

[L. & M.]
[1,000-12-0-00.]

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1687 mis ✓

DATED 2-2-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Re-importation of charcoal bags. Stating that there is
no sufficient ground for modifying the existing arrangements
in regard to the — from plague infected parts.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 22 P.

SUBJECT.

Restriction on the reimportation
of charcoal bags from
plague-infected parts.

ORDER. No 168 P. 22-99

The Government considers
that there is no sufficient
ground for modifying the
present arrangements
(From orders)

V.R.
1/2/99

#12299

To The Plague Commr. ✓
Recd
M. R.
4/2.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 22P

P.C. Kindly put up the
S.C. with

5-1-99

Vide Order No. 870
7-12-98

Mansee Flap A. B

For previously submitted From
the Plague Commission

Order with a fresh expression?

7 LB

To Secy Ldn U.V.

Ref. No. 2681

H.M.

India would have second hand
Cunningham bags interfered with
See P.O. 171.P Flap C. For
9-3-98

Mr. Hamrick's views on charcoal
bags please see flap D.

Now on a new issue dated that

Plague has probably been imported Submitting his remarks in con-
into Satalini by means of - nection with L. O No 1483 P 230-11-98
charcoal bags, Govt is asked and suggesting to Govt the desir-
to allow their reimportation to - nability of placing restrictions
be prohibited or restricted. on the reimportation from Ban-
Submitted that the proposal may - galore of charcoal bags, which
be refuted. The Original Regulation 13 con-
fers on Collectors.

9-1-99

P. 10

4-1-99

What power has Govt in the matter under the rules. Can we act without ref. to India in the matter of ^{old} empty charcoal & other bags.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

I cannot find my initials on G.O. 1483 or 870
30.11.98 or 7.12.98

I do not remember seeing the correspondence before was it sent to me?

MA 11.1.99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

MA. The paper no 870 of 7.12.98 is a Proc. of the P.C.

P.O. 1483 was not submitted to 30.11.98

MA. for approval, as the P.C.'s views were only in accordance with the policy of the F.D.

Now that the P.C. has recommended a course that seems at variance with the F.D. views, the papers have been submitted for orders.

With regard to H.M.s query as to the power of Govt under the Rules. Regn 13. Paraph. 12. allows the Coll. to destroy imported second-hand gunny bags. This is law, & as such can be acted upon. But ^{such a matter} it is usual, & I submit proper, to accept the policy in such a matter deliberately adopted by India. India had prohibited import of second hand gunny bags from Bombay; ^{but} on reflection cancelled the prohibition (Plag. C.) in other CONCESSIONABLE cases. H.T. 13.190.

I agree that there is no sufficient ground for modifying the present arrangements.

MA 30.1.99.

MA. (resubmitted)

MA 27.1.99

It would seem to follow Regn 13 should be altered. I do not advise this. It stands as it were in abeyance, but we might have to act on it if we heard of large consignments of empty gunny bags from Bangalore, or in other CONCESSIONABLE cases. H.T. 13.190.

C222P

Plague Commissioner's Office,

Ref. On. C. No. 2681 of 98.

Madras, 4th January, 1899.

Note for Local & Municipal Department.



The Collector of Salem asks that the decision of Government in G. O. No. 1483 P dated 30-11-98, that it is undesirable to place any restriction on the reimportation from Bangalore of charcoal bags, may be reconsidered.

2. He points out that his plague establishment can exercise a proper check and that there is reason to believe that it has been due to the re-importation of such bags that plague has reached Shulagiri.

3. G. O. No. 171 P, dated 9-3-98 withdraws the prohibition against the importation of gunny bags from the Bombay Presidency.

4. As a fact, the Collector has power to destroy such bags under Original Regulation 13.

5. I am inclined to think that Mr. Hammick overrated the difficulties attending the prohibition of re-importation; he was certainly wrong in his statement as to the non-existence of the necessary establishment.

If prohibition can stand against duck basket it stands, I consider, on a firmer basis as regards charcoal bags in the case under consideration, and I should be glad if the Collector could be given a free hand to use his discretion under Original Regulation 13 in matter of charcoal bags sent back from Bangalore.

J. S. M. S. 4/1/99

For Plague Commissioner.

Recd. H.S. 4-1-99

When given for copying 2
When copied 3 } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.