

Local & Muni. (189 ✓) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1559th

DATED 23/10/99

INDEXED.

RECEIVED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment Stating that the
proposal to replace the female cook
of the Pahi Hospital by a male already
vetoed by Govt cannot be again placed
before the Council.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Locl & Munl. (189 9) Department.

CURRENT No. 2567 M2

From

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Palni

Order No. 1559 Keri
23-10-89

The Chairman's question will be
answered in the negative.

No. 12

Dated 27 September 1899

Received 2 OCT 1899

Registered 3rd

Enclosures nil

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed
whether the suggestion of the
Civil Apotia Carg. Palni, to replace
the female Cook of the Hospital by
a male, should be placed before
the Council as ordered by Government
in G.O No 1086 M dated 31st July 1899,
as the same proposal was before
by Government for certain reasons
in G.O No 1745 M. No dated 17
October 1898.

To
The Chairman, Mc Palni
thru the Collr of Madurai
23/10/89

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
10	1086	dr	31-7-99
"	1745	mb	17-10-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Municipal Office - Palni
September 1899

From Mr. A. Krishnasami Esq. Surgeon B.A.

Chairman Municipal Council
Palni;

To The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department
Madras

Sir,

In paragraph 4 (3) of G.O. No 1086 M
dated the 31st July 1899, the attention of the Municipal
Council has been drawn to the suggestion of the
Civil Surgeon, Palni, made in para 5 (1) of his
report embodied in the said G.O. to the effect
that the replacement of the female Cook by a
male is desirable.

This suggestion which was already made
to the Council by the Sub-Collector of Madras
was approved, and sanction for an increase
of Rs one a month to the pay of the Hospital
Cook to enable the Council to secure the services
of a male was applied for in this office letter
No 13 dated 14th August 1898, and Government
directed the proposal with reference to the opinion
of the Surgeon-General vide G.O. No 1745 M
dated 17th October 1898. The reason urged by
the Surgeon-General to veto the proposal was
that the average attendance of in-patients was
low in the Hospital being 7.17, 5.77, and 5.70
for the three years 1895-96, 1896-97, and 1897-98
respectively. The attendance of in-patients
has

This was not noticed in the
report, and the G.O. was lost
right of in the office.

has been on the decline since, being 3.21 in 1898-99 and 2.85 in the current year.

Under these circumstances I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me as to whether the suggestion should again be placed before the Council. I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant
A. Krishna Rao
Chairman

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ 159
When examined _____
When signed _____ 23/10

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED _____
189 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTS.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.