to which all plague expenditure can be debited, it seems wrong in principle to apportion it among depts which have nothing to do with plague. I venture to think that if Dr Bourne of the Presidency College were deputed to undertake a geological expedition, it would be entirely wrong to debit the cost to the Presidency College. The expenditure under the existing system of accounts would be debited to "Scientific & Minor Depts." & I submit correctly.

2. By direct expenditure, I now understand all expenditure which is the ~~direct~~ result of plague measures i.e. money spent for combating plague & not for any

It wd. be booked as an indirect charge in the first place agst. the Dept. concerned, & subsequently adjusted agst. Plague.
21/4

any other purpose. Indirect plague expenditure would be money not immediately spent upon plague measures, but money spent for other purposes, ~~which~~ and which would not have been so spent but for the appearance of plague. A Collector is expected to do plague work in addition to his own work but if he finds he cannot do the whole of the latter work in consequence of the increase in the former & if an assistant is appointed to relieve him of a portion of his ordinary work, then the charge is indirect because the money is not immediately spent upon plague & it is nevertheless a plague charge because but for plague it would not have been incurred. But if the Collr were relieved entirely of his ordinary work & placed solely on plague duty, then the charge would be a direct plague charge. Similarly if a municipality were to increase ~~his~~ its sanitary staff to improve the town generally, ~~it would~~ & make it less susceptible to plague, the charge would be indirect.

This a rare case; & quite diff. from the direct charges. acc. to present description

indirect. But if it engages a Hospital Asst or deputes a reserve man to inspect passengers, then the charge is direct.

3. I think this distinction is easy to bear in mind & all charges can be classified accordingly. The Govt only wants a revised classification.

4. To the details of the O.C's scheme I have no ~~objection~~ objections to raise.

27/11/98

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

| To (Office) | From (Office) |
|---|---|

| Words | Day | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 5 | 13 | 35 | |

12 MAY.1899

| To (Person) | From (Person) |
|---|---|
| Plague Commr. | Acct. Genl. |

Can you let me see Madras Govt letter 262 of 18th Feby to India referred to in your note on Plague classification.

Forwarded for perusal

M. S. Mackenzie
5/5/99

Returned to the Plague Commissioner (Secy to Govt. L. & M.) with thanks

W. A. Dawson
11/5

12/5/99

Recd. from LN. Sent to LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

No. 258 T—31-3-98—40000000.

include charges

The estimates relating to the construction of sheds at Pakala do not appear to have been recd here.

E. Bell

## Ports

| | |
|---|---|
| Mangalore — | 150 |
| Cannanore — | 70 |
| Calicut — | 300 |
| Tellicherry — | 120 |
| Tuticorin — | |
| Cochin — | 157 |
| Negapatam — | |
| Cocanada — | 130 |
| | 927 |

## revention schemes

**Kurnool Dt** ×
Cost of Plague Inspectors
" Plague Inspectors
" menials
" road frontier inspection
sheds
} 10,549

**Bellary** × Estt 11,510 + 33930 = 45,440
Sheds 535 for each: no cost then not known

**Anantapur** × 1128 + 7861 = 8989

**N. Arcot** × 2720 + 4257 = 6977

**Salem** × Sheds 3523
2967 + 8176 = 11,143

**Coimbatore** Sheds 4788
Estt 1594 + 658 = 2252

**Nilgiris** Estt 21·97

**Malabar** Sheds 888.
Estt 1714 } L
120 } L
120 } m

**S. Canara** Estt 639 L
240 L
240 m

× include cost of obsn circles round infected areas.

Temporarily — 1056 L
1056 mpl
47584

120
240
1056
1416

47584
1416
46168

Days' work.

## Kurnool

### P. Inspection Station - Staff

apothecary = 150 × 2 = 300
Nurse — 100 × 1½ = 150
clerk — 15 × ½ = 8
Totis — 16 × ½ = 8
Cooks — 8 × ½ = 4
470
~~P. D. Apo~~ —

### Observation circles

Supers : 195 × 11 = 2145
195 × 10 = 1950
195 × 8 = 1560
125 × 1½ = 187
S. I. = 120 × 4½ = 540
160 × 1 = 160
6542

6542
1942
661
1404
10,549

### Road frontier Stations

H.A. : 35 × 11 = 385
35 × 7 = 245
35 × 9½ = 332
35 × 5½ = 192
35 × 11 = 385
35 × 11½ = 402
1941

clerk : 15 × 10½ = 158
15 × — 15
15 × 3 = 45
15 × 8½ = 127
15 × 10½ = 158
~~S. 15 × 10½~~ = 158
661

Maistry — 30 × 3 = 90
15 × 4 = 60
15 × 5 = 75
15 × 9½ = 142
15 × 5 = 75
87½ × 11 = 962
1404

## Kistna Dt

Kondapalli Ry station.

~~Nurse. 100 × 9 = 900~~
~~H.a. 35 × 11 = 385~~
Cost of entire staff = 186 × 12 = 2232

## Coimbatore Dt.

Podanur Station

midwife = 115 × 12 = 1380
apothecary = 75 × 4 = 300
H a — 35 × 7 = 245
clerk — 15 × 4½ = 67
W.A — 12 × 12 = 144
" 6 × 3½ = 21
Cook — 6 × 11 — 66
W.A staff 10 × 12 — 120
2643

Obstn Circles

Supers = 80 × 1 = 80
Dispr 160 × 3 = 480
Peon 14 × 1 = 14
28 × 3 = 84
658

Road frontiers

[illegible]

1 H.a = 40 × 6 = 240
2 maistry = 12 × 4½ = 54
3 Toti = 8 × 5 = 40
4 W.A = 5 × 6 = 30
364

Karaipolai

40 × 6 = 240
12 × 4 = 48
7 × 6 = 42
330

Kollegal

15 × 4 = 60
6 × 4½ = 27
87

Talavadi

15 × 4 = 60
5 × 1½ = 7
67

Chikkarajalu

1 = 40 × 2 = 80
2 = 12 × 2 = 24
3 = 7 × 2 = 14
118

Kollegal obsvn

Supers = 80 × 1 = 80
Dispr = 160 × 3 = 480
Peons = 14 × 1 = 14
" 28 × 3 = 54
628

364
330
87
67
118
628
1594

## North Arcot

Ry Station:

Arkonam — Pakala

Nurse = 100 × 12 = 1200 — 100 × 6 = 600
Ha = 70 × 12 = 840 — 47½ × 6 = 285
" 55 × 12 = 660
" 31 × 12 = 372
" 35 × 11 = 385
clerks = 20 × 4½ = 90 — 15 × 5½ = 84
" = 15 × 4 = 60
Cooks Attds 7 × 10 = 70 — 7 × 5 = 35
7 × 10 = 70 — 7 × ~~6~~5 = 35
W.A = 6 × 4½ = 27 — 6 × 5 = 30
" 12 × 10 = 120 — 12 × 5 = 60
Tolis 6 × 8 = 48 — 6 × 5 = 30
Watermen 6 × 4 = 24 — 6 × 5 = 30
W.A = 5 × 2½ = 12 — 5 × 5 = 25
" 5 × 3½ = 18 — 10 × 5 = 50
Dhobis 5 × 4 = 20 — 5 × 5 = 25
Tolis 8 × 2½ = 20 — 8 × 5 = 40
Dhoby 6 × 5½ = 33 — 6 × 5 = 30
Peons — 7 × 5½ = 40
Dr - - -
4069 — 1399

Road Frontier

Ha = ~~35~~ 140 × 6 = 840
Clerks = 48 × 5 = 240
Maistry 40 × 5 = 200
Tolis — 28 × 5 = 140
2720

1

Observation Circle

Superintendent

195 x 5 = 975
195 x 5 = 975
375 x 5 = 1875
77 x 4 = 308
125 x ½ = 62
125 x ½ = 62

4257

Plague Commissioner's

Salem Observⁿ Circle

Super

232 x 5½ = 1276
975
195 x 5½ = 975
195 x 5½ = 36
145 x ¼

3262

Inspectors

75 x 5½ = 412
70 x 4 = 280
70 x 4½ = 335
75 x 5½ = 412
70 x ½ = 35
75 x 4 = 300
140 x 5½ = 770
70
140 x ½
70 x 4½ = 315
75 x 12 = 900
145 x 5½ = 797

4626

Peons

48 x 6 = 288

3262
4626
288

8176
2967

11,143

## Salem Dt

## Jolarpet Ry Inspection Station.

2 Inspectors — $200 \times 6 = 1200$
" — $100 \times 1 = 100$
2 H.a — $70 \times 6 = 420$
" — $35 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 122$
" — $35 \times 3 = 105$
Clerk — $20 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 110$
" — $15 \times 6 = 90$
" — $30 \times 4\frac{1}{2} = 135$
" — $15 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 38$
" — $60 \times 1\frac{1}{2} = 90$
Peons — $27 \times 6 = 162$
Store Keeper — $80 \times 4 = 320$
Peon — $7 \times 3 = 21$
Cook — $10 \times 2 = 20$
" — $14 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 77$
Watchman — $7 \times 6 = 42$
Waterwoman : $7 \times 4 = 28$
" H.a — $65 \times 4 = 260$
W.A — $7 \times 6 = 42$
" — $7 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 38$
Totis — $21 \times 6 = 126$
Dhobies — $14 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 49$
Lightboy — $7 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 38$
Nurse — ~~100~~ 145 $\times 4 = 580$
H.a. — $40 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 140$
W.a — $21 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 73$
Sweeper — $7 \times 6 = 42$
Dhobi — $8 \times 4\frac{1}{2} = 36$
Engine driver & fireman — $55 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 305$
Watchman — $7 \times 5 = 35$
4844

Road frontier inspection Stations

| | Zuzuvada | Gummalapuram | Komaranapalli | Kottakondapalli | Bagalur | Kappanur |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 H.a. | $35 \times 6 = 210$ | $35 \times 6 = 210$ | $35 \times 6 = 210$ | $35 \times 6 = 210$ | 52 ~~30~~ $\times 6 = 312$ | $45 \times 6 = 270$ |
| 2 Clerk | $12 \times 6 = 72$ | $10 \times 4 = 40$ | $7 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 38$ | $10 \times 5 = 50$ | $12 \times 5 = 60$ | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ |
| 3 Maistry | $7 \times 6 = 42$ | $7 \times 3 = 21$ | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ | $7 \times 5 = 35$ | $7 \times 4 = 28$ |
| 4 Toti | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ | $6 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 21$ | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ | $6 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 33$ | |
| 5 Watchman | $4 \times 5 = 20$ | " | $10 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 25$ | $7 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 18$ | $6 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 15$ | |
| | 377 | 304 | 327 | 344 | ~~353~~ 455 | 331 |

Berikai
1 = $35 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 192$
2 = $10 \times 3 = 30$
3 = $6 \times 5 = 30$
4 = $7 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 17$
269

Rozakottah
$95 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 332$

Kurubarapalli
$65 \times 3\frac{1}{2} = 228$

377
304
327
344
455
331
269
332
228
2967

# South Arcot—

## Tiruvannamalai Dy. Dispen Station.

From suppl & [illegible]

Ha - 65 × 1 1/3 = 87
Dispensary = 100 × 1½ = 150
Nurse = 100 × 1½ = 150
Compt & disp. — 15 × 1 — 15
W. A — 12 × 1½ — 18
Peons — 54 × 1½ — 80
500

## Chingleput Dy. Dispen Station

Nurse = 100 × 1 = 100
Peons - ~~16 × 1½ = 24~~
180

---

## Bellary Observation Circles

| | Papinayakanhalli | Tambrahalli | Hiradagalli | Teligi | Chiplipur |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| H. Assn. = | 195 × 2 = 390 | 195 × 11½ = 2242 | 195 × 11½ = 2242 | 145 × 11½ = 1667 | 195 × 5½ = 1072 |
| 2 ″ | 145 × 11½ = 1667 | | | | |
| Inspectors: | 75 × 11½ = 859 | 75 × 3 = 225 | 75 × 11½ = 859 | 75 × 11½ = 862 | 150 × 5½ = 825 |
| ″ | 75 × 11½ = 859 | 280 × 11½ = 3220 | 140 × 11½ = 1610 | 210 × 11½ = 2415 | 210 × 5 = 1050 |
| | 75 × 11 = 825 | 70 × ½ = 35 | 140 × 2½ = 350 | 70 × 1 = 70 | 70 × 1 = 70 |
| | 140 × 11 = 1540 | | | | |
| 3 asst. Drs | 50 × 11 = 550 | 25 × ½ = 13 | 25 × 10½ = 262 | 25 × 11 = 275 | 25 × 2 = 50 |
| | 25 × 10½ = 262 | | | | |
| | 25 × 2 = 50 | | | | |
| | 25 × 12 = 300 | | 35 × 11½ = 402 | 42 × 10½ = 441 | 42 × 5½ = 231 |
| 4 Peons | 28 × 11½ = 322 | 35 × ½ = 17 | 7 × 10½ = 73 | 7 × 1 = 7 | 7 × ½ = 3 |
| | 14 × 10½ = 152 | 14 × 10½ = 152 | 7 × 2½ = 17 | | |
| | 7 × 1 = 7 | 7 × 2½ = 17 | | | |
| | 14 × 2 = 28 | | | | |
| | 7,811 | 5921 | 5815 | 5737 | 3301 |

| | Somalapuram | Chooranur |
|---|---|---|
| 1 = | 195 × 2½ = 487 | 195 × 2½ = 487 |
| 2 = | 280 × 2½ = 700 | 75 × 2½ = 187 |
| ″ | 70 × 1 = 70 | 280 × 11½ = 3220 |
| 3 = | 25 × 1½ = 37 | ~~Pa~~ 14 × 2 = 28 |
| 4 = | 49 × 1½ = 73 | 28 × 2 = 56 |
| | 1367 | 3978 |

7811
5921
5815
5737
3301
1367
3978
33930
11510
45440

## Bellary - Road frontier Stations Contd.:-

| | Siriguppa - | Kampli |
|---|---|---|
| Ha | $35 \times 11 = 385$ | $40 \times 11 = 440$ |
| clk. | $15 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 157$ | $15 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 157$ |
| maistry | $10 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 105$ | $10 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 105$ |
| Peons | $14 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 147$ | $14 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 147$ |
| Toli | $9 \times 10 = 90$ | $7 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 73$ |
| | 884 | 922 |

| | Thalavanapatta - | Hosur. |
|---|---|---|
| Ha | $35 \times 11 = 385$ | $35 \times 9 = 315$ |
| clk. | $15 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 157$ | $15 \times 8\frac{1}{2} = 127$ |
| maistry | $10 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 105$ | $10 \times 8\frac{1}{2} = 85$ |
| Peons | $14 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 147$ | $14 \times 8\frac{1}{2} = 119$ |
| Toli | $10 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 105$ | $10 \times 8 = 80$ |
| | 899 | 726 |

| | Vallabhapur. | Hampasagaram |
|---|---|---|
| Ha | $45 \times 9 =$ ~~34~~ 405 | $38 \times 11 = 418$ |
| Clk. | $15 \times 8\frac{1}{2} =$ ~~42~~ 127 | $15 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 157$ |
| maistry | $10 \times 8\frac{1}{2} =$ ~~75~~ 85 | $10 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 105$ |
| Peons | $14 \times 8\frac{1}{2} =$ ~~105~~ 119 | $14 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 147$ |
| Toli | $6 \times 8 = 48$ | $12 \times 8 = 96$ |
| | 784 | 923 |

| | Sovenahalli. - |
|---|---|
| Ha. | $38 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 357$ |
| Clk. | $15 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 157$ |
| maistry | $10 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 105$ |
| Peons | $14 \times 10\frac{1}{2} = 147$ |
| Toli | $12 \times 8 = 96$ |
| | 862 |

Madalakatta

862 -

Mafglam

$35 \times 8\frac{1}{2} = 297$

$15 \times 4\frac{1}{2} = 67$

$10 \times 8 = 80$

$14 \times 8 = 112$

$6 \times 3 = 18$

574

| | Guijapuram | Mylar | Harivi |
|---|---|---|---|
| H.a. | 35 x 8 = 280 | 35 x 11 = 385 | 38 x 8 = 304 |
| Clerk | 15 x 8 = 120 | 15 x 10½ = 157 | 15 x 8½ = 127 |
| Maistry | 10 x 8 = 80 | 10 x 10½ = 105 | 10 x 9 = 90 |
| Toti | 6 x 4 = 24 | 12 x 8½ = 102 | 14 x 7½ = 105 |
| Peons | 14 x 8 = 112 | 14 x 10½ = 147 | 6 x 8 = 48 |
| | 616 | 996 | 674 |

| | Hittunbasapuram | Duggavati | Jajiakal |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ha | 35 x 8 = 280 | 68 x 9 = 612 | 35 x 1 = 35 |
| Clerk | 15 x 7½ = 112 | 15 x 9 = 135 | 8 x ½ = 4 |
| Maistry | 10 x 7½ = 75 | 10 x 9 = 90 | 10 x ½ = 5 |
| Peons | 14 x 7½ = 105 | 14 x 9 = 126 | 14 x ½ = 7 |
| Toti | 6 x 4½ = 27 | 12 x 8 = 96 | 5 x ½ = 3 |
| | 599 | 1059 | 55 |

| | Rogadong | Vaddinichelli |
|---|---|---|
| Ha | 40 | 35 |

884
922
899
726
784
923
862
862
574
616
996
674
599
1059
55
40
35
11,510

# Bellary

## Ry Stations

| | Hospet | | Tungabhadra |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nurse | 100 x 12 = 1200 | | 100 x 10 = 1000 |
| " | 100 x 6 = 600 | | 100 x 6 = 600 |
| H.a | 35 x 12 = 420 | | |
| " | 35 x 8 = 280 | | 205 x 10¼ = 2101 |
| " | 35 x 6 = 210 | | |
| Clerks | 15 x 7 = 105 | Engineerman & foreman | 60 x 8½ = 510 |
| " | 15 x 6 = 90 | | |
| Peons | 8 x 12 = 96 | | 6 x 10 = 60 |
| " | 16 x 6 = 96 | | |
| Watchman | 16 x 11 = 176 | | 8 x 10 = 80 |
| Waterman " | 8 x 6 = 48 | | 6 x 7½ = 45 |
| | | | 6 x 9 = 54 |
| Dhoby | 8 x 7 = 56 | | 12 x 7 = 84 |
| Toti | 7 x 11 = 77 | | 8 x 9 = 72 |
| | | | 8 x 5 = 40 |
| " | 14 x 6 = 84 | | 8 x 10 = 80 |
| " | 14 x 7 = 98 | | 8 x 9 = 72 |
| Sweeper | 7 x 6½ = 45 | | 8 x 5½ = 44 |
| W.A | 8 x 6½ = 52 | | 6 x 10 = 60 |
| " | 7 x 7½ = 52 | | 8 x 9 = 72 |
| " | 8 x 6½ = 52 | | 6 x 10 = 60 |
| " | 7 x 7½ = 52 | Cookshelp | 4 x 8½ = 34 |
| Lamp lighter | 7 x 5½ = 38 | Cook | 12 x 5 = 60 |
| Cook | 8 x 11 = 88 | " | 12 x 7½ = 90 |
| Waterman | 8 x 2½ = 20 | " | 6 x 7 = 42 |
| | 4035 | | 5260 |

## Road frontier Stations

| | Nagaladinne | Madhavaram |
|---|---|---|
| H.a. | 35 x 11 = 385 | 65 x 11 = 715 |
| Clerk | 15 x 9½ = 142 | 17 x 11 = 187 |
| Maistry | 10 x 9½ = 95 | 10 x 11 = 110 |
| Peons | 12 x 9½ = 114 | 12 x 11 = 132 |
| Toti | 8 x 9½ = 76 | 8 x 10½ = 84 |
| | 812 | 1228 |

Anantapur Dt

By Inspection Stations
for

Guntakal.

Nurse : 100 × 6 = 600
clerk – 15 × 7 = 105
Hosp. asst – 35 × 6 = 210

915
951
1866

Camps Guntakal

Ha : 35 × 5½ = 192
" 40 × 6½ = 260
Mes : 15 × 6½ = 98
21 × 4½ = 95
36 × 5 = 180
21 × 4 = 84
14 × 3 = 42
951

Hindupur.

nurse : 100 × 6 = 600
clerk . 15 × 2 = 30

630
506
1136

Ha = 40 × 6 = 24
" 35 × 4½ = 157
Mes 30 × 5 = 150
28 × 4 = 112
21 × 3 = 63
506

Tadpatri.

nurse – 100 × ½ = 50 = 50.

~~Mes 103×~~

Road observation.

Kodikonda.
Ha = 82 × 4½ = 369
clk : 15 × 4 = 60
Pen 21 × 4½ = 95
524

Somandepalle.
Hakse : 72 × 5 = 360
Mes 14 × 3 = 42
7 × 2 = 14
416

Penukonda S = 88 × 2 = 176.

Do W : 71 × ⅙ = 12.

524
416
176
12
1128

---

[illegible]
195 × 5 = 975
125 × 2 = 250
151 × ½ = 75
125 × ½ = 63
195 × 5 = 975
125 × 2 = 250
2588
42 82
991
7861
1128
8989

[illegible]
75 × 6 = 450
75 × 5½ = 412
75 × 5 = 375
75 × 1 = 75
75 × 6 = 450
70 × 5½ = 385
70 × 1 = 70
140 × 4 = ~~280~~ 560
70 × 3 = 210
70 × 2½ = 175
70 × 2½ = 175
70 × 2 = 140
~~350~~ 490 × ½ = ~~175~~ 245
70 × 1 = 70
490 × 1 = 490
4282

[illegible]
150 × 1 = 150
273 × 3 = 819
15 × 1½ = 22
991

~~Salem Contd~~

## Malabar

Road frontier stations

$544 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 1360$

$21 \times 5 = 105$

$38 \times 6 = 228$

$7 \times 3 = 21$

1714

Asst Surgeon $40 \times 3 = 120$ from Local

120 from Impl:

285
47
332

195
33
228

---

## South Canara

Road Station

Charmadi

$158 \times 2 = 316$

$15 \times 1 = 15$

331

Gundia

$50 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 125$

$6 \times 2 = 12$

$8 \times 1\frac{1}{2} = 12$

$9 \times \frac{1}{2} = 4$

153

Sampaje

$51 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 127$

$17 \times 1\frac{1}{2} = 25$

155

331
153
155
639

4 150
40 × 6
40 × 60

The Nilgiris

Road Depot Stations.

Kalhatti

~~H.a. $45 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 247$~~

Subord Staff: $105 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 577$

5 | 105
525
52
577

Gudalur

$79 \times 4\frac{1}{2} = 316$
39
355

$10 \times 2 = 20 = 20$
375

Kakanahalla

~~H.a.~~ $45 \times 5\frac{1}{2} = 247$

169
44
$213 \times 4\frac{1}{2} = 958$

$10 \times 4 = 40$
1245

577
375
1245
2197

general rule 3
Still puzzles me.
At present Dep.
Comr. transferred to
(a) plague duty are, I understand, a
direct charge agst
plague; but officers
of the Salt Dept.
& Police are not.
Why the difference?

L
19/4

(a) There are at present treated as indirect – 3 A. L. Rev – vide
page 30 (Anantapur)
page 31 (Bellary)
page 33 (Kurnool)
page 35 (Salem)
} off 1.0? 439 / 2-3-[illegible]

A Reference was made to Govt on 18-2-[illegible] but not yet disposed of.

L
19/4

The following may be inserted between paras 3 & 4 of my draft.

"Rule 3(2). I do not ~~[illegible]~~ see why the pay of all "medical officers & subordinates exclusively engaged on plague duty" should be treated as direct under 24 D. Only those holding new appts created for plague will come under 24 D, while others will come under 24 A."

nt.

A A A