

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1634 *in*

DATED 6-11-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling allowance

Sanctioning ^{with remark} the payment of
to a clerk of the Virginia
Munl Office who was deputed to
arrange for a supply of sea cucumbers
when a strike occurred.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.]
250-19-12-68.

Travelling allowance for a clerk only beyond municipal
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189

Again G.O., No. dated 189

Current No. 22721 of 1899, dated 23rd August 99, from the Collr. of Vizagapatnam

" " 2639 in " 1899, " 8th October 99 " Accountant General.

" " " 1899, " " "

Proc

ORDER.

No. 163 & Accs

6/11/99

The payment of the travelling allowance in question is Sanctioned

2. The Government does not consider it necessary to grant a general exemption from the provisions of Articles 1136 & 1137 of the Civil Service Regulations, as suggested by the Accountant - General.

V.R.
26/10/99

MA
30.10.99

To Mr. Chairman, Mr. Vizagapatnam
thru the Collector of Vizag
Accountant General
Dated 7/11/99

Case in which municipal servants are required to travel on duty beyond the jurisdiction of the Council, are as admitted by the Accountant General

very rare, and the
S. R. requiring the
on such expenditure
some check. There
for the grant of
no. and it is a power
which might be abused to a
considerable extent.

30. x 99

Local & Munl. (189 9) Department.

CURRENT No. 2272m



759

M 152

From

The Collector
Virayapatam

Order no 9683 13-9-99

Referred to the accountant
general for remarks with
reference to para. 2 of the
Chairman's letter.

C No. 2353

Dated 28th August 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures 2

Spare copies nil

/By order
A. Edgington
Ag Assistant Secretary

9.99

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the Municipal
Chairman's letters requesting to
obtain the sanction of Government
for the travelling allowance of
a clerk deputed to arrange for
a supply of scavengers when a
strike occurred

To
The Accountant-General

6/9/99

1/9/99

BACK PAPERS.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
So	867	Public	20-6-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.



So no 1109 off

Forwarded. Through the Collector
Vizagapatam.

W.D. Phillips

Ag. P. A. Collector

14/8/99

Order

Cur. No. 2353 of 1899.
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, VIZAGAPATAM.

From The Chairman Municipal
Council Vizagapatam

(The Revenue Divisional Officer Vizagapatam)

No. 486.

Dated 10th August 99

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 2272 M

Forwarded.

W.D. Phillips

23rd August 99
Vizagapatam

Ag.
17-8-99

Requesting to obtain Sanction
of Government for the travelling
allowance of a clerk.

BACK PAPERS

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Extract from the audit notes on the Accounts of the Vizianagar Municipality for the year 1897-98 -

Sanction of Competent authority wanting -
 36. A clerk who was deputed to Chicacole to arrange for some scavengers when the permanent men struck work was paid Rs 6.6.0, travelling allowance. As the journey was performed beyond the jurisdiction of the Municipal Council, the sanction of Government should have been obtained under articles 1136 & 1137 of the Civil Service Regulations. It is requested that this be now applied for.
 Vide No 267.

Council's resolution -

The Council thinks that sanction of Government is unnecessary, but in deference to the remarks of the Assistant Accountant General and Inspector of Local Fund Accounts, the sanction of Government will be applied for

(Signed) V. Venkataswami

Chairman M.C.

(True Extract)

Municipal Office
 Vizianagar
 1st August 1899

V. Venkataswami
 Chairman M.C.

Recd
 2/8/99

2272/m/99.

Municipal Office,

Vizianagaram, 10th August 1899

No 486

From

M. P. Roy.

V. VENKATARAYA SASTRI GARU,

Chairman, Municipal Council,

VIZIANAGARAM.

To

The Secretary to Government

Local and Municipal Department

Madras.

Sir, (Thro the Revenue Divisional Officer Vizianagaram)

In forwarding herewith extract from the audit notes of the Inspector of Local Fund Accounts and the Council's resolution thereon, I have the honor to report that in the year 1897-98, a sum of Rs 6-6-0 was spent towards the travelling allowance of a clerk deputed to secure the services of some scavengers at Chicacole when the permanent men struck work. The Inspector of L. F. Accounts took objection to the measure and remarked that, as the journey was performed beyond the jurisdiction of the municipality the special sanction of Government is necessary. I therefore request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the sum thus spent -

In this connection, I beg to submit that it would practically follow from the remarks of the Inspector of L. F. Accounts that a Municipal Council can under no circumstances grant

2
27/2/99/99

travelling allowances without the sanction of Govern-
ment as it would have no occasion to pay travelling
allowances to persons for travelling within its juris-
diction which is but small even in the largest
municipalities of the Presidency. The Council is
accordingly of opinion that in a case like this
the sanction of Government is not necessary, but
still out of deference to the remarks of the above
mentioned officer, it resolved to apply for such
sanction.

I have the honor to be
sir,

Your most obedient servant

V. Venkataswami
Chairman M.C.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2639M

From The Accountant General
Madras

Order.

No. L.F.D.
586

Dated 8th October 1899

Received 10 OCT 1899

Registered

Enclosures C. no 2272M of 99
returned -

Spare copies all.

SUBJECT.

Stating that as the Municipal
employees are sometimes required to
travel beyond the Municipal Jurisdiction,
they may be exempted from the provisions
of art: 1136 and 1137 of the Civil Service
Regulations, maintaining, however,
the restrictions of the above articles
in the case of chairman and councilors.

17/10.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

UND DEPARTMENT.

Fort St. George,

Accountant-General's Office,

8th October 1899.

No. L.F.D.
586

From

T. Hesketh Biggs Esq.,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO CURRENT NO. 2639M

THE Secretary to Government

Local & Municipal Department

Fort Saint George

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 968 dated the 13th September '99 referring to me for remarks a letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, regarding the travelling allowance of a clerk deputed, beyond the jurisdiction of the Municipality, to arrange for the supply of scavengers, I have the honour to state that as the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations have been declared to be applicable to Municipal Employees, both as regards leave and acting allowances, and travelling allowance, objection was raised in the present case under articles 1136

and
D.O.

ind 1137 of the regulations. As pointed out by the
Chairman, however the strict application of this
rule will practically mean that the Municipal
Council cannot grant travelling allowance to its
employees without the sanction of Government, except
perhaps in the case of ~~first~~ appointments under
article 1191(a) Civil Service Regulations. It is true that
the occasions on which Municipal servants are
required to travel on duty beyond the jurisdiction
of the Council must necessarily be rare, but the
necessity does occasionally arise, and at present
every case has to be reported to Government for
sanction. I think this is unnecessary and would
therefore suggest a general exemption from the
provisions of articles 1136 and 1137, so far as
Municipal Employees are concerned, subject to the
proviso that the Council specially authorises the
journey or passes the bill. It would appear
advisable however to maintain the restriction
in the case of journeys outside the limits
of the town performed by the Chairman,
or any of the Councillors, as there is no
controlling authority over them.

2. Should Government agree in this view
the issue of general orders is suggested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Arthur B. B.
Accountant General.

A. B. B.

1. DATED

A A A A A A A

U-6-11