L. & M.
9,000-5-3-96.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 383 M

DATED 16-3-99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lands

Sanctioning with remarks the alienation of certain lands in the Bezwada Municipality on certain conditions.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Nos. 3223 M of 1896, 569 of 97
& 2550 & 3370 Mis/98 & 6 Mis/99

Sale of land Bezwada

Misc

Order No. 383 Mis dt 16/3/99

Jm

The proposed alienation of the lands in question ~~proposed by the Municipa~~ ~~Council~~, on the Conditions specif in the draft agreement submitt with the third of the letters read ab and in para 2 of the Chairman letter to the Collector No. 499, dated 6th June 1898, is ~~Sanction~~

with the exception of the portion to the west of the line ABCDE drawn on the accompanying plan, wh. shd be reserved for railway purposes, is sanctioned

2. With reference to ~~para 2 of~~ the Collector's remarks in para 2 of his Endorsement dated 26th Jun 1898, it is observed ~~that~~ the lands in question being ~~enfran~~ ~~chised~~ inam lands enfranchised before 1882 the State has no claim to minerals therein - vide para 1(b) of the Board's Standing order No 15.

(From order)

V.R.
23/2/99

27 2 99

To the Chairman, M.C. Bezwada printed
~~and the Collr of Kistna~~
with a plan

The Collr of Kistna

Comp. 17/3/99

[L. & M. Dept.
200—28-9-98.]

NOTE FOR THE ~~FIRST ASSISTANT~~. Secretary.

Please see pencil alterations in the draft order, made with reference to H.in's orders. May not the draft as now altered issue?

V.R.
14/3/99

Yes
14/3/99

Cnos 3223 m. of 96; 569 m. of 97; 2550 m & 3370 m. of 98.

Sale of lands —
Bezwada municipality

Local and Municipal Department.

FOR ORDERS:

With the first of the letters read above, the Chairman of the Municipal Council of Bezwada submitted a resolution

I. of the Council of the 16th November 1896, proposing to lease out a plot of Municipal land, measuring 33 acres and 3 cents, and situated between the East Coast Railway and the Ellore Canal, to M.R.Ry. Sriramulu Sastri for two years on an annual rent of Rs.150. The land was to be used for opening a cement, brick and tile manufactory and the Council proposed to give the lessee the option of purchasing the land before the expiry of the lease, for a sum equal to twenty times the annual rent. The lease was also subject to the conditions that the lessee should provide the land with the necessary drainage and that if he relinquished the land after two years, he should return it status quo. As the Council's resolution anticipated a sale, the

D. Chairman requested the sanction of Government to the proposal and stated that an

30 acres excluding the extent occupied by Roads (vide p 42 infra)

agreement

agreement in the terms of the Council's resolution would be ~~[illegible]~~ taken from the applicant(M.R.Ry. Sriramulu Sastri)on receipt of orders from Government.

2. In forwarding the Chairman's letter,the Collector (Mr.Atkinson) observed as follows:- D.

"The terms are very easy; but it is of course to the Municipality's interest to encourage new industries to the utmost. The agreement with the party will have to be carefully considered. Amongst other things it must of course guard against an improper use of the land,i.e., an abuse of the very easy terms accorded."

3. The Public Works Department having in the meantime objected to the sale of certain other lands in the same locality on the ground that they would be required for the use of the East Coast Railway,the papers were referred to that Department for remarks as to whether it had any objection to the sale of the lands in question. Vide Endorsement No.236,dated 35th February 1897. That Department stated in reply that the only lands required for the extension of the Railway station were those lying to the ~~east~~ west of the Municipal road,and that if these were reserved,it had no objection to the sale of the lands in question which were situated to the East of that road.

K. (vide G.O. No. 196 M / 11-2-97)

L.

J.

4. The Chairman was then requested to submit through the Collector the draft of the agreement which it was proposed to take

G.O. No. 289 / 10-3-98 M.

take from M.R.Ry. Sriramulu Sastri,in -nection with the alienation to him,of land in question,and in forwarding the Chairman's letter,the Collector(Mr.Morris), N. pointed out that as clay and earth had been treated as minerals,the State had a right to make a charge for their removal that the proprietor of the occupancy right of the soil had no right to remove them without permission and that the Municipal Council was not in a better position than a ryot and had,in his opinion,no right to enter into the present lease.

It is however observed that under the Revenue Board's Standing order No.15,the State has no claim to minerals in enfranchised inam O. lands. In his letter,dated 4th October 1898,which is in reply to a reference made to him on the subject,the Chairman states that all the lands which it is proposed to lease out to Sriramulu Sastri are enfranchised inams. The Municipal Council has thus got not only the occupancy right in the land,but is also competent to remove the minerals therefrom, and it does not therefore appear that there is any objection to its entering into the present lease. If this view is concurred in,the proposal of the Municipal Council may be sanctioned. From the P. draft agreement submitted,it appears that the lessee is to pay the taxes and other charges made in respect of the lands in question by the Government or by the Municipality in addition to the rent of

Rule (b) of the mining Rules

Rs. 150

ag
re50 per annum. The other terms of the agree-
plt seem to be reasonable. ~~and the draft~~
ce ~~be approved.~~

8/11/98 To Rev. u.o. for favour of remarks.

H 1
3 12 98

Rev Dept

If the lands are, as reported, enfranchised inam lands, then the State lays no claim to minerals therein vide para 1(b) of the B.S.O. 15 (Mining Rules) pamphlet put up.

9/12/98

How does the case stand with reference to lands enfranchised subsequent to the issue of the mining rules.

12/12/98

In the present case the enfranchisement it is presumed, was prior to 1882, if so the right to minerals is in the inamdar, who may legally transport to ... 24/12/98

To Under Secy
Please see [illegible]

The [illegible] will the draft [illegible] dispose of [illegible] 11

L.O.M. u.o.

7

V

Note for the Secretary.

is)

In reply to the reference made to him, the Chairman states that lands in question were enfranchised prior to 1882: The accompanying draft order may therefore now issue.

ght

V.R.
23/2/99

H 1
27 2 99

Please ask Mr Spring u.o. whether his reply took into consideration the extension of the railway to Masulipatam

7.3.99.

Pl to Rev u.o. No 253
3/3/99

H 1
8 3 99

It is quite impossible for anyone to say whether the Masulipatam extension on the metre gauge will or will not pass through the land referred to. My scheme has been so utterly muddled by everyone who has touched it in the last six years from the Govt. of India downwards. That I cannot even guess how the S.M.Ry. will emerge.

~~The [illegible] ought to be sent to the S.M.Ry. to enquire whether in view of the possibility of their coming out towards~~

Mr. Sreeramula Shetty has just been to see me and tells me that he will want nothing west of the Zig Zag line which I have marked A.B.C.D.E. I have entered this on the plan and Mr. R. S. Shetty has countersigned it.

So far as it is possible to judge so far ahead, and in the face of the many authorities who will see fit to intervene before the S.M.Ry. is allowed through the Bezwada Station to Masulipatam, the piece of land lying west of A.B.C.D.E. will suffice for all contingencies of the S.M.Ry's emergence from the Bezwada yard.

F.W.S.
6/3/99

7-MAR-1899

To Secy L & M Dept

H.M.

May orders issue on these lines?

H.T.
8.3.99

Yes
Ast 11.3.99

[L. & M. 1,000–28––96.]

9

Public Works
~~Local & Munl.~~ (1897) Department.

SERIAL No. 19

CURRENT No. ~~569 M~~ 2114.

No 236 of 25-2-97 C Nos 3223 m/96 + 569 m/97
~~Order~~

Referred to the Public works Department for favour of remarks with reference to its memorandum No. 14-C. dated 4th January 1897.

Secretary to Govt.

V.R.
24/2/97

Fort St George
2?th February 97

To
The Public Works Deptt

From The Chairman, Municipal Council
Bezwada

No. 2264

Dated 2-2-1897
Received ~~11-2-1897~~ 26.2.97
Registered ~~11-2-1897~~ 26.2.97
Enclosures ~~one plan~~ 5 (x 1 Plan)
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting a sketch showing the site ~~for sale and~~ applied for by Sreerama Sastrulu

P.1-6

a/24/

C.Nos 3223 m/96, 569 m/97 and
Office notes No. 32/97 & 4/98

ec'd
Issue

Order.

The alienation of the land
 question, proposed by the municipal
uncil on the Conditions specified in
 resolution of the 16th November 96,
 sanctioned; but it should also be
ade a condition of the transfer that
e land shall revert to the municipality
hen it ceases to be used for the purpose
for which it is ~~intended~~ now intended. In this Con-
nection, the Council's attention is
drawn to the Collector's remarks
n his Endorsement dated 26th November
896.

V.R
1/3/98

Not quite safe
Ask to ~~sanctioned~~
draft of agreement

H.T.
8/3/98

Note In its memo. No. 14 C d/ 4th January
97, disposed of in G.O. No. 196 m d/ 11th February 1897,
e P.W.D. stated that certain land situated between
lore Canal & the E.C. Ry. Station in Bezwada would
 required for the use of the Railway, but from
 the papers now forwarded by that Departt., it
 does not appear that there is any objection
 (to the alienation of the land in question.

The Chairman, M.C. Bezwada
thro' the Coll.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.
8	196	[illegible]	11-2-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.
00—13-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 3223 M

In reply
Refer. 1/5/1/97

The Chairman is requested to report the amount of compensation paid by the municipality for the acquisition of the land in question. A sketch showing the position of the same should also be submitted as required in Government memorandum No. 363 dated 9th June 1890.

V.R
18/12/96

15/12

From The Chairman, Municipal Council
Bezwada

No. 1713

Dated 20-11-96
Received 2-12-96
Registered 2-12-96
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction to lease out a certain piece of land measuring 22 acres 12 cents for opening a cement brick and tile manufactory.

P.W. E.4
2/14/97

Copy to dept

To.

The Chairman Munl. Coml:
Bezwada

Despd. 5/1/97

8/12.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	1033	Pub	19-6-96
Go	210	do	20-2-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

12

Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Bezvada.

DATED the 16th November 1896

~~PRESENT.~~

VI. Read petition dated 5.11.96 from M.R.Ry. R. Sreerami Sastry gáru vakil High Court in continuation of resolution No. 8/31.10.96

The land will be leased for two years at an annual rental of Rs150 with the option of purchasing the said land before that period at 20 times. Rs150 i.e. Rs 3,000. The lessee should provide himself the necessary drainage and if he relinquishes the land after two years he should return it status quo. As this is intended to encourage a new industry this rate will not form a precedent for the rate on future occasions.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2 DEC. 189
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Re opening of a Cement, brick & tile factory

1/323

1501 S. Lingayya
Chairman M.C.

True Copy
S Lingayya
Chairman M.C.

P.W. E.2/2114/97

~~13~~

No. 1713

From,

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Depart
thro' the Collector of Kistna

Dated 20 }
Despatched 23 } November 1896.
Received }

Enclo 1

Sir, In forwarding herewith a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council I have ~~the honor to inform~~ Yr that one M.R.Ry. R. Sreeramasastry garu B.A. B.L. a vakil of the High Court Madras, has applied for a site in the Municipality measuring 32 acres 2 cents× between the East Coast Railway and

×: Please see details given in the Chairman's letter d. 4.10.98 (Flag R.)

14

Move Canal for opening a cement, brick and tile Manufactory.

2. There is no likelihood of constructing buildings on the site in the near future and the land is being leased out ever since its acquisition for grazing purposes at an annual rental of 4 Rs an acre.

3. It appears that the applicant is ready to lay out a large sum of money on buildings and Machinery for this purpose.

4. As the resolution anticipates a sale, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Government if you deem necessary.

Ld 21/2
20-2-89.

5. An agreement in terms of the resolution, will be taken from the applicant on receipt of orders from Government on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

S. Lingayya
Chairman
M.C.

mk

From,

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Secretary to Government L & M D
~~thro'~~ the Collector of Kistna

Dated 20 }
Despatched 23 } November 1896
Received }

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, MASULIPATAM. RECEIVED 24 NOV. 96

Enclosures. 1

No. 1713

Requesting [illegible]tain the sanct[illegible] the Governm[illegible] or the land applied for by one M.R.Ry. R. Sreeram Sastry garu B.A. B.L. a Vakil of the High Court, between the East Coast Railway & Ellore Canal for opening a cement brick & tile manufactory.

No. 2859 / Ery 896

Forwarded. The terms are very easy; but it is of course ~~to the~~ the Municipality's interest to encourage new industries to the utmost. The agreement with the party will ~~have~~ have to be carefully ~~worded~~ considered. Amongst other things it must of course guard against an improper use of the land, i.e. an abuse of the very easy terms accorded.

25-11

24/11

J. N. Atkinson
26/11/96.

DESPATCHED 26 NOV. 96

P.W. 3
2214/97

the honor to request for

No. 2214

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT. RECEIPT No. 5694 6/2/97

From,

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal
Department.

Dated }
Despatched } ~~31st~~ 2nd ~~January~~ February 1897.
Received }

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 1 of 5.1.97 I have the honor to inform you that no Compensation was paid in the shape of Cash as land was given in exchange.

2. A sketch showing the site available for sale, and that already allotted and the ~~site~~ one applied for by Mr. R. Sreerama Sastrulu garu is submitted herewith as directed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

S Luigge
Chairman M.C.

Comp.
N.L.

From,

The Chairman,

Bezvada Municipality.

To,

The Secretary to Govt.
L & M Department.

Dated
Despatched
Received } 2nd February 1897

Enclosures. 1

SUBJECT.

No. 2214

Submitting a sketch showing the site for sale & that already allotted & one applied for by Mr P. Sreerama Sastrulu Garu & informing that no Compensation was paid in the shape of cash.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
10 FEB 1897
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

P.W. E.S. 2/4/97

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

~~CURRENT~~ Office Note No. 32

From The Consulting Engineer for Railways

No. 56. R.M.

~~Order~~ Office Note No. 4 of 12-2-98

Your office note No. 56 R.M. dated 14th December 1897.

Please return the papers which were forwarded with endorsement No. 236, dated 25th February 1897, and state whether the Manager of the East Coast Railway agrees to the proposals of the Consulting Engineer in his letter dated 24th November 1897.

P.A.
9/2/98

Ce.L.H
10/2/98

Dated 14th December 1897

Received 15-12-97

Registered 15-12-97

Enclosures 1

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copy of letter to the Manager East Coast Railway regarding the junction arrangement at Bezwada

To
The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public Works (Railway) Department.

12/2/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works Department,
Railway Branch.

OFFICE NOTE No.

Dated 14th December 1897.

From

The Joint Secretary to Government, P. W. D. (Railway Branch),

To

The Secretary to Govt L & M Deptmt

I forward herewith a copy of the Consulting Engineer's letter to the Manager East Coast Railway No. 3614 dated the 24th November 1897, which if accepted by the Manager, will dispose of the matter under reference

T.D. Fowler
Under Secretary

21

Form No. 69.

COPY of a communication to the Manager East Coast Railway, for

the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Madras,

No. 3614, dated 24th November 1897.

In reply to your letter No 8999 dated the 17th November 1897, I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the bundles of tracing therewith forwarded, which are not as stated in your letter "tracings of the Kistna Bridge", but tracings of three schemes A, B and C, prepared by Mr Spring when Engineer-in-Chief of the Kistna Bridge in 1891, for the Bezwada Junction Station on the assumption that this place and not Tadepilly would be the chief junction and transhipping station for both gauges.

My object in sending for these plans was that I might ascertain whether the proposal of the Bezwada Municipality to sell a certain plot of land for a cement factory to Sirim Sastri was or was not likely to injuriously affect the East Coast Railways.

On perusing these plans, a copy of each of which, A, B and C, is herewith returned, it seems to me that even if the scheme of tranship working at Tadepilly be given up, and if Bezwada is fully utilized, the railways will have plenty of room for all possible

development

~~22~~

development if they can persuade the Municipality to reserve for them all land lying west of the Municipal road which leads from the new Ellore Canal bridge to the Railway station, and that therefore there need be no further objection raised to the Municipality alienating any land lying on the East of that road.

If you agree with me in this, and will inform the Chairman Bezwada Municipality that you no longer object to the alienation to outsiders of any land lying East of the road in question, there will be an end to this long pending question.

~~23~~ 1

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

Office Note

~~CURRENT~~ No. 4

From The Public Works Department Railway Branch

Order

No.

Dated 17-2-98

Received 18-2-98

Registered 18-2-98

Enclosures L.C. No 3223 M of 1896 & 569 M of 1897 recd.

Spare copies. Received on file a/c 25-2-98

SUBJECT.

Returning with remarks papers regarding the sale of certain land in the Bezwada Municipality for opening a cement brick and tile manufactory.

26/2

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Office note	4/		12-2-98
G.O	196	L	17-2-97
"	1033	L	19-6-96
"	210	L	20-2-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office Note 4

24

Public Works Department,

Railway Branch.

OFFICE NOTE No. 80 R. M.

Dated 17th February 1898.

UNDER SECY TO GOVT.
18 FEB 98
PUBLIC WKS. DT.

From

The Joint Secretary to Government, P. W. D. (Railway Branch),

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department.

Your Office Note No. 4, dated 12th February 1898.

In office note No. 4/12-2-98

I herewith return the papers forwarded with Local and Municipal Department Endorsement No. 236, dated 25th February 1897.

I also forward copy of a letter addressed by the Manager East Coast Railway to the Chairman, Bezwada Municipality No. 10171, dated 22nd December 1897, giving effect to the proposals contained in Consulting Engineer's letter No. 3614, dated 24th November 1897.

Encls. 7 (1 plan)

F. D. Forster

Under Secretary.

Copy of a letter from the Manager, East Coast Railway, to the Chairman, Bezwada Municipality, No. 10171, dated 22nd December 1897.

Jo 196h
12-97

With reference to your letter No. 228, dated 23rd April 1896 to the Chief Secretary to the Government, Local and Municipal Department, regarding certain land applied for by one M.R.Ry. R. Sreerama Sastry Garu, B.A., B.L., High Court Vakeel, Madras, for opening a cement brick and tile manufactory at the station, I have the honour to say that if you will reserve for the Railway all land lying west of the Municipal road which leads from the New Ellore Canal Bridge to the level crossing east of the station yard, I will withdraw my objection to the alienation of lands lying to the east of the road referred to above.

1
Office Note No. 4. of 1898

H.S.

CR

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2550/M

From The Collector, Kistna.

No. ~~Order~~ 1043 / 14-9-98

The Chairman, Municipal council, Bezwada, is requested to report the survey numbers of the lands, which it is proposed to lease, and the extent of land comprised in each survey number and to state whether these lands are inam or ryotwari and if the former, whether they are enfranchised or not.

KR 30/8/98

ade 6/9/98

Note. The Collector states in his endorsement that as "clay" is a mineral, the Council has no right to enter into the proposed lease. But under Standing Order No. 15, the State has no claim to minerals in enfranchised inams and the Council can itself lease out such lands.

To The Chairman, M.C., Bezwada.

The information obtained from the Inam Depart of the Board's office is not complete - vide telephonic message put up below. 12/9/98

Rc No. 395/M ~~1898~~

Dated 14th July 1898.
despatched 26th July 1898

Received 2[illegible] JUL. 1898

Registered do

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

Copy 1 desp. 7 Rev. ... the KR 8/9/98

SUBJECT.

Forwarding with remarks letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council Bezwada, regarding the lease to Mr. Srirama Sastri of a certain land within the Municipal limits for the manufacture of bricks.

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

27

Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Bezvada.

DATED 28—3— 1898.

~~PRESENT.~~

X. Read Government Memo No 289/10.3.98 requesting to submit a draft of the agreement which it is proposed to take from Mr. R. Sriramasastry in connection with alienation to him of the land between the East coast Ry. & Ellore canal. — Sanctioned

(Sd) S Lingayya
Chairman
M.C.

(True Copy)

S Lingayya
Chairman
M.C.

55 Mg

No. 2917

From

THE CHAIRMAN,
Bezwada Municipality.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 2556 M

To

THE Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department
through the Collector of Kistna
& the Head Assistant Collector

Dated 28 March 1898
Despatched 31
Received

Enclo
2.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memo No. 289 of 10.3.98, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the Agreement which the Municipal Council proposes to take from Mr. R. Srirammulu Sastry, Vakil High Court, Madras residing

Dis 1069/98

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
Bezwada Municipality.

TO

THE Secretary to Government
R & M Department
thro' the Collector
the Head Asst Collr

Dated 28 }
Despatched 31 } March 1898
Received

Dis
44 98

No. 2917

Enclo 2

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
SUBJECT.
7 APR 1898
MASULIPATAM

Submitting a Copy of the agreement which the Municipal Council proposes to take from Mr R. Sreeramulu Sastry as directed in Government Memo No. 287 (Mis 146 / 44-95) of 10.3.98 as well as the copy of Councils' resolution adopting the same.

915
Coun 98
[illegible]

H. Dis order No 1069/98 of 98
dated 5/4/98

Forwarded.

Ag Head Asst Collector

Head Asst Collector's
Office Bezwada }
5th April 1898

Dis
4498

To
The Collector Kistna

Forwarded

Ag Collr

13/4/98.

13.4.98

Is the Chairman not making over what the Council has not the right to dispose of — the right to dig for minerals?

The Chairman should have been so styled, and not by name: for Mr Lingayya may not always be Chairman.

Draft agreement.

This Indenture made the day of Between Rai Bahadur S. Lingayya garu the Chairman Bezwada Municipality lessor of the one part and R. Sriramulu Sastry of Madras, lessee of the other part Witnesseth, and declares as follows:—

In Consideration of the rents and lessee's covenants hereinafter reserved and contained the said Rai Bahadur S. Lingayya garu the Chairman Bezwada Municipality (hereinafter called the lessor) hereby demises unto the said R. Sriramulu Sastry (hereinafter called the lessee) all that piece of land situate within the limits of the Bezwada whatsoever which shall from time to time be charged or assessed upon the said land either by Government or Municipality.

4. The lessee shall himself provide for the proper drainage of the land hereby demised.

5. The lessee shall not use the land hereby demised for purposes other than those described above.

6. The lessee shall have the right to purchase the land hereby demised at the price of Rs 3000/- at or before the expiration of this demise. and the lessor shall on the

said amount of Rs 3000/- being paid convey by way of absolute sale the said land to the lessee.

7. Whenever during the period of the said demise the said land shall cease to be used for the purposes of this demise or if at the expiration of the period of this demise, the lessee shall fail to exercise the right of purchase granted to him unde clause supra, the lessee sha restore the surface thereof its original state and condit unless the lessor shall requi him to leave the same in its actual state.

8. If after purchase, th lessee shall at any time

absolutely cease to utilize the land for all or any of the purposes above described, he shall vacate the land and receive back the amount of Rs. 300-0-0 paid by him, restoring the land to its original condition.

NB) Please see also the condition expressed in the place marked with blue chalk in the paper flagged No. 3

S. [illegible]
Chairman
M. C.
Bezwada

Copy of letter from the Collector, Kistna, to the Chairman, Municipal Council, Bezwada, through the Head Assistant Collector, R.C.No.215/m of '98, dated 22nd April 1898:-

Referring to your letter No.2917, dated 28th March 1898, forwarded to me through the Head Assistant Collector with his N. Disposal No.1069/general of '98, dated 5th April 1898, I have the honour to enquire whether by the terms of the agreement therewith sent, you are not making over what the Council has not the right to dispose of—the right to dig for minerals.

2. The lessor should have been styled as Chairman of the Municipal Council, Bezwada, and not by your name; for you may not always be the Chairman of the Municipality.

-------------000-------------

Copy of letter from the Chairman, Bezwada Municipality, to the Collector of Kistna, No.499, dated 6th June 1898:-

With reference to your R.C.No.215/m of '98, dated 22nd April 1898, I have the honour to inform ~~you~~ that Mr. Srirama Sastri Garu informed me in his letter dated the 3rd June 1898, that he will take from the land only clay or earth for his purpose and that he will not remove any mineral or other material and further said that he has no objection to a clause to that effect being inserted in the agreement.

2. I therefore suggest that the following para may be

warded for approval.

2/2550 Mys

" That the lessee be entitled to take from the land hereby demised only the clay or earth required for purposes of the manufacture above described and shall not be entitled to take any mineral or other material".

---------------000---------------

Forwarded with a copy of this Office Ref. on Cur. No. 215/m of '98, dated 22nd April 1898, and the Chairman's reply thereto, to the Secretary to the Government, Local and Municipal Department, Ootacamund.

2. Clay and earth ~~has~~ have been described as minerals and the State has the right to make a charge for their removal. The proprietor of the occupancy right of the ~~said~~ soil has no right to remove them without permission. Where?

In this matter the Municipal Council is not in a better position than a ryot and in my view, has no right to enter into ~~th~~ this lease.

Kistna Collectorate,
Masulipatam,
July 1898.

K. V. Srinivasan
for Collector.
26.7.98

26-7-98

3
2538 M98

Ed
26.7.98

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To (Office)	[illegible]	From (Office)	[illegible]

Words	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	22	11	17	

To (Person)	From (Person)
Mr. [illegible]	Asst. Secy to Board Rev.

Surveys 82/15 G's are enfranchised
personal inams. Surveys 81/18/1
are religious service inams
which are not enfranchised
the other surveys 8 are shown
as inams in the settlement
Diglott Register but they cannot
be traced in the inam register

64 Word Form. F. C 2.

Recd. from	I.N.	Sent to	I.N.	
At Hrs. Mts. by		At Hrs. Mts. by		Telegraph Master.

C O P—No. 494 T—22 12 97—3000000.

3 / 2556 M

26238

~~40~~

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3370 M

NOV 98

From, The Chairman
Bezwada Municipality

Order

No. 1351

Dated 4/5 October 1898
Received
Registered
Enclosures — " —
Spare copies

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
01 OCT. 1898
MASULIPATAM

SUBJECT.

Stating the extent &
demarcation no.
of the land leased out
by M.R. Sriramula Si
and that the total
extent as per village a/c
registers is 32.02 bu
deducting the extent occu
-pied by roads is only
30-0 acres.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Endt 1043/98 Rc			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

41

NO. 1351

Cur/28 dis
/m

From

The Chairman,
Bezwada Municipality.

To

The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Depart
thro the Collector of
Kistna

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 3370 M

Dated 4th
Despatched 5th } October 1898.
Received

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1043 dated the 14th September 1898, I have the honor to inform you that the extent and demarcation Nos. of the land leased out to Mr. R. Seeramulu Sastri are all enfranchised Inam and they are as follows:—

This docum finds place in [illegible]

			a. c.
D	119	-	4 - 22
D	167	-	6 - 58
D	168	-	14 - 00
D	169	-	5 - 20
	Total		30 - 00

4

R.C.

per village registers is 32-02 but deducting the extent occupied by roads is only 30-0 acres.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed't servant.

S. Livayyer
Chairman M.C.

D Dis 723/98
M

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal department.

Collector

Vif
12/12/98

43

[L. & M.]
19-12-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 .
Again G.O, No. dated 189 .
Current No. 33701M of 1898, dated 4th October 98, from the Chairman, M.C. Bezwada
" " " 189 , " , "
" " " 189 , " , "

~~ORDER.~~ Reference Mis 90/31/1/99

The Chairman is requested to report whether the lands in question were enfranchised prior to 1882 or subsequently.

V.R. 31/1/99

31/1/99

Copy desp. keep file intact

To
The Chairman, M.C. Bezwada.

Oya 31/1/99

44

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 611 M

LOCAL & MUNL. FDL & LEG. DEPT.
22 FEB.1899

From The Chairman
Bezwada Municipality

Order

No. 2314

Dated 20th February 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures — " —

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the lands referred to in Government Office memo No 90 of 31-1-99 were enfranchised prior to 1802.

22/2

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

~~45~~ NO. 2314

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 611[illegible]

From

The Chairman,
Bezwada Municipality.

To

The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Departt.

Dated 20
Despatched 2"
Received
February 1899.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 90 dated the 31st January 1899, I have the honor to inform you that the lands referred to in [illegible] chised prior to 188

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Cu. P. 3223./1896
of 569/97.

~~Memorandum.~~

Dated the 10th March 1898.

Refce. No. 289

The Chairman is requested to submit through the Collector the draft of the agreement whi it is proposed to take from M.R.Ry. Sriramulu Sastri, in connection with the alienatio to him of the land in questio

V.R
5/3/98

7.3.98

Keep papers together

The Chairman M.C. Bezwada.
Thro' the Collector.

Despd 10/3/98

C. P. 3343 No. 2
28/9/97

10 P. [illegible]
Engin.
[illegible]

The Chairman is requested to submit through the Chief [illegible] the draft of the agreement [illegible] it is proposed to take over [illegible] Public Arraconum [illegible] connection with the alienation to him of the land in question.

[illegible]

The Chairman [illegible] [illegible]
thro' the Chief
[illegible] 19/9/98

Director of Railway Construction.

Simla, Jany 16 1899.

47

File in E. C. Ry. [illegible]
[illegible]

Dear Spring

I send you herewith a copy of a Note embodying the conclusion I came to on my late tour. on the Bezwada Sitanagaram question. So that you can consider the matter in the light of the views expressed and discuss it with Bezwada [illegible] if you wish. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Madras during his tour [illegible] I [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]ment.

48

a copy to Constable for the same purpose.

You will observe that I came to the conclusion that matters are too far gone to enable us to go back to Beginnings now, though it is a pity that outlying stations were not adopted.

Yours truly

C E Woodman

49

Note by the Director of Railway Construction on the Bezwada Question.

I inspected Bezwada, Sitanagram, and Tadepalli in company with Manager and Engineer-in-Chief of the East Coast Railway on the 14th instant. They produced a plan, prepared by Mr. Eaglesome, showing that, by a re-arrangement of the whole yard, ample accommodation could be afforded at Bezwada for all the traffic arrangements required at this junction for goods and transhipping as well as for passengers, and also for the Locomotive arrangements and Staff Quarters for same. No estimate had been prepared, but as a good deal of additional land is required, a good deal of filling, an additional Engine Shed, a new Station Building, besides transhipping platform, staff quarters, etc., I think the cost may be put at from 3 to 5 lakhs. Although doubtless capable of improvement in detail, the plan appeared to be convenient and sufficient for all traffic likely to be dealt with in the near future; and should more accommodation ever be required it could at any time be obtained by simply moving the transhipping arrangements away to Tadepalli, and utilising the space so obtained for local requirements.

(2) I understood that the Consulting Engineer, the Manager and all the Departmental officers (except perhaps the Locomotive Superintendent) are agreed that the traffic could be much more easily and economically worked, if all arrangements be concentrated at Bezwada (the doubts previously expressed as to the *possibility* of this having now been disposed of), and that the only obstacles to doing it are—

(*a*) the alleged unhealthiness of Bezwada as compared with Sitanagram;

(*b*) the fact that the existing arrangements at Sitanagram can with comparatively inexpensive additions be made to suffice for years;

(*c*) the fact that the transhipping arrangements have been already nearly completed at Tadepalli and will lie idle for years, if others be now established at Bezwada;

(*d*) the consequent cost of reverting now to what apparently should never have been deviated from.

(3) Taking these objections in order. The Locomotive Superintendent, Mr. Morton, is very strong on (*a*) and has written a long report to the Manager saying that he thinks his men would sooner resign then live at Bezwada. Without going so far as that, I think it is admitted by all that Sitanagram is a much healthier and cooler place of residence than Bezwada; but on the other hand the Traffic community must live at Bezwada, and if the Locomotive community live at Sitanagram, it will be impossible to give them much in the way of an Institute or other means of joint recreation. It is said that cholera is frequently prevalent at Bezwada, but so it is unfortunately at most towns in India, and I do not understand that Bezwada is worse than many other Locomotive Stations that could be named. Still Sitanagram is said to have been quite free from it, which is a point in favour of remaining there.

(4) As regard (*b*) the position is as follows. Besides the bungalows and offices of the District Locomotive Superintendent and the Assistant Engineers (which it would not be necessary to move in either case, as these officers have trollies and can move freely to and from Bezwada) there are present at Sitanagram 7 old construction bungalows equivalent to 20 single men's units, besides 2 more such units now under construction. Also 35 units for Natives, and an old village bought up in construction days where all the menials, servants, etc., can live very comfortably; and nothing very serious in the way of further Staff Quarters is likely to be asked for for a long time. On the other hand to build an equal amount of quarters at Bezwada would cost at least Rs. 75,000, of which possibly Rs. 15,000 might be eventually recovered by dismantling those at Sitanagram.

(5) As regards other accommodation, there is a double line Engine Shed 80 feet long, and two Workshop Sheds, and a tank on staging, but no turntable. Another shed, 108 feet long, is asked for, with additional siding room, and will certainly have to be provided very shortly, though I doubt its absolute *immediate* necessity: the cost is estimated at Rs. 57,956, of which Rs. 43,761 is said to be stores in stock. On the other hand, to dismantle existing arrangements at Sitanagram, and re-erect such portion of them as is required at Bezwada might cost Rs. 20,000.

(6) The water-supply at Sitanagram is defective. At present they pump direct from the canal, which is good enough when the canal is running and there is no flood on; but throughout the flood season the water is very highly charged with silt which chokes the tanks and boilers. Mr. Eaglesome has prepared a scheme for a duplicate set of settling tanks with a second pump and piping at a cost of over Rs. 10,000. After discussing the matter it was agreed that the settling tanks, are probably not essential, but that a well sunk into the sand stratum close to the canal bank and near the existing pump, would enable the latter to draw clear water at all seasons when the canal is running; and that, when the canal is closed, water will still be available by adopting the present practice of bunding it up and leading the water into the sump. This will probably cost under Rs. 4,000 and I authorised the Manager to arrange for doing it.

(7) There appears to be considerable congestion of traffic in the neighbourhood owing to the Southern Mahratta Railway running along the East Coast Railway track on a mixed gauge from Kistna Canal Junction over the three bridges to Bezwada, a distance of over 2 miles, and to the number of light engines which have constantly to be running about. To avoid this the Manager submitted, in his No. 2159 of 14th March 1898, a scheme for making a separate metre gauge track from Kistna Canal Junction past Sitanagram, thus limiting the mixed gauge to the Kistna Bridge and its north approach to Bezwada. This scheme was estimated to cost Rs. 1,37,294, which includes Rs. 76,672 for doubling the screw pile bridge over the Western Canal. Sanction to this scheme was refused in Government of India No. 645 R. C. of 21st May 1898, and I do not think it is necessary to reconsider it.

(8) The Manager has now prepared another scheme for providing a loop, by which metre gauge and broad gauge trains can cross each other at Sitanagram, and re-arranging the entrance to the Locomotive yard there, at an estimated cost of Rs. 18,968, of which only Rs. 3,769 is stores, and for interlocking the present system of signals at a cost of about Rs. 10,000 more.

(9) I am not satisfied that even this is absolutely necessary. There are three telegraph stations within a distance of 4,200 yards, and with good signalling and telegraph arrangements there ought to be no difficulty in working traffic apidly over it. Moreover the light engine traffic of the broad gauge will reduced if a triangle is put in at Sitanagram, and that of the metre gauge, Southern Mahratta Railway will arrange for watering arrangements for themselves at Tadepalli which they can easily do at reasonable cost. I, therefore, think it will suffice to interlock the signals from Kistna Canal Junction to Bezwada and to provide three sets of mechanical or electric block instruments by which line clear can be rapidly given on the train staff or tablet system. Probably Winter's Improved Tablet system advocated by the South Indian Railway (see No. 1351 Ry. of 3rd November 1898 from Madras Government) and now being tried by the Madras Railway on the Ennur branch would do excellently, and in fact two stations, Kistna Canal Junction and Sitanagram, are so close together that they can mechanically control each other's signals, and do without electric line clear altogether. Any how, the whole installation could be done for Rs. 15,000 at most. Hereafter if found desirable a loop could be put in at Sitanagram, but I doubt if it will ever be wanted.

(10) Thus the total expenditure required at Sitanagram will be—

	Rs.
Additional shed and sidings	58,000
Filter well etc.	4,000
Turntable and its sidings...	13,000
Interlocking and block instruments	15,000
Total ...	90,000

which might be reduced by using a triangle instead of a turntable, and may possibly have to be increased at some future time by the necessity for a loop for crossing trains. At any rate Rs. 70,000 to Rs. 80,000 must be spent very shortly if Sitanagram is to be made workable; and a total of Rs. 1,00,000 should be the utmost required there for many years to come.

(11) As regards (*c*) I have shown in my note of 19th December 1898, on another case, that out of Rs. 3,21,818 sanctioned for establishing the transhiping Station at Tadepalli, about Rs. 1,90,000 is already done or advanced too far to be stopped, and that at least Rs. 1,25,000 of this will be lost if we now change our policy and revert to Bezwada. On the other hand, a further expenditure of about Rs. 35,000 is required to complete the work now in hand, as far as will suffice for requirements for many years to come unless the existing well runs dry, in which case Rs. 15,000 will probably suffice for a joint water-supply from the nearest point of the canal.

(12) Consequently, as regards (*d*), the financial aspect at present stands thus:—

(i) to complete existing arrangements up to a workable standard—

—	Now.	Eventually.	TOTAL.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Bezwada requires	...	50,000	50,000
Sitanagram „	70,000	30,000	1,00,000
Tadepalli „	35,000	15,000	50,000
Total ...	1,05,000	95,000	2,00,000

(ii) to remove existing outlying arrangements into Bezwada involves—

—	Loss of past expenditure.	Further Expenditure.	—
	Rs.	Rs.	
Bezwada	...	4,00,000	No reliable estimate yet prepared.
Sitanagram	60,000	20,000	
Tadepalli	1,25,000	10,000	
Total ...	1,85,000	4,30,000	

(13) Under the circumstances, therefore, I fear it cannot now be shown to be financially economical to abandon either Sitanagram or Tadepalli; and even if this could be shown, the sanitary advisability of abandoning Sitanagram would still be open to considerable doubt. I think, therefore, we must now accept the existing situation, and retain both of these outlying stations and execute without further delay the works necessary to enable them to be worked up to a sufficient standard of efficiency as above described.

(14) This Note should be printed without delay and sent to Director of Railway Traffic, in time for him to discuss with Secretary before he leaves Calcutta for his tour to the East Coast Railway, where he can discuss it on the spot with Manager and Consulting Engineer and I hope enable a final decision to be arrived at. Copies of the Note may also be sent (demi-officially) to Major Constable and Mr. Spring so that they may be in a position to discuss the matter with Director of Railway Traffic, when he arrives.

20th December 1898. C. W. HODSON.

Director Railway, Traffic.

Secretary.

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 1848 P. W. D.—4-1 98.—00.

---

given for copying
n copied 17/2 99
n exa d
n signed 17/3
189

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

A

RRED TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

A = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.