

Local & Munl. (189) Department.
Plague.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1489 A P

DATED 14-10-88

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing the despatch of a letter to the Govt. of Bengal replying to the enquiries made by that Govt. regarding the arrangements to be made for the medical observation &c of immigrants proceeding to Bengal or Assam from the district of Sanyal in case plague broke out in that district.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
..	564	P. M. S.	9/9/99				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CM 3284	P. M. S.						

Public Plague.
Local & Muni. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2875

Order

Transferred to Public Dept.
with reference to G.O. No. 305 Public,
of 9th March 1899.

M. M. M. M.
Secy to Government
27-9-99.

27/9/99



From The Govt of Bengal

No. 2967

Dated 18-9-99
Received 21-9-99
Registered 23-9-99
Enclosures
Spare copies

Encl. No. 2478 of 2-10-99

Retransferred to the
Local & Muni. Dept. with
reference to G.O. No. 305 Public,
dated 29th March 1899.

For the Secy.

SUBJECT.

Requesting an early reply to
communication regarding the
proposed precautionary measures
against the importation of
plague in Bengal from
Gujarat in the event of the
disease breaking out in that
district.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	Curr. 9584	1262/98 (47)	
	305	sub.	9.3.99
	1546	"	22.12.98
	5648	tm	17.4.99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

All communications to Government should give the Number, Date, and Subject of any previous Correspondence, and be addressed to the Secretary of the Department concerned.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
27-SEP-1899
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 SEP 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

GENERAL DEPARTMENT.

Emigration BRANCH.

Dated Calcutta, the 15th Sept. 1899.

SUBJECT.

Proposed precautionary measures against the importation of Plague in Bengal, from Sanjam, in the event of the disease breaking out in that district.

Govt. Order No. 764, dated 25th February 1899.

1st Reminder, No. 1455, -- 15th April 1899.

2nd ditto " 2380, -- 7th August 1899.

3rd ditto "

To THE Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras

SIR,

I AM directed to invite your attention to the communication marginally noted and to request an early reply.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

Chisti

Under-Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal.

NOTE FOR THE P. A.

The original order of the Govt. of Bengal No. 764 25/2/99 to which the letter below is a reminder, was received in the Public Dept. and disposed of in that Dept. vide G.O. No. 305 Public 9/3/99. A copy of this order was communicated to D.M. vide G.O. No. 404 P. Mis. 14/3/99. The 1st reminder from the Govt. of Bengal was registered in D.M. but was transferred to the Public Dept. in Encl. to G.O. No. 254 April 99. The 2nd reminder does not seem to have been registered at all in this Dept. It is not known whether any reply was received from the D.M. or not. The original order is to be registered in the Public Dept. No. 305.

Local and Municipal Department.
(Plague)

Encl. No. 2478, dt 2.10.99 from the Public Department.

Note for the Secretary

In its letter No. 764, dated 25th

February 1899, the Government of Bengal

made certain enquiries in regard to the

medical observation &c. of emigrants pro-

ceeding to Bengal or Assam from Ganjam, in

case plague broke out in that District.

This letter was addressed to the Public

Department by which it was communicated

to the District Magistrate of Ganjam for

report. Vide G. O. No. 305 Misc. Pub., dated

9th March 1899. The District Magistrate's

reply (dated 20.3.99) was addressed to, and received in, the

Public Department on the 24th idem. The

letter from the Government of Bengal has

not, however, been replied to as yet and

that Government has been sending reminders

The following are the circumstances under

which the matter appears to have been lost

sight of.

If the letter was sent to the Public Department - as it is urgent - I am encl. with the C.S. and by a final order made who has been responsible for the delay - It can be seen from the letter as if it were responsible & not the D.M.

The delay is much to be regretted & shows faulty working in one or other of the Depts.

2. A few days after receipt of the above letter, i.e. on the 28th of March, a telegram was received in the Public Department from the Government of Bengal enquiring whether this Government would maintain at its cost the plague camp at Khurda Road, which the Bengal Government had maintained till then and which it then proposed to abolish. The Public Department transferred the telegram to this Department under Endt. No. 891, dated 28th March 1899. The telegram was referred by this Department to the Plague Commissioner who submitted his reply on the 31st idem and the Government of Bengal telegram was replied to on the 17th April. Vide G. O. No. 564-P Misc. dated that day.

3. The first reminder to the Bengal Government letter quoted in para 1 supra which was received in this Department in April last was transferred to the Public Department under Endt. No. 88-P dated 25th idem. The second reminder quoted in the 3rd reminder now received does not appear

to

to have been received in this Department at all. The third reminder received on the 21st September was at once transferred to the Public Department with reference to G. O. 305 Pub., dated 9th March 1899.

The Public Department has, however, re-transferred ^{it} ~~the third reminder~~ to this

Department with reference to its Endt. No. ^(vide Endt. No. 2478 dated 2nd Oct. 1899.) 891, dated 28th March 1899. In G. O. No.

564-P, dated 17th April 1899, now received from the Public Department with the Endt.

last quoted, is found stitched the Ganjam

District Magistrate's reply to the letter

from the Government of Bengal referred to

in para 1, and it bears a note by the Public

Second Assistant, under date 28th March

1899, to the effect that no action was necessary

thereon with reference to the

telegram from the Government of Bengal

referred to in para 2 supra. From its

Endt. No. 2478, dated 2nd October 1899,

the Public Department would appear to suppose

that the District Magistrate's reply

was also sent to this Department with Endt.

No. 891, dated 28th March 1899. From the

put

Note put up by the Madras Office on the 23rd

September, it would, however, appear that

the District Magistrate's reply had not ^{then} been

received in this Department. ^{Attention is at the same time solicited to the office notes (Flag C) put up by the office in the Public Dept.} In retrans-

ferring the third reminder to this Depart-

ment the Public Department makes no refer-

ence to the first reminder transferred to

it in April under Endt. No. 88-P, dated

25th April 1899. It is not clear when ^{why, if the Dt. Magt's reply had already been sent to this Dept, the first reminder also was not likewise retransferred to this Dept; nor is it clear when and how the District Magistrate's reply}

came into this Department. If it had been

received along with Endt. No. 891, dated

28th March 1899, it must have gone to the

Plague Commissioner along with Local and

Municipal Endt. No. 69-P, dated 29th March

1899 thereon. This does not appear to

have been the case as the Plague Commis-

sioner's reply, which makes no reference

to the District Magistrate's letter, would

show.

note. The absence of any reference to this letter either in the P.C. note or in the L.M. Office note shows that it did not enter there. Come up for disposal.

4. A draft reply to the Government

of Bengal in the sense of the District

Magistrate's report is submitted.

[G. 75]

500-36-10-98.

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

Please see the note in the L.M. Dept. under the draft 20. 2. 99.
2. Letter from the Govt. of Bengal No. 764 of 25.2.99 was communicated by No. 308 Pub. dated 9.3.99. to the Collector of Sonjion for report.
3. The Collector's reply (current No. 998) was received on 24.3.99 - Friday. While the paper was being forwarded the telegram from the Govt. of Bengal of 27.3.99 (current No. 998) was received on 28.3.99. The two currents were put up together for disposal. The Govt. of Bengal telegram said that it was proposed to abolish the Camp at Khunda Road & it was ~~thought~~ thought (mistakenly I now see) that this would further act on the Collector's letter unnecessary, & that the reply to the telegram was

note

9

dispose of the matter dealt with in the Collector's letter.

The telegram from Bengal (number 995) was

transferred to L & M. under no 891 Public of 28.3.99,

& the Collector's letter was with it. I don't think there

is the least reason to doubt this. When the

original of S.O. no 564 Gen of 17.4.99 was obtained

from that Dept on 29.9.99, the Collector's letter was

found attached with it, - please see clip of 9/10/99

C. I. I don't think the draft was the

correct one. I don't think the

C. I. I don't think the

C. I. I don't think the

C. I. I don't think the

C. I. I don't think the

C. I. I don't think the

C. I. I don't think the

? doubtful

R

S

S

10

for the transfer of which this was an attempt

which might have returned it with an endorsement.

Instead the letter was stitched up with the

the L & M current, & was therefore overlooked.

The 2nd remittance, 2.7/99, appeared to be the last of

Bengal's present remittance was not received in Patna,

an apparently in L & M. The 1st remittance which

was transferred to Patna by 20th is not located in

this Dept either. It may possibly have fallen into

the hands of Chakrapani Rao. The check of it was

which is enclosed with the copy of Chakrapani Rao's order

for the transfer of the

for the transfer of the

for the transfer of the

for the transfer of the

for the transfer of the

Public this answer will be sent by post

Note for the Secy. ||

Please ^{see} note below from
the Public Dept. just received.

As circulation to H.M.
is to cease from tomorrow
may this be sent to
H.M. now.

ty
13.10.88

13.10.88

[L. & M.]

800-4-5-99.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No.	dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	dated	189 .
Current No. 2478	of 1897, dated 2. 10. 88	, from the Public Dept.
" "	" 189 , "	" "
" "	" 189 , "	" "

Public U.O. No. 717/12-10-88

ORDER.

The following letter will be
despatched:—

No 14 89 A P 2/14-10-88
To No. Ady 12.10.88

The Secretary to the Govt. of Bengal
General Deptt.

Sir,

In Mr. Finucane's letter,
Emigration- No. 764, dated the 25
February last, the Govt. of Bengal
enquire whether, in the event of
an outbreak of plague occur-
ring in the district of Ganjam,
H.E. the Governor in Council
would have any objection to
making the necessary arrange-
ments for the Emigrants from

13

that District proceeding to Bengal or Assam by sea being subjected to ten days' medical observation at the port of embarkation, and, in the case of emigrants proceeding by rail, to causing notice of the despatch of each batch of Coolies being sent by the District Magistrate of Ganjam to the Medical Officer in charge of the Rhurda Segregation Camp, where the Bengal Govt. propose to subject the emigrants to ten days' detention and observation. In reply I am directed to state that the answer to the inquiry as regards emigrants proceeding by sea is in the affirmative. As regards emigrants proceeding by rail I am to state that it is impossible to carry out the suggestion made by the Govt. of Bengal except in the case of registered emigrants who, however, are not sent out

14

out by rail. It is reported by the District Magistrate that a large number of persons recruited under the free system proceed by rail, and that, as no control can be exercised over them by Govt. officers, they can be subjected only to the same restrictions as ordinary passengers.

I have & C.

15
12.10.88
2. I am directed to express great regret that Mr. Finemans' letter has not been replied to before.

12/10/88

11
12.10.88

VE
Note for ve
 hi. Pub: Cuo
 2672/28

The S.A. wants to know
from the despatch book there
what papers (currents)
were sent to him
with Public Enst. no.

891 & 28.3.99. These
is only one current
no. (no 985) entered
in the memo book here.

But as Public Cuo
958/98 seems to have
been linked with
Cuo 985 the S.A. thinks
that ~~Cuo~~ Cuo 958/98
also must have been
transf. to him.

The S.A. also wants to
see the disposal in him

(or P.O. office) no
(Cuo 958/98) no

Enst. together with the
connected papers. As
the papers are wanted
for a v.s. Public et
(no 2672/28) please

obtain the papers + send
him by return of post.
A formal requisition
may follow afterwards.

104.
27/9/98
J. P. Clerk
17/9/98
5647
17/9/98

L.S.M. Dept

LM
Note for the Secretary.

The file is resubmitted to
the Secretary with reference
to his note of the 12th instant
on page 4. The note of the
Public Department will be
found on pages 8-10.

LM
14.9.98
L.S.M. Dept

The original has been in this
letter by with the 2nd inst.
in Public who has been the
this letter. The 1st inst.
should be found of the letter
from that inst. It seems
however that L.S. has found
the present in the file. The
L.S. has found 1st inst.
which I have called
L.S. 1st inst. but
the 1st inst. has been
the 1st inst. has been

Public U.D. 85874-11-99.

remains in Public and it
was only an oversight of the
govt that the matter came
to notice & was taken up

25/11/99.

The main thing is to
prevent such an oversight
again & this no doubt
will be seen to.

MA 30.10.99.

The only way to avoid an
oversight again is to see
(1) that the matter is not
filed to which they don't
belong

(2) that the matter is not
attended to when they have
seen it - if the Secretary
attends to it he has been
specifically drawn to the
matter & he comes to
notice it & the matter
is not lost.

un + returned
to Public for
perusal of the
govt. 25/11/99.

To Public for
perusal of the
govt. 25/11/99.

25/11/99.

3/11/99.

When given for copying
189

AD AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

REPLY No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only.