

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 673

Dated 9-3-99

Despatched

Budget. Submit.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Emoluments of officers employed solely on plague duty.

Recording P.O. no. 215 dated 11.2.99 with Correction slip
stating that the ——— should be treated as plague charges
whether substitutes are appointed to do their ordinary
duties or not.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.
3070 489			

2

No. 215, FINANCIAL, 11TH FEBRUARY 1899.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From W. O. HORNE, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 19th January 1899, Dis. No. 187.

2. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Government Order, I am to state that the Sanitary Inspector therein referred to is a municipal servant paid from the Municipal funds. His appointment was neither created exclusively for plague duty nor made with the object of strengthening the existing establishment for plague purposes (*vide* paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 698, Financial, dated 15th August 1898). He was deputed temporarily to perform plague duties under Regulation XVII (I) and (II) (b). But his name is shown in the monthly lists of plague establishment of this district as he has been employed *exclusively* on plague duty (*vide* Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner, No. 382, dated 25th October 1898), and omitted in the monthly plague estimates as his salary does not fall either under "direct" or "indirect" charges (*vide* G.O., No. 899, Financial, dated 19th October 1898).

ORDER—No. 215, Financial, dated 11th February 1899.

With reference to paragraph 2 of his letter read above, the Collector of Vizagapatam will be informed that the emoluments of an officer, who is employed solely on plague duty, should be treated as a ~~direct~~ plague charge, whether another officer is appointed to perform his ordinary duties or not.

(True Extract.)

A. H. J. J. J.
for Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.
„ all other Collectors.
„ the Plague Commissioner.
„ the Surgeon-General.
„ the Accountant-General.

Copy to the Local and Municipal Department.

Ed. A. F. Eccleston.

C No 2210

Government {1899} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Recd.

Regd.



1899.

Enclosures

Spare copies

4

G.O., No. 215, 11th February 1899.

Curnoy 2210 & 2869. 988

Recorded 388-39

FEBRUARY.

P.C.

6/11/99

Emoluments of an officer employed solely on plague duty.

Informing the Collector of Vizagapatam that the — should be treated as a direct plague charge, whether another officer is appointed to perform his ordinary duties or not.


C No 2869

Amended

Erratum.

G.O., No. 215, Financial, dated 11th February 1899.

Omit the words "direct" in line 3



This matter
has not
been
settled
X-1/15
b/c

(Signed) J.S. Forbes,
for Chief Secretary.

4-11

for

6/11/99
6/11/99
6/11/99

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

10-2

Curno 2210 & 2869 958
~~Do not~~ 328-32
 Recorded
 P.C. ^{h. 6}
FEBRUARY.

h. 11-189

Emoluments of an officer employed solely on plague duty.

Informing the Collector of Vizagapatam that the — should be treated as a direct plague charge, whether another officer is appointed to perform his ordinary duties or not.

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures in the right margin]