

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAC^{ES} COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 386

Dated 9. 2. 99

Despatched 10 - 2 - 99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Allowances to Hospital Assistants &c

Passing orders on the letter from the Collector of Salem
regarding instructions regarding the _____

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE. (1899)

on
Submitted for order with the Committee papers
Two other similar references from Balleary
& Chislehurst (Curios: 2334 & 2335 1898)
have been submitted to P.C. for order

Progs.
Letter
Ref.

CURRENT No.

From The collector of
Salem

W
CH Ref. No. 278
PC

Dated 28th January 1899

Received 29-1-1899

Registered 20-1-1899

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting orders
regarding the allowances
due paid to the hospital
assistants, Sanitary
inspectors & nurses
employed on plague duty

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

SALEM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
28th January 1899.

No. 278
P.O.

FROM

Robert B. Sellegg Esq., J. C. S.
Acting COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,

Salem,
28-1-99.

TO

Blaguer
THE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSIONER

Madras.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit the following
for orders.

2. The 1st class hospital Assistant attached
to the Municipal Hospital Vaniyambady
claimed an extra remuneration of Rs. 5.3
for duties performed by him in connection
with plague. The details of the claim are
as follows:-

(a)

Remuneration at $\frac{1}{5}$ of his pay (Rs. 55) for
duties performed by him as local authority
under Regulation 17 with reference to
Clause 2 (b) of G.O. No. 1153 D. dated 23rd
September 1898.

For August 1898. Rs. 11-0-0
" September " Rs. 11-0-0
" October " Rs. 11-0-0

From 1st 3rd + 29th + 30th November at Rs 11-13-3

Plague allowance at Rs 15 from 4th to 28th November having actually attended upon plague patients within the Municipality under clause 2(a) of the G.O. 12-8-11

Total Rs 47-5-3

3. The Municipal Council, Vaniyambady, at its meeting held on 3rd January 1899 Sanctioned only an allowance of Rs 11 per mensem for the number of days he was attending upon plague patients within the Municipality. The Hospital Assistant appeals against this order and requests that the Council may be ordered to pay his bill in full and also that an allowance of Rs 11 may be passed for December.

4. The first question for decision is whether the duties of examining passport holders which at Vaniyambady is very

Does Council to his legitimate work he long with 7 or 8 days

Belong to the Hospital or to the Council? I am not sure

Defecting sheds are kept apart but they must be treated as part of the Hospital

is very considerable, or the duties of attending on plague patients at their houses or in the Municipal Hospital Camp which have been but few is his ordinary and legitimate work. He still remains in charge of the Municipal Hospital. If this is answered in the negative he is, I think, entitled to local allowance of 1/5th Salary under 2(b) for examining passport holders. When attending on plague patients at their houses or in the plague Hospital ~~at~~ sheds is he entitled to the allowance of Rs 15 under 2(a)(ii) or to the local allowance under 2(b)? It depends on the interpretation of the words "when deputed to a distance from the scene of his ordinary work". Strictly speaking his ordinary work is in the Municipal Hospital and not outside it.

I doubt however whether

6. The nurses attached to the Ambulance Staff have been drawing Rs 100, and Board allowance of Rs 45 per mensem irrespective of whether they attended upon plague patients or not. In your Proceedings No. 205 dated 20th instant it is ordered that they should not get 45 Rs extra when not on plague duty.

If this is to have retrospective effect and ~~and I am to~~ call on them to refund the amount of board allowance drawn when they were merely kept ⁱⁿ penidness?

Pending orders to the contrary on my reference to 110 dated 17th instant 176

I have ordered that they should continue to be paid so.

7. The Sanitary Inspectors attached to the Ambulance Staff have been paid their pay plus fixed travelling allowance of Rs 15 and horse allowance of Rs 15 like Circle Inspectors. The last two

Two items have been disallowed in the bill for December, on receipt of your Proceedings No. 986 dated 24th December 1898 and they were told they would be allowed 25 per cent extra under the additional Regulation 10, and travelling allowance under the Civil Service Regulations. I have made my suggestions regarding them in a separate communication.

I submit this reference direct, instead of through the Accountant General, to avoid delay and request early orders.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For a collector

X² M

20/12/98

Sho
not done
submitted separately

Sho
Pro No. 285
27.1.99
submitted

(d)

Chap 1186

NOTE FOR THE

Mayor Council

(a) ~~the~~ So long as the Hospital Assistant has not been reported for plague duty (i.e. ^{after being} specially relieved of his ordinary duties ~~in~~ article 94 of the C. S. Regulation) he does not come under clause (a) of para 2 of O. No 1153 P. d. 23-9-98.

It is the ordinary duty of ^{municipal} a Hospital Assistant to attend ^{within the municipality} on the sick, and simply because there is a plague patient among the sick, he ~~is~~ does not thereby become entitled to the plague allowance of Rs 15 a month ~~in~~ concluding sentence of O. No 1153 B.

As regards ~~para~~ passport duty, it is an addition to the H. As ordinary work, but it must be declared that this passport duty involves a "decided increase of work or responsibility", before local allowance not exceeding 1/5 of the pay can be granted.

It is presumed the charge allowance of Rs 10 drawn by the H. As is not on account of plague

This work is reported to be very low in comm. The man is entitled to local allowance not exceeding 1/5 of his pay 3/4

(b) As stated in the note in the linked file, Adol. Infants Reg 10 has lapsed. Hospital staff attached to ambulance staff should get Rs 15 a month without any increase of 15 or 25 p.c. mentioned in the Regulation.

Desisted file sent back with per 3/12

W

(c) This has been disposed of in O.C. Prop No 285 but vide note in linked file.

(d) Vide note in the linked file
Long 3/1/99

x linked file *enter*

Para 2 (a) of
Go. No 1153 of
23-9-98 }
Go. No 1756 of 9-8-99
Go. No 1756 of 22-2-99
Go. No 1756 of 9-8-99

printed as appendix to O.C.
Prop. No 205 of 20-1-99

Ch. No 6

Prop. No 205 of 20-1-99
Para 4 of the Coll. Letter

The Coll. O.C. agrees with the Coll. in considering that para 2(a) of Go. No 1153 of 23-9-98 is not applicable to the case, as the H.A. has not been deputed for plague work within the meaning of article 94 of the Coll. Regulations. The only allowance admissible is a local allowance under para 2(b) and this may be granted at the rate of one-fifth of the salary. It is presumed the charge allowance of Rs 10 drawn by the H.A. is not on account of plague work.

2. Para 5. In his Prop. No 3809 of 2-9-98 the O.C. has recommended that Adol. Infants Reg 10 be cancelled. If this is approved by Govt. the only allowance that can be granted to H.A. is anna eight a day for attendance on plague patients & Rs 10 a month for light plague work.

3. Para 6. In Govt. No 5226 of 1-6-98, the S.F. was informed that the terms sanctioned for nurses in Govt.

Depo 10/2/89

189

[illegible]