

L.
5,000
[L. & M.]
5,000-2-4-100

I Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1334 In-

DATED 13-9-99

INDEXED.

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report Recording, with
Remarks, the explanation of points
noticed in the ——— of the Kurnool
Munl Council. for 1898-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2200m

desp

From The Chairman
Municipal Council
Karnool.

Order No. 1334 Muns & 13/7/99

Recorded with the following
remarks:—

No. 260

(1) In statement II, the sum of Rs 46-2-10 advanced in 1897-98 for the repair of the Municipal primary school building and adjusted in 1898-99, should have been debited to Grant 1-2 (b) Repairs - buildings and not to Grant 2-2 (ii) (c) Contingencies.

Dated 12.8.99

Received 16 AUG 1899

Registered 17th

Enclosures 2

Spare copies nil

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanations as called for in Government memorandum No. 779 dated 24.7.99.

(2) The statements submitted by the Educational officers should have been carefully scrutinized in the Municipal office before being forwarded to Government, and all discrepancies between them and the Chairman's statements explained by means of footnotes.

(8) _____

22/8

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(3) In Columns 22 and 23 of Statement V. & now submitted, the total net cost of the upper Secondary department of the Municipal high school and the average net cost per pupil in its lower Secondary department are given as Rs 447-0-8 and Rs 15-2-1, respectively, instead of Rs 578-10-8 and Rs 14-13-6.

(4) In Statement III, the statistics relating to revaccination and vaccinations on persons above six years of age should have been included.

(5) The necessary corrections have now been made with reference to Clauses (1), (3) & (4) *supra*.

TR
6-9-99

ale
14/9/99

STATEMENT V-A.—Showing the Statistics of Municipal Schools in the Municipality of *Kurnool* for the official year 1898-99.

Note

The statements have been retained at Madras for the preparation of the statements required for the General Municipal Review.

20 *Defor* Please send this home after despatch
PR 15/9/99

The Chairman, M.C. Kurnool.

15/9/99

STATEMENT V.A.—Showing the Statistics of Municipal Schools in the Municipality of *Harwood* for the official year 1898-99.

STATEMENT V-A.—Showing the Statistics of Municipal Schools in the Municipality of																								Date.			
Schools.	NUMBER OF SCHOOLS.						NUMBER ON ROLLS ON 31st MARCH 189 ..		RECEIPTS.						EXPENDITURE.										REMARKS.		
	At the beginning of the year.		Closed in the year.		At the close of the year.		Boys.	Girls.	Average number on the rolls monthly during the year.	Grants from Provincial Funds.	Grants from Local Funds.	School fees.	Other receipts.	Total.	Teachers.					Stipends and scholarships.	Library furniture and apparatus.	Contingencies and miscellaneous.	Total.	Net cost to Municipality.		Average net cost per pupil in each class of municipal schools.	24
	2	3	4	5	6	7									8	9	10	11	12								
I.—Colleges																											
II.—Upper Secondary Schools and Departments.																											
(1) W.S. Deptt	1				150	33	4728			1657	139	3432	9364	167		103	126	247	229	940	547	1787					
(2) L.S. Deptt	1				172	64				1747		4026	10182	10		2083	6	125	433	2248	949	189	14				
(3)																											
Total ..	2			2	122	97	4928			2758	139	4731	9547	5		312		344	1211	1310	259	162	1512				
III.—Lower Secondary Schools and Departments.																											
(a) Salary System.—																											
(1)																											
(2)																											
(3)																											
Total ..																											

III.—Lower Secondary Schools and Departments.

(a) Salary System—

- (1)
(2)
(3)

Total ..

(b) Salary-results System—

- (1)
(2)
(3)

Total ..

Total, Colleges and Secondary Schools

IV.—Primary Schools and Departments.

(a) Salary System—

- (1)
(2)
(3)

Total ..

for the official year 1898-99 - con't.

for the official year 1898-99 - con't.

	Total	Successful
More 6 years ago	137	82
Re-vaccination	179	111
Total	316	193

and including these with the figures entered in statement XIII the total corresponds with the cases shown in my report.

I have to
(Sd) Herbert R. Power
Ag. Dist. Medl. and Santry Officer

(True copy)

Udumbar Rao
Chairman

File added for
all optional subjects only

Udumbar Rao
Chairman

No. 260

MUNICIPAL COUNCILLORS' OFFICE,

Kurnool, 12th August 1899.

Encls. 2

From

THE CHAIRMAN,
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Kurnool.

CURRENT NO.

The Secretary to Government

Local and Municipal Department.

Fort Saint George

SIR,

With reference to Government
Memorandum No 779 dated 24th July 1899 on
the Kurnool Municipal report for 1898-99,
I have the honour to submit the explana-
tions called for therein.

2. Para I clause (10). In statement V A the income from school fees should be only Rs 398-9-6, the figures given therein as Rs 398-11-6 being a mistake in the addition of the figures. The correct figure is Rs 398-9-6 as given in statement I. The actual contin-
gent charges of the school during the year were only Rs 24. The difference of Rs 46-2-10, which were paid under advances for the repairs of the school building in 1897-98 were adjusted during the year

2200/m/99

under report and included in the accounts for the year.

Para I clause (18). - During the year under report a sum of about Rs 970 was spent on account of the market. Of this amount a sum of about Rs 360 was the balance left at the beginning of the year from the allotment of Rs 5,000 granted as a loan for the market in the previous year; a sum of Rs 250 was sanctioned in G.O. No 897 dated 10th May 1898 and a further sum of Rs 355 was sanctioned in G.O. No 1137 dated 27th June 1898. The total additional cost of the market, over and above the allotment of Rs 5,000 granted as a loan, was about Rs 620 and this was sanctioned in the two Government Orders quoted above. As the application for allotment of funds, which was sanctioned in G.O. No 1137 dated 27th June 1898, makes a reference to the former G.O. sanctioning the other allotment of Rs 250, no reference was made to

The result of this explanation is that the statement in para 41 of the report that an allotment of Rs 975 was sanctioned in G.O. No 1137 is incorrect.

the former G.O. in the report.

Para I clause (20). - Statement V A was received from the Educational authorities and submitted to Government after my report was despatched.

The difference of 7 pupils in the number presented for examination and the number successful was owing to Educational authorities having left out of consideration, 7 pupils, who appeared for, and passed in, optional subjects only.

Para I clause (21). - The actual pay of the midwife was only Rs 15. A sum of Rs 5 was given to her as remuneration for conducting extra cases, over the prescribed number at the rate of 8 annas for each case. This makes up to a total of Rs 20, shown in statement II B.

Para I clause (23). - The explanation given

Sanctioned already
Vise para 14
of the Chairman's
letter in
No 575M
17-3-97

given by the District Medical and Sanitary
officer, Kurnool, on the subject is
herewith submitted.

Para I clause (7). — Each warrant
issued for the collection of the amount
of tax due on account of buildings,
includes the amount due under water-
tax on the same building and
therefore no separate warrants
were issued for the water-tax alone.

It is submitted therefore that it
is not possible to submit a separate
statement regarding warrants
under water-tax.

Para I clauses (8) and (9). — A revised
Statement V A is submitted herewith.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Vendambana Rao

Chairman

W. 18/11/88
He

Points noticed in the Kurnool Municipal Report for 1898-99

Cen No 1641 M

On Dec 779
24/1/89

Resp

The following points are noticed
in the Chairman's and the Dis-
trict Medical and Sanitary Officer's
reports and in the statements
submitted with the former:—

(1) In Column 2 and 5 of state-
ment I, the income from fees char-
ged for licences for constructing
temporary pandals on occasions of
festivals, &c, should have been credited to
"4 (f) (ii) - licence fees" and not to
"3 (c) - Fees under Act II of 1888."

(2) In Column 2 of statement I-A
the funded capital of the hospital
endowment is given as Rs 6221-0-0
instead of Rs 6220-12-0, as in Column
1 of the same statement.

(3) In Column 2 of statement II, the
cost of printing voters' lists should
be debited to "Grant 4 (19) (vi) Stations
and printing" and not to Grant

Visi para. 21 of the Chairman's report

cc

cc

Visi para. 66 of the Chairman's
report.

1(c) Office contingencies."

(4) In column 4 of the same statement, the figures of the budget estimate for 1898-99 should have been revised with reference to the allotments and transfers of funds sanctioned subsequently, and in column 5 round figures should have not been adopted.

(5) Statement II. B should have been discontinued with reference to para 1(21) of G.O. No. 21492, dated 8th November 1898.

(6) In Appendix A to Statements IV and IV. B, the warrants issued for the collection of the water tax should have been shown separately and not included under those issued for the collection of the tax on buildings.

(7) In column Statements V. A, the statistics of the upper and lower primary departments of municipal and aided schools should have been lumped together and not shown separately.

(8) In column 19 of statement V. A, the sum of Rs 131-10-0. advanced in 1897-98 for the purchase of apparatus for

(5) In the report the foot of the same statement, the sum of Rs 2,000 for which there were unpaid bills at the end of 1898-99 should have been shown as a liability - vide para. 52 of the Chairman's report.

vide para. 46 of the Chairman's report

for the municipal high school and adjusted in 1898-99 should have been included.

(9) In the same statement, the income from school fees in and the contingent charges of, the municipal primary schools are given as Rs 398-11-6 and Rs 24 respectively, whereas according to statements I and II, they were Rs 398-9-6 and Rs 70-2-10.

(10) In column 1 of statement VII, the rate of interest on loans has not been given.

(11) In column 5 of statement XI, the number of public latrines on 31st March 1898 and not on 31st March 1899, should have been given.

(12) Columns 1 to 3 of statement XIII have not been filled in.

(13) In statement XIV, the expenditure of Rs 11-9-0 incurred on the extension of the water supply scheme should not have been shown, and the amounts shown under "Repayment of debt and interest" should not have included the

vide para. 41(d) of the Ch's report.

payments on account of the loan
of Rs 5000.

(14) In statement XV, the invest-
ment of the hospital endowment
fund of Rs 6220-12-0 should
have been shown.

(15) In para. 10 of the Chairman's re-
port, the increase in the income
from private scavenging fees in
1898-99 over the revised estimate
is given as Rs 142, instead of Rs 137.

(16) In para. 15, the net demand
under the tax on carts in
1898-99 is given as Rs 2950 instead
of Rs 3293.

(17) In para. 41, it is stated that
an allotment of Rs 975 was sanc-
tioned in G.O. no. 1137 M, dated
27th June 1898, for the construction
of the market; whereas the amount
sanctioned in that order was only
Rs 355.

(18) In paras. 46 and 48, the total
charges of the Municipal high school
in 1897-98 and the average
attendance in the Municipal primary
schools in 1897-98 are given as

Rs 5754.12.4 and 144 respec-
tively, instead of Rs 5877.2.4
and 129.

(19) In para. 48, the number of
students presented from the Munici-
pal primary schools for the
fourth standard examination in
1898-99 and the number of them
who were successful, are given as
29 and 21 respectively, whereas
according to the note at the foot
of statement V, they were 22 and
14.

(20) In para. 52, the pay of the
hospital midwife is given as Rs 15,
whereas according to statement II-B
it was Rs 20.

(21) In para. 54, the total num-
ber of vaccinations performed in
1897-98, and the number of them
which were successful, and the average
cost of each operation and that of
each successful case in the same
year, are given as 1281, 827,
Rs 8-6 and Rs 11-7 respec-
tively, instead of 1391, 1114,
Rs 6-10 and Rs 8-6.

(23) In the same para. and in para. IV of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer's report, the total number of ^{vaccine} operations performed in 1898-99 and the number of them which were successful are given as 1059 and 844 respectively, whereas according to Statement XIII they were 743 & 651.

(24) In paras 55 & 58 the total number of deaths registered in 1897-98 and the epidemic charges in 1898-99 are given as 691 and Rs 5418-1-0 respectively, instead of 1279 and Rs 5340-14-8.

2. The necessary corrections have now been made with reference to clauses (1), (2), (5), (11) to (17), (22) and (24) of the preceding paragraph.

The discrepancies noticed in clauses (10), (18), (20), (21) & (23) should be explained and a revised statement Appendix A to statements IV. A & IV. B and a revised statement V. A should be submitted with reference to

Clauses (7) to (10) as soon as possible.

3. Notwithstanding the remarks in para. 3 of Gook's Memoranda No. 884, dated 19th August 1897, and No. 926, dated 15th August 1898, the Chairman's report and the statements submitted with it have not been carefully prepared. Steps should be taken to avoid the necessity for similar remarks in future.

info. 1868m.
2-11-98

V.R.
14/7/99

6/10
1851-99

To

The Chairman, R.C.

Copy to
Kumool

Dupd. 24/7/99