

20-15-15

[L. & M.
5,000-5-4-00.]

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1599 M

DATED 31-10-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Secretary

Informing with Remarks that
Chairman, Munl Council, Mangalore
the Council should submit a
fresh nomination for the post of

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Current No. 1790 Aug 89.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.



CURRENT No. 2740m

Cnos 2740 and 27431/99

From The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Mangalore.

His Order. No. 1599 Dated 31-10-99

III Refce. to G. No. 11.

The nomination in question having been made before the appointment of a Secretary was sanctioned by Government, it was not valid. The Municipal Council should therefore now submit a fresh nomination.

Dated 28th September, 1899.

Received 19 OCT 1899

Registered

Enclosures nil

Spare copies "

V.R.
26/10/99

30-10-99

SUBJECT.

Municipal Secretary.

Soliciting orders in the matter of a nomination made in August 1897 for the post of — in Mangalore, if the same be pending with Government.

To

The Chairman, M.C. Mangalore
thru the Colr. of S. Canara
Dated 1/11/99

25/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cm	2743	1753	
Cr.	1421	24/11/93	
Is	1140	11-8-89	
Is	896	26-6-93	
Is	34	1st 6-1-98	
Is	1465	21-6-97	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Form No. 70. 500-14. 4. 96.

III Reference no
G.No. 11

MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
MANGALORE, 28th September 1899.

From

M. R. Py. D. Ananthaya Avargal,
Chairman, Municipal Council,

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT. MANGALORE.

To

CURRENT No. 2743

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras,
through the Collector of South Canara.

Sir,

Enclosures.-
Nil.

Government, in its Orders Mis. Nos. 896.M.
26-6-99
and 1140.M.
11-8-89, has been pleased to sanction the
entertainment, in this Municipality, of a
Secretary on Rs. 100 rising to Rs. 150 by
annual increments of Rs. 10 and the
assignment of certain duties for the post.

2. While submitting the Municipal
Council's opinion on the question of
appointing a Secretary with reference to
Government Order No 1465.M., dated 21st
June 1897, a nomination for the post
had also been made in August 1897-
vide the papers forwarded with Collector's
letter Dis. No. 292/G.B., dated 22nd October
1897 read in Government Order No 34.M.
Mis., dated 6th January 1898: in which
Order, however, no orders regarding
the said nomination were passed,

and

and therefore it is considered to be find-
ing with Government.

3. I beg to request that the Govern-
ment may be pleased to pass orders
in the matter, in order to enable the
Municipal Council to take
necessary action under Sub-Section (1)
of Section 39-A of the Act.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

Chairman.

29.11.

Dis. N° 1054-D
29/11/99

Forwarded.

2. The somewhat vague letter of the Chairman
requires explanation. Apparently the Council
are in doubt whether they should now proceed
to nominate afresh to the post of Secretary
recently sanctioned or should await the orders
of Government, on the nomination in favour of
M.R. Ky Marthu Bhandary already submitted
in 1897.

3. I have no doubt in my mind that the
order

order of Government N° 34 M. Mis, dated 6th
January 1898 was intended to set aside all
proceedings taken by the Council in this matter
prior to the date of that order. If this view
is correct no further order of Government is
necessary except to inform the Council in reply
to their reference that they should now proceed
to nominate afresh to the Secretaryship.

4. In any case I would ask that the former
nomination should be set aside on the following
grounds:—

(1) That the former nomination was made more
than two years ago and the constitution of the
Council must have considerably changed in
the interim.

(2) That the validity of the nomination was
questioned at the time (see Mr. Nicholson's
letter, Dis. N° 292/G.B. dated 22nd October 1897)
and the question of its validity would have to
be considered now as it was not gone into before.

(3) That the salary to be paid is not the same
as that proposed when the nomination was made.
The proposed salary then was Rs. 100 and that
now sanctioned is Rs. 100 increasing to Rs. 150
by increments of Rs. 10.

5. In advising this step, I do not wish in
any

and therefore it is considered to be find-
ing with Government.

3. I beg to request that the Govern-
ment may be pleased to pass orders
in the matter, in order to enable the
Municipal Council to take
necessary action under Sub-Section (1)
of Section 39-A of the Act.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

Chairman.

29.11.

Cybo Lk.
compd. M.H.

Dis. N° 1054-D
8/1/99.

Forwarded.

2. The somewhat vague letter of the Chairman
requires explanation. Apparently the Council
are in doubt whether they should now proceed
to nominate afresh to the post of Secretary
recently sanctioned or should await the orders
of Government, on the nomination in favour of
M.R.Ry Marthu Bhandary already submitted
in 1897.

3. I have no doubt in my mind that the
order

order of Government N° 34 M. Mis, dated 6th
January 1898 was intended to set aside all
proceedings taken by the Council in this matter
prior to the date of that order. If this view
is correct no further order of Government is
necessary except to inform the Council in reply
to their reference that they should now proceed
to nominate afresh to the Secretaryship.

4. In any case I would ask that the former
nomination should be set aside on the following
grounds:—

(1) That the former nomination was made more
than two years ago and the constitution of the
Council must have considerably changed in
the interim.

(2) That the validity of the nomination was
questioned at the time (see Mr. Nicholson's
letter, Dis. N° 292/G.B, dated 22nd October 1897)
and the question of its validity would have to
be considered now as it was not gone into before.

(3) That the salary to be paid is not the same
as that proposed when the nomination was made.
The proposed salary then was Rs. 100 and that
now sanctioned is Rs. 100 increasing to Rs. 150
by increments of Rs. 10.

5. In advising this step, I do not wish in
any

and therefore it is considered to be pending with Government.

3. I beg to request that the Government may be pleased to pass orders in the matter, in order to enable the Municipal Council to take necessary action under Sub-Section (1) of Section 39-A of the Act.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

Chairman.

29.11.

Copd. Lk.
compd. M.H.

Dis. N° 1054-D
8-9/99.

Forwarded.

2. The somewhat vague letter of the Chairman requires explanation. Apparently the Council are in doubt whether they should now proceed to nominate afresh to the post of Secretary recently sanctioned or should await the orders of Government on the nomination in favour of M.R. Ky Marthu Bhandary already submitted in 1897.

3. I have no doubt in my mind that the order

order of Government N° 34 M. Mis, dated 6th January 1898 was intended to set aside all proceedings taken by the Council in this matter prior to the date of that order. If this view is correct no further order of Government is necessary except to inform the Council in reply to their reference that they should now proceed to nominate afresh to the Secretaryship.

4. In any case I would ask that the former nomination should be set aside on the following grounds:—

(1) That the former nomination was made more than two years ago and the constitution of the Council must have considerably changed in the interim.

(2) That the validity of the nomination was questioned at the time (see Mr. Nicholson's letter, Dis. N° 292/G.B, dated 22nd October 1897) and the question of its validity would have to be considered now as it was not gone into before.

(3) That the salary to be paid is not the same as that proposed when the nomination was made. The proposed salary then was Rs. 100 and that now sanctioned is Rs. 100 increasing to Rs. 150 by increments of Rs. 10.

5. In advising this step, I do not wish in any

any way to reflect on the former nominee who is a most worthy man.

6. I should mention that I have received petitions from both of the candidates who received votes at the former election in 1897:-

(a) from MRRy Marthan Bhandary the former nominee that his nomination may be confirmed.

(b) from MRRy Santappa, the unsuccessful candidate asking for a new election.

The former has all to lose and nothing to gain by a fresh election while the latter would be benefited by the course that I now advocate. As Santappa is the present Manager of the office it may be he has made use of his opportunities in the interim to increase his chances at a future election; but it is probable Government may refuse to accept a nomination in favour of the Manager of the office as it would mean only a change in designation of office and a large increase of pay without any corresponding advantage.

Amthay

Ag. Collector

S. Canara Collector's
Office, Mangalore,
16th October 1899

16.10.99.

Local & Muni. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2743M

Order

From

B. Ganpat Rao

Head Clerk, Crown Office,
Bombay.

No.

Dated 17-10-99

Received 17-10-99

Registered 20-10-99

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Enclosing a copy of his application to the Mangalore Muni. Council for the post of Muni. Secretary, and requesting that he may be nominated for the place.

any way to reflect on the former nominee who is a most worthy man.

6. I should mention that I have received petitions from both of the candidates who received votes at the former election in 1897:-

(a) from Mr. M. M. Bhandary the former nominee that his nomination may be confirmed.

(b) from Mr. Santappa, the unsuccessful candidate asking for a new election.

The former has all to lose and nothing to gain by a fresh election while the latter would be benefited by the course that I now advocate. As Santappa is the present Manager of the office it may be he has made use of his opportunities in the interim to increase his chances at a future election; but it is probable Government may refuse to accept a nomination in favour of the Manager of the office as it would mean only a change in designation of office and a large increase of pay without any corresponding advantage.

Am. Key

S. Canara Collector's
Office, Mangalore,
16th October 1899

Ag. Collector

16.10.99

Local & Muni. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 274378

Order

From

B. Ganpat Rao

Head Clerk, Crown Office,
Bombay.

No.

Dated

17-10-99

Received

19-10-99

Registered

20-10-99

Enclosures

3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Enclosing a copy of his application to the Mangalore Muni. Council for the post of Muni. Secretary, and requesting that he may be nominated for the place.

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Mangalore.

(Sd.) E. T. CANDY, Judge, High Court.

(Sd.) ARTHUR STRACHEY, Judge, High Court.

IV. I have known Mr. Baindur a long time and think that I originally appointed him in the Registrar's Office. I fully endorse the views above expressed. He is a competent and trustworthy Official and will, I doubt not, do well.

(Sd.) E. M. H. FULTON, Judge, High Court.

V. I think the above recommendations are quite sufficient to entitle the applicant to secure the appointment he seeks. I cannot do more than endorsing them so far as my own knowledge extends.

(Sd.) BUDRUDIN TYABJI,
Judge, High Court, Bombay.

2. I beg to add that my application together with the aforesaid recommendations in original has been officially forwarded to the Chairman through the Collector of South Canara.

3. Trusting that if on a consideration of the foregoing circumstances and facts my service is regarded as advantageous to the Municipality, you will be pleased to elect me for the post I seek to obtain.

I beg to remain,
Gentlemen,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) BAINDUR GANPATRAO.

Bombay
14th February 1899.

Copy of the certificate referred to in para. 1 (d).

MANGALORE, 10th May 1889.

I have much pleasure in certifying that Mr. B. Ganpatrao, Manager, Municipal Office, has, since his appointment by me in November last, by close application to his work and good business habits, obtained a mastery of the duties of the post; and I have no doubt that these qualities will secure him the approbation of my successors. He has my cordial wishes for his future success.

(Sd.) W. A. LEE,
Chairman, M. C. and District Surgeon,
South Canara.

Copy of the Correspondence referred to in para. 1 (e).

No. 465.

MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
MANGALORE, 30th September 1889.

From

V. M. FERNANDEZ, Esq.,

Chairman, Municipal Council,

Mangalore,

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT,

Appellate Side, Bombay.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the Managership of this Municipality having been vacant, Mr. B. Ganpatrao, a clerk of the permanent establishment of the High Court (now on leave) was selected among several other

candidates for the post, and both myself and my predecessor Surgeon-Major W. A. Lee found the selection to be the best. The said selected candidate appears to have accepted the offer with your permission but does not seem to have taken steps to obtain the sanction of the local Government as required under Section 8, Note 2 of Chapter III of the Civil Pension Code (sixth edition).

2. The term of two years' extraordinary leave granted to him by you will expire in a few months more and I shall be sorry to lose his services. As he took up the appointment at a time when the Civil Pension Code abovementioned was in force, I think that that Code should govern the case of his transfer.

3. I have, therefore, the honour to request that you will be so good as to move the Government of Bombay to lend his services to this Municipality as a special case on payment by him to Government of the required pensionary contribution from the date of joining the present appointment, viz., 1st November 1888.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) V. M. FERNANDEZ,

Chairman, Municipal Council,

Mangalore.

No. 2179 of 1889.

From

THE REGISTRAR,

High Court, Appellate Side,

Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,

Municipal Council, Mangalore.

DATED, BOMBAY, 23rd October, 1889.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 465 of the 30th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur not having completed ten years' service, Section 80; iii of the Civil Service Regulations, stands in the way of application being made to Government for transferring his services.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) G. JACOB,

Acting Registrar.

No. 6730 of 1889.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY CASTLE, 16th December 1889.

From

J. J. HEATON, Esq.,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government,
Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council, Mangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 542, dated the 31st of October 1889, requesting that the services of Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur, of the Bombay High Court, may be declared to be lent to the Mangalore Municipality from the 1st November 1888 on payment by him of the required pensionary contribution.

2. In reply I am to inform you that the Governor-in-Council regrets that your request is inadmissible under the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) J. J. HEATON,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

The Retreat
Mangalore
April 20. 99.
B. Ganpatrao Esq.
Bombay

My dear Sir,
In my estimation,
there is no greater evidence
of your capacity than that
shown by the letter you have
received from Dr. Lee;
which is so clearly an
evidence also of that gentle
man's kindness, astute-
ness and desire that a

2/29/99

No. 6730 of 1889.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY CASTLE, 16th December 1889.

From

J. J. HEATON, Esq.,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government,
Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council, Mangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 542, dated the 31st of October 1889, requesting that the services of Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur, of the Bombay High Court, may be declared to be lent to the Mangalore Municipality from the 1st November 1888 on payment by him of the required pensionary contribution.

2. In reply I am to inform you that the Governor-in-Council regrets that your request is inadmissible under the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) J. J. HEATON,

Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.

CURRENT No. 2742

I respectfully beg to enclose for your perusal a printed copy of my application to the Mangalore Municipality for the post of its Secretary.

I learn that the Collector of South Canara has re-

No. 6730 of 1889.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY CASTLE, 16th December 1889.

From

J. J. HEATON, Esq.,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government,
Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council, Mangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 542, dated the 31st of October 1889, requesting that the services of Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur, of the Bombay High Court, may be declared to be lent to the Mangalore Municipality from the 1st November 1888 on payment by him of the required pensionary contribution.

2. In reply I am to inform you that the Governor-in-Council regrets that your request is inadmissible under the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) J. J. HEATON,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

Mangalore Municipal
Council and also copy of
the letter received by me
from Mr E. B. Palmer
elected member of the
Mangalore Municipal
Council. I beg therefore
that you will be pleased
to suggest my name to
the Mangalore Municipal
Council if you consider
me fit for the post.
I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
B. Ganpatrao

No. 6730 of 1889.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY CASTLE, 16th December 1889.

From

J. J. HEATON, Esq.,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government,
Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council, Mangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 542, dated the 31st of October 1889, requesting that the services of Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur, of the Bombay High Court, may be declared to be lent to the Mangalore Municipality from the 1st November 1888 on payment by him of the required pensionary contribution.

2. In reply I am to inform you that the Governor-in-Council regrets that your request is inadmissible under the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) J. J. HEATON,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

Rayapuram
29th March '89 Madras

Dear Mr. Ganpatrao.

I have received yours of 24th instant enclosing a copy of your application for the post of Secretary, Municipal Council, Mangalore.

I have no doubt that there is a large number of candidates in the field but your claims should rank well amongst them.

The chief use of a Secretary is, I take it, to work up the Municipal income and that not by

2243/m/89

No. 6730 of 1889.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY CASTLE, 16th December 1889.

From

J. J. HEATON, Esq.,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government,
Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council, Mangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 542, dated the 31st of October 1889, requesting that the services of Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur, of the Bombay High Court, may be declared to be lent to the Mangalore Municipality from the 1st November 1888 on payment by him of the required pensionary contribution.

2. In reply I am to inform you that the Governor-in-Council regrets that your request is inadmissible under the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) J. J. HEATON,

Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

*Believe me
yours very truly
Sd. W. A. Lee
Lt Col. I.M.S.*

4
No. 6730 of 1889.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,
BOMBAY CASTLE, 16th December 1889.

From

J. J. HEATON, Esq.,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government,
Bombay,

To

THE CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council, Mangalore.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 542, dated the 31st of October 1889, requesting that the services of Mr. Ganpatrao Ramappa Baindur, of the Bombay High Court, may be declared to be lent to the Mangalore Municipality from the 1st November 1888 on payment by him of the required pensionary contribution.

2. In reply I am to inform you that the Governor-in-Council regrets that your request is inadmissible under the rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) J. J. HEATON,
Acting Under-Secretary to Government.

The Retreat
Mangalore

April 20. 99.

B. Ganpatrao Esq.

Bombay

My dear Sir,

In my estimation,
there is no greater evidence
of your capacity than that
shown by the letter you have
received from D. Lee;
which is so clearly an
evidence also of that gentle
man's kindness, astute-
ness and desire that a

2/24/99