

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1536 My

DATED 16-10-99.

INDEXED. RECORDED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with Remarks, the
explanation of points noticed in
the — of the Walajpet Munl
Council for 1898-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2551 M

Forwarded

Revenue

As Collector

CR 4
25/8/88

25/9/88

DISPATCHED
27 SEP 1899

From

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Walgapet

2nd Mis

Order No. 1536

16/10/88

Recorded with the following

remarks:—

(1) The receipts and expenditure Dated 16th September 1899
of Municipal schools given in state- Received 28 SEP 1899
- ment I. A should agree with the Registered
Corresponding entries in statements Enclosures nil
I and II. The statements furnished Spare copies "
by the educational officers should
have been carefully scrutinized in the
Municipal office before being sent to
Government, and care taken to see that
the instructions of Government regard-
-ing the preparation of statements I. A &
I. B are strictly followed.

(2) A revised statement III should now
be submitted, with reference to the
Chairman's explanation on clause (1)

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation on the
points noted in
the Memorandum
No 937 of 7-9-99
on the Annual Report
of Walgapet Municipality
for the year 1898-99
as directed.

of the Government memorandum
under reply -

NR
9.10.99

ack
12/10/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Note

The report statements have been
retained at Madras

pro

To The Chairman, Municipal Council, Walajpet.
18/10/99

3

No 5

Municipal Office,
Walajpet,
16 September 1899.

From

M. K. S. V. Mathava Rao
Chairman, Municipal Council,
Walajpet.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Fort Saint George.

Forwarded through the Head Assistant Collector
North Arcot

When
agreed with
6.10.99

19.9.99

With reference to Memo-
randum No. 37 dated 7-9-99,
on the Annual Report of this
Municipality for 1898-99, I have
the honor to submit my replies
as follows:-

The numbers quoted are
those of the Memorandum under
reply. The District Board's share of
tolls was calculated on the gross
amount of Rs 2750-00, as the Pre-
sident, District Board,
Amount Rs 2750-00, stated in his letter -
Do - collected Rs 2520-13-4, that failure
to deduct contingencies of Rs 64-9-10-99
of the contractor to
Balance - Rs 204-8-7, pay of the list due
due to the D. B. Board, up to 24th March 1899, to the Municipality
Paid to the D. B. Board Rs 916-10-9, does not affect the
claim of the District
excess paid to District Board and hence the
share

of
un
10-1

share of the District Board, viz of the
the collected balance of the
tolls was paid to them. A sum of
Rs 78-7-11 as shown in the
margin has now to be collected
from the District Board and the
President District Board will be
requested to refund the amount.

2. The omission is due to
oversight. The Land Tax register
was received in 1896-95.

3. The amount of Rs 440-0-2
shown as arrears of taxes relates
to arrears of 1898-99 alone and
Rs 80-5-0 relating to previous
years viz 1896-97 and 1897-98 was
not included in it, through mis
apprehension.

4. The mistake pointed out
is now noted and the amount will
be shown under liabilities.

5. The existence of the S.C.
estate was not known. Hence
the mistake was committed.

6. This statement was prepared
and forwarded to the
Office by the Educational Officers
and they were simply forwarded
in original to Government with the
report and the Educational
Officers are responsible for the
mistake.

This has been
communicated
to the Chairman

Educational Office 2860-7-8. 7. The cost of the
- exp' figure Municipal High School
Did not amount } according to state-
advanced to the } ment II is Rs 2798-11-8
Head Master for } and this amount ex-
purchase of } includes Rs 60-0-0
quicals as per }
para 76 of my }
report }
Balance 2794-7-8
Amount included in the }
V.A. statement }
Figure entered 2798-11-8
in statement }
in statement }

at the end of the year but shown
in V.A. statement as actual ex-
penditure and includes Rs 4-4-0
adjusted in this office after
the official year 1898-99, after
the figures were furnished to
the Educational Officers for the
preparation of V.A. statement.
This explains the difference
between the two figures.

The cost of the Municipal
Primary Schools, according to state-
ment II is Rs 1809-5-1 and ac-
cording to V.A. statement Rs 1806-5-1.
The difference Rs 3-0-0 was ad-
justed within the year but the Educa-
tional Officers omitted to include the
amount in their statement. These
discrepancies have also been ex-
plained in para 76 of my report.

9. Column No 2 in statement
it was omitted to be filled in
by oversight. It should be

of una
 9.10.9

1942 which is the figure shown in the previous years statement.

10. A. yes. It should be 12 by mistake it was entered as 13.

Receipts of 1897-98 1510216-4-5
 1898-99 1191913-3 Rs 82-15-7
 2396-4-2

Deducted from previous years advances of Rs 82-15-7 was recovered in the year under review Rs 82-15-7. Hence the difference is Rs 2396-4-2.

The number of operations performed by the Municipal Corporation in 1897-98 and 1898-99 are 3897227 respectively as stated in para 91 of 2534 report. The entries 318, in para 6 of the Medical Report is a clerical error in the Medical Report, and also in statement VIII is similarly a clerical error for 299.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
 Yours most obedtly,
 Chairman

CR

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Points noticed in the Valajapet Municipal Report for 1898-99.

Urgent

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

CN. 2094.M

Memorandum No. 937 of 7-9-99

Read the following paper:-

The following points are noticed in the Chairman's and the Medical Officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former:-

(1) In column 1 of statement I, the District Board's share of tolls, and in column 2 the Municipal share are given as Rs. 914-9-1 and Rs. 1608-4-3 respectively instead of Rs. 838 and Rs. 1683, as shown in the margin. The sum of Rs. 77 which has been paid in excess to the District Board should be shown under "advances recoverable".

(2) In the note to statement I item 8 the date on which the land tax registers were last revised has not been given.

(3) In the note to statement II, the arrears of taxes &c are given as Rs 2410-0-0 instead of Rs 2490-5-2 according to column 8 of statements IV.A and IV.B.

(4) In the same note the amount due to the Assistant master of the Municipal high school should have been shown as a liability.

Vide para 25 of the report & Stat VII I

Vide para 61