

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1899)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 347

Dated 8-2-99

Despatched 8-2-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reinforcement of Charcoal bags

Communicating to the Colls of the

*Govt order stating that there is no sufficient
ground for modifying the existing arrangements
in regard to the — from plague infected parts*

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.

PLAGUE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

(1899)

CURRENT No.

Chas 2681 788 + 1474 788

From

The L & M Dept

Order Bago No 34788-2-88

No. 168 P. this

Communicated to
the Colls of Salem with
reference to his letter
No 850 of 29 Dec 1898

Dated 2-2-1899

Received 4-2-1899

Registered 4-2-1899

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that Govt considers
that there is no sufficient
ground for modifying
the present arrangement
regarding placing restriction
on the re-impotation of
charcoal bago from
Bangalore

To The Collyer Salem

P. J. Collins letter

Ref. Bureau in P

GC Bull

Bago 872188

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
870	7-12-88

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Board of Revenue.

(1898) Department.

General Register No.

From The Agent Collector
Salem

Resolution.

No. 870
Tague

This file is in separate. Please
see re packing baskets.
J. L.B.

Dated 29-12-90
Received
Registered
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Submitting the letter of the
Sub Collector, 1898 regarding
the re-impatriation of
Charcoal bags for Reconni-
-deration.

1093P 590P
17-04-98 12-6-98
1008P On 3/10/98-10-99
5-2-98
870 171P
7-12-88 9-3-98

36 1483P
20-11-98

Subcollector Office

24-12-98

From, C. J. Spencer Esq.
Ag. Subcollector Salem

To, The Collector of Salem

Sir,
I have the honor to request you to be good enough to address the Plague Commissioner with a view to get the Government Order No 370 dated 30-11-98 declining to prohibit the re-importation of charcoal bags reconsidered.

2. The Plague Commissioner in his letter read in the above Government order observes that the case of Charcoal bags is different from that of duck baskets as the former come back by road and the latter by rail. In this Division both of these articles are conveyed to Bangalore by road. Secondly he remarks that we have no agency for examining charcoal bags returning from Bangalore by road. In so saying he seems to have overlooked the existence of our frontier inspection stations on every road leading in from Mysore which leave no facility for wheeled traffic to escape examination.

3. I make this reference because there is

is a considerable trade in Charcoal between
Shulagiri and certain other places in this division
with Bangalore and as far as we have been able
to trace the origin of the outbreak of indigenous
plague at Shulagiri, the infection has been conveyed
through Charcoal bags. There may be no infection
in the Charcoal itself, but the bags which are
of little or no value return empty or filled with
articles other than Charcoal and they might easily
be destroyed or disinfected at the frontier.

Shree H.
(Signed) C. G. Spencer
Dy. Subcollector

Endorsement No 890 dated 29-12-98
Plague Dep 301298

Copy forwarded to Plague Commissioner.
I am still of opinion that these Charcoal bags
can be destroyed as easily as empty poultry baskets
at the frontier inspection stations.

H. J. Abraham
Dy. Subcollector

4/11
Copy

PP-1-43/98

Reference 2681 of 98
Note for L.H. 84-1-99

21/4/199

The Collector of Salem asks that
the decision of Government
in G.O. No 1483 P & 30.11.95
that it is undesirable to place
any restriction on the re-im-
portation from Bangalore
of Charcoal bags, may be
reconsidered.

2. He points out that his
Plague Establishment can
exercise a proper check
& that there is reason to
believe that it has been due
to the re-importation of such
bags that plague has reached
Shulagiri.

3. G.O. No 171 P & 2.9.95
withdraws the prohibition against
the importation of gunny bags
from the ~~Madras~~ Presidency.

4. As a fact, the Collector
has power to destroy such bags
~~under~~ under Original Regulation
13.

4. I am inclined to think

Dep 84-1-99

that Mr. Hamrick overrated the
difficulties attending the pro-
hibition of reimportation; he was
certainly wrong in his statement as to
the non-existence of the necessary
establishment for prohibition
can stand against such objections,
it stands, I consider, on a
firmer basis as regards char-
coal bags in the case under
consideration, & I should
be glad if the Committee could
be given a free hand to use
his discretion under original
Regulation 13 in matter of
Charcoal bags sent back
from Bangalore.

4/13

[25]
20-10-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper:—

From the Plague Commissioner Ref. on G. No. 2681 dated 4th
January 1899 (submitting his remarks in connection with G.O. No.
1483-P, dated 30th November 1898 and suggesting to Government the
desirability of placing restrictions on the re-importation of
charcoal bags from Bangalore which the original Regulation 13
confers on Collectors.)

Order No. 168-P Misc. dated 2nd February 1899.

The Government considers that there is no sufficient
ground for modifying the present arrangements.

(True Extract)

K. Raghava Chari
For Secretary to Govt.

To the Plague Commissioner. ✓

18
3/2/99

When given for copying

When copied

When signed

189