L. & M.
9,000-3-3-96.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 426 M.

DATED 22nd February 1898.

[**Despatch Abstract.**]

Establishments.-Disapproving the proposals of the Municipal Council of Kumbakonum regarding the revision of the sanitary staff and stating, with remak, that the ----- sanctioned in paragraph 1 of G.O.,No.2397 M., dated 1st November 1897, should be adopted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.]
30—2-12-97.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again GO., No. , dated 189 .
Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .
Current No. 41 M of 1898, dated , from the Chairman M.C. Kumbakonam
" " " 189 , " , "
" " " 189 , " , "

Secy ~~Mis~~ **ORDER.** No. 426 M mis. d 22/2/98

For the reasons assigned by the Collector in his endorsement, the Government cannot approve the municipal council's proposals for the revision of the sanitary staff. The scale of the establishment sanctioned in paragraph 1. of G.O. No. 2397 M. dated 1st November 1897 should therefore be adopted without further delay and the usual application for the necessary allotment of funds should be submitted.

A

F.R.
19/2/98

21-2-98

To
The Chairman. M.C. Kumbakonam
through the Collector of Tanjore.
Disp. 24/2/98

PE 9440

346/mt. of 97 M

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

Endt. 5649/Rev 7 AA

CURRENT No. 41 M

SUB-COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 4 DEC. 9[7] TANJORE.

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE 9 DEC. 1897

~~Forwarded~~

W. B. Ayling

5/12/97.

Endt. in C. No 346/Mul 9/7
Enc 3

Forwarded.

2. The Resolution which,

Order. going a good way towards meeting the wishes of Govt., falls short in several important respects.

3. The proposed pay for the Chief Sanitary Inspector (Rs 50+15) falls far short of that laid down in G.O. ~~No~~ No 8 of 6 Jany. 97, vide G.O. No 2397 now under reply. Considering the population & dirtiness of Kumbakonam I think it well worth while ~~to spend money~~ offer a good salary to make sure of getting a thoroughly competent Chief Sanitary Inspector, as the higher salary will better secure this end than the lower, I recommend that Council be asked to reconsider this part of the Resolution.

4. As to the proposed "Conservancy maistries" – the existing Nuisance Inspectors under a new name – I do not consider that the arguments adduced by the Chairman are sound. It is clear from his letter that they are

From The Chairman
Municipal Council
Kumbakonam

No. 766

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 6. JAN. 1898 LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Dated 30. 12. 97.

Received 6-1-1898

Registered 6-1-1898 ar

Enclosures Three E12

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the sanction of Government a revised scheme for the Conservancy Establishment.

at present employed in many duties unconnected with sanitation & it is somewhat of a misnomer to classify & pay under the sole head of Sanitation ~~only~~ men who are so largely employed in non-Sanitary work. Men thus employed are also sure to be tempted to shirk their Sanitary work in pretence of h'd to attend to their other duties. If men are required for these other duties, they sh'd be entertained as, maistries, bill collectors & the like, & classified accordingly. I think therefore that these "Conservancy maistries" may ~~be~~ with advantage be dispensed with as Sanitary officers, & if after a time it is found that 3 Assistant Sanitary Inspectors are found inadequate, one other such a man may be entertained.

Madras
31-12-97.

DESPATCHED
4 JAN. 1898

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

41 M

No. 766.

MUNICIPAL COUNCILORS' OFFICE

KUMBAKONAM 30th November 1897.

FROM

T. S. Srinivasa Odayar Avergal,
CHAIRMAN, MUNICIPPAL COUNCIL
KUMBAKONAM.

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Department,
Thro' the Sub Collector & the Collector of
Tanjore.

SIR,

Flag A

Adverting to G.O. No. 2397 (Muni) / 1. 11. 97 directing the revision of the Conservancy Establishment of this Municipality on the lines recommended by the Sanitary Commissioner, I have the honor to inform you that the above G.O. was considered at a special meeting of the Council held on the 25th instant and that the following resolution was recorded:- "The Council unanimously resolves that the following staff be appointed and the same be given effect to on Government signifying their approval thereof" and respectfully request that Government will be pleased to accord their sanction to the scheme now proposed as it is in conformity with ⊗ G.O. dated 4th January 1897 and the recommendations of

⊗ This not in conformity with the G.O. The popn of Kumbakonam is 54307.

Flag E

No.

of the Sanitary Commissioner, and as it best fits the local circumstances:—

| Kurb

Rs 54807 by [illegible] Karez—

Staff

One Chief Sanitary Inspector on Rs50+15.
One Asst. Do. on Rs25+15
Two Do. Sanitary Inspectors on Rs20+15 each.
Five Conservancy Maistries on Rs15 each.
Two Do. Do. on Rs12 "
Eight Do. Peons on Rs6 "

The Sanitary Commissioner's Scheme costs Rs315 monthly and the one recommended by the Council in the above resolution Rs322 or Rs7 in excess. In the opinion of this Council in which I entirely concur, the one now recommended is about the best for this town.

⊗ 217 - as per San Com report
+ 98 - for [illegible]
315

The Nuisance Inspectors who were till now attending to Sanitation had miscellaneous other duties to perform among which the following may be mentioned as more important than the rest:—

(1) Collection of Private Scavenging fees, all license fees and taxes on Carts, Vehicles and animals.

(2) Reporting on all sorts of petitions presented to the Council.

(3) Reporting on encroachments.

(4) Reporting births and deaths.

(5)

(5) Carrying out resolutions of the Council in regard to encroachments.

In the matter of extending Private Scavenging and of maintaining the houses on the System, their duties are very arduous. They have to visit almost every house under the System to satisfy themselves that the tatties had done their work and if the privy had not been cleaned to arrange for its being cleaned. They have to inspect back yards and issue notices to people who keep their premises in an insanitary condition and when the notices are disobeyed they have to prosecute the offenders before the Bench of Magistrates. The Council thinks that all these miscellaneous duties cannot be adequately attended to by four men assisted only by 15 menial servants in a town which extends to about 3½ miles from East to West and to about 3 miles from North to South. The four Sanitary Inspectors to be appointed will be left solely in charge of Sanitation without having anything to do with Collections which work will be entrusted to the Maistries. The Private Scavenging

System will also be left in the hands of the Mistries and they alone will be held responsible for its proper working. The reporting on petitions of a purely Sanitary nature will of course devolve on the trained Sanitary Inspectors while other petitions will be reported on by the Mistries.

3 The Mistries in charge of the bigger divisions will be paid Rs 15 and those in charge of small divisions will get Rs 12 each. A Conservancy peon on Rs 6 is also provided for each division to carry out the orders of the trained Sanitary Inspectors. The reporting of births and deaths will be done by the Mistries.

Two of the Nuisance Inspectors on the existing staff have been ordered to join the Medical College to undergo training in the Sanitary Inspectors class from January next. The services of some others would be dispensed with retaining only such as are fit to continue.

4 I beg to add that the scheme now sent up for sanction is the result of mature deliberation and I request that Government will be pleased to sanction the same.

In the matter of appointing the Sanitary Inspectors, the Sanitary Commissioner would always be consulted as laid down in G. O. No 4 M dated 4th January 1877.

6 The proposals of the Sanitary Commissioner in regard to the staff of peons and sweepers required for this municipality will be carefully considered in connection with the budget for the ensuing year.

7 Copies of all the resolutions passed by the Council in connection with the G. O. under reference are enclosed.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obedt. Servant

S. Sivasankara Aiyar

Chairman

Despd

V.S.

Proceedings of the Special Meeting of the Municipal Council, Kumbakonam, held on the 25th November 1897.

Present

M.R.Ry. T. S. Sivasamy Odayar Avergal, Chairman.
Mahammad Meeran Baber Sahib.
M.R.Ry. T. Vyapuri Mudaliar " Avergal.
" T. S. Sivanadimudu Odayar "
" N. Kistnasamy Chettiar "
" M. C. Dorasamy Chettiar "
" M. C. Amirthalinga Chettiar "
" C. R. Jagannada Chettiar "
" N. Kistnasamy Iyengar " B.A. B.L.

× × ×

3. Read G. O. No. $\frac{2397\ \text{Mu (Muni)}}{1.\ 11.\ 97}$ regarding the revision of the Conservancy Establishment.

The Council unanimously resolves that the following staff be appointed and the same be given effect to on Government signifying their approval thereof and respectfully requests that Government will be pleased to accord their sanction to the scheme now proposed as it is in conformity with G. O. No. 4 Mu. dated 4th January 1897 and the recommendations of the Sanitary Commissioner and as it best fits local circumstances:—

1. Chief Sanitary Inspector on Rs35+15.
1. Asst. Do. on Rs25+15
2 Do. Sanitary Inspectors on Rs20+15 each.
5. Conservancy Maistries on Rs15 each.
2. Do. Do. on Rs12 "
8. Do. Peons on Rs6. "

4. Resolved also that an application for the necessary allotment of funds viz Rs770.- be made to Government.

5. Resolved that Government be informed that the other suggestions regarding the ministerial staff of the Conservancy Establishment will be duly considered at the ensuing budget.

(S) T. S. Sivasamy Odayar
Chairman

(True Copy)

T. S. Sivasamy Odyr
Chairman

Ind
T.V.

1. / 41 [illegible] 1898

I.

Application for allotment of Funds required by the Municipal Council of Kumbakonam.

Particular work or purpose.	Major and minor heads in the budget.	Amount provided for the work or purpose in the budget.	Amount subsequently allotted or transferred.	Amount now required, and the source from which it is to be met.	Necessity for the allotment.	Reference to previous correspondence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Cost of reorganization of the superior Conservancy staff of this Mun[illegible]ality as ordered in [illegible]. O. No 2397 Mis d[illegible]ted 1st November [illegible]897.	Grant III. 5. Conservancy &c (a) (i) Establishment.	2370	"	770	Vide Letter accompanying To be met from the general ~~savings~~ balance - Closing Balance Rs 6060 2770 3290	"

N.B. A sum of Rs 2770 - " - has been drawn from the balance on account of the allotments made

T. S. Sivasamy Odyr
Chairman, Municipal Council.

30. 11. 97

2
no of 1898

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

Order sanctioning present Establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.								Proposition.						Grounds of Proposition.	Recommendation of the Council and orders of Government.
			Present Scale				Proposed scale.				anent.		Temporary.					
					Pay.				Pay.			Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
No.	Date.		No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Maximum.	No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Maximum.	Amount	Amount	Period.	Amount	Period.	Amount		
					Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
			(a)		Grant	III		G. O. Commencing										
			1	Sanitary Inspector	35													
			1	Do.	25												(a) The G. O. sanctioning the Budgets for 1897-98 was received on the	
			4	Nuisance Inspectors or watchers	80													
			2	Do. @ 17½	35													
			1	Do.	10													

2397 [illegible] (Rev.) dated 1-11-97.
The present number [illegible] posals
are submitted. For
the 1st two [illegible] months
the Council allowed
the scheme [illegible] 96-97
for the next [illegible] months the
scheme was [illegible] sed for
Govt. sanction [illegible] in their
office letter No. 402 / 27.5.97
For the last 3 months, the
present scheme. Apparently
the allotment [illegible] is a high
figure. But there are
savings from the dis-
entertainment of certain
staff, from fines & from
other sources, all of which
will, it is believed, more
than cover the extra allot-
ment now sought under
Grant III alone.

S. Siwasamy Aiyar

Chairman.

Municipal Councillors' Office
Kumbakonam 30. 11. 1897.

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITIO R REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

Order sanctioning present Establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.								PROPOSITION.						Grounds of Proposition.	Recommendation of the Council and orders of Government.
			Present Scale				Proposed scale.				manent.		Temporary.					
					Pay.				Pay.		ase n.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
No.	Date.		No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Maximum.	No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Maximum.	nt	Amount	Period.	Amount	Period.	Amount		
					Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.		
G. O. No. 330 L.	25th February 1896.	Municipality Conservancy		Grant		111		[illegible] Conservancy year									(a) The G. O. Sanctioning the Budgets for 1897-98 was received on the 2nd April 97 in transcripts which did not Contain the Sanitary Commissioner's views on the Sanitary Staff of this Municipality. The revised Copy was received only on the 7th May 1897. In Consequence, the Conservancy Staff of the previous year Continued to be entertained for April & May of the Current financial year.	
			(a) 1	Sanitary Inspector	35.													
			1	Do.	25.													
			4	Nuisance Inspectors at Rs 20.	80													
			2	Do. Rs 17½.	35													
			1	Do. Inspector	15.													
			9	Peons at Rs 6.	54													
			15	Latrine totis at Rs 6	90													
			15	Do. Rs 5	75.													
			2	Trenchers at Rs 7	14.													
			4	River bank totis at Rs 4	16.													
			2	Rubbish Depot watchmen at Rs 5.	10.													
			40	Sweepers at Rs 6.	240													
			25	Street totis at Rs 3	75.													
			(b) 1	Chief Sanitary Inspector	35+15.		1	Chief Sanitary Inspector	50+15.	„		„					(b) On the 15th May the Council resolved to revise the staff according to G. O. The revised scheme was submitted to Govt in this office letter No. 402 dated 29th May 97. but Govt not approving of the same vide G. O. No.	
			2	Sanitary Inspectors at Rs 25.	50		1	Asst. Do.	25+15	„		10						
			3	Nuisance Inspectors at Rs 20	60.		2	Do. Do. Inspectors	20+15.	„		„						
							5	Conservancy maistries at Rs 15	75.	„		„						
			2	Do. Rs 17½	35.		2	Do. Rs 12	24	„		„						
			8	Peons at Rs 6	48.		8	Peons at Rs 6	48.	„		„						
			10	Latrine totis at Rs 9.	90		10	Latrine totis at Rs 7.	70	„		„						
			15	Do. Rs 6	90.		15	Do. Rs 6.	90	„		„						
			2	Trenchers at Rs 7.	14		2	Trenchers at Rs 7	14	„		„						
			4	River bank totis at Rs 4	16.		4	River bank totis at Rs 4	16	„		„						
			2	Rubbish Depot watchmen at Rs 5	10		2	Rubbish Depot watchmen at Rs 5	10	„		„						
			35	Sweepers at Rs 6.	210.		35	Sweepers at Rs 6.	210	„		„						
			30	Street totis at Rs 3.	90.		30	Street totis at Rs 3.	90.	„		„						

en for copying
copied
examined } 189