

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1682 M

DATED 14-11-99.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Munl Council

Agreeing with the Collector of Panyam
in his remarks on the election of a Vice-
Chairman for the Chikacola

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2819

Muni
Order No. 1682
14/11/99
The Government agrees
with the Collector.

From M. R. Rao Bahadur
J. V. Sivarao Rao Taluqam
Chairman Municipal
Council Chicacole

No. 896

18
7/11/99

11/11/99

Dated 16-10-99

Received }
Registered } 3-10-99

Enclosures nil

Spare copies "

SUBJECT.

To
The Ch. Municipal Council Chicacole
Submitting a Report
reference to Rule 6 of the Rules
for the election of the Chairman
of Municipal Councils and
thru the Taluqam requesting orders thereon.
O.P. 14/11/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	19 mis	4-1-99	
	1811 mis	5-98	
	61 mis	5-98	
	1422 mis	5-98	
	1568 mis	9-7-97	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 896

Municipal office.
Chicago, Ill.
Dated 16th October 1899

From

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.

CURRENT No.

To

The Secretary to the Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

(Through the Collector of Ganjam.)

Sir,

With reference to rule 6 of the rules for the election of Vice Chairmen of Municipal Councils, I have the honour to report that ^{the} candidate has obtained the majority of ^{the} votes required by rule 2 at any of the ^{the} meetings held for the purpose of electing a Vice Chairman, for this Municipality, whose election has been sanctioned by the Government in its order No. 1568 M. Mis. dated 9th July 1897.

2.

The first meeting was held on the 18th September 1899 in accordance with the notice issued by the Collector under rule 1 of the above mentioned rules. The following candidates were duly nominated for this meeting under rule 3 by the Councillors mentioned against the names of the former.

1. Mr. V. Girani & Mr. N. Ramaswami Pantulu garu.
Sastri garu. } Mr. M. Venkata Ramanayya Chetty garu.
2. Mr. E. A. Holmes & Mr. P. Venkata Ramanayya Pantulu garu.
} Mr. H. V. Narasiah & Naidu garu.

Mr. H.

Mr. P. Venkatakamanayya } Mr. P. Venkata Reddy
Pantulu garu. } Pantulu garu B.A.
Mr. J. Delaney.

Mr. M. Venkata Reddy } Mr. H. V. Narasiah
Pantulu garu B.A. } Pantulu garu.
Mr. E. A. Holmes.

Mr. H. V. Narasiah } Mr. P. Venkatakamanayya
naidu garu. } Pantulu garu.
Mr. P. Appayya Pantulu
garu.

Mr. T. Ramalinga Pantulu } Mr. P. Appayya Pantulu
garu. } Pantulu garu.
Mr. J. Srinivasan
Chetty garu.

3. After the names of the candidates had been read out on the day of the election the last four candidates withdrew their candidatures. Of the remaining two candidates Mr. T. Ramalinga Sastri garu got 6 votes and Mr. E. A. Holmes got 5 votes in the first ballot; while the ballot was repeated with the same result. The meeting was adjourned under rule 4(c) of the above mentioned rules.

4. I beg to submit that the adjourned meeting was held on the 29th September 1899 in accordance with the notice given by me under rule 5. Mr. H. V. Narasiah Naidu garu was again nominated by two councillors viz Mr. M. Venkata Reddy Pantulu garu B.A. and Mr. E. A. Holmes and the nomination was of course sent to me.

in such time as is required by rule 3.

It may not be out of place to mention that Mr. T. A. Sivamatha Siva Senghal B. A. B. C. E. and Mr. T. Ramalinga Pantulu garu objected to the validity of the nomination on the ground that Mr. H. V. Narasiah Naidu garu withdrew his candidature at the first election meeting but the Council was of opinion that it was a fresh nomination and it was valid under rule 5.

At this meeting Mr. E. A. Holmes had withdrawn his candidature before the ballot was taken.

5. At the first ballot Mr. T.

Ramalinga Sastri garu got 6 votes and Mr. H. V. Narasiah Naidu garu got 3 votes. The ballot was repeated with the result that the former secured the same number of votes as that in the first ballot while the latter secured 4 votes. The ballot was again repeated and the result of this ballot was that Mr. T. Ramalinga Sastri garu secured 7 votes and Mr. H. V. Narasiah Naidu garu 3 votes.

6. I regret to say that the second meeting also was adjourned as no candidate secured the absolute majority of votes required by rule 2 the absolute majority being 8 as the total number of members on the Council is 15.

7.

I further beg to state

that the third meeting was held on the 16th. October 1899 of which notice was duly given by me in accordance with the rule 5. Again fresh nominations have been put in, the candidates being Mr R. V. Ramaswami Pantulu garu and Mr R. P. Appayya Pantulu garu and these two new candidates also withdrew their candidatures and the ballot was taken.


8.

It is a matter of great regret that in any of the two ballots taken even at the third meeting, neither Mr R. V. Gurusiah Sastri garu nor Mr R. K. V. Narasiah Naidu garu secured the absolute majority of votes as the former got only 6 votes and the latter only 3 in each of the ballots.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant


Chairman.

Cont. Cur. Regr. No. 1625 of 1898
Eug



721.10.98

Forwarded

2. The Municipal Commission
are unable to agree upon
a Vice-Chairman so I am
of opinion that no Vice-Chair-
man need be appointed
is required

Chapman } W. H. H. H.
26 Oct 1898 }
H. H. H. H. }
H. H. H. H. }
H. H. H. H. }

DESPATCHED
26 OCT 1899

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed 16/11

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.