

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 388

Dated 9.2.99

Despatched 11.2.99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical officer for Dhule
Communicating to the Surgeon Genl. letter
from the Colr of Kurnool stating there is already
an apothecary at Dhule to inspect By passengers
and that a ^{separate} medical subordinate is
not required for the present.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.
153	15-1-89		

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE. (1899)

Chas 1139 + 1672 989

CURRENT No. 1672

Progs.
Letter
Ref.

No 38885.2



Horizontal letter

communicated to the
Surgeon Genl with reference
to his letter No of 26 Jan'y 99

From The Collector of
Rumool

No. 160 of 99.

Dated 4th February 99

Received 8-2-99

Registered 8-2-99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that it is not necessary
to entertain a separate medical
subordinate for the purpose
of inspection of passengers
at shore, as only very few
passengers are detained
there.

To the Surgeon Genl with copy
of letter No 276
of 12/2/99

Pg his letter of 12/2/99
collies letter in full

Recd 11/2/99

No.	Date

No.	Date.

(1899)

CURRENT No.

~~Drop-~~
~~Letter~~

Letter
Ref. *new* 1139

Forwarded to the Coll^y of Kansas
for report whether he requires
any medical attendance & if so,
of what grade. ~~There is an~~ ^{There is an} apothecary
at present at home but it should
be noted that ~~the~~ ^{the} medical
officer cannot attend on plague
^{should not} patients & also do inspection duty.
If ~~he~~ ^{is also} attending
28/1/88 on plague patients

From

Surgeon General

A. S. Thompson Esq, F.R.S.

with the Govt. of Madras
No. 110.

Dated _____

26th January 99

Received 27-1-1899

Registered 27-1-1899

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Enquiring if a medical authority
is required for the Bureau and
Inspection station; if so, of
what grade.

To the Colls of Kurnul. ✓

4
Rec'd 30/1/99

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
153	9/1/99

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

[4]

No. 110.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Madras, 26th January 1899.

From

SURGEON-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.

SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Madras,

To

THE

Plague Commissioner
Madras.

SIR,

With reference to your proceeding
No. 153 dated 19th January 1899, I have the
honor to enquire if a Medical Subordinate
is not required for the Burnt Road
Inspection station as none has been
included in the staff sanctioned in your
Proceedings. Should one be required, kindly
state of what grade he should be.

Is there a

Civil Surgeon

there?

27/1/99

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

C. Sibthorpe

Surgeon General

Surgeon-General

with the Govt. of Madras.

Yes. The Colls reported in his letter
No. 42 of 2-1-99 that Apothecary Mr. Thomas
Sandford was absent, transferred
to Dhule in consultation with
Dr. Power.

C No 1672

Collector's Office,

Dated 4-2-189

Dis No. 160 of 1899

FROM

Esq.,
COLLECTOR,

TO

THE

L. C. L. Commission

SIR,

Madras. I have the honor to state that the Apothecary transferred from Tanjore to Dharm under your Proceedings No 976 Dated 19-12-1898 has been deputed for the work of inspecting passengers at the Railway Station. The Hospital Assistant in charge of the Local Fund Dispensary at Dharm will for the present attend

on the patients in the camp, his work being supervised by the Apothecary. As only very few passengers are likely to be detained at Dhule in view of the small distance between Guntabal (which is an inspection station) and that station, I do not think it necessary to entertain a separate Medical subordinate for the purpose as found by my frequent personal observation.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant.

✓ 18
5297

Mafizul Haque
for collector.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR,

TO

THE

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

189

NO.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189