[L. & M. / 2,000—13 -98.]

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1381 M

DATED 4-8-98.

DESPATCHED

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Conservancy Establishment – Approving with remarks the proposals of the Sanitary Commissioner regarding the revision of the conservancy L— in the Dindigul municipality.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mis 2727 M/98							

LR [L. & M. 600-16-2-98.]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., No. 580 M. dated 15-3-1898,
Again G.O., No. 878 M. dated 28-4-1898,
Current No. 1576 M of 1898, dated 29-May 1898, from the Ch. M. C. Dindigul
" " , 189 , " , "
" " , 189 , " , "

Mis. **ORDER.** No. 1381 Mis. d/ 4/8/98

The proposals of the ~~Chairman, which are more in accordance with the recommendations of the~~ Sanitary Commissioner are approved but the number of Sweepers sh. be reduced to 12, and five peons sh.d be abolished. A revised proposition Statement and an application for the required allotment of funds should be submitted for the sanction of Govt

V. R.
28/7/98

W. T.
28 " "

To the Chairman M. C. Dindigul
" " Sanitary Commissioner Mis' Collr. Madura.

Cop. 6/8/98

P. 40

of the ...

UR

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1976 M

1524 3 Cm 8

31 MAY 1898

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 2 JUN 1898 MADURA

From C. Mengel Esquire
Chairman
Municipal Council
Dindigul

UNDER SECY TO GOVT 10 JUN 98

No. 75.

Order

Current No. 1615 Genl of 1898
2-6-98

N Dis $\frac{1524\ 8C}{31/5/98}$ Om

1. Submitted.

2 The ward heads whom the Council by a majority insisted on retaining are quite useless

L. E. Moore
Ag. Sub Colr
31-5-98.

N Dis No 1615 Genl of 1898 Enc 1

Submitted

W. D. Rowbottle
Ag Collector
7.6.98

Om
2-6-98

DESPATCHED
8 JUN. 98

Dated 29th May 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures one.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting report called for in G. O. No. 818 Mis: dated 28th April 1898, regarding the revision of Conservancy Establishment of the Dindigul Municipality

Mis 1816.

The portion of ~~the~~ ... ~~about the~~ road ... re- ~~... with the~~ recommendation ~~of the~~ Sanitary Commissioner ... revised proposals estimates and an application for the required allotment of funds should be submitted for the sanction of Govt

---

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1976 M

1524 8C 8

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 1 JUN 1898 MADURA

From C. Mengel Esquire
Chairman
Municipal Council
Dindigul

UNDER SECY TO GOVT 10 JUN 98

No. 75.

Dated 29th May 1898
Received
Registered
Enclosures one.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting report called for in G. O. No. 818 M. Mis: dated 28th April 1898, regarding the revision of Conservance ... of the Dindigul ... to the ... an ... 1816.

Order

Current No. 1615 Genl of 1898
2-6-98

N Dis 1524 8C / 31/5/98 Ovm

1. Submitted.

2. The ward ... when the Council by a majority insisted on retaining are quite useless.

L. Moore
Ag Sub Collr
31-5-98.

R Dis No 1615 Genl of 1898
Submitted

Ag Collector
7.6.98

Ovm
2-6-98

DESPATCHED
8 JUN. 98

UR

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1976 M

1524 3 Cm 8

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 2 JUN 1898 MADURA

31 MAY 1898

From J. Mengel Esquire
Chairman
Municipal Council
Dindigul

UNDER SECY. TO GOVT. 10 JUN 98

No. 75.

Order

Current No. 1615 Genl of 1898
Date 2-6-98

N Dis 1524 8 C Om / 31/5/98

1. Submitted.

2. The ward items which the Council by a majority insisted on retaining are quite useless

L. G. Moore
Ag. Sub Collr.

31-5-98.

N Dis No. 1615 Genl of 1898

Submitted

J. D. Forbes Ag. Collector
7.6.98

Om
2-6-98

DESPATCHED
8 JUN. 98

Dated 29th May 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures one.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting report called for in G. O. No: 818 Mis: dated 28th April 1898, regarding the revision of Conservancy Establishment of the Dindigul Muny. to the — an and

1816.

ner and

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
fo	818	Mis	28-4-98
„	580	Mis	15-3-98
„	248	M	27-1-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

5.

Enclo: No: 1 to letter No: 75

Extract from the Proceedings of the Dindigul Municipal Council passed at its Special meeting held on the 28th May 1898 at 7.30 A.M.

Present:-

G. Mengel Esquire. Chairman

L. G. Moore Esquire. Councillor

J. W. Short Esquire. do.

M.R.Ry. S. P. Muthu Naicker Avl. - do.

„ M. Arockyam Pillay Avl. - do.

„ T. S. Motharkhan Rowther Avl. - do.

„ N. Ramasamy Chettiar Avl. - do.

„ V. S. Pichaimoithen Rowther Avl. - do.

„ A. Athmanandier Avl. - do.

„ K. Ananthanarayana Iyer Avl. - do.

I. Read G. O. No: 818 Mis:, d der to the 28th April 1898 re question an ad ner [illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Lo	818	Lcl	28-4-9
"	580	Lcl	15-3-9
"	248	M	27-1-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclo: No: 1 to letter No: 75

Extract from the Proceedings of the Dindigul Municipal Council passed at its Special meeting held on the 28th May 1898 at 7.30 A.M.

Present:-

G. Mengel Esquire. Chairman
L. G. Moore Esquire. Councillor
J. W. Short Esquire. do.
M.R.Ry S. P. Muthu Naiker Al. do.
" M. Arockyam Pillay Al. do.
" T. S. Motharkoon Routher Al. do.
" N. Ramasamy Chettiar Al. do.
" V. S. Pichaimuthu Routher Al. do.
" A. Atmanandier Al. do.
" K. Ananthanarayana Iyer Al. do.

I. Read G. O. No: 818 Lo. Mis:, d[illegible] [illegible] to the 28th April 1898 re question [illegible] man and [illegible] ner and

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Lo	818	Loc	28-4-9
„	580	Loc	15-3-9
„	248	Lo	27-1-9

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclo: No: 1 to letter No: 75

Extract from the Proceedings of the Dindigul Municipal Council passed at its Special meeting held on the 28th May 1898 at 7.30 A.M.

Present:-

G. Mengel Esquire. Chairman

L. G. Moore Esquire. Councillor

J. W. Short Esquire. do.

M.R.Ry S. P. Mutthu Naiker Al. do.

„ M. Arockyam Pillay Al. do.

„ T. S. Mothorkasa Rowther Al. do.

„ N. Ramasamy Chettiar Al. do.

„ V. S. Pichaimoithen Rowther Al. do.

„ A. Athmanandier Al. do.

„ K. Ananthan [illegible] mudyer Al. do.

I. Read G. O. No: 818 Re Mis:, dd 28th April 1898 re questi

Council to reconsider the revision of Sanitary Establishment with reference to the remarks of the Chairman and the Sanitary Commissioner.

The Council resolves that
1 certificated Sanitary Inspector be engaged on Rs 35+15.
2 Maistries @ Rs 10-
6 Peons @ Rs 6-
1 Toty @ Rs 3-
carried by 6 against 4.

Those in favour of the proposition.

Messrs: Muttu Naik
" Arockyam Pillai
" Mottuskood Rowther
" Ramasamy Chetty
" Athmanadier
" Ananthanarayana Iyer

Messrs:
" L. G. Moore
" G. Mengel
" Pichaimoithen Rowther

dissent as they consider that the peons who are utterly useless should be dispensed with.

(Signed) G. Mengel.
Chairman

(True Extract)

Chairman

Manager
29/5/98

Dindigul, Municipal office
29th May 1898.

No: 75

From,

G. Mengel Esquire

Chairman, Municipal Council,

Dindigul

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1976 M

To,

The Secretary

to Government

Local & Municipal Department

Fort Saint George

(Through the Sub. Collector of Madura)

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No: 818 M: Mis:, dated the 28th April 1898, the question of the proposed reform in the Conservancy department as proposed by the Sanitary Commissioner, came up again, in accordance with the reference to the above G.O. before the Co[illegible] and [illegible] oners had on the 28th Instant. The Council refused to reconsider their previous resolution except as regards the pay of the Certificated Sanitary Inspector which is increased from Rs 25 to Rs 35. The recommendations of the Chairman were outvoted by the usual party as can be seen by copy of the resolution enclosed.

2. These Councillors were unable to give any intelligent reason for retaining the ward peons in question and the inference is that the ward peons, instead of doing their legitimate work (which they do not do) wait upon

the Councillors in question.

3. At any rate, the peons are of no use whatever and it is only waste of public money to retain them. I therefore request that Government will be pleased to sanction, either the proposal of the Sanitary Commissioner in extenso, which is a very good one, or the modified proposal of the Chairman in his letter No: $\frac{515}{22\text{-}3\text{-}98}$ and direct that 5 of the 6 Peons be discontinued with the inauguration of the new reform.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

Judge to

K. Ch

on the 28th Instant. The Council refused to reconsider their previous resolution except as regards the pay of the Certificated Sanitary Inspector which is increased from Rs 25 to Rs 35. The recommendations of the Chairman were outvoted by the usual party as can be seen by copy of the resolution enclosed.

2. These Councillors were unable to give any intelligent reason for retaining the ward peons in question and the inference is that the ward peons, instead of doing their legitimate work (...h they do not do) wait upon the Councillors in question.

3. At any rate, the peons are of no use whatever and it is only waste of public money to retain them. I therefore request that Government will be pleased to sanction, either the proposal of the Sanitary Commissioner in extenso, which is a very good one, or the modified proposal of the Chairman in his letter No: $\frac{515}{22\text{-}3\text{-}98}$ and direct that 5 of the 6 Peons be discontinued with the inauguration of the new reform.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedt. servt.

do) ma

J. Shst

5.

[G./25]
250—18-2-98.

Again for $\frac{580 \text{ M.}}{15\cdot3\cdot98}$ & $\frac{818 \text{ M.}}{28\cdot4\cdot98}$

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1976 M.

SUBJECT. Revision of the Conservancy establishment in the Dindigul Municipality.

FOR ORDERS.

Vide his letter disposed of in f.o. $\frac{580 \text{ M.}}{15\cdot3\cdot98}$ A

In his remarks on the Dindigul municipal budget for 1898-99, the Sanitary Commissioner suggested that the two existing Sanitary Inspectors on Rs 20 each should be replaced by a certificated man on Rs 35+15, that in lieu of the six maistries on an aggregate pay of Rs 37-8-0 two conservancy overseers on Rs 12 each should be entertained and that the services of a peon on Rs [illegible] should be placed at the disposal of the Sanitary Inspector. He was also of opinion that the number (19) of scavengers was not in proportion to the population to be served and should be increased [illegible] least eleven. The [illegible] to the [illegible] order No. 580 man and [illegible] oner had

G.
25
250—18-2-98.

Again fo. 580.L / 15.3.98 & 818.L / 28.4.98

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1976 L

SUBJECT. Revision of the Conservancy establishment in the Dindigul Municipality.

FOR ORDERS.

Vide his letter disposed of in fo. 580.L A / 15.3.98

In his remarks on the Dindigul Municipal budget for 1898-99, the Sanitary Commissioner suggested tha the two existing Sanitary Inspectors on Rs 20 each should be replaced by a certificated man on Rs 35+15, that in lieu of the six maistries on an aggregate pay of Rs 37-8-. two conservancy overseers on Rs 12 each should be entertained and that the services of a peon on Rs should be placed at the disposa of the Sanitary Inspector. He wa also of opinion that the numb (19) of scavengers was not in pr portion to the population to be ~~served~~ and should be increa [illegible] least eleven. Up to the [illegible] man and in our order No. 580 [illegible] ner had

do) ma

p. 2.

15th March 1898 referred these suggestions to the Municipal Council for careful consideration and early report. With reference to this order, the Municipal Council at its meeting held on the 21st March 1898 resolved to entertain the following establishment:—

Vide Resolution of Cl. embodied in G.O. $\frac{818 M B}{28-4-98}$

One certificated Sanitary Inspector on Rs 25+15.

Two conservancy overseers on Rs 10 each.

Five ward peons on Rs 6 each.

One peon on Rs 6 & one toti on Rs 3 to be at the disposal of the Sanitary Inspector and

One toti on the same pay to be given to each of the overseers.

The Chairman however in his letter forwarding this resolution pointed out t the establishment as revised y the Council would entail an dditional cost of Rs 27-8. per mensem at the salaries fixed by the Council r the Sanitary Inspector and con ancy overseers cannot attract class of men and that if f the five utterly useless pensed with (leaving

Clr 1224 M in G.O. $\frac{818}{28.4.98}$ B.

Para 4 of Ch's letter in Clr 1224 M B.

3.

Para 5 of Ch's letter in $\frac{818}{28.4.98}$ B.

one for the Sanitary Inspector) there would be a saving of Rs 30 of which Rs 10 would go to increase the pay of the Sanitary Inspector and Rs 4 to the two overseers.

As there was difference of opinion between the Council and the Chairman the papers were again referred to the Sanitary Commissioner for his remarks.

Forwarding Ch's letter. Clr 1224 M B

The Sanitary Commissioner to whom the papers were ~~received agreed referred for remarks~~ again in his letter No. 800 S. dated 5th April 1898 ~~agreed~~ with the Chairman in considering that the salaries fixed by the Council would not attract a good class of men and observed that in a town like Dindigul a certificated Sanitary Inspector on Rs 50+15 was necessary. He therefore advised the adoption of the scale suggested in his remarks on the budget for 1898-99 as fulfilling minimum requirements.

B.

In G.O. No. 818 M dated 28th April 1898, the Govt. then requested the Municipal Council to reconsider the matter with reference to the remarks of the Chairman and Sanitary Commissioner and the result at an early date. In his letter read above, the Chairman now reports that the Municipal Council sticks to its previous resolution, except as regards the pay of the Sanitary Inspector, which is increased from Rs 25 to Rs 35.

Cul. 1976 M

As the majority of the Municipal Councillors were unable to give any intelligent reason for retaining the ward peons, the Chairman draws the inference that the peons instead of attending to their legitimate work (which they do not do) wait upon the Councillors. He considers that the peons are of no use whatever and that it is a waste of money to retain them.

Para 2 of his letter

The Sub-Collector also agrees with the Chairman in this respect.

Vide Sub-Collr's endt on Cul 1976 M

The following statement shows in one view the existing establishment and the various proposals above referred to:—

Existing scale.	San. Commr's proposals.	Council's proposals.	Chairman's proposals
Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs
Sanitary Inspector on 40	1 Sanitary Inspr. on 35+15	1 Sanitary Inspr. on 25+15	1 Sanitary Inspr. on 25+15
Ward peon (maistry) 7-8	2 overseers on .. 24	2 Overseers on 20.	2 Overseers on 24
do peons on 30.	1 Peon 6	1 Peon 6	1 Peon .. 6
11. Scavengers .. .. 33.	11 Scavengers 33	5 Peons 30	14 Scavengers .. 42
do 16	8 do 16	14 Scavengers .. 42	8 do .. 16
Sweepers 81	11 do .. 33	8 do .. 16	18 Sweepers .. 81
	18 Sweepers 81	18 Sweepers 81	

pensed with (leaving

2 In these circumstances, it is submitted that the suggestions of the Chairman which are more in accordance with the Sanitary Commissioner's recommendation and which appear to be judicious may be approved. The accompanying draft order is submitted for approval.

V.R.
28/7/75

When given for copying
When copied 5-8-98 Gnt 189
When examined
When signed 5/8

READ AGAIN G.O, No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

B = Copy in full.
P = Copy the copied.
Q = Copy heading &c.