Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

L. & M.

Loca & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELL NEOUS.

G.O., No. 1643 M

DATED 6-11-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Secretary - Madura municipal council

approving the suggestion made by the Collector of Madura that the Revl. Divisional Officer may be directed to make a full enquiry into the charges now brought against the & into the working of the municipality directing the Collector to report the re the enquiry

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cud	899 M/00						

[L. & M. 25]
590—19-12-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2727 M

SUBJECT.

Complaints against the Secr

Madura Municipality

Misc

ORDER. No. 1643 M / 6/11/99

K.

The Suggestion made by the Collector in para. 3 of his endorsement is approved. The result of this Enquiry should be reported to Govt by the Collector.

(From order)

V.R.
3/11/99

4 : xi : 99.

The Collector of Madura
with papers returned.
7/11/99

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No. 2[illegible] M of 1899, dated 10th October 99 from the Chairman, M. M.

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

SUBJECT.

Complaints against the Secretary, Madura Municipality

HM

FOR ORDERS.

As to whether the Course suggested by the Collector in para of his Comm. Endorsement may be adopted.

V.R.
17/10/99

I understand that this is the best course. Some other papers are coming up with regard to Mr Fischer[illegible] & [illegible] in these papers the Collr. recommends that Mr Fischer sh'd not be allowed again to hold the post of Chairman: If Mr F ceases to be Chairman permanently perhaps the Secretary will get on better. Meanwhile the Enquiry into the charges against him must apparently be made.

27.x.99

I concur

A.A.
30.10.99

2727 10th October 99 Chairman [illegible]

Complaint against the secretary [illegible]

[illegible]

3030 of 1899
11-10-99

Local & Munl. (1899) Departme

CURRENT No. 2727

From ~~The Revenue Divisional Office~~ Chairman Municipal Council Madura

Order

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
11 OCT 1899
MADURA

No. 371

Dated 10th October 1899

Received 19 OCT. 1899

Registered ———

Enclosures 15

Spare copies nil

SUBJECT.

Submitting for orders the copy of Resolution No 200 dated 2nd October 1899 regarding the Secretary of the Madura Municipality.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Municipal Office
Madura, 10th October 1899

No. 37

Enclosures 5

From

The Revenue Divisional Officer and
Chairman
Municipal Council
Madura

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. L1211M

To,

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras

Through,

The Collector of
Madura

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for the orders of Government, copies of Statements of charges, memo &c against Mr R Rip Mr Ramalinga Chettyar, Secretary Municipal Council Madura brought by the late Chairman together with a copy of the Resolution of the Municipal Council No 200 dated 2nd October 1899 passed thereon and to report as follows:—

The late Chairman Mr Fischer, in his memo, states that he understands and believes it to be true that the Secretary was found to be incompetent in the Secretariat and compelled to retire when he asked and got the appointment of the Madura Municipal Secretary's place. In explaining some of the charges to the Council at the meeting, Mr Fischer also mentioned that the Secretary could not give any explanation

Chairman to the

explanation and that a decision might be arrived at without it.

In his letter dated 25th September last, the Secretary has requested the Council to ask the Government to appoint a Government Officer or a committee composed of Government Officers and Municipal Councillors to enquire into the charges probably under the impression that a Section of the Council and the late Chairman are opposed to him.

In the above circumstances, it was resolved at the meeting that the papers be submitted to Government and that they be requested to dispose of the Secretary in such a manner as they deem fit after taking his explanation if necessary.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Revenue Divisional Officer & Chairman
10.10.99.

JvK
9.10.99.

R
9/10/99

ENCLOSURE No.

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 37

Dated 10th october 1899.

No. 1

Copy of municipal resolution No. $\frac{200}{2.10.99}$

a Chairman to the

Proceedings of the Municipal Council Madu
passed at its meeting Specially held on the
2nd October 1899.

Present

1. M. R. Ry C. Subramaniya Iyer Avl Chairm (ex-offic)
2. Rev J. S. Chandler — Councillor
3. C. E. Smith Esquire — Do
4. MRRy P. R. Venketsalu Naidu Garu — Do
5. " P. Sibaraja Naidu Garu — Do
6. " O. Munisamy Iyer Avl — Do
7. " Saiyid Mohamed Ibrahim Saheb — Do
8. " M. Ananthakrishna Naidu Garu — Do
9. " E. S. Subramaniya Iyer Avl — Do
10. " M. Venketarama Iyer Avl B.A. B.L. — Do
11. " M. Abboy Naidu Garu — Do
12. " R. Fischer Esquire — Do
13. " M. Parameswaram Pillai Avl — Do
14. " A. Sitaramier Avl — Do
15. " Somasundara Nadar Avl — Do
16. " R. Muthusamy Pillai Avl — Do

200. Read Statement of charges preferred against MRRy M. M. Ramalinga Chettiyar Secretary Municipal council Madura together with a memo of the chairman thereon

Proposed by MRRy Muthusamy Pillai and Seconded by MRRy Somasundara Nadar that the framing of the charges and convening of the meeting by the late chairman be declar illegal.

Lost by 10 against 4, one not voting. Propos by Mr Fischer and Seconded by MRRy Abboy Naidu that the Services of MRRy Ramalinga Chettiyar be dispensed with + replaced a

a Chairman to the

1
2727/m/99

at the disposal of Government as he has bee
found utterly incompetent for his work and th
3 months notice be given to Government as
required by law with reference thereto.

Amendment proposed by Mr Smith and
Seconded by MRRy Selvaraj Naidu that the
charges, memo &c against the Secretary be
Submitted to Government and that they be
requested to dispose of him in such manner
as they deem fit after taking his explanation

Amendment was put to the vote and carried
by the casting vote of the chairman.

Further amendment proposed by MRRy P.R
Venkatesalu Naidu that the 3 months notice
required by law be reckoned from this date
if the Government agrees in thinking that
MRRy Ramalinga Chettiyar should not
hold the office any longer.

Over ruled by the chairman
as this forms part of Mr Fischer's proposal.

Municipal office }
Madura 2.10.99 }
(Signed) C. Subramaniya Iyer
Chairman

(True Copy)

Chairman
10.10.99.

E
9/10/99

ENCLOSURE No.

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 37

Dated 10th October 1899.

No. 6

Copy of letter No. $\frac{1823}{26.9.99}$ to the Chairman from the Secretary

Municipal office
Madura 26th September 1

No 1823
Enclosures 2

From

The Secretary
Municipal Council
Madura

To

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Madura

Sir

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of the two Statements containing the charg against me & your notes thereon of the 16th and th 25th Inst. and to State that I am ready to Subm the required explanations, if the Council on Seeing the charges thinks it necessary to call for the Sai In that event, I request that the council will be plea to ask the Government to appoint a Government officer or a committee composed of Government offi and Municipal Councillors to enquire into the Sa charges as I am a Government Servant whose Servi have been lent to the municipality and as the r defining the relationship of the Chairman and Secretary are still under the consideration of Gove The two Statements are herewith returned.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servan
(Signed) M. M. Ramalinga Ch
Secretar

(True copy)

5
2727/M/99

To Chairman
10/10/99

(True copy)

Chairman
10-10-99

ENCLOSURE No.

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 37

Dated 10th October 1899.

No. 4

Copy of letter &c to the Chairman from the Secretary

Chairman
Tocoll 10-10-99

Chairman to the

No 1802
Enc 10

Madura Munici
Office 22. Sept

From

The Secretary
Municipal Council
Madura

To

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Madura

Sir

With reference to your note on the letter from the Collector of Madu Roc No 2550 of 99. Df 20. September 99. & I beg to enclose herein my explana for the delay in the submission of th Audit notes for 1897-98

I beg to remain &c

(Signed) M M Ramalinga Chett
Secretary

No 1812
23-9-99

Forwarded to the Collector of Madura with reference to his Roc No 2550 Df 20. Inst with five enclosures

(Du) Ru
22/9/99

I have noted my remarks in the margin of the explanation

23. 9. 99 (Signed) R Fischer
Chairman

The five enclosures are numbered 1 to 5

(True copy) (Signed) R Fischer
Chairman

(True copy)

Chairman

6
2727/M/99

Chairman to the

Chairman's Remarks.	Secretary's Explanation.
(1) The delay between the 1st December and 19th January is not explained - over a month and a half.	The Audit notes were receive in the Municipal office on the 1st December 1898 and the lette of the Inspector of Local Fund accounts intimating their despatch was received on the 7th Idem with the remark that they should be dealt with by the Secretary as numerous suggestions were made in them for the improvement of the account work of the Municipality. The Audit notes and the report were circulated x to the Councillors on the 19th January 1899 with the following note of the Chairman.
x The usual practice has been to circulate the notes with draft replies to enable the Councillors to offer suggestions if any and this has been done for the last 12 years. The note with (2) which the Secretary circulated the audit notes is not mine, and he deviated from the old practice. Had I known it I should have stopped it. The draft replies were not prepared and sent at the time they should have been viz 19th January 99.	" The report and notes of the Inspector of Local Fund accounts who audited the accounts of this Municipality for 1897-98 are herewith circulated to all members of the Municipal Council for perusal & return with any remarks & suggestions they may wish to offer on the several points noticed by the Inspector. The errors and discrepancies pointed out in the report and the notes x/ are being looked into & his suggestions for the better keeping of the accounts are
Vide the note in original marked No I.	

7
2727/M/99

e being noted for adoption. aft replies will be prepared ad laid before the Council "hen the report is brought forward "or disposal."

The notes and the report were however returned by M.R.Ry. P.R. Venkatasalu Naidu and some other Councillors with the remark that the procedure was a novel one and that they should be circulated with draft replies. Accordingly draft replies were prepared for both of them approved and signed by the Chairman on the 11th April 1898 and they were placed before the Council at its meeting held on the 19th idem. The replies to the audit report were approved by the Council and those to the audit notes might in the ordinary course of things have also been then approved and necessary instructions given for carrying out at once the several suggestions and for remedying the defects. Instead of doing this the consideration of the replies to the notes was adjourned by the Councillors at the suggestion of M.R.Ry.

The Secretary puts forward Mr. Venkatasalu Naidu's name designedly in order to support his statements: throwing the blame upon him in the subsequent paras. It will be perceived ~~that~~ Mr. Parameswaram pillai and ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] five others took the objection first and Mr. Venkatasalu Naidu followed suit 14 days after.

It took the Secretary three months to submit the draft replies as desired by the Councillors (Vide Encl. A) and I signed the paper not being able at that time to find out whether the replies were sufficient and complete. And when these draft replies came before the meeting on the 19th April. it was pointed out to me by some Councillors that the replies were not satisfactory. and that certain remarks regarding Mr Venkatasalu Naidu's action in some matter had to be replied to by him & the necessary paper had not been furnished him by the Secretary and as it was considered that the subject of the notes should be dealt with at a special meeting it was adjourned accordingly

The Secretary has suppressed a portion of the note of the Councillor and has given only a portion of it in order to give it a false colouring. I have given the suppressed portion in order to arrive at a fair construction of the note. Vide enclosure B.

In spite of the Councils' desire that this subject alone should be brought before a special meeting, the Secretary nevertheless included other subjects also which prevented the Council from taking up the subject of the notes. Here also he has suppressed a portion of the notes made by the Councillors. The complete notes are given. Vide Encl. C.

The Secretary again disregarded the order of the Council & included the subject of the notes as No 20 with 28 other subjects and the Council had therefore to pass a resolution directing him again to bring it up before a special meeting.

As all the proposals could not be disposed of a meeting was ordered to be ~~Convened~~ Continued three days after but the Secretary delayed it till the 28th. Vide Encl. F.

M.R.Ry. P.R. Venkatasalu Garu who desired that they should be dealt with at a special meeting as the notes deal with various matters. The notes were then placed at a special meeting held on the 6th May when the same Councillor & M.R.Ry. M. Abboy Naidu Garu remarked as follows. "What is the hurry for a special meeting"

They were again placed for the third time at the meeting held on the 11th May when the Council adjourned with instructions that the subject should be brought up again at a special meeting.

A special meeting was accordingly convened on the 20th June last when 12 proposals in connection with the notes were disposed of by the Council and the consideration of the other points raised in the notes was adjourned on the 28th June

Notwithstanding all the time had been hitherto allowed the information supplied by the Secretary was still meagre and the subjects had to be postponed again with directions to furnish fuller information. Vide Enc. C.

After the above order the Secretary delayed the information required for over Six weeks and still placed the papers as he did before without any additional information. Vide Enc. H.

Here is an instance of a ~~delegate~~ deliberate misrepresentation. The papers for the meeting were circulated on the 12th August. Vide agenda paper put up. No 2. The meeting was held on the 16th August and the note is dated 16th August by the Secretary himself therefore it could neither have been circulated nor read to the Council. It will be observed he makes no complaint against the officials in this memo as he now does. The original note herewith sent No 3.

See my remarks in Enc. H.

a special meeting was again convened when a few paras of the notes were dealt with by the Council and the notes returned for fuller information being supplied in all the other paras. On the 16th August a special meeting was convened with the required information furnished & the connected papers put up with a short note on the subject.

4. The Chairman first signed the meeting list which was then circulated to the Councillors but when it returned from circulation on the day of meeting he noted as follows in

in the meeting list.

" As the information required by the Council has not been furnished by the Secretary even after the lapse of a month they should not be brought before the meeting of this evening. But if the Secretary will state that no further information is needed and that he has checked the Stationary accounts & other the Registers referred to by the Auditor that will be sufficient." and this note having been read before the Council the following further instructions were given by the Councillors then present.
"Send note what steps he has taken to remedy the various irregularities pointed out by the Auditor what steps he has taken to bring to book the several offenders referred to in the notes what steps he has taken to recover the various sums referred to in the notes since their receipt."

This remark was made by me a few hours before the meeting took place and sent to the Secretary for his remarks. He said nothing & returned the paper to me & in my explaining the matter to the Council they added some further directions to him.

5. The next meeting took place on the 6th September when the required information was not given & has not been given up to date showing a total disregard of orders repeatedly given or perhaps incompetence on his part.

(Though he promised to send the replies by the 20th August vide my letter to Collector No 1505 of 7-8-88.)

& " The replies to these should be placed before the next meeting".

Chairman to me

5. The Audit notes containing 132 paras covering 95 pp and dealing with the accounts commencing from 1894-95 to 1897-98. The notes refer to the year 1897-98 when the Chairman was managing the Municipal affairs with the assistance of the ward Councillors & other Councillors in charge of the office & such subjects as P.W.D.-water supply, Road making &c. As soon as I joined my appointment (i.e) on the 18th November 98 the Ward System was discontinued thus leaving me to manage singly both the outdoor & indoor duties of the Municipality without any assistance from the Councillors. With the limited office time at my disposal I have endeavoured my best to remedy the various defects pointed out by the Inspector of Local Fund accounts & to carry out his suggestions in all possible ways.

I have gone through most of the notes with the new manager as desired by the Council and issued necessary instructions to the subordinates & others concerned in the matter but the verification of the various registers

The ward System was discontinued at his suggestion & Mr. Partridge also agreed to the propriety of it.

He spends nine hours a day on the average in the office & the outdoor inspection as far as I know occupies him about a couple of hours every day.

x. Instead of remedying defects he himself has committed various blunders in the accounts which form part of some of the charges I have brought against him which is pending the Secretary's explanation.

registers alluded to therein and the collection of papers necessary for disposal of the notes is a work which cannot be done all at once. The registers have to be examined for the whole year and the balances struck before they can be verified and the papers required are not easily forthcoming in this office. With the exception of the examination of all the registers above referred to an endeavour will be made to submit the notes to the Council in the manner desired by it at the meeting to be held on the 4th October next.

6. In conclusion I beg to mention here that as soon as I joined this office the permanent manager P.C. Duraisamy Naidu a clerk of the Collector's office whose services were lent to the Municipality availed himself of sick leave until April 1899 when his services were retransferred to Government.

The

This is absolutely wrong.

This is a lame excuse. All the papers were shown to the Auditor & are available at any time.

a Chairman to the

× On the contrary the Secretary has always spoken to me highly of this official & gave him a good character only a short while ago. The Manager ~~[illegible]~~ corroborates the statement of the Secretary & to my personal knowledge there is no more active official in this office. He is seeking to throw the blame on others. Vide explanation taken from the Manager & Head clerk & herewith submitted marked 4 & 5

The Administration report also this year was delayed over two months. ✝

The Collector was requested thereupon to lend the services of another Government servant in his stead but the Government refused to sanction the loan of the services of one Gopal Rao recommended by the Collector. Arrangements were therefore made to fill up the place by the appointment of one P. Anni appu pillai only on the 10th July last. During the interval the office was managed by the Head clerk who was not however able and at the same time willing to render me the necessary assistance in the disposal of these notes, in the preparation of the Administration Report for 1898-99. and in all other matters in regard to which the

registers

× with the exception of telegrams and [illegible] frequent reminders were [illegible] called upon to explain the delay he threw the blame on the Head Accountant and after his transfer the blame on the Head Clerk and it will be seen that neither of these officials were punished nor reported to me at the time

This is utterly false and if there is any confusion in the accounts & records it is due to him

This is utter nonsense and he Should be called upon to give a few examples.

the Chairman has just preferred a series of charges against me It is only after the appointment of the new manager, I was able to send up the Administration Report for 1898-99 by the 5th August and the notes are being looked into by him subsequent to the submission of the report under my personal supervision.

When I came here in Novbr 1896 I found the office accounts & records in a state of inextricable confusion & disorder a fact to which the audit notes for the year 1897-98 and the previous years will bear ample testimony. I found also that the Municipal moneys were embezzled and two sets of bills were prepared Collections having been made on one set and the other set kept in the office in support of the arrears. I have had to work very hard during the last 11 months in view to bring order out of chaos and to reform every branch

a Chairman to the

This is also false I cant understand how councillors outside could interfere with his work inside the office.

I shall not be surprised if the Deputy Collector bears testimony to these allegations as I can give instances of his bias against the Municipality in various matters and the Secretary is continually dancing attendance upon him.

branch of Municipal administration with the assistance of an insufficient and inefficient staff and with the attempts made by a section of the Council to see that the Administration Report and the Audit notes are delayed so that I might be taken to task by Government, facts which the Deputy Collector of the Madura Dn will I trust confirm I have tried to discharge my duties as satisfactorily as I could. I beg to submit therefore that this explanation will be accepted as satisfactory thus ~~execute~~ Exonerate me from any blame imputed against me.

(Signed) K. K. Ramalinga
Chetti
Secretary.
22-9-99.

(True copy)
(Signed) R. Fischer
(True copy) Chairman Chairman
Chairman
10/10/99 10-10-99

registered

Encl 4

Chairman's remarks.

This note was made by the Councillors on the memo circulated by the Secretary in my name: the memo is given in the body of the explanation in para 1.

(True copy)
Chairman
Chairman
10/10/99 10-10-99

Note. I think it will be better if the reply is accompanied with this to make any suggestions or remarks if any.

(Signed) M. Parameswaran Pillai
20-9-99.

Yes (Signed) K. Muthuswamy Pillai
26-9-99

Yes (Signed) P. Selvaraj Naidu

Yes (Signed) T. Ramachandra Rao

Yes
(Signed) P. K. Muthuswamy Iyer

I agree with Mr Parameswaran Pillai and ~~others~~. The procedure seems to be correct and it could have been adopted earlier to save delay. If the draft reply was circulated along with the audit notes and the report the Councillors would have been in a position to offer suggestions if any as desired.

(Signed)
P. R. Venkatasalam
4-9-99

(True copy)
(Signed) K. K. Ramalinga Chetti
Secretary.
(True copy) (Signed) R. Fischer
Chairman

a. Chairman to the

Enc B

Chairman's remarks	Subject No 14 for the meeting of the 19th April 99
This is Subject No 14 of the agenda of 19th April	The Audit notes of the Inspector of Local Fund account on the accounts of the Madura Municipality for 1897-98 and the draft reply of the chairman together with his proposals in connection with certain omissions &c. pointed out x x x
This is only a portion of the opinion recorded by a councillor in the column given in the agenda paper for opinion	The Audit note deals on various matters & it will be best to convene a special meeting for this subject alone to discuss para after para instead of giving off hand replies" Sd P. R. V 18-4-99 (True copy) Sd M. M. Ramalinga chetti Secretary (Sd) P M 22-9-99
This is the portion of the opinion suppressed of the Secretary	**Full Note** No 14 para 32 I dont approve of the reply. Why the first idea of asking the particulars was abandoned I fail to see. No resolution was subsequently altered as stated. I find a note in pencil 'Call upon Chairman Delegate to explain'. This was very proper. The Audit note deals on various matters & it will be best to convene a special meeting for this subject alone to discuss para after para instead of giving off hand replies Sd P R V [illegible] 18-4-99

True Copy
Sd R. Fischer
22/9/99 Chairman

True copy
Chairman.

9
2727/M/99

Encl C

Chairman's Remarks

This is only a portion of a note in the opinion column of the agenda D/6th May The rest is given below

Full note

Where is the hurry for a special meeting - 14 Can't be taken up as I only got a letter for remarks on the 2nd evening at 6 without any papers for me to say what I wish

(Sd) P. R. V. (Venkatesulu Naidu)
4-5-99

Yes - The Chairman will see that all papers are supplied for submitting his explanation without delay

(Sd) M. A (Alagaya Naidu)
4-5-99

Chairman's note

Mr Venkatesulu Naidu's explanation with regard to some matter which he was required to explain

True copy
(Sd) R. Fischer
Chairman

10
2727/M/99

Note on the list of subjects of the meeting of the 6th May 99 regarding the Audit Note etc

+ \+ \+

Where is the hurry for a special meeting

+ \+ \+

(Sd) P. R. V
4-5-99

(True copy)
(Sd) M. M. Ramalinga chetty
Secretary

(Sd) Bn
22-9-98

(True copy)

Chairman
10/10/98 10.10.98.

regasters

Enc D

Proceedings of the Municipal Council Madura passed at its Meeting held on the 11th May 1899

Present

1 R. Fischer Esquire Chairman
2 Mr C Subramania Iyer Avl Councillor
3 " P R Venkatesulu Naidu garu "
4 " P Selvaraj Naidu garu "
5 " T. Jambulinga Chettiar Avl "
6 " T. S. Mutthusamy Iyer Avl * "
7 " M Subba Naidu garu "
8 " M Anantharishna Naidu garu "
9 " M Abboy Naidu garu "
10 " S Bashiachariar Avl * "
11 " R Mutthusamy Pillai Avl "

20 Read Audit notes of the Inspector of Local Fund accounts on the accounts of the Madura Municipality for 1897-98 together with the proposals of the Chairman in connection with certain objections raised by the Auditor

Chairman's remarks

Adjourned as it ought to come before a special meeting

(Sd) R. Fischer
Chairman

(True copy)

(Sd) M. M. Ramalingathe
Secretary

* It will be noted here that 11 Councillors including the divisional officers required a special meeting

True copy Sd M S
22-9-99

(True copy)
Chairman (Sd) R. Fischer
Chairman
Chairman
10-10-99

(Sd) P M
22-9-99

11
2727/Mu/99

registers

Encl E

Chairman's remark

Printed list

These proposals were made with reference to the audit notes & the disposal thereof occupied the time of the Committee till 7 P.M. & the rest could not be taken up

True copy

Sd R. Fischer

Chairman

(True copy)

Chairman

$\frac{x}{10.10.29}$ 10-10-29.

12/2727/9u/99

regrets

Enc 7

Chairmans remarks.

This note was made as the Subjects in E occupied the Council till 7 P.M and they desired to have another Special meeting three days after for the rest of the notes which the Secretary neglected to convene.

A note of the Councillor Mr Venkatasaloo Naidoo on the agenda of the 20 June is here given.

N.1 I have yet to draw the attention of the chairman & the Council the necessary papers to Submit my remarks on para 32 have not been sent to me yet in spite of my requisition a month ago. There are two notes one by the Secretary and other by the chairman purporting to be the replies & I do not know which to go. The Chairman's note will indicate a delay on my part whereas the delay is in the office. This must be made clear & I beg the chairman will attend to this.

(Sd) $\frac{\text{PRV}}{\text{20-6-99.}}$

(True copy)

(Signed) R. Fisher

(True copy)

13
2727/M/99

Note by the Chairman against Subject No 1 regarding audit notes on the account of the Madura Municipality for 1897-98 placed before the meeting of the Council dated 20th June 99.

The Audit notes will be brought before another Special meeting next Friday.

(Sd.) R. F.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. M. Ramalinga Chetty
Secretary.

(Sd) $\frac{\text{i}}{\text{22-9-99.}}$

Chairman
10-10-99.

Enc G.

Proceedings of the Municipal Council Madras passed at its meeting held on the 28 June 88

President

1 R. Maclean Esq. Commissioner

2 Rev. J. S. Chandler

3 [illegible]

4 A. T. Venkatachala Naicker

5 P. Selvaraj Naidu Garu

6 R. Pattu Chettiar Avl.

7 P. Muthusamy Iyer Avl. B.A.B.L.

8 O. Munisamy Iyer

9 M. Subba Rao Garu

10 Saiyid Mahomed Ibrahim Sahib

11 A. M. Abboy Naidu Garu

12 M. Parameswaram Pillai Avl.

13 V. Bashyacharyar Avl. B.A.B.L.

14 P. Somasundara Mudaliar

15 R. Muthusamy Pillai Avl.

87/82. Read audit notes of the Madras Municipal together with the draft replies (3018/27-88)

14/2727/M/99

The Chairman

The meeting is postponed for full information upon all the papers.

(Signed) [illegible]

Chairman

~~Chairman's remark~~

1st Connection

[illegible] that

the information

given was insufficient

No. 21

2289.

True copy

Chairman

Chairman

10.10.99.

Sheet

Chairman's remark

Memo

The Audit notes were received in this office on the 7 December 98 with the remark that these should be dealt with by one. They were circulated to the Committee on the 19 January 99 so that they might offer any remarks or suggestions with reference to the several points noticed by the Inspector. However the notes were returned with the remark that they should be sent with draft replies. Accordingly draft replies were prepared & placed before the Council at its meeting held on the 19 April last but the subject was adjourned. The subject was again placed

15
2727/M/99

before the Council at its meeting held on the 26th & 11th May but as the Council wanted to deal with the notes at a special meeting it was again adjourned. A special meeting was therefore convened on the 20th June 25 when 12 proposals in connection with the notes were considered & disposed of. At the meeting held on the 28th June to pass the draft replies, the Council for want of sufficient information adjourned the consideration of the subject. The Inspector of L. F. accounts has sent a strict reminder & the Chairman has promised to return the notes on the 20th Inst. The connected papers are

There is no date entered in this paper though the original bears date the 16th August. The date seems to have been intentionally suppressed in order to support his statement that the memo was circulated when it was not. See para 3 of the Secretary's Explanation and my remarks.

are put up. The delay in submitting the Audit notes again to the Council is owing to want of necessary information.

(Signed) M. M. Ramalinga Chetty
Secretary
Ind R. F.

(Ind R. F.

(True Copy)

(Signed) M. M. Ramalinga Chetty
Secretary

Ind 22.9.25

(True Copy)

(Signed) R Fischer
Chairman

(True Copy)

Chairman
10.10.25.

10/10/25

N. Dis: No: $\frac{3030}{Genl}$ of 99

I forward these papers to Government, as they are so addressed, but I very much doubt if they should go up in their present incomplete form.

2. The Secretary was found satisfactory to begin with, but since his demand upon the late Chairman Mr. Fischer for arrears of land-tax, I have no doubt that he has, as anticipated by Mr. Partridge i his letter Confidential no: 1 dated 28th Apr 1899, been thwarted by Mr. Fischer an his party. Much of the outdoor inspec work which should be done by the Com themselves has been thrown upon the Se and he has been given more work one man can do and ~~there~~ then he is fo fault with. The Secretary has comp that the office hands will not work him; I may mention that the Hea Accountant is the brother in law of hi

M.R.Ry. Venkatesalu Naidu ~~Avargal~~ Garu Mr. Frischer's strongest supporter on the Council and lately a candidate for the office of Secretary himself. The condition of affairs in the office during the two years preceding the appointment of the Secretary may be understood from the report of the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts.

3. I have the honor to suggest that the Revenue officer of the ivision may be directed to make a full quiry into the charges now brought against e Secretary and into the working of the unicipality. The charges against the ecretary can then be disposed of and ernment can decide what steps to take improve Municipal affairs.

a Collector's office}
17th October 1899}

V. Parthasaradi
17.10.99
for Collector

Ga
~~Ace~~

Confidential

Madras: 14th July 1899

Mr Bashya Chariar's

up their Council Secr

the point of my owning

Camp
Kamuthi
18.7.99

My dear Weir

Some of the Munl Councillors Madura headed by Venkatesalu Naidu, a confidant of Fischer's are determined to get rid of Ramalinga Chetti the Munl Secretary.

The Secy has told me that he cannot remain any longer as he is not supported by Mr Fischer, and the

---

MADURA MUNICIPALITY.

List of subjects to be disposed of at the meeting of the Municipal Council to be held on Wednesday the 12th July 1899.

1899வரு ஜூலைமீ 12உ புதன்கிழமை கூடப்போகிற மதுரை முனிசிபல் மீட்டிங்கில் பைசல்செய்யவேண்டிய விஷயங்களின் ஜாப்தா.

M R Ry P R Venketesalu Naidu asks the following questions:—

1 With reference to the Chairman's answer to question P of the 11th March last will he be pleased to state on a reference to the report if his answer that P W and Water Works Establishment were employed on collection duty at the suggestion of the Assistant Inspector of L F Accounts was correct

2 (a) With reference to the Chairman's answer to question 6 of the 19th April last will the Chairman be pleased to state if it is a fact that the Secretary had not furnished the usual certificate when he drew the carriage allowance

(b) If so, is he not a servant of the council and as such bound to comply with the requirements of the rules

(c) Will the Chairman be pleased to see that such payments are refunded as they are against the object of the letter of the rules and see that no servant who does not own a carriage or horse does not draw the carriage allowance till he owns one

3 (a) With reference to the answer to question 9 of the same date is it not a fact that tax bill books were en-

மகா-ள-ள-ஸ்ரீ பி ஆர் வெங்கடேசலு நாயுடு காரு அடியிலகண்ட கேள்விகளை கேட்கிறார் :—

1 சென்ற மார்ச்சுமீ 11உ P லக்கம் கேள்விக்கு சேர்மெனவர்கள் கொடுத்த ஜவாபுவிஷயமாய் பப்ளிக் ஒர்க்ஸ் டிபார்ட்டுமெண்டு சிப்பந்திகளும் வாட்டர் ஒர்க்ஸ் டிபார்ட்டுமெண்டு சிப்பந்திகளும் அசிஸ்டாண்டு இனிஸ்பெக்டர் அவர்களுடைய அபிப்பிராயத்தின்பேரில் வசூல்வேலையில் அனுப்பப்பட்டார்களென்ற அவருடைய ஜவாபு சரியானதாவென்று அந்த ரிபோர்ட்டைபார்த்து சேர்மெனவர்கள் தயவுசெய்து சொல்லக்கூடுமா

2 (a) சென்ற ஏப்ரல்மீ 19உ யுள்ள 6-வது லக்கம் கேள்விக்கு சேர்மெனவர்கள் கொடுத்த ஜவாபுவிஷயமாய் செக்கிரிடேரி அவர்கள் காரியேஜ் அலவன்சு பணம் வாங்கின பொழுது வழக்கமாய் அனுப்பவேண்டிய சர்ட்டிபிகேட்டை அனுப்பவில்லையென்பது உண்மைதானாவென்று சேர்மெனவர்கள் தயவுசெய்து சொல்லக்கூடுமா?

(b) அப்படி அனுப்பாத விஷயத்தில் அவர் கவுன்சிலுக்குள்பட்ட உத்தியோகஸ்தரல்லவா அப்படியிருப்பதால் ரூலில் சொல்லியிருக்கிறபடிக்கு நடக்க கட்டுப்படவில்லையா

c) இப்பேர்பட்ட விஷயங்களில் பணம் பெ? [illegible] ரூலுக்கு விரோதமாயிருப்பதால் அ[illegible] வைக்கோ வாபஸ் செய்யும்படிக்கும் ஒருவன் வண்டியை அல்லது குதிரையை சொந்தத்தில் வைத்துக்கொள்ளாத எந்த உத்தியோகஸ்தரும் சொந்தத்தில் ஒன்று வைத்துக்கொள்ளும்வரை காரியேஜ் அலவன்ஸ் வாங்காமலிருக்கும்படிக்கு சேர்மெனவர்கள் [illegible] [illegible] செய்யக்கூடுமா

3 (a) அதே தேதியில் 9-வது லக்கமுள்ள கேள்விக்கு கொடுக்கப்பட்ட ஜவாபு விஷயமாய் முனிசிபாலிட்டிக்கு சம்பந்தப்பட்ட

(b) Is it a fact that this having come to the knowledge of the Chairman and he having been satisfied of the same and directed the Secretary to enquire into it keeping the bill collector concerned under suspension?	(b) இது சேர்மன் அவர்கள் தகவலுக்கு வந்து தினபேரில் அதை அவர்பார்த்து அதற்கு சம்மந்தப்பட்ட பில்கலெக்ட்டரை சஸ்பெண்டு செய்யும்படிக்கும் அது விஷயமாய் விஜாரிக்கும்படிக்கும் சிக்கிரிடெரி அவர்களுக்கு உத்திரவு செய்தது உண்மைதானு
(c) If so, when this order of the Chairman was issued to the Secretary, and what steps were taken to recover the amount so lost?	(c) அப்படியானால் சேர்மன் அவர்களுடைய இந்த உத்திரவு சிக்கிரிடெரி அவர்களுக்கு எப்பொழுது கொடுக்கப்பட்டது வசூலாகாமல் போய்விட்ட அந்த தொகையை வசூலிக்கும்படிக்கு என்ன நடபடிக்கை நடபடிக்கை நடக்கப்பட்டது
(d) What is the total amount of the bills?	(d) பில்களின் மொத்த தொகை எவ்வளவு
(e) To what extent the Secretary is responsible for this neglect of duty and loss?	(e) இந்த விஷயத்தில் வேலையில் அஜாக்கிறதையாயிருந்ததற்கும் நஷ்டத்திற்கும் எவ்வளவுமட்டில் சிக்கிரிடெரி அவர்கள் ஜவாபுதாரி
(f) Will the Chairman be pleased to place the matter before the council for its decision as to the liability of the persons concerned for the amount of the bills so lost, if that is not recovered before the end of the next month?	(f) அடுத்தமாசம் ஆக்யருக்குள்ளாக அந்த தொகை வசூலாகாத விஷயத்தில் வசூலாகாமல்போன மேலகண்ட பில்களின் தொகைக்கு அதற்கு சம்மந்தபட்டவர்களை பாத்தியப்படுத்த கவுன்சிலின் தீர்மானத்துக்கு சேர்மன் அவர்கள் தயவுசெய்து மீட்டிங்கில் வைக்கக்கூடுமோ
(g) Was any inquiry instituted till now as directed by the Chairman, and if so, what is the result of the enquiry?	(g) சேர்மன் அவர்களால் உத்திரவுசெய்யும்படி இதுவரை ஏதாவது விசாரணை நடந்ததா அப்படியானால் அந்த விசாரணையின் முடிவு என்ன
13 Do the two peons recently appointed attend office regularly like others?	13 புதிதாய் நியமிக்கப்பட்ட இரண்டு பியூன்கள் மற்றவர்களைப்போல் ஒழுங்காக ஆபீசுக்கு வருகிறார்களா

---

9 August 1899
Madura

Dear Mr Hammick,

I sent a letter addressed to me by the municipal Secretary here to Mr Weir, & he writes to say that if I want it back I should apply to you. Will you please return it as I wish to record it

Yours sincerely
R Fischer

Is any thing known about this?

[illegible] 10 Aug 99

Return the letter keeping a copy of it

[illegible] 11.viii.99

Please see Flag F.
[illegible] 11/8/99

Done [illegible] 11/8/99

I have written d.o. to Mr Fischer to this effect

[illegible]
15-7-99

Madura,
8th July 1899.

airman,
ipal Council,
Madura.

have been doing
discharge my
e aware, to the
f the Council since
t to this munici-
id that I cannot
with advantage
ity and myself. I
met with opposition
members which opposition
me very strong but
t been treated with
due to my position
to the Council.
sition here untenable
that the work of
as at present
arranged

arranged is too much for a single man to cope with and I dont think I can give satisfaction however hard I may work. Besides my health has been affected so seriously that I feel sure I shall break down if I continue here any longer. I would therefore request you kindly to forward this letter to C. J. Weir Esq I.C.S Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department and recommend that I may be allowed to revert to my permanent appointment as Head Clerk of the Local and Municipal Department for which act of kindness I shall ever feel very grateful.

I beg to remain,
My dear Sir,
Your Most Obdt Servant,
(Sd) M. M. Ramalinga Chettyar
(True Copy)

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed 6/11
189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

DER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

ETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.