

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

St

& Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 730m

No.

confidential letter is very
It should be told D.O. that

1 unnecessary to send Govt such a
of hearsay & rumours & that ref.
should have been made before he
in last sentence of his letter. H.A.

2 C.O. 730 & 731 in 1999
(also D.O. from the Coll. of Cuddapah)

3 No. 527 in his of 24/4/99

4 Mr. Handani's Confidential letter is an unfortunate
production. Please see also his D.O.
But Cuddapah must be very
trying now!

Under No. 33 of the revised

tion rules issued in G.O. No. V.

50 m. dated 19th November 1898,

the collector should forward the

names of the candidates duly

checked, to the Superintendent,

Government Press, Direct, for

publication in the Fort St.

George Gazette.

10/3/99 29300

I doubt this disposal of Col's
end of 1898 to be about 11/13300
The collector should be told that the
not be possible to put the collector's
against the Rayachoti & the collector's
no action need be taken on them.
SUBJECT.

29300 of elections for
wards 2 & 3 to recommending
that the nomination of J. Peter
alone be approved & gazetted

To the collector of Cuddapah.
exp 25/4/99

BACK PAGE

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAGE

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Statement showing the present constitution of the Municipal Council, Cuddapah.

No.	Name of Councillors.	Date of Election or Appointment.	Remarks.
1	Mr. V. Narayana Sastry Pillaykul 134		Deputy Collector
Councillors Elected.			
2	" G. Ramachandraswami 134 B. C.	4.1.98.	High Court Vakil
	Chairman		
3	" V. Ramaniya Rao 134	17.1.99	High Court Vakil
4	" T. M. Mummamby High. Tul. 134	27.4.97	do
5	" K. Geshiah 134	8.3.98.	High Court Sheristadar
6	" V. G. Venugopalakrishna 134	8.2.98.	do Record Keeper
7	" V. Venkataswami 134	26.11.95	Vacant Merchant
8	" Y. Bickiah 134	17.12.95	do do
9	" Y. Subbiah 134 Venkataswami 134	25.1.98.	Merchant
10	" Y. Venkataswami 134	4.5.97	do
11	" R. Kanniah 134 (Vacant)	24.3.96.	do
12	Khan Sahib A. S. A. M. Manjunniah Sahib	27.7.97.	Physician
13	Shahbaz Khan Sahib	17.12.95	Vacant Merchant
Councillors Appointed			
14	Mr. V. Krishnamachari 134	2.11.97.	Surgeon Sheristadar
15	The Hon. Mr. G. Jambulinga Mudali 134	3.8.97	High Court Vakil
16	Doctor J. W. G. Myler M.D.	17.5.98	District Surgeon

CUDDAPAH,
MUNICIPAL OFFICE,

Dated 17th February 1899.

G. Ramachandraswami

Chairman.

Municipal Council, Cuddapah.

REFERENCE No. 202
DISPOSAL

Cuddapah Municipal
Collector's Office,
Office
Dated 17 February 1899.

ENCLOSURES.

FROM

M R Dy
C. Ramachandra Rao garu, B.A. B.L.
Collector of



TO

The Collector of

Cuddapah

SIR,

M. R. Dy. Yarabla Pitchayyagaru
and M. R. Dy. Abdul Razak Miah Saib,
Councillors for wards nos. 2 and 5
vacated their seats in the Council
on the 17th of December 1898, and the
13th of February 1899 was fixed for
the election of Councillors for the
two vacant seats.

2.

For ward No 2 M. R. Dy. Y.
Pitchayyagaru and M. R. Dy. J. Panpu
garu merchants were nominated

Candidates for the election but the latter
withdrew his Candidature before the
day of election.

Rule No 20 is then
applicable.

3. For ward no 5 only one
Candidate viz M R Abdul Razakiah
Saib was nominated for election.

4. The said Messrs Y. Pitchay
garn and Abdul Razakiah Saib
being Honorary Magistrates for the
Cuddapah Town, were exempted from
the operation of section 10A of the
District Municipalities Act IV of

1884 as amended by Act III of 1897.
Vide G. O. No (vide Collector's Disposal
No 5416 12.10.98).

5. Under Rule No 20 (b) of the
election rules the said Messrs Y.
Pitchay garn and Abdul Razakiah
Saib

Saib are considered to have been
duly elected for wards nos 2 & 5

Bellam mandys and Nagarajpet Respecting

6. I have the honor therefore
to request that you will be so good
as to recommend the publication of
their names in the Fort Saint George
Gazette. A list showing the present
Constitution of the Council is enclosed

I have &c
(Signed) C. Ramachandras
Chairman.

(True Copy)

Submitted to the Secretary to
Government, Local & Municipal Department,
with the recommendation that the

V.
But under no 33 of the
election Rules it is the
Colly that Shd send the
name of the Candidate
elected for publication
direct to the Supt
for press.

Nominations of Mr Y. Pitchay may
be approved and be be gazetted as

Councillor. As regards Abdul Razakiah

I have received information in various ways that the Cuddapah

Council is grossly corrupt & that

many members are interested in

Municipal Contracts. It is said

that this Abdul Razakiah holds

the lighting Contracts in the name

of his brother's son. That being so, I

would suggest that his appointments

be held over till I can find out

how far these rumours are true.

Cuddapah

Collector's Office

1st March 89



To Mr. Secretary
By Collector.

2. 3. 89.

1. 3. 89.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 873m

Confidential

From

The Collector of Cuddapah

Order.

Ref. No. 550 of 89
Rev. Secy.

Dated 17th March 89

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that Razakiah should not be appointed as Councillor of Cuddapah Municipal Council as he holds the lighting Contract of the town through his Nephew & suggesting for reasons given that the present Chairman should be asked to

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Collectors office Cuddapah
Camp Bulwerda 17th March 1899

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 8732m

From H. O. D. Harding Esquire,
Confidential, a collector of
Cuddapah,

To The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Dept
Madras,

Sir,
In continuation of my

Letter Refon C no 550 of 18th March '99,
Rev

I have the honor to state that

Proof? I now learn that late councillor

Razak Mia has in fact got the

lighting Contract of Cuddapah

town. He works it in the name proof?
of his nephew, a young man
who lives and trades with
him and the oil account
for oil supplied to the
Municipality is kept in proof?
Razak Mia's books. Under
these circumstances, I submit
that Razak Mia should
not again be appointed to
the Council. In this connection,
I should point out that
Razak Mia's nephew says he
took the contract at the
request of the Chairman.
The Chairman cannot have been

ignorant of the fact that
the nephew and uncle were
really one. Rumour is very
busy to the discredit of the
Chairman. It is stated, ~~that~~
but I have no proof, that
he is personally interested
in a toll contract for which
he proposes to ask for remission
this year. He has I know
reported that remission of
tolls may be necessary.
Further, in regard to the
Secretarship of his Council,
he has behaved extremely
badly. He first wanted
to give the appointment

to his manager - a man
said to take Commissions
on all Contracts. Twice
the Council voted for this
man. Twice Government
refused to accept him. Then
the Council suddenly found
they could not afford a
Secretary at all. This was
obviously to avoid reducing
the pay and position of their
Manager. Then they were
ordered to proceed with
the election of another man
and several Candidates
came forward. Among them,
I am told, was one Rajabau,

10
a member
late Head Clerk of my District
Board, and a brother-in-law
of the Chairman. This man's
appointment, I am told,
was first strongly supported
by the Chairman, but finding
he had no chance, the
Chairman abandoned him
and voted for the man
elected. This man was the
2nd Master of the Municipal
High School, and the reason
^{by these named informants?} given for the Chairman's
supporting him was that
he proposed to replace him
by his own nephew, as soon as
that person was found to
have failed for the B.L.

Examination. It is a fact
that the Chairman did not
relieve the 2nd Master
and appoint him his
Secretary, till I threatened
to report him to Government
how? "His app? I am told was
As regards his nominee Rajah first strongly
that person is a notorious supported by the
robber, who has for years Chairman.
plundered the District Board DB H & C. N.
He took money from all but, below, it would
Subordinates all non-official seem he has just
Members paid him for their been dismissed.
Seats; all gave him part of
their Travelling allowances.
His appeal was dismissed by
the Board at their last
Meeting, and at that meeting,

Is this the
"Extremely bad
behaviour?"
A brother in law.

What has
this to do
with the
Chairman?

the Chairman who is a member
of the District Board was
the only person who spoke
in his favor and proposed
that he should be
reinstated. It is popularly
believed that the Chairman
drafted his appeal. His
appeal was supported by
a fraudulent alteration in
a letter. It is said that
the night before the meeting,
the Chairman was present at
the Railway Station at 11.25
and again at 1.30 A.M. to
meet and canvass the non-
official members as they
arrived in favor of his

brother-in-law. The brother-in-law was a proved scoundrel - yet the Chairman had wanted ^{His app^t I am told was first} him for his secretary. He ^{strongly sup-ported by the Chairman} cannot have been ignorant of the main character which is known to the whole town. It seems to follow that he wished deliberately to put an experienced robber in charge of the Municipality in order that he might share the spoils. In view of all these things, ^{viz. rumour} I am strongly of opinion that the present Chairman should vacate his office. He enjoys the confidence of no one and is a

danger to the public funds. I am not quite sure of the circumstances under which Government can remove a Chairman, but as I have to report the lighting contract ^{thus. I learn he has got the contract "no more"} matter, I think it right to mention all these things at the same time.

I have the honor
to be Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. B. B. B.

ag collector

15. 3. 29.

1838

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

IF NOT FILED UP, IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied
- F = Copy abstract only.
- G = Copy heading only.

TO GOVERNMENT

Local & Municipal Department.



FORT ST. GEORGE,

20th April 1899.

My dear Harding,

With reference to your confidential letter, dated 17th March 1899, No. 550 Rev, I am directed to state that it was unnecessary to send up to Government such a collection of hearsay and rumours and that a reference to the Madras District Municipalities Act should have been made before you wrote the last sentence of that letter.

2. The D.O from the Deputy

For
in
council
traces



SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
21.3.89.

My dear Mr. Harding.

I suppose my
report about the
municipality committees and
chairman was by Mr. Ford
is not correct in saying
to present a committee
for having committees.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied
- F = Copy abstract only.
- G = Copy heading only.

Local & Municipal Department.



20.4.89

FORT ST. GEORGE,

20th April 1899.

My dear Harding,

With reference to your
confidential letter, dated 17th March 1899,
No. 550 Rev, I am directed to state that
it was unnecessary to send up to Government
such a collection of hearsay and rumours
and that a reference to the Madras District
Municipalities Act should have been made
before you wrote the last sentence of
that letter.

2. The D.O. from the Deputy