8/3/12
9-9-16

[L. & M.
2,000—13-6-98.]

Local & Munl. (1898) Departm

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1394 M

DATED 9-8-98.

[illegible]

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Voters lists; Mannargudi Municipality.

Stating, with remarks, that the present —— must be acted upon until fresh lists are prepared under the revised election rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cuo 3	257 M/98	(Ex		1994 Mis/98)			

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1988 M

UNDER SECY. TO GOVT.
11 JUN 98

From The Collector of Tanjore

Order

Dis No. 2260/Rev of 98

Dated 30th May 1898

Received DESPATCHED 10 JUN. 1898

Registered

Enclosures Three

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Reporting for such orders as the Government may deem fit to pass in the matter, the neglect made by the Mannargudi Municipal Council in disposing of the objections taken to the entry of some names in the list of voters

K.R. 16/6/98 21/6.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	2153	L. M.	2-10-97
	1876	do	8-11-90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

TANJORE, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

No. 2260/Rev of 98 CAMP, 30th May 1898.

FROM
J. Twigg ESQUIRE,
Ag. COLLECTOR,
TANJORE,

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1988 M

TO
The SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government for such orders as it may see fit to pass that precisely the same state of things has occurred in connection with the preparation of voters' lists in Mannargudi Municipality as was reported last year in this office letter Ref. on. Cur. No. 1040/Eng of 97 dated 16th June 1897.

Flag A
2153 L
2-10-97

2. The list of voters was published on 26th March 1898 and an objection was put in on 11th April 98 calling in question the qualifications of some 92 persons on the list. With this heavy

Under Rule 7 of the rules for the Conduct of Elections by the town as a whole
Vide Rule 8 "

list

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	2153	L&M	2-10-97
.	1876	L&M	8 Nov 90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

TANJORE, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

No. 2260/Rev of 98 CAMP, 30th May 1898.

FROM

J. Twigg ESQUIRE,
Ag. COLLECTOR,
TANJORE,

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1988 M

TO

The SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government for such orders as it may see fit to pass that precisely the same state of things has occurred in connection with the preparation of voters' lists in Mannargudi Municipality as was reported last year in this office letter Ref. on. Cur. No. 1040/Eng of 97 dated 16th June 1897.

Hog A
2153 L&M
2-10-97.

2. The list of voters was published on 26th March 1898 On [illegible] objection was put in on 11th Ap[illegible] 98 Collina[illegible] question the qualification of [illegible] persons on the list. With this [illegible] 598 list [illegible]

under Rule 7 of the rules for the Conduct of Elections by the town as a whole

Vide Rule 8 "

list of objections and the lessons of last year before him. it was obviously the Duty of the Chairman (the Revenue Officer in Charge of the Division) to Call a meeting at the earliest possible date. and to attend it himself. Instead of this, the meeting was called for the 29th April 1898, at the very limit of the time allowed, and was unattended by the Chairman. The Council made no attempt to dispose of the objections, but appointed a Sub Committee to prepare a report on the matter for the Collector who was to be asked to act thereon under Rule 11 of the Election rules.

This was quite out of order- The Council sh'd have disposed of the obj ns ~~rep~~ itself -

3. The rules, I submit. do not Contemplate that Councils should thus shift their responsibilities and in Some of ances to the Collector. but ho — that may be, the period which the Collector is em- red to act under Rule 11, has ow expired and no report has been

no

received from the Council. The result is that for the second time a list of voters has come into force without the objections to it being heard and adjudicated upon.

4 Last year the Government did not Consider that a case for its intervention was made out- (G. O. No. 2153. M. Mis: dated 2nd October 1897.) but this is a repeated case of neglect of duty, after warning.

5 I should mention that in this instance the Chairman did bring to the Collector's notice in a letter dated 2nd May 1898 what the Council had done, suggesting the cancellation of its resolution of the 29th April. I did not approve of the Council's action. but it was then too late for the Council to dispose of the objections itself. and as I did not think it incumbent on me to institute an enquiry on the Revenue Side into the qualifications of the persons objected to, I decided to simply await the promised report.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,
Inlivagnanam Pill'' 5 v 98
Trag: Collector

list of objections and the lessons of last year before him. it was obviously the duty of the Chairman (the Revenue Officer in Charge of the Division) to call a meeting at the earliest possible date, and to attend it himself. Instead of this, the meeting was called for the 29th April 1898, at the very limit of the time allowed, and was unattended by the Chairman. The Council made no attempt to dispose of the objections, but appointed a Sub Committee to prepare a report on the matter for the Collector who was to be asked to act thereon under Rule 11 of the Election rules.

This was quite out of order – The Council shd have disposed of the objns ~~pet~~ itself –

3. The rules, I submit, do not contemplate that Councils should thus shift their responsibilities and in some of [illegible] to the Collector, but ho[illegible] that may be, the period [illegible] which the Collector is em[illegible]red to act under Rule 11, has [illegible]ow expired and no report has been received from the Council. The result is that for the second time a list of voters has come into force without the objection to it being heard and adjudicated upon.

no

4 Last year the Government did not consider that a case for its intervention was made out – (G. O. No. 2153. M. Mis: dated 2nd October 1897.) but this is a repeated case of neglect of duty after warning.

5 I should mention that in this instance the Chairman did bring to the Collector's notice in a letter dated 2nd May 1898 what the Council had done, suggesting the cancellation of its resolution of the 29th April. I did not approve of the Council's action, but it was then too late for the Council to dispose of the objections itself and as I did not think it incumbent on me to institute an enquiry on the Revenue Side into the qualifications of the persons objected to, I decided to simpl[illegible] await the promised report.

I have th[illegible] our to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
[illegible] Collision

Department.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

TANJORE,

To

THE SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

Dated
Despatched
Received

188 .

No.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

No. 73.

Municipal Office.
Mannargudi, 2nd May 98

From The Chairman,
Municipal Council.
Mannargudi

To The Collector of
Tanjore.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the enclosed resolution of the Municipal Council, Mannargudi, as I find it objectionable, not being authorised by the rules.

2. Rule No 9 of the rules for the preparation and disposal of voters' list lays down that the Council should hear objections on the voters' list and decide at the meeting held for the occasion. Instead of doing so, the Council resolved to refer the matter to a Sub Committee. I think the Council had no power to do it. They ought to have passed a final decision

decision in the matter. The only application objecting to the list was that of M. R. Ry. S. Venkataramana Aier, one of the Councillors. The period fixed for the Council to pass final orders on the list having expired, I doubt very much if the Council can in any way act in the matter hereafter. I think the resolution has to be cancelled.

3. The objection letter of Mr. S. Venkatarama Aiyar is herewith forwarded for orders. Similar omission was pointed out to the Council last year, but to no purpose. I think all that can be done at present seems to be that the objection may be disposed of by you under Rule 11.

I have &c
(Sd.) T. V. Ramachandra Rao
Dy. Collector in Charge

(True Copy)

[illegible] Pillai 5-6-98
Hd. Collector

1/
588 M/98

m 10.

---

meeting 11.4.98

7-4-98

From [illegible]
Municipal Councillor
Mannargudi

To
The Deputy Collector
in charge of the
Municipal Office
Mannargudi

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will please place this letter before the Council for the removal of the undermentioned names from the voters list as they are not eligible under the Election Rules

	Jaina Street		[illegible] Sannidhi
		× 19.	Nattukottai Kotham
8.	Appandakudthiar	× 21	Gopala Pillai [illegible]
	[illegible]		[illegible] Street
	Sree [illegible] Mudaliar	× 41.	Subbaraya Pillai
	Valakara Street		Gopalasamudram [illegible]
13.	Muthia Sami Konar	✓ 50.	Venugopal ~~Pillai~~ Aiyar
	Ramanatha Pillai 86		Gopalasamudram [illegible]
15.	S. Ramasami Pillai	54.	Ayyaraya Iyengar
	E. Annasami	56.	Krishnama achari

30
11.4.28

Proposed by M.R.Ry. Sadagopa Mudaliar Avl
and seconded by M.R.Ry. Subbarayar Avl
and carried unanimously that the
undermentioned Councillors should
report upon the eligibility of the
persons mentioned in the objection
list within a week, that such
report should be placed before
the Council in its next meeting
and that the Collector should be addressed ~~persons mentioned~~
~~in the resolution~~ who may be
declared eligible at that meeting
29/4

1 M.R.Ry. Venkataramadyar Avl
2 " Vythenatha Pillay Avl
3 " Ranganatha Pillay Avl.

2/1988 M95

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Mannargudi dated 29th April 1898.

Present.

M.R.Ry. R. Rajappaier Avargal Presiding
" V. S. Subbarayar " Councillor Councillors
" S. Venkataramaier " "
" Ramadas Aier " "
" Sadagopa Mudaliar " "
" Ranganatha Pillai " "
" Vaithinatha Pillai " "
" Arumugam Pillai " "
" Singaravelu Odayar " "
Revd. H. T. Lazenby. "

4. Read letter from Mr. S. Venkataramana Aiyar objecting to the inclusion of certain numbers in the Voters and Councillors' lists for 1898-99 as they are not qualified.

Proposed by Mr. Sadagopa Mudaliar and Seconded by Mr. Subbarayar and Carried unanimously that the undermentioned Councillors should report

report upon the eligibility of the persons mentioned in the objection lists within a week, that such report should be placed before the Council in its next meeting and that the Collector be addressed to include the persons who may be declared eligible at that meeting.

1. M. R. Ry. Venkataramaiers
2. " Vythinatha Pillai Avl.
3. " Ranganatha Pillai "

(Sd.) R. Rajappaier
Presiding Councillor
(True Extract)

[illegible] Pillai
Tanj. Collector
5-6-98

3
1988 M/98

Preparation of voters lists – [illegible]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

[L. & M.]
300 -16-2-98.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Current No. 1988 M of 1898, dated 30th May 98., from the Collector of Tanjore
" " " 189 , " , "
" " " 189 , " , "

The Chairman must be called upon for explanation. See Coll's para 3 [illegible] 28/5/98

Please see revised draft [illegible]. 31/5/98

Issued in G.O. 1876 M / 8-12-90

W

* For a precedent, please see G.O. 2153 M / 2-10-97 A

W

ORDER.

The neglect of the municipal council to dispose of the objections to the voters' lists at meeting of the 29th April 1898 an the failure of the Sub-Committee to submit its report in time for the Collector to make the necessary corrections in the lists under no. 11 of the election rules, are extremely reprehensible. As, however, these irregularities cannot invalidate the lists, which were prepared and published in accordance with the election rules and which by the operation of law came* into [illegible] on the expiry of the period mentioned in rule no. 12, they must be acted upon until fresh lists

30

report upon the eligibility of the persons mentioned in the objection lists within a week. That such report should be placed before the Council in its next meeting and that the Collector be addressed to include the persons who may be declared eligible at that meeting.

1. M. R. Ry. Venkataramaier
2. " Vythinatha Pillai Avl.
3. " Ranganatha Pillai "

(Sd.) R. Rajappaier
Presiding Councillor

(True Extract)

Thirugnanasam Pillai 1-6-98
for Collector

Sm—

H,

3
1988 M/98

Preparation of voters lists – Mannargu

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

[L. & M.]
300 -16-2-98.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Current No. 1988 M of 1898, dated 30th May 98, from the Collector of Tanjore
" " " 189 , " " "
" " " 189 , " " "

The Chairman must be called upon to explain. See Coll's para 3 of lr. 28/5/98

Revise

Please see revised draft put up. — H 3/6/98

issued in G.O. 1876 M / 8-12-90

× For a precedent, please see G.O. 2153 M / 2-10-97 A

W

W

ORDER.

The neglect of the municipal council to dispose of the objections to the voters' lists at meeting of the 29th April 1898 an the failure of the Sub-Committee to submit its report in time for the Collector to make the necessary corrections in the lists under rule no 11 of the election rules, are extremely reprehensible. As, however, these irregularities cannot invalidate the lists, which were prepared and published in accordance with t[illegible]e election rules and which by t[illegible]e operation of law came × into force on the expiry of the period mentioned in rule no 12, they must be acted upon until fresh lists are prepared under the revised election© rules, which will issue shortly.

3. 2. The attention of the municipal council is, however, drawn to G.O. No. 1046 M, dated 11th August 1893, and the council is warned that if the irregularities now brought to light are repeated, the Government will not hesitate to withdraw from it in whole or in part the elective privilege.

V.R.
26/7/98

© Note. The suggestions and objections made in respect of these rules which were published for criticism are being noted on in the office

2. The Collector is requested to call upon the Chairman concerned to explain why, with such a heavy list of objections and the lessons of the previous year before him, he neglected to call a meeting of the Council at the earliest possible date but convened it only on the 29th April 1898 — the very limit of time allowed by the rules and failed to attend the meeting himself —

Ih

To
The Chairman M.C. Mannargudi,
through the Collector of Tanjore.

Preparation of Voters lists - Mannargudi Municipality

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

[L. & M.]
300-16-2-98.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Current No. 1988 M of 1898, dated 30th May 1898, from the Collector of Tanjore.
" " " 189 , " ,"
" " " 189 , " ,"

ued in G.O. 1878 M / 8.12.90 W

Mis

ORDER.

No 1394 Mis 9/8/98

The neglect of the Muni-
cipal Council to dispose of
the objections to the voters'
lists at its meeting of the
29th April 1898 and the failu
of the Sub-committee to sub-
mit its report in time for
the Collector to make the
necessary corrections in the
lists under No 11 of the electi
rules, are extremely reprehe
sible. As, however, these irre-
gularities cannot invalida
the lists, which were prepar
and published in accorda
with the election rules an
which by the operation of
law came into force on the
expiry of the period men

× For a precedent, please see
G.O. 2153 M / 2.10.97 A

tioned in rule No. 12, they must be acted upon until fresh lists are prepared under the revised election rules,® which will issue shortly.

2. The Collector is requested to call upon the Chairman concerned to explain why, with such a heavy list of objections and the lessons of the previous year before him, he neglected to call a meeting of the Council at the earliest possible date, but convened it only on the 29th April 1898, the very limit of time allowed by the rules, and failed to attend the meeting himself.

3. The attention of the Municipal Council is drawn to G.O. No. 1046 M. dated 11th August 1893, and it is warned that if the irregularities now brought to light are repeated, the Government will not hesitate to withdraw from it in whole or in part the elective privilege.

V.R.
5/8/98

H.T.
6 8 98

® Note. The suggestions and objections made in respect of these rules, which were published for criticism are being noted on in the office.

To
The Chairman, M.C., Mannargudi.
" Collector of Tanjore.

Despd. C.O. 8/98

LHO

BO

11/9/8/98

n for copying
ied
mined
ed 9/8
189 .

G.O, No. , DATED

C. No.

ERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

VERNMENT.

DITTO.

UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
INAL.

= Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.

tioned in rule No. 12, they must be acted upon until fresh lists are prepared under the revised election rules,⊗ which will issue shortly.

2. The Collector — is requested to call upon the Chairman concerned to explain why, with such a heavy list of objections and the lessons of the previous year before him, he neglected to call a meeting of the Council at the earliest possible date, but convened it only on the 29th April 1898, the very limit of time allowed by the rules, and failed to attend the meeting himself.

3. The attention of the Municipal Council is drawn to G.O. No. 1046 M. dated 11th August 1893, and — it — is warned that if the irregularities now brought to light are repeated, the Government will not hesitate to withdraw from it in whole or in part the elective privilege.

V.R.
5/8/98

H.T.
6/8/98.

W

⊗ Note. The suggestions and objections made in respect of these rules, which were published for criticism, are being noted on in the office.

P

To
The Chairman, M.C., Mannargudi.
" Collector of Tanjore.

L+O

Despd. CO/8/98 BO

11/8/98

---

en for copying
ied
mined
ned 9/8
189 .

nt.

G.O, No. , DATED

o C. No.

VERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

VERNMENT.

DITTO.

D UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
GINAL.

= Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.

are prepared under the revised election ⓧ rules, which will issue shortly.

3. 2. The attention of the municipal council is, however, drawn to G.O. No 1046 M, dated 11th August 1893, and the council is warned that if the irregularities now brought to light are repeated, the Government will not hesitate to withdraw from it in whole or in part the elective privilege.

V.R.
26/7/98

ⓧ Note. The suggestions and objections made in respect of these rules, which were published for criticism, are being noted on in the office

2. The Collector is requested to call upon the Chairman Concerned to explain ~~why~~, with such a heavy list of objections and the lessons of the previous year before him he neglected to call a meeting of the Council at the Earliest possible date but Convened it only on the 29th april 1898 — the very limit of time allowed by the rules, and failed to attend the meeting himself —

P

Ih

To
The Chairman M. C. Mannargudi
through the Collector of Tanjore

---

tioned in rule No. 12, they must be acted upon until fresh lists are prepared under the revised election ⓧ rules, which will issue shortly.

2. The Collector — is requested to call upon the Chairman Concerned to explain why, with such a heavy list of objections and the lessons of the previous year before him, he neglected to call a meeting of the Coun-
il at the earliest possible date, but convened it only
n the 29th April 1898, the very
imit of time allowed by
he rules, and failed to at-
end the meeting himself.

3. The attention of the Mu-
ipal Council is drawn to
.O. No. 1046 M. dated 11th August
and — it — is warned
at if the irregularities now
ought to light are repeated,
e Government will not
sitate to withdraw from it
whole or in part the elective
ivilege.

R
8/98

H.T.
6/8/98

W

ⓧ Note. The suggestions and objections made in respect of these rules, which were published for criticism, are being noted on in the office.

P

L.H.O.

To
The Chairman, M.C., Mannargudi.
" Collector of Tanjore.

Despd 10/8/98