

Local & Munl. (189⁹) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 674 & 675P

DATED 5/5/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

By Collectors for plague only.

Informing the Govt of India, with reference to its letter No 216 dated 18th Jan'y 199, that the special By Collectors in question were employed for the purpose of inspecting the work of the several officers of the plague observation staffs in the Districts of Bellary & Kurnool for view to secure the efficiency of the special measures which had to be under-^{the}taken on account of the near approach of ^{the} plague, and that the work entrusted to them related entirely to ~~the~~ plague.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Lo.	767	P	8-8-28				
"	403	P min	14-3-19				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.]

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
 Again G.O., No. 403 P. dated 14. 3. 1899,
 Current No. 655 P of 1899, dated 24. 3. 88, from the Plague Commr.
 " " " 189 , "
 " " " 189 , "

ORDER.

The following letter will be
 addressed to the Govt. of
 India.

Letter. No 675 P min on 5.5.99

To,

The Secretary
 to the Govt. of India
 (Home Dept. (Sanitary, Hyg.))

Sir,

With reference to paragraph
 2 of your letter, No. 216, dated
 the 18th January last, en-
 giv^{as to}ing about the precise
 circumstances of the
 employment of the Dy
 Collectors referred to in this
 Govt's letter, No. 1056 Fin.
 dated 14th November 1898,

Ant 15.99
 5.5.99

Dep⁸ 18/5

(page 4) 10216 Fin
 11.2.99

(page 3) 10216 Fin
 11.2.99

BACK PAPERS.

I am directed to state that the Deputy Collectors in question were employed for the purpose of inspecting the work of the several officers of the ^{plague} Observation staffs in the districts of Bellary and Kurnool, as it was considered that it could not be properly checked except by constant personal inspection by ~~some~~ responsible officers.

The ordinary Executive Officers in Divisional charge in these two Districts, ~~as usual~~, owing to the onerous nature of their own duties, could not be entrusted with the ~~special~~ work of maintaining the efficiency of the ^{special} measures which were ordered to be undertaken in view of the near approach of Plague, & this Ex. in Council therefore sanctioned the special employment of the two Dy. Cms in question.

Note

Please see the reasons for the employment of the Dy. Cms. in question in the notes of H. M. and H. E. at flag W and para 4 of G.O. No. 767 P. d/8.8.98, flag V. Mr. Tremenhare thought that Revenue might have

I am to add that the work entrusted to the Special Deputy Comr. related entirely to Plague, and did not form part of his ordinary duties of a Deputy Comr.

WA
27. W 99.
L. M. u. o. 1
27. 4-99 C1.
Rev. Dept. for

Local & Municipal Dept.

Note

Please see the reasons for the employment of the Dy. Cms. in question in the notes of H. M. and H. E. at flag W and para 4 of G.O. No. 767 P. d/8.8.98, flag V. Mr. Tremenhare thought that Revenue might have to be consulted - please see flag R. As it was decided to appoint the Dy. Collectors without consulting the Revenue Dept. or the Collectors, there does not appear to be any necessity for consulting the Revenue Dept. now. Perhaps the reply to the Govt. of India may be sent to Rev. for counter-signature.

27. 4-99

27. W 99

Revenue Department

To Under Secy

a

Under G.O. No. 971 P.

d

18th Sept.
of ~~Sept~~ 1898 Messrs Lever
and Gahan, Dy Collrs, were deputed
on special plague duty and
were allowed to draw the maxi-
mum allowance under art

98 of the C.S.R. The Asst General
then
objected to the latter part of the
Order and India was addressed

in the F.O. on 14. XI. 98. On the
21st idem, sent L.O. to the R.D.
the F.O. without alluding to the reference to India

Certain notes recorded by the
Hon Sir Henry Bliss on the 27 & 31st.

objection to the grant of any
such deputation allowance and
later on for disposal the papers

in connexion with which these
notes were recorded. These papers
consisted of applications ^{from a} on behalf

of Mr W. Marshall, also employed
on plague duty, for deputation
allowance and in accordance

with Sir Henry Bliss's ^{views} ~~order~~ (that Mr Lever's case should not
be taken as a precedent)

Mr Marshall was told in the
R.D. that as his appointment
was specially created for plague
work he could not draw any
allowance under art 98

2 In reply to the
reference

Correspondence

on pp 2 & 3 of

File Order No 218
11-2-99

in L.O. 80 5009
17.12.98

in L.O. 754 Leo
18.2.99

L.O. 754
18.2.99

Para 2 of letter on 2 of 7th
order 218 of 11-2-99

Ordinary duties of a copy

reference made to it in two
the Govt of India has since
asked for information as to
the precise circumstances under
which Messrs Lever and Gahan
were employed on plague duty
— expressing at the same time
its opinion that "the charge
"of plague operations in an
"infected district must be re-
"garded as part of the general
"duty of an executive officer"
and that "an officer placed
"on such duty would not seem
"to the Govt of India to be on
"deputation nor to be entitled
"to the grant of a special allowance"

3 The draft now
sent for countersignature is a
reply to the above letter (from
the Govt of India). The views
of that Govt have since been
practically accepted by
the Secy of State who in an
analogous case has ruled that
deputation allowance under
art 98 should be given "in respect
"only of work entirely separate
from

"from and outside the sphere
"of their ordinary duties";

It is doubtful in
these circumstances whether
the proposed draft would do.

WMM
28.11.99

Secy & order.
W
28/11/99

I sh^d add to the draft
that the work was not
undertaken in addition to the
ordinary duties of a D.C.
but that the office work
of the officers detailed was
confined entirely to
plague work, or something to
that effect.

L.M. 116

WMM

Desk no 48
2.3.99

I have added to the draft
but this is a weak case. &
the Govt of India will be likely
to ask overrule the order
granting the allowance. It is
unfavourable however to make
the case stronger.

W
2.5.99

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 6559

From The Plague Commr.

Order

Pro. No. 826

Dated 24th } March '99
Received 27th }
Registered 28th }
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating with reference to G.O No.
4037 mis of 14th March '99 that the
Dy. Commr. referred to was appoint-
ed by Govt without consulting
the Commr.

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner.

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	767	Plague	8/8/98
	403	Plague	14/3/99

READ— G.O.No.403 P.Mis.dated 14" March 1899.

Proceedings dated 24" March 1899 No. 826.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The Deputy Collectors referred to were appointed by Government, without consulting the Collectors, in paragraph 4 of G.O.No.767 P.dated 8" August 1898.

Asmatkaranha
25/3/99
for Plague Commissioner.


To
The Local and Municipal Department.

H.S.
25.3.99

Home Department.

(*Sanitary
plague*)
Simla, the 19th April 1899.

No. 1489



To

*The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras,
Local and Municipal Dept^{ts}.*

Subject:

*Enquiry about the precise
circumstances of the employment
of ^{certain} Deputy Collectors in the Madras
Presidency on special duty in connec-
-tion with plague.*

No. 216, dated the 18th Jan'y/99
Reminder
No. , dated

SIR,

I AM directed to invite attention to the
communication marginally noted, and to
request the favour of an early reply
thereto.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

Chaitan
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India

When signed for copying 189
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.