

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1644 M.

DATED 7-4-99.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Criminal prosecution

Recording letter from the collector of North Arcot reporting that there is not sufficient evidence to warrant against the Vellore Municipal Manager.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 2561 m

From

The Collector of Arecol.

Order No 1644 dated 7.4.99

Recorded.

Refrence No. 67 1344 of 99

Dated 29th September 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reporting that there is not sufficient evidence to warrant criminal prosecution against the Vellore Municipal Manager.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NORTH ARCOT, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Camp Kalahasti, 29th Septr. 1899

Ref. on Cur No. ⁶⁷ B and G of 189 .

FROM

R. H. Campbell Esquire, I. C. S.,

Ag. COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,

North Arcot,

TO

The Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

Madras.

SIR,

With reference to the concluding portion of para. 4 of G. O. No. 986 M. Mis, dated 14th July 1899, I have the honour to report that there is not sufficient evidence to warrant the criminal prosecution of the Manager of the Vellore Municipality. As regards the charges of taking bribes from the Municipal contractors there is only the uncorroborated evidence of the contractors. It is doubtful if they would swear to the accuracy of any particular entry in their accounts. Apart from this, they would probably be regarded as accomplices by any criminal Court.

2. As regards the charge in connection with Ramasami Naidu's cart, a prosecution might have stood had not Ramasami Naidu withdrawn the charge. That fact would probably tell seriously against him in a Criminal Court.

3. In the case of Nallayya Naidu's horse which the Manager passed as under 11 hands, though it is clearly well over that height, and for which he apparently got a bribe of 8 annas, the Manager would, in a criminal case probably plead ignorance of technical knowledge on the subject and there is only one witness to support the giving of the bribe. Under these cir-

cumstances

circumstances I do not think it would be expedient to have him
criminally prosecuted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Erasmus

For Ag. Collector.

C. J.

30/9/99.

CRA
30/9/99

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.