

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

PRINTED.

No.

594
42

DATED 2-3-88

Despd 8/3/88 for Spau copy.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Plague. Privileges attached to inoculation against plague.

Communicating G.O. (dtd 1/11/88) & stating
~~accepting the views of~~
~~that Govt. agrees with the Plague Commis-~~
~~sioner in considering that no con-~~
~~cession may be granted to persons~~

who have recovered from —

Communicating G.O. accepting the G.O.'s view that
it is not necessary to extend the same to persons who have
recovered from plague.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner, MADRAS—1899.

Recd.

Legd.

1899.

Enclosures

Spare copies

Proceedings, No. $\frac{594}{42}$, 2nd March 1899.

MARCH.

Privileges attached to inoculation against Plague.

Communicating Government Order accepting the Plague Commissioner's view that it is not necessary to extend to persons who have recovered from plague the —.

MADRAS—SARJAM

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O., Mis. No. 242 P., dated 14th February 1899.

Read—the following papers:—

From R. B. CLEGG, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Salem, to the Plague Commissioner, Madras, dated 20th January 1899, No. 179-P.C.

I have the honour to request to be informed whether persons who have had plague and recovered are liable to detention and should be required to take out passports and undergo observation. Such cases are not uncommon, and if they are immune from a further attack to the same extent as persons who have been inoculated I should think the privileges enjoyed by the latter may be extended to them also.

Ref. on C. No. 913.

Forwarded to the Sanitary Commissioner for favour of opinion.

25th January 1899.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
Plague Commissioner.

From Lieut.-Colonel W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H., Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, to the Plague Commissioner, Madras, dated 30th January 1899, No. 289-S.

With reference to your No. 913, dated 25th instant, I have the honour to state that immunity of persons who have been attacked with plague from a second attack is not complete: a very few instances of such occurrences being on record. The immunity gained by one attack of the disease is infinitely greater than by inoculation. We are, however, without sufficient data as to influence of time in weakening this form of protection. The chances are that lapse of time would diminish protection. In a large country such as India, which has been attacked section after section, this point is of importance, seeing that a person that has recovered from plague in 1896 in Bombay may be subjected to new sources of infection in Madras in 1899.

2. As suggested by the Collector of Salem, it seems but reasonable that if Government be prepared to accept the decided risks of attack after inoculation, it should also accept the much smaller risk of attack after recovery from the naturally acquired disease.

3. I would therefore advise that a certificate signed, or countersigned, by a Commissioned Medical officer, with thumb mark, showing that a person has recovered from the plague, should give all the advantages permitted the inoculated (G.O., No. 1577 P., dated 12th December 1898) for a period of one year from date of the attack.

Ref. on C. Nos. 913 and 1347.

The letters of the Collector of Salem and the Sanitary Commissioner will be submitted for the orders of Government. The concessions granted to inoculated persons are granted to popularise inoculation. No concessions seem called for in the case of persons who have recovered from plague, and nothing is to be gained by granting any.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
Plague Commissioner.

8th February 1899.

To the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.

Order—Mis. No. 242 P., dated 14th February 1899.

The Government agrees with the Plague Commissioner.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Plague Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS—No. 594, dated 2nd March 1899.

Communicated to all Collectors and the President, Municipal Commission, Madras, for information and guidance.

L. E. BUCKLEY,
Plague Commissioner.

To all Collectors (A—L).

,, the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

Copy to the Sanitary Commissioner.

,, the Surgeon-General.

,, the Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Command.

,, the General Officers Commanding Districts.

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner,
MADRAS—1899.

Proof for approval

Mean correct and strike off.

Wm 6/3/99

Recd.

Regd.

1899.

Enclosures.

Spare copies

Proceedings, No. ⁵⁹⁴/₄₂, 2nd March 1899.

MARCH.

Cases of plague who have recovered
Privileges attached to inoculation of anti-plague
serum who have recovered from
plague
Communicating Government Order (Local and Municipal) stating that Government agrees with the Plague Commissioner in considering that no concession may be granted to persons who have recovered from —

Shall be granted to persons who have recovered from plague, the —

Wm 6/3/99

No. 424, 2ND MARCH 1899.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

READ—the following paper:—

G.O., Mis. No. 242 P., dated 14th February 1899.

Read—the following papers:—

From R. B. CLEGG, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Salem, to the Plague Commissioner, Madras, dated 20th January 1899, No. 179-P.C.

I have the honour to request to be informed whether persons who have had plague and recovered are liable to detention and should be required to take out passports and undergo observation. Such cases are not uncommon, and if they are immune from a further attack to the same extent as persons who have been inoculated I should think the privileges enjoyed by the latter may be extended to them also.

Ref. on C. No. 913.

Forwarded to the Sanitary Commissioner for favour of opinion.
25th January 1899.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
Plague Commissioner.

From Lieut.-Colonel W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H., Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, to the Plague Commissioner, Madras, dated 30th January 1899, No. 289-S.

With reference to your No. 913, dated 25th instant, I have the honour to state that immunity of persons who have been attacked with plague from a second attack is not complete: a very few instances of such occurrences being on record. The immunity gained by one attack of the disease is infinitely greater than by inoculation. We are, however, without sufficient data as to influence of time in weakening this form of protection. The chances are that lapse of time would diminish this. In a large country such as India, which has been attacked section after section, this point is of importance; seeing that a person that has recovered from plague in 1898 in Bombay may be infected to new sources of infection in Madras in 1899.

2. As suggested by the Collector, it is reasonable that if Government be prepared to accept the decided risks of acquired disease, they should also accept the much smaller risk of attack after recovery from the naturally acquired disease.

3. I would therefore advise that a certificate signed, or countersigned, by a Commissioned Medical Officer, with thumb mark, showing that a person has recovered from the plague, should give all the advantages permitted the inoculated (G.O., No. 1577 P., dated 12th December 1898) for a period of one year from date of the attack.

No. 424, 2ND MARCH 1899.

Ref. on C. Nos. 913 and 1347.

The letters of the Collector of Salem and the Sanitary Commissioner will be submitted for the orders of Government. The concessions granted to inoculated persons are granted to popularise inoculation. No concessions seem called for in the case of persons who have recovered from plague, and nothing is to be gained by granting any.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
Plague Commissioner.

8th February 1899.

To the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.

Order—Mis. No. 242 P., dated 14th February 1899.

The Government agrees with the Plague Commissioner.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Plague Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS—No. 424, dated 2nd March 1899.

Communicated to all Collectors and the President, Municipal Commission, Madras, for information and guidance.

Personal Assistant,
for Plague Commissioner.

To all Collectors (A—L).

„ the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

Copy to the Sanitary Commissioner.

„ the Surgeon-General.

„ the Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Command.

„ the General Officers Commanding Districts.

C-10 9/13

Salem Collector's Office,

20th January 1897.

REGISTER No. 179
P.C.

FROM Robert B. Clegg Esq., I. b. S.

ag. COLLECTOR OF Salem

Despatch 21-1-97

To

~~THE SECRETARY TO THE~~ Plague
COMMISSIONER OF Madras.

SIR,

I have the honor to request to be in-
formed whether persons who have had
plague and recovered are liable to detention
and should be required to take out pass-
ports and undergo observation. Such
cases are not uncommon and if they
are immune from a further attack to
the same extent as persons who have been
inoculated I should think the privileges
enjoyed by the latter may be extended to
them also.

I have the honor to be

Yours most obediently

For ag Collector

Copied by 12

3/2/97

22

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

(1899)

CURRENT No.

913

Progs.

Letter

Ref.

Ref. 100

27/1/99

Forwarded to

The Sanitary Commissioner

From

The Acting Collector

for favour of official

Ref. 100

Salem

The 4th of Jan 1899

appears that the Commission pointed to isolated persons who be pointed to persons recovered from plague one month after subsidence of symptoms or one week after the healing of the buboes.

Ref. No. 179 P.C

Dated 20th January 1899

Received

Registered 23-1-1899

Enclosures

Nil

Spare copies

Cuo by 6 (linked file). If the precautions mentioned by the S.C. are observed, no further instruction seems necessary.

20/1/99

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed whether persons who have had plague and recovered are liable to detention & should be required to take out passports and undergo observation.

Before departure from Commission 25/1

8-11-99 12-11-99

25/1/99

1/298 P of 99

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

[500-5-11-98.]

PLAGUE.

No.

289
S

From

Lieut.-Colonel W. G. King, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.,

Sanitary Commissioner for Madras,

To

The

Plague Commission
Madras.

Enclosure.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 913 dated 25th instant, I have the honor to state that immunity of persons who have been attacked with plague from a second attack, is not complete: a very few instances of such occurrence being on record. The immunity gained by one attack of the disease is infinitely greater than by inoculation. We are, however, without ^{sufficient} data as to influence of time in weakening ^{this form of} protection. The chances are that lapse of time would diminish protection. In a large country such as India, which has been attacked several

section, this point is of importance; seeing that a person that has recovered from plague in 1896 in Bombay, may be subjected to new sources of infection in Madras in 1899.

2. As suggested by the collector of Salem, it seems but reasonable that if Government be prepared to accept the decided risks of attack after inoculation, it should also accept the much smaller risk of attack after recovering from the naturally acquired disease.

3. I would therefore advise that a certificate signed, or countersigned, by a commissioned medical officer, with thumb marks, showing that a person has recovered from the plague, should give him all the advantages permitted the inoculated (G.O. No. 1577 P dated 12 December 1898) for a period of one year from date of the attack.

It is but a
little
change
to be made
in the
present
arrangement
of the
certificate
to be
issued
to the
person
who
has
recovered
from
the
plague
and
is
inoculated
again.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt servant

W. E. Smith

Sanitary Commissioner
for Madras

Cuo 91321347

W

Def. Cuo 91321347

The letters of the Charter
of Salem, the S.C.
will be submitted for the
order of Gov. The concessions
granted to inoculated persons are
granted to persons in isolation.

No concessions can be made
for the case of persons
who have recovered from
plague. Nothing is to
be said for persons
who have recovered from
plague.

It does not appear
certain that an attack
from infection.

8/12/92

Submitted to Government with the
recommendation that the following
be added as a new para after
clause (c) of the Regulation on
inoculation issued ⁱⁿ 1890 No 1428 P. d. 8-11-90 & 1897 P.
d. 12-12-90.

"The above concessions may
also be extended to ^{any} persons who
produce a certificate signed or
certified by a Commissioned
Medical Officer, ~~and bearing~~ ^{his}
signature, showing that they
have recovered from the plague. Such
certificates shall ^{take effect} ~~be valid~~ only
after the expiration of one month
from date of subsidence of symptoms
of plague or one week after the
complete healing of a baby and shall
be valid for a period of one year
from the date of attack."

Amr.
7/12/92

To the Secy to Govt. & the Secy
to the Coll. of Salem
in orig. (Director)

To the Secy to Govt. & the Secy
to the Coll. of Salem
Sanitary Commr.
Coll. of Salem

Depo 9/2/99

Handwritten text at the top of the left page.

Extensive handwritten text in Tamil script covering the left page.

[25]
1,000-20-10-98.

C No 2115-

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

From the Plague Commissioner Ref. on C. No 913 and 1347, dated 9th February 1899.



Order No. 242-P Misc. dated 14th February 1899.

The Government agrees with the Plague Commissioner.

(True Extract.)

K. Raghava Chari
For Secretary to Govt.

Handwritten notes in the left margin of the right page.

To the Plague Commissioner with the enclosures returned.

Extensive handwritten text in Tamil script covering the right page.

Proceedings of the Plague Commissioner.

READ— the following papers:—
again C. no. 913 of 99 (in full)
again also Ref. on C. no. 913 of 25th January 99. (Abstract)
again also C. no. 1347 of 99. (in full)
again also Ref. on C. nos. 913 & 1347 of 99 of 9/2/99 in full
Read also C. no. 2115 of 99. (in full.)

2/1/99

Proceedings
Order:— No. 594 Dated 2-3-1899
142

Communicated to all Collectors
The President, Municipal Commission, Madras
for information & guidance.

13/3

2/1/99

- To,
- All Collectors (A-L)
 - President Municipal Commission, Madras
 - Cope to the Sanitary Comm.
 - " to the Surgeon General.
 - " S.H.S. Madras Comm.
 - " General Officer Commanding, etc.

20.1.99

27/3/99

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

(1899)

CURRENT No. 2415

From The L & M Dept.

Order

No. 242 P. M.

Dated 14-2-99

Received 16-2-99

Registered 16-2-99

Enclosures 2 Nos 913 & 1347

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Govt agrees with the P. C. in considering that no concession may be granted to persons who have recovered from plague.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

First copy sent to Press. 2.3.99

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN No.
189

WATRO

CURRENT No.

913 — A.

Ref on C. no 913 & 25449 — P.

C. no 1347 — A.

Ref on C. nos 913 & 1347. — A.

C. no 2115 — A.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

Proceeding — A.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with letter.

Sign copies — 32
Spam as — 870

H.S.
6.3.99

Press

Please send Rev

H.S.
2.3.99

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.