

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 508

Dated 24-2-88

Despatched 25-2-88

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inoculation Certificates

Informing Mr. H. Rea, Supd. Archaeological Survey, Madras that as the forms given at Bangalore contain no details sufficient for the identification of the inoculated, they are not recognized unless satisfactory evidence of identification is produced & that his treatment will be brought to the notice of the Comr. of Madras.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.
778 288			
947 288			
1577			
12.12.98			

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

(1899)

No 508 of 24.2.99

In reply to your letter No 45 of the 10th instant, I have the honor to state that the authorities of the Bangalore Cantonment should be addressed with regard to the receipt of the vaccination certificate printed to you. The Madras Regulation requires that the vaccination certificate should be stamped with the official seal of the vaccination officer. The vaccination officer of the Bangalore Cantonment should be addressed with regard to the receipt of the vaccination certificate printed to you.

CURRENT No. 1859

that the Bangalore Cantonment has no details sufficient for the identification of persons who have been vaccinated. The vaccination certificate which is printed in the form differing from those in force in the present day is not valid.

From the Superintendent Archaeological Survey Madras. 14/2/99

Forwarded to the Collector of Madras for disposal. No. 45-

Dated 10 - 2 - 1899

Received 11 - 2 - 1899

Registered 11 - 2 - 1899

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that he was inoculated in a local tank and he holds an inoculation certificate and enquiring whether it is to be considered useless as it is somewhat different in form and wanting in some of the particulars prescribed in the form appended to Govt. order relating the plague regulations as regards the holders of inoculation certificates.

When he does not state what particulars are wanting in the inoculation certificate printed to him. Accordingly, his thumb impression was not taken.

14/2/99

BACK PAPERS

No.	Date.


FURTHER PAPERS

No.	Date.

Archaeological Survey (Madras),

Camp. Kovilpatti.

10th Feb. 1899.



No. 45.

From

A. REA, ESQRE., F.S.A. Scot., &c.,

SUPERINTENDENT, ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY

(MADRAS),

To

The Plague Commissioners,
Madras.

SIR,

I have the honour to draw your attention to the fact that the inoculation certificate granted in the Bangalore Cantonment during October last, and perhaps at other times, is somewhat different in its form, and wants some of the particulars prescribed in the form appended to the Government notification relaxing the plague regulations as regards the holders of inoculation certificates.

2. I hold such a certificate, having been inoculated by Surgeon-Captain Lesomann at the Bangalore Cantonment on the 26th October last. I would enquire if this certificate is to be held as worthless, and the holder treated as if he had none at all.

3. Last evening, I had occasion to break my journey from Bangalore, for a few hours at the Madras Railway Station, where I arrived by the train from Trichinopoly due at Madras at 17.25. My certificate was examined by the individual then on duty to do so, and I understood him to say that it was worthless. We then proceeded to take particulars such as would be required for a passport to be given to one not holding an inoculation certificate; though I was not asked to take one out, only having halted there for the arrival of a train.

4. Having been inoculated, and holding a certificate to that effect, I do not wish to be subjected to such vexatious annoyance in future, and I would request that such notice as may be necessary, might be taken.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

A. C.
Supdt.

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189