12-8-14.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 23532

DATED 20/11/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with Remarks, the explanation of points noticed in the of the Malabar Sch Board for 1898 99.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3086/

ASSISTT SECY TO GOVT
20 NOV 1899
LOCAL MUNL EDUL & LEG DEPTS

N
Misc

From The President
District Board
Malabar

Order No. 2353 Lmis. 1899 20-11-99.

No. D. 1077/17 99

Dated 15th Nov. 99

Received } 16.11.99.
Registered }

Enclosures

Spare copies.

Recorded with the following remarks:—

(1). In statement III, the fact that the expenditure of Rs 1,200 incurred on the road from Nanminda to Kunnamangalam, was on account of its improvement, should have been clearly stated.

(2). The sanction of Government should be obtained for the abolition of the toll gate at Keranallur and for the establishment of a ferry at that place.

(3)

SUBJECT.

Explaining certain discrepancies noticed by Govt in reviewing the Administration Report of the Malabar District Board for 98-99

16/11/99

12

(3) The sum of Rs 15, shown in column 8 of statement V against the Kandotti toll gate under "Contingencies", should have been entered under "works" in column 6, as it is now stated to have been debited to repairs-communications in statement I.B.

(4) The markets under the Tellicherry Taluk Board in which fees were not levied, should have also been included in the total number of markets given in the last column of statement VI.

(5) The necessary corrections have now been made with reference to clauses (1), (3) and (4) above.

Rc
17.11.99

PJ 18-11-99.

To

The President, District Board,
Malabar.

PJO
Outs 21/11/99

IR
18/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ref in Cno 2541/3620 Sg.

District Board of Engineer's Office
Malabar. Calicut Camp, Sultan's Battery, 21st October 99.

From,
The District Engineer, District Board, Malabar.

To
The President,
Taluk Board, Calicut.

Ref No., dated.

Sir, With reference to Your endorsement on Cno 4333/2547 Sg 11th and 17th instant, herewith returned, with its enclosures, I have the honor to inform you that the road "Naunmida to Kunnamangalam" is not one newly opened during the year, but it is one already in existence whose mileage (8 miles) is included in the total distance 35 m 2 f. in charge of the Taluk Board at the Commencement of the year Vide Column 2 of statement IV A. and that the expenditure of Rs 1200 incurred during the year on its account being merely for making improvements thereto it was rightly omitted to be shown in Columns 5 and 8 of the above statement.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obdt Servt
Sd/ William Major
District Engineer, Dist Board
Malabar

D No 151/2.7. of 99

12

True Copy forwarded to the President, D. Board, with reference to his endorsement Reg on Ano 2005/L.S. of 99.

2. The road from Vanninda to Kunnamangalam is not a new road but one that is repaired as well as widened: hence the expenditure of Rs 1200 incurred on its account could not be shown in Columns 5 and 8 of statement IV A. (Vide section 370 of the Local Fund Code).

Manavikraman
26 Oct 99
President.

COLLECTOR
27 OCT 1899
MALABAR

D 1097/2.7. 99

Malabar Dt. Board's Office,
Camp Sultan's Battery,
dated 1st November 1899.

LOCAL
CURREL... 30862.

From

G. W. Dance Esq., I.C.S.,
President, District Board,
M A L A B A R.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
M A D R A S.

Sir,

With reference to Official Memorandum from Government No.1014 dated 27th September 1899, I have the honor to explain as follows:-

Para 2. The explanation of the President Taluk Board, Calicut, for not showing the amount of Rs.1,200 in columns 5 & 8 of statement IV is herewith forwarded.

Para 3. The bridge at Keranallur having been dismantled, there was no toll at the place, but only a ferry.

Para 4. The amount of Rs.302 shown in column 7 of statement V represents only the Establishment charges of the Amani Establishment, whereas the figure shown in column 11 of statement I B includes both the establishment and Contingent charges as shown below:---

	Amani Establishment.	Contingent charges.
Koduvalli Toll.	Rs. 275-10-8	27- 4-10
Perintalmanna Toll.	Rs. 26- 0-0	7- 8- 2
Total.	Rs. 301-10-8 ✓	34-13- 0 ✓
or in round sum	Rs. 302 +	35

which is equal to Rs.337. ×

Difference of Re 1 is on account of rounding

This amount is exclusive of Rs.15 shown in column 8 against Kundotti toll gate in statement V which is debited to repairs communications in statement I B.

Para 5. From the Taluk Board statements it is observed that fees were

were not levied in one market under the Wynad Taluk Board. The two markets referred to in para 14 of the report were Badagara & Taliparamba under the Tellicherry Taluk Board which were not sold at all. Including these two, there were 17 markets out of which fees were not levied in three.

Para 7. The condition of the Bungalows during the year was generally fair.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

Local and Municipal Dept.
C.No. 2,000 L

Memorandum. Rs. 1014 27/9/99

The following points are noticed in the President's report and the statements submitted with it.

(1) In column 11 of statement I-A, the contribution of Rs 299-6-4 received from the Cochin Sirkar on account of the pontrie ferries should have been credited to V- Miscellaneous, and not to VI- Public Works.

(2) From column 11 of statement III, it appears that an expenditure of Rs 1200 was incurred by the Calicut Taluk Board on the completion of the village road from Nanminda to Kannamangalam but there are no corresponding entries ~~in column~~ in columns 5 and 8 of statement IV

12

(3) In column 1 of statement V, the toll gate at Kalanattur has not been shown.

(4) In column 7 of the same statement the cost of tolls and ferry establishments is given as Rs 302, whereas the amount shown under this head in column 11 of statement I-B is Rs 336.

(5) From column 24 of statement VI, it appears that there was only one market in which fees were not levied, whereas in para 14 of the President's letter it is stated that there were two such markets.

(6) The statement showing the work done by the Inspecting schoolmasters has not been totalled.

(7) No information has been given in the report regarding the condition of the bungalows as required in G.O. No. 5624 dated 12th March 1891.

The necessary

t.

No necessary corrections have now been made with reference to clauses (1) and (6) above. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (2), (4) and (5) and the omission pointed out in clause (3) should be explained and the omission pointed out in clause (7) should be supplied as soon as possible.

1.8
20/1/99

93

To the President, District Board,
Malabar.

Dupt. 7/1/99

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed 10/11 } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.							
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.