

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.
Plague.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1368

DATED 15-9-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Cost of certain works at Jalarpet

Recording G.O. Dir. requesting the Collector of Salem to report when the _____ referred to in G.O. no $\frac{1057-P}{107/189}$ was said, & under what head the expenditure has been shown in the plague estimates, and stating that the rent for the disinfecting apparatus at that place should be debited to Provincial

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	1031	✓					
80	1057	✓					
80	1186	✓					

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2717 P



From The Financial Dept

Order No 1368 P mis of 15-9-99

Recorded

No. 962

Dated 6. Sept. 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Directing the Collector of Saline to state when the cost of certain works at Tolarpe was paid etc

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
"	1186	P. mis	2-8-99
"	1057	"	10-7-99
"	1031	"	5-7-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:-

From the Collector of Salem, Ref. No. 1449/P.O., dated

9th August 1899.

** info 1186 P
2-8-99*

In para 1 clause (a) of G.O. No. 645, Financial, dated 24th July 1899, passing orders on the plague estimate of this District for the quarter ending 30th September 1899, the provision under "Petty construction and repairs" has been raised to Rs 13,750 with reference to G.O. No. 1031 P. Mis., dated 5th July 1899 and G.O. No. 1057 P. Mis., dated 10th July 1899, in which an estimate for Rs 300 for constructing a kitchen and two deviation statements were sanctioned. The deviation statements referred to works already executed and paid for and no additional allotment was required therefor. I have the honour to respectfully point out therefore that the necessity for the increased provision is not understood.

2. The provision made against the disinfecting apparatus at Jalarpet to meet the monthly rent of Rs 16 charged by the Superintendent, P.W. Workshops, has been scored out by Government. Am I correct in inferring from this that the rent will not have to be paid hereafter?

Order No. 962 dated 6 September 1899.

The Collector of Salem is requested to state when the cost of the works at Jalarpet referred to in G.O. No. 1057 P. Mis., dated 10th July 1899, was paid and under what head the expenditure has been shown in the plague estimates.

3. With reference to paragraph 3 of his letter the Collector will be informed that the rent for the disinfecting apparatus at Jalarpet, which should continue to be paid, should be debited to Provincial funds.

(True extract)

The Collector of Salem.
The Public Works Dept.
The Accountant General.
The Local & Municipal Dept.

A. J. Jeyaraj
For Chief Secretary

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only
 Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A

*The Collector of Salem.
 The District Court.
 The District Court.*

$\left[\frac{R. O.}{10} \right]$
5,000-14-5-10.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer,
 Dept. Blaine Press 13689 No. 159, dated 1859, Rack No.
 Mis. Range,
 Rout.