[L & W. / 500—16-5-99.]

Recd 11/12/99

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

Plague.

PRINTED.

Press

Please show proof

MOS
8/12/99

G.O., No. 1740 P.

DATED 7-12-1899.

1

✓

[Despatch Abstract.]

Powers of Plague Officers

Declining to approve the proposal of the Collector of Salem that plague officers be given authority to punish police Constables on plague duty.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1899} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.	} 1899.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1740 P., 7th December 1899.

DECEMBER.

Powers of Plague Officers.

Declining to approve the proposal of the Collector of Salem that Plague officers be given authority to punish Police constables on plague duty.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898.

Abstract.—Directing that Plague officers and Assistant Plague officers shall have the powers of Assistant Commissioners and Inspectors, respectively, of the Salt and Abkári department in regard to the peons of that department employed under them for plague duty.

Read—also the following papers :—

From R. B. CLEGG, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector and District Magistrate, Salem, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated 17th October 1899, Ref. No. 1679-P.C.

I have the honour to invite your attention to my proposal referred to in G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898, that Plague officers be given authority to deal with Police constables deputed for plague duty, and to request that the final orders of Government in the matter may be communicated to me. Owing to the absence of such powers the Police constables on plague duty do not carry out the Special Plague officer's instructions and he has to report them every time to the Superintendent or the Assistant Superintendent of Police, which causes a good deal of correspondence for both. This position is very unsatisfactory. In all details of their work these men, most of them temporarily recruited, are entirely under Captain Ffrench's orders, but he has no power to enforce his orders. The consequence is that they are disobedient and not sufficiently under control. I would therefore strongly recommend that he be given some powers, even if limited.

From W. J. A. SULLIVAN, Esq., Acting Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th November 1899, No. 4661.

I have the honour to state, in reply to memorandum, No. 2768, dated 30th ultimo, that I am unable to endorse Mr. Clegg's proposal that Plague officers selected from branches of the public service other than the Police should be empowered to punish delinquent Police officers.

2. There should be no dual responsibility in respect of Police officers. The District Superintendent enlists, clothes and pays them, and he should be made entirely responsible for their interior economy and discipline.

3. Mr. Clegg's proposal, if carried out, would certainly not diminish correspondence, but considerably add to it. All fines and punishments would have to be communicated to the District Police office for purposes of audit, to say nothing of recoveries for articles of clothing lost or damaged. In every case of dismissal, a Police officer is required to give up his kit complete, and in the event of his failure to do so, he can be either dealt with under the Police Act or the deficiency made good from the balance of pay due to him. Serious complications would arise if the Plague officer were allowed to meddle in such matters ; we would have dismissed men walking off with their clothing and thereby loss would accrue to Government.

4. It is of importance that Police officers, who are always expected to be in uniform, should not be told off to perform menial offices. If they are withdrawn from the supervision and check now exercised by Superintendents, there is no telling to what varied use they might be put to in a plague camp.

5. All policemen employed on plague duty are attached to the police stations nearest to the scene of their labours. Their work is defined and entered in the station duty roster and supervised by the station-house officer and divisional inspector.

6. I have never before this heard of Plague officers being unable to get proper work out of Police subordinates, and I am quite sure that, whenever certain Police officers have been complained against for dereliction of duty, their conduct has been adequately noticed by Superintendents. There is no reason, in my opinion, why special rules should be made for Salem. The conditions there cannot possibly vary from those obtaining in other parts of the Presidency.

7. If the Plague officer of whom Mr. Clegg writes is unable to enforce discipline (other Plague officers seem to have had no difficulty), I should be almost inclined to recommend his having his own peons. I fear, however, that he would not find it to his advantage to substitute them for Police officers, who are accustomed to discipline from the time of entering the force.

8. In conclusion, I invite attention to section X of Act XXIV of 1859. It seems to me that the law restricts the infliction of departmental punishments to officers of the department.

Endt. No. 3002.

Transferred to the Local and Municipal Department with reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898.

(Signed) A. R. KNAPP,
Under Secretary.

18*th November* 1899.

Order—No. 1740 P., dated 7th December 1899.

For the reasons stated by the Inspector-General of Police, the proposal of the Collector of Salem that Plague officers be given authority to punish Police constables on plague duty cannot be approved.

(True Extract.)

R. Ashe

for *Secretary to Government.*

To all Collectors (A).
,, the Inspector-General of Police.
,, the Judicial Department.
,, the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

Despd
13/12/99

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Proof
9/12
Recd 11/12

Government {1899} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

copies for signature 4
Spare copies 150.

Please correct & strike off
11/12/99

Recd. } 1899.
Regd.

Enclosures
Spare copies 11/12/99

G.O., No. 1740 P., 7th December 1899.

DECEMBER.

Powers of Plague Officers.

Declining to approve the proposal of the Collector of Salem that Plague officers be given authority to punish Police constables on plague duty.

Refer for signature

Please convert & strike off

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898.

ABSTRACT.—~~Accepting the proposals of the Board of Revenue and~~ directing that Plague officers and Assistant Plague officers shall have the powers of Assistant Commissioners and Inspectors, respectively, of the Salt and Abkári department in regard to the peons of that department employed under them for plague duty.

READ—also the following papers :—

From R. B. CLEGG, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector and District Magistrate, Salem, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated 17th October 1899, Ref. No. 1679-P.

I have the honour to invite your attention to my proposal referred to in G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898, that Plague officers be given authority to deal with Police constables deputed for plague duty, and to request that the final orders of Government in the matter may be communicated to me. Owing to the absence of such powers the Police constables on plague duty do not carry out the Special Plague officer's instructions and he has to report them every time to the Superintendent or the Assistant Superintendent of Police, which causes a good deal of correspondence for both. This position is very unsatisfactory. In all details of their work these men, most of them temporarily recruited, are entirely under Captain Ffrench's orders, but he has no power to enforce his orders. The consequence is that they are disobedient and not sufficiently under control. I would therefore strongly recommend that he be given some powers, even if limited.

Endt. No. 221-P.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, with a copy of G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898, which by an oversight was not communicated to that department or to the Inspector-General of Police.

23rd October 1899.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Endt. No. 2768.

Referred to the Inspector-General of Police for remarks, with advertence to the suggestion as regards Police constables made in Mr. Buckley's Ref. on C. No. 1641, dated 29th November 1898.

30th October 1899.

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

From W. J. A. SULLIVAN, Esq., Acting Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th November 1899, No. 4661.

I have the honour to state, in reply to memorandum, No. 2768, dated 30th ultimo, that I am unable to endorse Mr. Clegg's proposal that Plague officers selected from branches of the public service other than the Police should be empowered to punish delinquent Police officers.

2. There should be no dual responsibility in respect of Police officers. The District Superintendent enlists, clothes and pays them, and he should be made entirely responsible for their interior economy and discipline.

3. Mr. Clegg's proposal, if carried out, would certainly not diminish correspondence, but considerably add to it. All fines and punishments would have to be communicated to the District Police office for purposes of audit, to say nothing of recoveries for articles of clothing lost or damaged. In every case of dismissal, a Police officer is required to give up his kit complete, and in the event of his failure to do so, he can be either dealt with under the Police Act or the deficiency made good from the balance of pay due to him. Serious complications would arise if the Plague officer were allowed to meddle in such matters; we would have dismissed men walking off with their clothing and thereby loss would accrue to Government.

4. It is of importance that Police officers, who are always expected to be in uniform, should not be told off to perform menial offices. If they are withdrawn from the supervision and check now exercised by Superintendents, there is no telling to what varied use they might be put to in a plague camp.

5. All policemen employed on plague duty are attached to the police stations nearest to the scene of their labours. Their work is defined and entered in the station duty roster and supervised by the station-house officer and divisional inspector.

6. I have never before this heard of Plague officers being unable to get proper work out of Police subordinates, and I am quite sure that, whenever certain Police officers have been complained against for dereliction of duty, their conduct has been adequately noticed by Superintendents. There is no reason, in my opinion, why special rules should be made for Salem. The conditions there cannot possibly vary from those obtaining in other parts of the Presidency.

7. If the Plague officer of whom Mr. Clegg writes is unable to enforce discipline (other Plague officers seem to have had no difficulty), I should be almost inclined to recommend his having his own peons. I fear, however, that he would not find it to his advantage to substitute them for Police officers, who are accustomed to discipline from the time of entering the force.

8. In conclusion, I invite attention to section X of Act XXIV of 1859. It seems to me that the law restricts the infliction of departmental punishments to officers of the department.

Endt. No. 3002.

Transferred to the Local and Municipal Department with reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898.

(Signed) A. R. KNAPP,
Under Secretary.

18th November 1899.

ORDER—No. 1740 P., dated 7th December 1899.

For the reasons stated by the Inspector-General of Police, the proposal of the Collector of Salem that Plague officers be given authority to punish Police constables on plague duty cannot be approved.

(True Extract.)

for Secretary to Government.

To all Collectors (A). 63+21
,, the Inspector-General of Police. 8 6
,, the Judicial Department.
,, the President, Municipal Commission, Madras. 6

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Read. again the following paper

Government {1898} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

abstract

216

In 1740 P 87/12

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1611 P., 20th December 1898.

DECEMBER.

Powers of Plague Officers.

Accepting the proposals of the Board of Revenue and directing that Plague officers and Assistant Plague officers shall have the powers of Assistant Commissioners and Inspectors, respectively, of the Salt and Abkári department in regard to the peons of that department employed under them for plague duty.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Collector of Salem, No. 576-Plague, dated 24th November 1898, submitting a copy of Captain T. Ffrench's diary for November 18th regarding his inspection of Komaranapalli camp.

Ref. on C. No. 1641, dated 29th November 1898.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. Mr. Clegg considers that Collectors should be given authority to deal with Police and Salt peons transferred to them for plague duty. What is required is immediate control over such subordinates, and the Plague Commissioner considers that all officers of the superior Plague staff as described in paragraph 26 (*a*) of the Additional Mufassal Regulations should have the power to fine or suspend the subordinates referred to, an appeal from their orders lying to the Collector of the district.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
Plague Commissioner.

To the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 4824, dated 7th December 1898.

Read—endorsement of Government, Local and Municipal Department, No. 262, dated 1st December 1898.

Resolution—Mis. No. 4824, dated 7th December 1898.

With reference to the Government endorsement read above, the Board begs to report that, in its opinion, "Plague officers" and "Assistant Plague officers" may be invested with the punitive powers of "Assistant Commissioners" and "Inspectors," respectively, in regard to the peons of this department employed under them in connection with plague preventive operations. These powers will be found detailed in the last column of paragraph 1 of Circular Order No. I of 1896 of this department, an extract of which is given below :—

Class of Officers.	Who may degrade or otherwise punish and to what extent.
Peons	Assistant Commissioners—Full powers. Inspectors—May award black marks, or fine up to one rupee, or suspend up to one month. This power of suspension should not be exercised by Inspectors where it is inflicted for accumulation of black marks. Assistant Commissioners should dispose of all such cases.

2. As regards Sub and Assistant Inspectors, Collectors should have power to suspend them, reporting to the Board for all orders as to punishment.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) EDMUND MILLETT,
Assistant Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.

ORDER—No. 1611 P., dated 20th December 1898.

The Government accepts the proposals of the Board of Revenue contained in the second of the papers read above, and directs that Plague officers and Assistant Plague officers shall have the powers of Assistant Commissioners and Inspectors, respectively, of the Salt and Abkári department in regard to the peons of that department employed under them for plague duty.

2. The Inspector-General of Police is requested to report on the suggestion as regards Police constables made in the first paper read above.

(True Extract.)

H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Plague Commissioner.
,, the Revenue Department.
,, all Collectors (A—L).

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Cve 2 to Judl. C.N.
47/18-9-99

[L. & M. 1,000—16-5-99.]

PLAGUE.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No. 3171 P of 1899, dated 18-10-99 from the Collector of Salem

Current No. 3611 P of 1899, dated 20-11-99 from the Inspector Genl. of Police

Judl. C.N. 847/28-11-99.

SUBJECT.

Control of Plague Officers over police subordinates.

FOR ORDERS.

To Judicial Dept for favour of C.

H. M.

Last year, in Nov, the Collector of Salem brought to the notice of Government that Salt peons and police Constables on plague duty were found inefficient as the Plague Officers had no direct authority over them & suggested that the Collector should have power to deal with them directly and not through their departmental Superiors. The Plague Commissioner agreeing with the Collector, the required authority was Conferred on all Plague Officers and Assistant Plague Officers so far as the Subordinates of the Salt & Abkari department were concerned. As regards Police subordinates, the Collector's suggestion was referred to the I. G. of Police for report.

F (G.O. 1611 P d (20-12-99)
P.C. 70 of 97-99)

2. Writing again about police subordinates, the Collector states in his letter No. 1679 de 17-10-99, that owing to the absence of control, the police Constables on plague duty do not carry out the Special Plague Officer's instructions and that he has to report them every time to the Superintendent or the Assistant Superintendent of Police, which causes a good deal of Correspondence.

(C. 3171 P of 99)

3. The I. G. of Police is against the adoption of the Collector's proposal. He states that plague Officers selected from branches of the public Service other than the Police should not be empowered to punish delinquent police officers for the following reasons:—

(C. 3611 P of 99)

and he is quite
M A

i. The Dt Supt, who enlists, clothes & pays the force should be entirely responsible for its internal economy and discipline;

ii

ii The Collector's proposal would increase correspondence, seeing that all fines and punishments should be communicated to the Dt Police Office for purposes of audit, and, in case of dismissal, for the recovery of the Constable's Kit.

iii Police Officers should not be told off to perform menial duties. If the supervision and check of the Dt Supt are withdrawn, there is no guarantee that they will not be required to perform such services.

iv Police officers on plague duty are attached to regular police Stations and are subject to the control of S.H.O's & Inspectors. This seems to be sufficient.

v. Section X of Act XXIV of 1859 restricts the power to punish police officers to the officers of that department.

[But attention is invited to Sec IV of Act V of 1861 under which the Local Government may appoint any one to the superior grade]

4.

4. The Collectors of other Districts where police are employed on plague duty have not complained of their want of direct control over them. The reasons urged by the I.G. seem strong enough for rejecting Salem Collr's proposal.

N.S.M.
28/11/99

28.11.99

The Colr's proposal cannot be approved.

1.12.99

No 3171 P

Ref No. 1678/PC

SALEM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
17th October 1899.

FROM

Robert, B. Clegg Esq., I.C.S.
Acting Collector and District Magistrate,
Salem,

17 OCT 1899

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite your attention to my proposal referred to in G. O. No 1611. P. dated 20th December 1898 that Plague officers be given authority to deal with Police constables deputed for plague duty and to request that the final orders of Government in the matter may be communicated to me. Owing to the absence of such powers the Police constables on plague duty do not carry out the Special Plague officers' instructions and he has to report them every time to the Superintendent

X

Read 3611. P.

27

4. or the Assistant Superintendent of Police which causes a good deal of correspondence for both. This position is very unsatisfactory. In all details of their work these men, most of them temporarily recruited, are entirely under Captain Ffrench's orders, but he has no power to enforce his orders. The consequence is that they are disobedient and not sufficiently under control. I would therefore strongly recommend that he be given some powers even if limited.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Ramachandralyer
For ag collector.

[illegible] C. No. 4787 7-9-99

+ MM
17/10.

Col
sent

Judicial
~~Local & Munl.~~ (1899) Department.

~~CURRENT~~ No. 3171 P.

1 4469
3 P

~~Order.~~ Endt no 221 P d/ 23-10-99

Transferred to the Judicial Department with a copy of GO No 1611 P. d/ 20-12-98 which by an oversight was not communicated to that Dept or to the Inspector General of Police.

M Hammick
Ag Secy to Government

Secy
18/10/99

Note attention is invited to the Secy's remark at flag A =

~~Endt~~
~~Referred to the I. G. of Police for remarks with reference to the suggestion as re made by Mr Buckley~~

From The Collector of Salem

Ref No. 1679/P.C.

Dated 17th October 1899.

Received in Jndl. Dept 18 OCT. 1899 23-10-99

Registered in Jndl. Dept 18-10-99 23-10-99

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting that orders may be passed on ~~the~~ proposal that Plague officers be given authority to deal with Police Constables deputed for plague duty

PA
13/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	1611 P		20-12-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M. 1,000—16-5-99.]

Plague.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3611 P.

Order

From The Judicial Dept

Endt No. 3002

Dated 18
Received 20 } Nov: 99
Registered 20
Enclosures three
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Transferring letter from the Inspector-Genl of Police stating that he is unable to endorse Mr Clegg's proposal that plague officers selected from outside the Police Dept should be empowered to punish delinquent police officers on plague duty.

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G / 24]
500-29.3.99.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

4P

C. No. 4469 From the L & M Dept, No. 221 P, dated 23-10-1899.

" .... " " ...., " ...., " .... 189 .

" .... " " ...., " ...., " .... 189 .

" .... " " ...., " ...., " .... 189 .

Endt No 2768 d 30-10-99

Referred to the I.G. of Police for remarks with advertence to the suggestion ~~[illegible]~~ as regards police constables made in Mr. Buckley's Ref: one No 1641 dated 29th Nov/98.

27.x.99

28/x/99

Reqd.
26/x/99.

D.

The I.G. of Police with Ct in orig with Lr of Govt No 1641 P d 20.12.98

Despd with cl. 31-x-99

Exd 30.10.99

Exd 30.10.99

3
Encl 3611-P.

No. O-48. Ind CURRENT No. 4781. 500—3-99.

Department.

No. 4661.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras, 11th November 1899.

FROM

W.J.A. SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE,
Ag. INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras.

58

TO

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St.George.

Enclosures.

SIR,

I have the honour to state, in reply to Memorandum No.2768, dated 30th ultimo, that I am unable to endorse Mr.Clegg's proposal that plague officers selected from branches of the public service other than the police should be empowered to punish delinquent police officers.

2. There should be no dual responsibility in respect to police officers. The District Superintendent enlists, clothes and pays them and he should be made entirely responsible for their interior economy and discipline.

3. Mr.Clegg's proposal, if carried out, would certainly not diminish correspondence but considerably add to it. All fines and punishments would have to be communicated to the district police office for purposes of audit, to say nothing of recoveries for articles of clothing lost or damaged. In every case of dismissal, a police officer is required to give up his kit complete and, in the event of his failure to do so, he can be either dealt with under the Police Act or the deficiency made good from the

balance of pay due to him. Serious complications would arise if the Plague Officer were allowed to meddle in such matters-we should have dismissed men walking off with their clothing and thereby loss would accrue to Government.

4. It is of importance that police officers, who are always expected to be in uniform, should not be told off to perform menial offices. If they are withdrawn from the supervision and check now exercised by Superintendents, there is no telling to what varied use they might be put to in a plague camp.

5. All policemen employed on plague duty are attached to the police stations nearest to the scene of their labours. Their work is defined and entered in the station duty roster and supervised by the Station House Officer and divisional Inspector.

6. I have never before this heard of Plague officers being unable to get proper work out of police subordinates and I am quite sure that, whenever certain police officers have been complained against for dereliction of duty, their conduct has been adequately noticed by Superintendents. There is no reason, in my opinion, why special rules should be made for Salem. The conditions there cannot possibly vary from those obtaining in other parts of the Presidency.

7. If the plague officer of whom Mr. Clegg writes is unable to enforce discipline (other plague officers seem to have had no difficulty) I should be almost inclined to recommend his having his own peons. I fear, however, that he would not find it to his advantage to substitute them for police officers, who are accustomed to discipline from the time of entering the force.

8. In conclusion, I invite attention to Section X of Act

Police

XXIV of 1859. It seems to me that the law restricts the infliction of departmental punishments to officers of the department.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J Meredith
for Ag. Inspector-General of Police.

~~Judicial~~ L & M (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~4781~~ 3611 P.

CHIEF SECRETARY
12 NOV. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

7 P

Cts 4469 & 4781/99.

From

W.J.A. SULLIVAN, ESQUIRE,
Ag. Inspector-General of Police,
Madras.

No. 4661.

No B002d/ 18.11.99.

~~Order~~ Endorsement

Transferred to the Local and Municipal Dept with reference to para 2 of G.O. No. 1611 P. dated 20th Dec/98.

Under Secretary.

Nod. 18/11/99

Dated	11th November 1899.
Received	12 } 11-99.
Registered	13 } 11-99.
Enclosures	two
Spare copies	

SUBJECT.

Stating that he is unable to endorse Mr. Clegg's proposal that plague officers selected from outside the police should be empowered to punish delinquent police officers on plague duty.

2

The Local & Munl Depts

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

First copy

Returne

Desp

PLAGUE.

Local and Municipal Department.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 .
Again G.O., No. 1611P dated 20-12-98 189 .
Current No. 3171 P of 1899, dated , from
" " 3611 P " 1899, " , "
" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER No 1740 P, d/7-12-1899

For the reasons stated by the I.G. of Police, the proposal of the Collector of Salem that plague officers be given authority to punish police constables on plague duty cannot be approved

(Form orders)

2/12/99

H T 7 12 99

To all Collectors (A)
The I.G. of Police
The Judl. Dept
" President Mpl. Madras

PERS.

Date.

copy sent to Press.

ned for signature.

atched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. 9

- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

G.O., No. 1611P , DATED 20-12-98 —— (R)
189 .

No. 3171P —— A

" 3611P —— A

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.