6-5-11√

[L. & M. / 5,000—5-4-99.]

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2338 L

DATED 17-11-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks, the explanation of points noticed in the — of the Vizagapatam D. L. Board for 1898-99.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

8

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3131 L.

N/misc

Order No. 2338 Mis, 17-11-99

Recorded, the necessary corrections having been made.

2b 16/11/99. 16-11-99

From The President District Board Vizagapatam

No. 976.

Dated 6th November 1899.

Received } 10/11
Registered }

Enclosures Nil.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Explaining the discrepancies pointed out in Government Memorandum No: 1078 d/ 7-10-99, in the statements submitted with ~~[illegible]~~ the administration report of the Vizagapatam D.B. for 1898-99.

TR. 16/11

13/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No: 976.

DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
VIZAGAPATAM,
Dated 6th Nov. 1899.

FROM

W. C. Horne Esquire
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
VIZAGAPATAM.

To

M. Hammick Esquire
ag SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 3131 L.

SIR,

I have the honor to explain the descrepancies and supply the omissions pointed out in Government memorandum no. 1028 dated 2nd October 1898.

No I Rs 2,690 was the expenditure incurred on the road from Pondur to the Railway Station. The whole length of it was under construction for the last three years. Hence in column 7 of the statement for 1898-99 the number of miles is not given.

The mileage is, evidently, included in the ~~state~~ subd with the report for 1897-98.

No 5 As there were no receipts or charges appertaining to the choultries at Bunjavalsa Veeraghottam and Ellamanchili in 1898-99 they were omitted by oversight. Please enter them in Statement no X.

No 8. The average attendance at each meeting of the Salur and Bobbili union

Panchayets—

by a clerical error
Panchayets was given as 18.8 and 16.0 instead of 5.08 and 4.83. Necessary corrections have been made in the office copy.

No. 9. In the Pandur Union, property was destrained in 2 cases and the amount involved was Rs 1-2-3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servt.

for President Dt. Board.

Points noticed in the Vizagapatam
Dt Bd's report for 1898-99

Local & Municipal Dept.

C No. 2127 L

Desp

Memorandum No 1028/2/10/99

The following points are noticed in ~~the District Medical officer's report and in~~ the Statements submitted with the President's report ~~and~~ in the Distt. Medical officer's report.—

(1) In column 10 of Statement III-A, Rs 2690 is shown as the cost of new District roads constructed, but in column 7, the number of miles of such roads is shown as nil.

(2) In column 23 of Statement VIII, the average net cost per pupil in the Upper primary departt. of the Parvatipuram school should have been shown as nil, as this department worked at a profit to the Taluk Board.

(3) In Statement VIII A, the Lo Secondary School at Gajapatinaga

Should

should have also been shown.

(4) In the same statement, the strength of the Parvatipuram School should have been shown as 112 instead of 64

(6) In column 13 of Statement XI, the average number of labour cases per mensem attended by each midwife should have been arrived at by dividing the total number of cases attended by all the midwives during the year, by twelve times their number, and not by adding up the individual averages and then dividing it by the number of midwives.

(5) In Statement X, the choultries at Burgavalsa, Viraghattam and the Native Choultry at Ellamanchili have been omitted without any explanation, though they appear in the corresponding statement for 1897-98.

(7) In column 16 of Statement XIII, only the total number of unions

Concerned

Concerned should have been given and not the names of all the unions. Vide para 1(3) of G.O. No. 2149 L dy 8th Nov. 1898.

e-c

M

(8) In column 20 of Statement XV the average attendance at each meeting of the Saluru and Bobbili Union Panchayats is given as 18.8 and 16.0 respectively, whereas the strength of these Panchayats was only 8 each.

d

(9) According to columns 13 and 14 of Statement XVI, property was sold in two cases in the Pondur union for Re 1, whereas according to columns 11 and 12, no property appears to have been distrained in those cases.

d

(10) In para 4 of the District Medical officer's report, the average cost of each successful operation by Local Fund Vaccinators should have been given as Annas 3-1 instead of Annas 2-7.

c-c

(11)

4

(11) In para 5, the total number of outpatients treated in the Hospitals and dispensaries during 1897-98 should have been given as 179.471 instead of 180.809

(12) In the same para, the number of cases of labour attended by the midwife at Narsapatam should have been given as 126 instead of 135.

The necessary corrections have now been made with reference to clauses (2), (3), (4), (6), (7) & (10) to (12) above. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (1), (8) and (9) and the omission referred to in clause (5) should be explained as ~~early~~ soon as possible.

VR
8/9/99

VH
13 ix 99

Dsb

Return the office draft please
VS
18.9.99

Z.

The Presdt DLB Vizagapatam
despd 2/10/99

HOM

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189 .

EAD AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

ENT No.

OSURES TO C. No.

R OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

ER OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

PERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

4

2

(11) In para 5, the total number of outpatients treated in the Hospitals and dispensaries during 1897-98 should have been given as 179471 instead of 180809

(12) In the same para, the number of cases of labour attended by the midwife at Narsapatam should have been given as 126 instead of 135.

The necessary corrections have now been made with reference to clauses (2), (3), (4), (6), (7) & (10) to (12) above. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (1), (8) and (9) and the omission referred to in clause (5) should be explained as ~~early~~ soon as possible.

V.R.
8/9/99

13 ix 99

Desk

Return the office desk please

VS
28.9.99

To

The Presdt DTS Vizagapatam

Despd 2/10/99

1809

[R.O. / 10]
5,000-5 12-10.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Block No. 111

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer,

Dept. L & M Press / Mis. / Rout. No. 2370 L, dated 22-11-99 191 , Rack No. / Range,

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
5-4-11	L & M M-R.C	1654 1623	L/11		20/4/11	

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

When given for copying
n copied
Examined
signed
189

gain G.O., No. , dated 189 .

nt No.

ures to C. No.

of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

of Government.

ent with ditto.

not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.