111

M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 228

(2) Date of repair 2. 12. 40

(3) Initials of the Referencer

5/12

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 988 M. Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 27. 5. 1898

Orig

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

Mis

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 988 M

DATED 27-5-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

ension of municipal limits.—

Requesting the Collector of Tinnevelly to e his opinion on the proposals of Government re- rding the extension of the municipal limits of Tinnevelly & Palamcottah.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Fn. 1839 dt. 13/7/99

Refer cur no 1594/8C of 1897.

Tinnevelly Collector's office.
Dated 13th November 1897.

2B

13

4026 M

From

A. W. B. Higgens Esquire,
Collector of Tinnevelly

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local and municipal Dept.,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following matter for the consideration of Government.

2. The present municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah lie at a distance of two miles from each other and are connected by a trunk road, with a bridge over the river Tambraparni, which runs midway between them. On this trunk road at a distance of about 3/4 of a mile from Tinnevelly and 1 1/4 of a mile from Palamcottah lies the Tinnevelly Railway station, the terminus of the Tinnevelly branch of the South Indian Railway.

i.e between

Th

officials and vakeels of standing. So far the plan

At a distance of 3/4 of a mile to the west of Tinnevelly but connected with it by trunk-road No. ~~VII~~ IX lies the large compact and populous village of Pettai. It is one of the chief centres of trade in Tinnevelly Taluq and nearly 50 p.c. of its inhabitants are Mahamedans. The Inam village of Pillaiyan Kattalai forms part of the same village-site. In an area of 1/3 of a square mile Pettai with Pillaiyan Kattalai possesses a population of 9000. It is a town of rising importance, as evidenced by the figures of its area and population, and is likely to have its trade considerably expanded by the opening of the Railway to Travancore.

About 3/4 of a mile to the south-west of Kokarakulam and about a mile to the west of Palamcottah lie the two villages of Keelaveeraraghavapuram and Melappalayam which form one compact block of habitations. More than 50 p.c. of the population are Mahamedans — mostly weavers, but with a fairly large

number of merchants and traders. These two villages are in area about 7/10 of a square mile and contain a population of 17000 according to the last census. The Palayam channel (an irrigation channel fed by the Tambaparni river) which is the source of water-supply to the western half of Palamcottah town, passes these populous villages and is also their chief source of water-supply. From ~~the~~ point where the channel enters Melapalayam ~~limits~~ upto the point where it leaves Keelaveeraraghavapuram village its right-bank is lined with Mahamedan houses and several Mahamedan streets open on to it and drain into it. Its water is not used for drinking purposes alone but for ~~halting~~ bathing and washing also ~~and~~ is thus subjected to much contamination. The sanitary condition of these densely peopled villages is therefore a matter of vital importance not only to their inhabitants but also to those of the present Palamcottah municipality.

3. All the localities now described are at present outside the municipal limits of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah and constitute

separate

Separate Unions as noted in the margin. The facts and figures furnished above clearly show that these localities are not insignificant suburbs but important centres of population and trade and form some of the mostly thickly peopled centres in this Presidency. Situated, as they are, in the immediate vicinity of two municipalities and lying, as some of them do, between those municipalities, they are places the sanitary and other requirements of which should be most carefully attended to. That these places (except perhaps Keelaveeraraghavapuram and Melapalayam as shown below*) should still be administered by Union Panchayets appears therefore to be an anomaly. Union Panchayets cannot with their limited resources provide these areas with that highly developed sanitary system which they require and which can be secured under the District Municipalities act. It seems therefore very desirable that these areas should be brought under the sphere of the District Municipalities act.

1. Melaveeraraghavapuram.
2. Pettai.
3. Keelaveeraraghavapuram

It must at the same time be observed that none of these localities taken by itself is large enough to constitute a municipality; nor can they be conveniently grouped together and formed into a municipality distinct from Tinnevelly and Palamcottah. If they are to be brought under the municipal act they must therefore be added to the existing municipal areas.

4. The question of the inclusion of one or other of these localities — specially Pettai and the neighbourhood of the Tinnevelly Railway Station — within municipal limit was first mooted in 1893. Various proposals were then made, but the matter was eventua dropped. The correspondence that took place shows that there were no two opinions regarding the importance of the localities in question and the necessity for bringing them under the operation of the District Municipalities Act. With respect to Melapalayam and Keelaveeraraghavapuram Mr. G. S. Forbes, the then Collector, stated in his letter Refce. no. 51 of 94 dated 20-4- that they were as important as Pettai a

that the necessity to apply the District Municipalities Act to them with a view to improve their sanitation was as great as in the case of Pettai. The chief reason for the abortive ending of the proposals started in 1893 was the objection raised by the then Chairman of the Tinnevelly Council to the extension ~~of the~~ of the limits of that Municipality on account of difficulty of Supervision.

5. The subject was however revived a short time ago and at my request the Head Quarters Deputy Collector convened a committee of the marginally noted gentlemen to consider the matter. The committee made two alternative proposals (1) to make the Tambra-parni river the boundary between the municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah and to include in Tinnevelly the present Union of Pettai (minus the outlying hamlets of Palayapetti and Tenikulam) and the present Melaveeraraghavapuram Union, which consists at present of the Revenue villages of Melaveeraraghavapuram and Sindupundurai and Vannarpet (minus Vannarpet which lies east of the river), and in Palamcottah municipal area Vannarpet and the present Union of Keelaveeraraghavapuram, consisting of the Revenue villages of Kulavanikapuram, Keelaveeraraghavapuram, Melapalayam and Melanatham (minus the track to the south of District Board Road No. VI) (2) to constitute the whole area dealt with in the first proposal as one municipality. Copies of the committee's resolution and the letter of the Head Quarters Deputy Collector forwarding it are submitted for the information of Government.

M. R. Ry. T. Raghaviah Avl.	Hd. Qrs. Dy Collr. Tinnevelly
" V. Subbier Avl.	Try. Dy. Collector and Vice President, Dt. Board Tinnevelly
" S. Palaniyandy Mudaliar Avl.	Member Dt. Board Tinnevelly
" A. Somasundram Pillai Avl.	Do
" Balu. S. Srinivasa Esquire	Chairman Palamcottah Municipality
" Dalavoy Theetharappa Mudaliar Avl.	Chairman Tinnevelly Municipality
" Shanmuga Sundram Pillai Avl	Chairman Keelaveeraraghavapuram Union
" Anandasundram Pillai Avl.	Chairman Pettai Union.

6. Before discussing the matter further, I think that it may be said that it is desirable

	Kulavanikapuram.	Melanatham
Population.	1500	867
Profession tax expected	nil	35 Rs.
Vehicle and animal taxes	262 Rs.	104 Rs.
House-tax	100 Rs.	80 Rs.
Total incomes	362 Rs.	219 Rs.

to limit the areas to be included in municipal limits still further by excluding Kulavanikapuram and Melanattam, unimportant and poor villages, as will appear from the figures given in the margin. Their exclusion has the effect of excluding from municipal limits a very large block of wet lands, which they, with Keelaveeraraghavapuram and Melapalayam, encircle. The inclusion of this large block of land — all double crop compounded wet, paying an average assessment of Rs 18 an acre — would, besides to some extent hampering the municipal administration, throw a heavy additional burden on the land owners in the shape of municipal land tax, which is on an average treble the land cess now paid by them, with no compensating advantage to them. Similarly Karungulam a small outlying hamlet of Melappalayam separated from Melapalayam proper by wet lands, and Sikkinarasaiyangramam, a small hamlet of Melaveeraraghavapuram lying at a distance of 2 furlongs and separated from it by paddy fields, may be excluded.

7. I note also in passing that the propos of the committee and the opinions given by the Municipal Councils of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah and the District Board of Tinnevelly to which reference will be made hereafter, are all based on the understanding that large blocks of wet lands lying between the localities now in question or adjoining them will be exem from municipal land tax. It was mistaken supposed that such exemption could be arranged under the new Act. But the mista is of no consequence; for seeing that the several localities now in question are each a compact block of habitations and are connected with one another and with the existing municipal areas by good roads, it can easily be arranged to convert them and the connecting roads into municipal tracts with only as much of the adjoining assessed lands as must necessarily be added to make good boundaries.

8. The proposals of the committee were referred to the municipal councils of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah and to the District Board of Tinnevelly for opinion. The municipal councils were distinctly in favour of the proposals, and preferred the second proposal of the committee, i-e, that for a combined municipality, to the first. The District Board raised no objection to the committee's proposals. The Union Panchayets of Pettai, Melaveeraraghavapuram and Keelaveeraraghavapuram which have also been consulted, object to the proposals chiefly on the grounds that the localities in question are scattered, that their inclusion within municipal limits will make the municipal areas inconveniently large and that the people are too poor to be saddled with additional taxation.

This is an excuse wh: is invariably put forward against the introduction [illegible] the municipalities [illegible] many localities.

9. In the statements accompanying this report the estimated receipts and expenditure under both the schemes have been worked out in detail by the Head Quarters Deputy Collector. The remarks column of the statements contains reasons wherever necessary for the figures

that

that have been adopted. It may I think be said that the figures of income adopted are very moderate. The figures of income from house-tax are based on the actual income from this source realized at present from the areas in question by the Union Panchay

and the figures of income under animal and vehicle taxes are based on a rough census of the vehicles and animals now found in these areas. The figures for profes

tax are also based on a similar census

As the proposals involve the transfer of the management of tolls realized from the toll-gates in and around the localities concerned, out of the hands of the District Board, 2/3 of the income at present derived from these toll-gates has been taken to credit, leaving the remaining 1/3 as contribution to be paid to the District Board. On the expenditure side, adequate provision has been made for the improvement of roads and sanitation, for the upkeep of lighting and for a substantial strengthening of the sanitary and office establishments. The receipts of the Tinnevelly municipality with the propos extensions are estimated to amount to Rs 48,440 and its expenditure is estimated at Rs 42,980. Rs 40,140 form the estimated receipts of Palamcottah Municipality with the proposed extensions, and Rs 38,180 its expenditure. The receipts of the combined municipality will be the total of the receipts of the said two municipalities, viz, Rs 88,580, but its necessary expenditure is estimated at Rs. 79060, which is less by Rs 2,100 than the total of the expenditure of the two municipalities. As a matter of fact however it would probably be necessary to spend a larger proportion of income than is here estimated in order to avoid useless accumulation.

10 Both from a financial and an administrative point of view several advantages may be expected to accrue to the present municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah from the proposal to extend their limits or to combine them into one. These are as follows:-

(1) Improved sanitation of outlying areas would be secured. (2) Next it will be seen that the areas proposed to be added to the municipalities are smaller than those of the municipalities themselves. Melapalayam and Keelaveeraraghavapuram and Kokarakulam and Vannarpet which are proposed to be added to Palamcottah Municipality are only one square mile in extent whereas the existing Palamcottah municipality is by itself nearly 3 square miles in extent. Similarly Sindupundurai, Melaveeraraghavapuram and Pettai proposed to be added to Tinnevelly municipality are about a square mile in extent whereas the existing Tinnevelly municipality is about 1½ square miles in extent. But when the additional funds which the added areas will bring into the municipal exchequers are considered it will be clear that area for area the additions are more paying than the present municipal areas themselves. The additions proposed are therefore a distinct gain to the present municipalities, and the improved finances of the extended municipalities will give them much better executive and ministerial staffs and considerably improve their administrative efficiency. I beg reference to statement

No. III for details. The necessity for the proposed office establishment is there fully explained. (3). Another district advantage is the opportunity afforded by their enhanced finances for the entertainment of men of official experience as Secretaries. With their present income (under Rs 30,000) neither municipality can be allowed a Secretary. Provision is made in the present proposals for the entertainment of a Secretary (or ~~Secretries~~ Secretaries) under both the Schemes. Such an arrangement will leave less office work to be done by honorary chairmen, who are generally strangers to office routine, and will enable them to employ their time and energies more profitably on the supervision of Sanitation and the general administration of the areas in their charge. (4) A further important advantage expected from the present proposals is the larger field that will be opened by it for the selection of men of intelligence and culture as councillors.

11. The next question that arises is the relative merits of the scheme for forming two larger municipalities and that for forming one big municipality out of the whole area, comprised by the present municipalities and the areas proposed to be added. As already stated, the committee preferred the Second Scheme to the first and the municipal councils of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah are also of the same opinion.

12. One Serious objection to the proposal for a combined municipality is that the scattered position of the localities concerned and the large area of the proposed combined municipality will stand in the way of efficient supervision by a single chairman and a single executive staff. The proposed municipality will not however exceed 6 miles in length at the longest and 2 1/2 miles in width at the widest points; and the width will be considerably less, if Keelaveeraraghavapuram and Melapalayem be excluded, and will not, I believe, exceed in this respect the area of several other municipalities in the Presidency, which are administered by a single chairman and a

a single executive body. It must also be remembered that the appointment of a well paid Secretary, who will naturally be an officer of standing and administrative ability, with powers of out door supervision, will considerably lighten the duties of a non-official chairman, if a non-official chairman can be found for the combined area. The statements accompanying this report will show that a combined municipality will have to spend proportionately less on establishments and some other items than two separate municipalities. Further the difficulty in the matter of division of tolls that is likely to arise from the existence of two municipalities side by side with the limits of the one touching those of the other can by the combined scheme be avoided; and lastly, what is perhaps most important, a combined municipality could with greater ease than two municipalities separately carry out large schemes affecting water-supply and sanitation, which are much needed.

At present the D. B contributes a sum of Rs 4650 to Tinnevell Rs 4500 to Palamc on account of tolls. A similar arrangement obtains in Trichinopoly where the Trichinopoly & Srirangam municipalities are contiguous with each other.

13. On the ~~other~~ hand, in favour of two separate municipalities it can be said, and is said by several people of the neighbourhood with much force, (1) that it would be extremely difficult to find a gentleman possessed of sufficient leisure and public spirit to undertake without remuneration the duties of chairman of the combined municipality, ~~and~~ that therefore a paid chairman would probably be necessary, and that the pay of a paid chairman would considerably more than counterbalance the saving which might be obtained on the remaining establishment; (2) that it is doubtful whether in any case one chairman and one establishment could so efficiently supervise the larger as the smaller area; and (3) that the interests of Palamcottah and Tinnevelly are not by any means identical, so that there would be very serious risk of friction between the representatives of the two extremes of the combined area and consequent impediment to the progress of work.

14. Personally I incline to the belief that two municipalities would be more workable than the combined municipality which is advocated by the Committee and the two councils.

15. I must also say that I am not convinced that the addition of Keelaveeraraghavapuram and Melapalyem either to the Palamcottah Municipality or to the combined municipality is entirely desirable. These village-sites which form one compact area lie at a considerable distance away from the rest of the area proposed to be added to municipal limits, off the main line of road, and would therefore be somewhat difficult to supervise. With due deference to Mr. G. S. Forbes's opinion, I submit that they do not form such an important centre of trade as Pettai. A few of the inhabitants are wealthy, but the bulk are poor Mahomedan weavers. It is true that they lie on the bank of the Palayem channel and therefore influence the sanitation of Palamcottah, but they are in themselves high and dry and fairly healthy places, and the contamination of the channel can to a large extent be avoided by stricter attention to sanitation on the part of the Union Panchayet. I would therefore divide the Keelaveeraraghavapuram Union by taking away from it the hamlet of Kokarakulam which can conveniently be attached to Palamcottah or to the combined municipality, and leave the remainder to be administered by the Union Panchayet. I think that it is possible that the removal of these villages from the proposed municipal limits might result in reducing the income of the new Palamcottah Municipality below Rs 30,000 and thus make it still impossible to provide a paid secretary for that municipality; but it may on the other hand be said that without these villages the municipality would not be so

But see para 13

large

large as to be unworkable by an honorary Chairman without a Secretary.

16. Finally it must be mentioned that the adoption of the proposals will bring a saving of Rs 5840 (made up of a saving in expenditure on roads and lighting and a small loss of income) to the Taluq Board of Tinnevelly and a loss of Rs 7160 (made up of a gain in the cost of maintaining the roads, and a loss in tolls) to the District Board of Tinnevelly. Deducting the gain to the Tinnevelly Taluq Board from the loss to the District Board, there is a net loss of Rs 1320 to the general funds of the Tinnevelly Local Boards. Statement No. IV shows how these figures have been arrived at. The loss to Local Funds is not very large and need not, I submit, be regarded in considering the scheme.

28000
9000
19000
9000
19000
10000
3000
7000

17. A plan drawn to scale showing the position of the present municipalities, the various localities proposed to be included in municipal limits, the connecting roads, and the river and its channels accompanies this report.

18. I presume that if Government should approve of either scheme, it would call for an accurate statement of boundaries and invite comments from the public.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Robert B Clegg
Ag Collector
16/11/97.

Cop'd AA.

for Permanent Collector.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4449M

Order

Here place C.N° 2046

From Voters and agricultural ryots, Palamcottah Munty.

No.

Dated 27-12-97.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Praying that the Municipalities of Palamcottah and Tinnevelly may not be amalgamated and that Nunjah lands may not be included within or taxed by the Munty.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
29 DEC 1897
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

To

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL
FT. ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

T.V. Nagappa modalliar and
The humble memorial of the undersigned Voters and
agricultural ryots residing in the Municipality of
Palamcottah, Tinnevelly District.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

That memorialists have heard with the gratest surprise and apprehension that it has been proposed to your Excellency-in-Council by the Collector of Tinnevelly to amalgamate the Municipalities of Palamcottah and Tinnevelly and to merge in the combined Municipality the Union Villages of Pettai, Kela-Veeraragavapuram, Kokarkulam, Vannarpet inclusive of portions of the wet lands lying between the said towns and villages; and that the proposal is now pending consideration before your Excellency-in-Council.

2. That memorialists most respectfully, but most emphatically, beg to protest against the proposed measure—a measure which will result in great hardship and worry to the people resident in the said towns and villages and in a most unjustifiable and unbearable additional burden on the already-overtaxed and over-burdened agricultural ryots.

3. That memorilists beg to assure your Excellency-in-Council that the proposed scheme in both its aspects is entirely opposed to the wishes and interests of the people concerned including memorialists. The truth of this can be easily ascertained if your Excellency directs the Collector or the said Municipalities and Unions to find whether the residents and ryots within the Unions and Municipalities are for the proposed change.

4. That the proposal has been made without any attempt to ascertain the desires and interests of the said residents and ryots. No publicity was given as to the scheme memorialists find, that the two Municipal Councils passed their resolution approving of the change only by *narrow majorities* and that the Unions were against it. Even the consent of the narrow majority having been obtained in haste without due consideration. The District Board would not pass positive resolution to the effect that the change was desirable, and would pass only a negative resolution to the effect that they have no objection to the proposal. The Municipal Councillors who formed the majority which passed the resolutions in question were some of them interested officials and the rest did not ascertain the views of the voters before giving their votes in the Council. All the resolutions above referred to are of little weight as the public were not made aware of the proposal and given time and opportunity to

consider and discuss it. Memorialists beg to assure your Excellency that memorialists and the public at large were made aware of it for the first time from certain words that fell from your Excellency's lips in one of your Excellency's replies to the addresses presented at the time of your visit to this District.

5. That a little consideration will shew the inadvisability and impracticability of the proposal and the hardships it will cause to the residents and agricultural ryots affected by it. Palamcottah and Tinnevelly are two distinct and distant towns, and the Union villages are straggling hamlets situate apart and distant from the said towns on either side with large extents of Nunjah lands, tanks, channels, roads and the river Thampirapurni lying between. Such distant villages are not included in any other Municipalities in the Presidency. The reasons which have made the Collector to exclude the Keela Veeraragavapuram Union (consisting of Melapalayam &c.) apply with equal, if not greater, force to the inclusion of Vannarpett, Pettai and Kokarkulam &c.

6. That though it is said that all the Nunjah lands lying between Pettai, Tinnevelly, Sindupundurai, Vannarpett, Kokarakulam and Palamcottah will not be included, it is (memorialists understand) proposed to include portions of them which skirt the towns and villages, adjoin the Roads &c. This means the imposition of the land tax on those Nunjah lands under section 63 clause *a* of the new Municipal Act. Why should these poor agricultural ryots be made to pay a tax which their neighbours, the owners of the adjoining (excluded) wet lands do not pay? Are the agricultural ryots able to bear fresh taxation, when they have already to pay the land tax, Road cess and the village cess? Has not Government in recognition of the condition of the agricultural ryots excluded agricultural income from the income tax? Is it not a fact that the ryots pay in this District a very high rate of assessment and that the lands which are proposed to be included within the limits of the new Municipality are lands which mostly pay the very highest rate of assessment prevailing in this District?

7. That memorialists beg to submit that the proposed inclusion of portions of the said wet lands can only be with an eye to Revenue. When such distant and straggling places are made into a single Municipality, it is patent that a paid Chairman, a Secretary and a large Establishment are necessary, and the temptation to include some wet lands is therefore great. What other object could there be in including those lands? They are outside the scope of all Municipal operations. They are not going to be swept or lit up or be the object of any Sanitary work.

8. That the condition of the agricultural ryot living within the limits of a Municipality is even without an additional tax worse than that of his brother outside those limits. For the latter has his own manure to use for his fields while in the case of the former the Municipality compulsively takes away every day all the manure that accumulates in his house.

9. That the Palamcottah Municipality has already recorded a resolution that in working out the *New Act*, Nunjah lands should not be taxed, having in view the already over-burdened condition of the agricultural ryot. And there is every reason to believe that the Tinnevelly Municipality will do the same.

10. That the unwarrantable nature of the burden which will be laid on the wet lands in question is further seen from the facts that the owners of some of them are residents of distant villages, while those of the owners who reside within the said limits are already bearing their quota of Municipal taxation (for house, lands, cattle, profession &c.), and would be called upon to pay an additional tax on their wet-lands without any corresponding or compensating advantage for bearing a greater burden than their neighbours. In short the unfortunate agricultural ryot who happens to reside within Municipal limits is on the one hand worse off than his fellow residents, and on the other his fellow agricultural ryots whose wet lands lie out side the arbitrary line drawn as the boundary of the new Municipality.

11. That memorialists submit that the power given to a Municipality to place a tax on lands in general under clause 1 of section 63 of the Act can be reasonably and justly exercised in the case of wet lands (if at all) only in such rare and exceptional cases where such lands may happen to be in the centre of or mixed up with the habitations, and was not intended to be used against large extents and whole blocks of Nunjah lands or against such lands which though nominally lying within Municipal limits or outside the line of habitations.

12. That in addition to the ruinous consequences which are likely to ensue to the agricultural ryots of the affected areas, there are other hardships also to which the residents will be subjected if the scheme is given effect to. The Head Quarters of the combined Municipality will probably be in Tinnevelly, a distance of more than 3 miles from Palamcottah, where it will have a building of its own. Even granting that it is kept at a place midway between Tinnevelly and Palamcottah a resident of Palamcottah will have to walk a distance of about a mile and a half to report births and deaths in his family, to apply for licenses or permission to repair his house or put up a Pandal,—in short, to transact all those little matters which he has to do with in connection with the Municipality. Similarly a resident of Pettai will have to walk a distance of nearly four miles. Again the distance from Pettai to Palamcottah being more than 5 miles only a paid Chairman, and a large staff can adequately and efficiently carry out the work, as already pointed out. This would mean additional taxation to the people without any corresponding advantage to them, and without ability to bear the additional burden. For the towns and villages in question are not places of trade but are inhabited mostly by agricultural ryots.

13. That having in view the above objections, especially the objection about the Nanjah lands, both in 1869 and 1883, similar proposals were rejected by Government. Memorialists humbly venture to request your Excellency-in-Council to peruse the G. Os. of those years on exactly similar schemes proposed in those years.

Pray, therefore, your Excellency-in-Council be pleased to reject the amalgamation scheme in question, to order that Nunjah lands should not be included within or taxed by the Municipality, and thus to render justice.

27, *December 1897.*
PALAMCOTTAH.

T. V. Nagappa Mudaliar.

Mary Ammal

Iyemperumal Pillai

O. Kalianasundaram Pillai

C. S. Nullasevan Pillay

T. A. Ramasamy

P. Arumugam Pillay

Ramasamy Pillay

A. Varadarajalu Chetty

A. Sivasankaran Pillay

T. Siva Subramania Pillai

K. Renga Rayar

M. Rengasamy Pillay

G. A. Sankaran Chetty

---

1 33

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 788 M

From V. K. Ibrahim Rowther & others Pettai, Tinnevelly.

Order

No.

Dated 11-2-98

Received 14-2-98

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Protesting against the inclusion of Pettai in the Tinnevelly municipality

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cuo	4026	d/97	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

UNDER SENT TO GOVT.
14 FEB 95
PUBLIC

TINNEVELLY GAZETTE
SUPPLEMENT

MONDAY
7th JANUARY 1895.

TINNEVELLY DISTRICT BOARD PROCEEDINGS

திருநெல்வேலி டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு புரோசிடிங்ஸ்

MONDAY 7th JANUARY 1895

1895-ம் ௵ ஜெனவரி௴ 7உ திங்கள்கிழமை.

Proceedings of the District Board of Tinnevelly at their meeting held at Ambasamudram on Thursday the 20th September 1894 at 4-30 P. M.

PRESENT.

Bannatyne Macleod Esquire, President.

OFFICIALS.

Lionel Vibert Esquire, Assistant Collector in charge of Head Assistant Collector's Division.

M. R Ry. C Subramania Aiyar Avargal, Head Quarters Deputy Collector and Vice President

,, T. A. Shunmugasundaram Pillai Avl , General Charge Deputy Collector.

,, N. K Nellaiyappa Pillai Avargal, Tahsildar of Ambasamudram.

NON OFFICIALS.

M. R. Ry. W. S. Krishna Aiyar Avargal.
,, O. Subramania Pillai Avargal.
,, Vengu Aiyar Avargal.
,, Shunmugam Pillai Avargal
,, Krishnasami Somayajiar Avargal.
,, R. Subbaiyar Avargal.
,, Kumarasami Mudaliar Avargal.
,, Syedjemeah Sahib
,, Nataduvava Rowter.

GRANT I.

(1) Read copy of letter No.$\frac{1129}{425E}$dated 6th August 894 from the Assistant Engineer of Shermadevi Range, bearing the District Board Engineer's endorsement General No$\frac{3324}{A414}$dated the 28th idem recommending that an additional allotment of one-fourth of the current year's grant may be sanctioned for each of the main roads, in view of road materials being secured at the end of the year for the necessary repairs between

1894௵ செப்டம்பர௴ 20உ வியாழக்கிழமை மாலை 4—30மணிக்கு அம்பாசமுத்திரத்தில் திருநெல்வேலி டிஸ்டிரிக்டுபோர்டார் அவர்கள் கூடிய மீட்டிங்கில் நடந்த நடவடிக்கைகள்.

ஆஜர்,

பானட்டையன் மெக்லியாட் எஸ்குயர் பிரசிடெண்டு

உத்தியோகமுள்ளவர்கள்

லையனல் வைபர்ட் எஸ்குயர், ஹெட் அசிஸ்டண்டு கலெக்டர் டிவிஷன் இன்சார்ஜ அசிஸ்டண்டு கலெக்டர்.

மக-௱-௱-ஸ்ரீ C. சுப்பிரமணிய அய்யர் அவர்கள் ஹெட்குவார்ட்டர் டிப்டிக்கலைக்டர் அண்டு வைஸ்பிரசிடெண்டு.

,, T. A. ஷண்முகசுந்தரம்பிள்ளை யவர்கள் ஜெனரல் சார்ஜ டிப்டிக்கலெகடர்.

,, N. K. நெல்லையப்பபிள்ளையவர்கள் அம்பாசமுத்திரம் தாசில்தார.

உத்தியோகமில்லாதவர்கள்,

W, S. கிருஷ்ண அய்யரவர்கள்.
,, O, சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளையவர்கள்,
,, வெங்குவய்யரவர்கள்.
,, ஷண்முகம்பிள்ளையவர்கள்.
,, கிருஷ்ணசாமிசோமயாஜியார் அவர்கள்,
,, R. சுப்பய்யர் அவர்கள்.
,, குமாரசவாமி முதலியாரவர்கள்.
,, சையது ஜிமியா சாகிப்.
,, நத்தாடுவாவா ருவுத்தர்.

கிரண்டு I.

1. புதுசப்ளை வரும்வரை எப்பிரல் ஜூலை௴ மத்தியில் நடக்கிற அவசியமான ரிப்பேர்களுக்கு வருஷகடைசியில் ரோட் சாமான்கள் சவுகரியத்துக்கொள்ளும் பொருட்டு ஒவ்வொரு பெரிய ரோட்டுக்கும் அடிஷனல் கிராண்டாய் நடப்பு வருஷத்தில் கிராண்டு 4ல் ஒரு பாகத்தை சாங்கிஷன் செய்யவேணுமென்று சிபார்சைசெய்து டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்ட்டு இஞ்சினீயர் யெழுதிய 28உ போட்ட ஜெனரல் நிர் $\frac{3324}{A\ 414}$ இண்டார்சமெண்டு உள்ள சேரன்மாதேவி அசிஸ்டாண்டு இஞ்சினீயரின் 1894௵ ஆகஸ்ட்௴ 6உ போட்ட $\frac{1129}{425\ E}$ம் நிர லெட்டரின் ந

April and July until the new supply is made.

கலே வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

The board can afford only 1/10 for the purpose. Rs 5,910 is sanctioned from the reserve as detailed below.

ஷை காரியத்துக்காக 10ல் ஒருபாகம்தான் போர்டார் அவர்கள் கொடுக்கக்கூடும் இதனடியில் விவரித்திருக்கிறபடி ரிசர்விலிருந்து ரூபாய் 5910 சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாயிற்று

No. of the road	Name of the road.	Taluk.	Maintenance grant for the year.	Additional grant now allotted.
I	Tinnevelly—Madura.	Tinnevelly.	2087	210
	Do.	Ottapidaram.	1275	125
	Do.	Satur.	3800	380
III	Tinnevelly—Tuticorin.	Tinnevelly.	680	70
	Do.	Tenkarai.	660	65
	Do.	Ottapidaram.	2000	200
IV	Palamcottah—Trichendur.	Tinnevelly.	1000	100
	Do.	Tenkarai.	3750	375
V	Palamcottah—Travancore.	Tinnevelly.	1075	110
	Do.	Nanguneri.	7575	760
VI	Palamcottah—Kalladaikurichi	Tinnevelly.	380	40
	Do.	Ambasamudram.	1425	140
VII	Palamcottah—Travancore.	Tinnevelly.	2375	240
	Do.	Tenkasi.	3770	380
VIII	Tinnevelly—Rajapalayam.	Tinnevelly.	1600	160
	Do.	Sankaranainarkovil.	2100	210
	Do	Srivilliputur.	490	50
IX	Tinnevelly—Potalpudur.	Tinnevelly.	1140	110
	Do.	Ambasamudram.	1450	145
XI	Tenkasi—Madura.	Tenkasi.	1800	180
	Do.	Sankaranainarkovil.	1500	150
	Do.	Srivilliputur.	3565	355
XV	Tenkasi—Ambasamudram	Tenkasi	900	90
	Do.	Ambasamudram.	950	95
XVI	Ambasamudram—Panagudi	Ambasamudram.	1120	110
	Do	Nanguneri.	1560	155
XXIV	Tuticorin—Vembur.	Ottapidaram.	6555	655
	Do.	Sattur.	1475	150
XXIVB	Ettaiyapuram—Koilpatti.	Sattur.	1000	00
	Srivaikuntham bridge		150	,,
			59207 ——	5910

ரோட்டின்நம்பர்	ரோட்டின் பேர்	தாலூகா	வருஷத்தின்மெயிண்டினென்சு கிராண்டு	இப்போது அல்லாட்டு செய்யப்பட்ட அடிஷனல் கிராண்டு.
I.	திருநெல்வேலி—மதுரை	திருநெல்வேலி	2087	210
	ஷை	ஒட்டப்பிடாரம்	1275	125
	ஷை	சாத்தூர்	3800	380
III.	திருநெல்வேலி—தூத்துக்குடி	திருநெல்வேலி	680	70
	ஷை	தென்கரை	660	65
	ஷை	ஒட்டப்பிடாரம்	2000	200
IV.	பாளையங்கோட்டை—திருச்செந்தூர்	திருநெல்வேலி	1000	100
	ஷை	தென்கரை	3750	375
V.	பாளையங்கோட்டை—திருவாங்கூர்	திருநெல்வேலி	1075	110
	ஷை	நாங்குநேரி	7575	760
VI.	பாளையங்கோட்டை—கல்லிடைகுரிச்சி	திருநெல்வேலி	380	40
	ஷை	அம்பாசமுத்திரம்	1425	140
VII.	பாளையங்கோட்டை—திருவனந்தபுரம்	திருநெல்வேலி	2375	240
	ஷை	தென்காசி	3770	380
VIII,	திருநெல்வேலி—ராஜபாளையம்	திருநெல்வேலி	1600	160
	ஷை	சங்கரநயினார்கோயில்	2100	210
	ஷை	ஸ்ரீவில்லிப்புத்தூர்	490	50
IX.	திருநெல்வேலி—பொட்டல்புதூர்	திருநெல்வேலி	1140	110
	ஷை	அம்பாசமுத்திரம்	1450	145
XI,	தென்காசி—மதுரை	தென்காசி	1800	180
	ஷை	சங்கரநயினார்கோவில்	1500	150
	ஷை	ஸ்ரீவில்லிப்புத்தூர்	3565	355
XV.	தென்காசி—அம்பாசமுத்திரம்	தென்காசி	900	90
	ஷை	அம்பாசமுத்திரம்	950	95
XVI	அம்பாசமுத்திரம்—பணகுடி	அம்பாசமுத்திரம்	1120	110
	ஷை	நான்குனேரி	1560	155
XXIV.	தூத்துக்குடி—வேம்பூர்	ஒட்டப்பிடாரம்	6555	655
	ஷை	சாத்தூர்	1475	150
XXIVB.	எட்டயாபுரம்—கோவில்பட்டி	சாத்தூர்	1000	100
	ஸ்ரீவைகுண்டம்—பாலம்		150	
			59207	5910

(2) Read letter No. $\frac{523}{E210}$ dated 17th Sebtember 1894 from the Supervisor of the Tinnevelly Range, bearing District Board Engineer's endorsement No. $\frac{3631}{A445}$ dated the 18th idem requesting that additional grants of Rs 500 and Rs 450 may be sanctioned for road No. I between Palamcottah and the Hindu College and for road No. VII between the Hindu College and Tinnevelly, the present allotments for their annual maintenance being inadequate owing to the very heavy traffic there. A quantity of materials has aiso to be reserved on thom about the end of the year towards their upkeep until the new supply is received.

Sanctioned Rs 950 from the reserve as the road is a special one.

(3) Read District Board Engineer's letter No. $\frac{330}{A410}$ dated 24th August 1894, forwarding for sanction an estimate amounting to Rs 1490 for "Tools and plant" and scientific instruments required for 1894—95.

Sanctioned.

(4) Read Srivilliputur taluk Board Presidents letter No 151 dated 30th August 1894, forwarding for sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs 5,620 for the construction of a road from Tulukkapati rail feeder on road No. I to Kanniseri. The amounts of the original and revised estimates are the same, the differenee being that Rs 455—10—11 are saved from the provision for gravel in the original estimate the quantity being reduced owing to the nature of the soil, and the amount is utilized in labour in the revised estimate. There is no alteration in the details of the scheme.

Estimate sanctioned

(5) Read District Board Engineer's letter No. $\frac{3611}{A439}$ dated 18th September 1894, stating that it has been found that the employment of mile coolies under the existing task work system does not help the maintenance of roads to a satisfactory extent and suggesting the introduction of the system of payment of monthly wages

2. பாளையங்கோட்டைக்கும் இந்துகாலேஜுக்கும் மத்தியிலுள்ள 1ம் நிர் ரோட்டிலும் இந்துகாலேஜுக்கும் திருநெல்வேலிக்கும் மத்தியிலுள்ள 7ம் நிர் ரோட்டிலும் ஏராளமான வியாபார வண்டிகள் சாய்வதாலும் அவைகளுக்கு செய்யப்பட்டிருக்கும் இவ்வருஷத்திய அலாட்டுமெண்டுகள் போதுமானபடி யில்லாதகாலும் அடிஷனல் கிராண்டாக முதல் சொன்ன ரோட்டுக்கு ரூ 500ம் பின் சொல்லிய ரோட்டுக்கு ரூ 450ம் சாங்கிஷன் செய்யவேணு மென்றும் புது சப்ளை வருகிறவரை ஷை ரோட்டுகளை பாதுகாக்க போதுமான அளவு ரோட்டு சாமான்கள் ரிசர்வில் வைக்கவேண்டியதாயிருக்கிறதென்றும் 18உ போட்ட $\frac{3631}{A 445}$ ம் நிர் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினியருடைய இண்டார்சுள்ள திருநெல்வேலி ரேஞ்சு சூப்பர்வைசருடைய 1894ம்ஹூ செப்டம்பர்மீ 17உ போட்ட $\frac{523}{E 210}$ ம் நிர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

ஷை ரோட்டு முக்கியமானதாயிருப்பதால் ரிசர்விலிருந்து 950ரூ சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாயிற்று.

3. 1894—95ம்ஹூத்துக்கு வேண்டிய ரோட்டைச்சேர்ந்த கருவிகளுக்கும் சாஸ்திரீகக்கருவிகளும் 1490ரூக்கு எஸ்டிமேட்டு தயார் செய்து சாங்கிஷனுக்கு அனுப்பிய டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினியருடைய 1894ம்ஹூ ஆகஸ்ட்டுமீ 24உ $\frac{3302}{A 410}$ போட்ட ம் நிர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாச்சுது.

4. 1ம் நிர் ரோட்டிலுள்ள துலுக்கப்பட்டி ரயில் பீடர் ரோட்டிலிருந்து கன்னிச்சேரிக்கு ஒரு ரோட்டு போட வேண்டியதற்காக ரூ 5620 க்கு ஒரு சீர்திருத்திய எஸ்டிமேட்டை சாங்கிஷனுக்கு அனுப்பிய 1894ம்ஹூ ஆகஸ்ட்டுமீ 30உ போட்ட 151ம் நம்பருள்ள ஸ்ரீவில்லிப்புத்தூர் தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டு அவர்களின் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாயிற்று: முந்திய யெஸ்டிமேட்டின் துகையும் பிந்தின சீர்திருத்திய எஸ்டிமேட்டின் துகையும் ஒன்று தான் முந்திய எஸ்டிமேட்டில் சரலுக்காக சௌரிக்கலான ரூ 455—10—11½ நிலத்தின் தன்மையை உத்தேசித்து சரலுக்கு உள்ள அளவைக் குறைத்து சீர் திருத்திய எஸ்டிமேட்டில் அத்துகையை கூலிக்காக உபயோகப்படுத்தப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. ஷை ரோட்டு வேலையின் விவரங்களில் யாதொரு வித்தியாசமுமில்லை.

எஸ்டிமேட்டு சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாயிற்று.

5. பிரகிருதத்தில் அன்றாடகம் சம்பளங்கொடுத்து மைல் கூலிகளால் வேலை வாங்குகிற வழக்கம் ரோட்டுகளை காப்பாற்றுவதில் அவ்வளவு திருப்திகரமாய் பிரயோசனத்தை செய்வதாயில்லை யென்றும் சில்லரை வேலைகள் நன்றாய் கவனிக்கப்படும்படியாயும் ரோட்டு சாமான்கள் இன்னம் நல்லவிதமாய் பிரயோசனமாகும்படியாயும் முக்கியமாய் கூலியாட்களை வைத்துக்கொள்ளும் அந்தந்த ரோட்டுகளின் ஸ்திதியை யோசித்து ஷை மைல் கூலியாட்களுக்கு மாதம் சம்பளம் கொடுத்து வேலைவாங்கும் ஏற்பா

to mile coolies chiefly with reference to the condition of the roads they keep them in so that petty repairs may be better attended to and the materials utilized to better advantage.

Provided that the present rate of expenditure is not exceeded the Board are willing to give the system a trial After 3 months' trial in Ambasamudram taluk the Engineer should report.

[6) Read District Board Engineer's letter No. $\frac{3612}{\text{A 440}}$ dated 18th September 1894, suggesting with District Board's resolution No 6 dated 22nd June 1894, that Assistant Engineers and supervisors be required to check-measure road materials supplied for maintenance of all roads, allowing him to check-measure the materials on roads travelled over by him and that the materials supplied for the renewal of roads be as a rule spread after check-measurement either by himself or Range Officer.

Read also District Board's resolution quoted above.

The Engineer's suggestions is approved.

GRANT III.

[7] Read letter No 201 dated 7th Sebtember 1894 of the Shermadevi Vaccine Deputy Inspector bearing the District Medical and Sanitary Officer's endorsement No 1 43 dated the 10th idem, recommending the payment of a consolidated travelling allowance of Rs 3 a month to Sheik Davud Sahib who was the certifying vaccinator of the Shermadevi range and is now working with the Taluk batch.

Sanctioned

[8] Read Shermadevi Taluk Board President's letter No 887 dated 31st August 1894, requesting that the sanction of Government be obtained for writing off the accounts the sum of Rs 1226—0—0 being the uncollected portion of the amount decreed in favor of the Taluk Board in O. S. No. 4·9 of 886 instituted against Venkatarama Aiyar and 2 others. The judgment debtor Venkatarama Aiyar died leaving no property The amount belongs to the A V. school at Tenkasi under the control of the Taluk Board

---

Further legal advice from the Government Pleader will be taken.

[9) Read again District Board's resolution No 12 dated 27th August 1894 regarding the inclusion of the Pettai Union with the Tinnevely Municipality.

Read Mahazars from the inhabitants of Pettai Union objecting to the proposal.

The District Board is of opinion that Pettai may most advantageously continue as a Union and that its inclusion with Tinnevelly

ட்டை அனுஷ்டானத்திற்கு கொண்டு வரலாமென்று எழுதிக்கொண்டு டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீயரின் 1894ம் வருஷம் செப்டம்பர்மீ 18உ போட்ட $\frac{3611}{\text{A 439}}$ ம் நிர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

செலவின் தற்காலதாரணை அதிகப்படாவிட்டால் ஷெ ஏற்பாட்டை பரிக்ஷார்த்தமாய் வைத்துப்பார்க்க போர்டார் அவர்களுக்கு பிரியந்தான். அம்பாசமுத்திரம் தாலூகாவில் 3 மாச பரிக்ஷையின் பேரில் இஞ்சினீயர் ரிப்போர்ட்டு செய்யவேண்டும்.

6 தாம் பிரயாணம்போகும் ரோட்டுகளின் சாமான்களை தாம் அளவு செய்யும்படியாயும் மற்ற எல்லா ரோட்டுகளின் சாமான்களை ஆசிஸ்டாண்டு இஞ்சினீயர்களும் சூப்பர்வைசர்களும் அளக்கும்படியாயும் தம்மாலாவது ரேஞ்சு ஆபீசராலாவது அளக்கபபட்டபின்தான்ரோட்டுகள் புதிப்பிக்க சப்ளையாகும் சாமான்களை பரத்தவேண்டுமென்றும், 1894ம் வருஷம் ஜூன்மீ 2உ நம்பர் 6 லான் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டார் அவர்களுடைய தீர்மானத்துடன் கலந்து எழுதியிருக்கிற 1894ம் வருஷம் செப்டம்பர்மீ 18உ போட்ட டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீயரின் $\frac{3612}{\text{A 440}}$ ம் நிர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

மேலே யெடுத்துக்காட்டிய டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டாரவர்களின் தீர்மானத்தையும் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

இஞ்சினீயருடைய ஆலோசனை அங்கீகரிக்கலாச்சுது.

கிராண்டு III

7. சேரன்மாதேவி ரேஞ்சு சொட்டிபையிங் வாக்ஸினேட்டராயிருந்தும் இப்போது தாலூகா பாட்ச்சுடன் வேலை செய்து கொண்டிருக்கிற ஷேக்தாவுட் சாய்புக்கு மாதம் ஒன்றுக்கு ஒரு மொத்தமான வழிப்படியாக ரூ 3 கொடுக்கலாமென்று சிபார்சை செய்து நடப்புமீ 10உ போட்ட டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு மெடிகல் அண்டு சானிட்டேரி ஆபீசரின் 1043ம நிர் இண்டார்சுமெண்டுள்ள சேரன்மாதேவி வாக்ஸினேஷன் டிப்டி இன்ஸ்பெக்டரின் $\frac{201}{7\text{-}9{\cdot}94}$ ம் நிர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாச்சுது.

8 வெங்கிட்டராமய்யர்பேரிலும் இன்னம் 2 பேர்களின்பேரிலும் செயயப்பட்ட அசல் 1886ம் வருஷத்து 494ம் நம்பர் வியாச்சியத்தில் தாலூகா போர்டார் அவர்களுடைய பக்ஷத்தில் தீர்ப்பான டிக்ரிதுகையில் வசூலாகாத பாகமாகிய ரூ 1226½ கணக்கைவிட்டு கழித்துவிட கவர்மெண்டாரவர்களுடைய சாங்கிஷன் பெற வேண்டுமென்று கேட்டு 1894ம் வருஷம் ஆகஸ்ட்டுமீ 31உ நம்பர் 887ல் எழுதிக்கொண்ட சேரன்மாதேவி தாலூகாபோர்டு பிரிசிடெண்டு அவர்களுடைய லெட்டரை வாசிககலாச்சுது.

கவர்மெண்டு பிளீடரிடமிருந்து இன்னம் லா விஷயமாய் கேழ்க்கப்படவேண்டும்.

9. பேட்டை யூனியனை திருநெல்வேலி முனிசிபாலிட்டியோடு சேர்க்கவேணு மென்ற விஷயமாய் 1894ம் வருஷம் ஆகஸ்ட்டுமீ 27உ போட்ட 12ம் நம்பர் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டார் அவர்களின் தீர்மானத்தை மறுபடியும் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ஷெ ஆலோசனையை ஆக்ஷேபித்து பேட்டையூனியன் குடிகள் செய்துகொண்ட மகசர்களை வாசிகலாச்சுது.

பேட்டையானது அனேகத்திற்கு அனுகூலமாய் யூனியனாகவே யிருக்கலாமென்றும் அதை திருநெல்வேலி முனிசிபாலிட்டியோடு சேர்க்கிறது அனுவசியமெ

Municipality is unnecessary and would not be workable.

Read the following Government orders &c. received since the past meeting.

G O. dated 10—8—94 No. 1061M.
,, 15—8—94 No. 1535L.
,, 17—8—94 No. 1540L.
,, 18—8—94 No. 1563L.
,, 22—8—94 No. 1585L.
,, 27—8—94 No. 1625L.
,, 1—9—94 No. 1661L.
,, 4—9—94 No. 1684L.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction dated 28—8—94 No 8060.

Proceedings of the Executive Committee of the Countess of Dufferins Fund dated 2—8—94.

Recorded.

MACLEOD.
Presidend.

Proceedings of the District Board of Tinnevelly at their meeting held at Kokarakolam on Tuesday the 30th October 1894 at 4-30 P. M.

PRESENT.

Bannatyne Macleod Esquire, President.

OFFICIALS.

J. H. Munro Esquire, Sub Collector.
M. R. Ry. P. Sivarama Aiyar Avargal, Deputy Collector in charge of Head Assitant Collector's Division.
,, C Subramania Aiyar Avargal, Vice President and Head Quarter Deputy Collector, Tinnevelly.
,, M. Krishna Aiyar Avargal Tahsildar of Tenkarai
,, T. Krishna Rao Avargal, Sub Assistant Inspector of schools, Tuticorin Range.
,, S. Akshayalinga Mudaliar Avargal, Sub Assistant Inspector of schools, Tinnevelly Range

NON OFFICIALS.

M. R. Ry. B. Gopalaswmi Naidu Garu.
,, Subba Naidu Garu.
,, Sangilivirapandia Chinnathambiar Al.
,, Sankarasadasiva Somayajiar Avergal
,, Syedjemeah Sahib.
,, Nataduvava Rowter.
,, M. Shunmugam Pillai Avargal.
,, Kumarasami Mudaliar Avargal.
,, Venkatarama Aiyar Avargal.
,, Aiyappa Naiker Avargal.
,, M. S. Vengu Aiyar Avargal.
,, A. Somasundaram Pillai Avargal.
,, Krishnasami Somayajiar Avargal.
,, W. S. Krishna Aiyar Avargal.
,, W. E. Ganapathi Pillai Avargal.
,, R. Subbaiyar Avargal, 1st Grade Pleader.

ன்றும் வேலைக்கு அனுகூலப்படாதென்றும் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டார் அவர்கள் அபிப்பிராயப்படுகிறார்கள்.

சென்ற மீட்டிங்கைத் தொட்டு வரலான பின் வருகிற கவர்ண்மெண்டு உத்திரவு வகையறாக்களை வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

கவர்ண்மெண்டு ஆர்டர் நம்பர். 10—8—94ல் 1061 M.
,, ,, 15—8—94ல் 1535 L.
,, ,, 17—8—94ல் 1540 L.
,, ,, 18—8—94ல் 1563 L.
,, ,, 22—8—94ல் 1585 L.
,, ,, 27—8—94ல் 1625 L.
,, ,, 1—9—94ல் 1661 L.
,, ,, 4—9—94ல் 1684 L.

1894ம் வருஷம் ஆகஸ்ட்டுமீ 28உ போட்ட 8060ம் நம்பர் பப்ளிக் இன்ஸ்டிரக்ஷன் டைரக்டர் அவர்களின் புரொசிடிங்கில்

1894ம் வருஷம் ஆகஸ்ட்டுமீ 2உ போட்ட கவுண்டஸ் ஆப் டப்பரின் பண்டு காரிய கம்மிட்டியார் அவர்களின் ஷை

ரிக்கார்டு செய்யலாச்சுது.

1894ம் வருஷம் அக்டோபர் 30உ செவ்வாய்க்கிழமை மாலை 4—30மணிக்கு சொக்கரகுளத்தில் நடந்த திருநெல்வேலி டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டாரவர்களுடைய புரோசிடிங்ஸ்.

ஆஜர்.

பானட்டையன் மெக்லியாட் எஸ்குயர் பிரசிடெண்டு

உத்தியோகமுள்ளவர்கள்.

J, H. மன்ரோ எஸ்குயர் சப் கலெக்டர்.
மக-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ பி. சிவராமய்யரவர்கள் எட் அசிஸ்டெண்டு டிவிஷன் சார்ஜிலிருக்கிற டிப்டி கலெக்டர்
,, சி. சுப்பிரமணிய அய்யர் அவர்கள் வைஸ் பிரசிடெண்டும் திருநெல்வேலி எட் குவார்ட்டர் டிப்டி கலெக்டரும்.
,, எம். கிருஷ்ணய்யரவர்கள் தென்கரை தாசில்தார்
,, டி. கிருஷ்ணராவ் அவர்கள் தூத்துக்குடி ரேஞ்சு பள்ளிக்கூடம் அசிஸ்டெண்டு இன்ஸ்பெக்டர்.
,, எஸ். அச்சயலிங்க முதலியார் அவர்கள் திருநெல்வேலி ரேஞ்சு சப் அசிஸ்டெண்டு இனிஸ்பெக்டர்

உத்தியோகமில்லாதவர்கள்.

மக-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ பி. கோபாலசாமி நாயுடுகாரு.
,, சுப்பா நாயுடுகாரு.
,, சங்கலிவீரபாண்டிய சின்னத்தம்பியவர்கள்.
,, சங்கர சதாசிவ சோமாஜியார் அவர்கள்.
,, சையது ஜிமியா சாகிப்.
,, நத்தடுவாவா ருவுத்தர்.
,, எம். ஷண்முகம்பிள்ளையவர்கள்.
,, குமாரசுவாமி முதலியார் அவர்கள்.
,, வெங்கிட்டராம அய்யர் அவர்கள்.
,, அய்யப்ப நாய்க்கர் அவர்கள்.
,, எம். எஸ். வெங்கு அய்யர் அவர்கள்.
,, எ. சோமசுந்தரம்பிள்ளை அவர்கள்.
,, கிருஷ்ணசாமிசோமாஜியார் அவர்கள்,
,, M. S.கிருஷ்ண அய்யரவர்கள்.
,, W- E. கணபதிபிள்ளையவர்கள்.
,, ஆர். சுப்பய்யர் அவர்கள் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டுகோர்ட் பிளீடர்.

1. Read the following Government orders received since the last meeting.

No. 1834 L. dated 17—9—1894.
,, 1835 L. Do. Do.
,, 1879 L. Do. 22—9—1894.
,, 2066 L. Do. 16—10—1894.
,, 2176 L. Mis. dated 24—10—1894.

Recorded.

2. Read District Board Engineer's letter
3723
No.——dated 26th September 1894, stating that
A451
the scheme for the improvement of water supply to the town of Tenksai would cost a large sum of money and requesting to be informed whether he should make the necessary investigation for the preparation of plans and estimate.

The matter may lie over for the present as funds are not available.

3. Read District Board Engineer's letter
3843
No——dated 7th October 1894, forwarding for
A469
sanction and allotment of funds an estimate amounting to Rs. 10 for repairing the northern toll-shed at Satur.

Read District Board President's letter reference A. on C No. 333 of 1894 dated the 12th idem sanctioning the estimate in anticipation of the Board's approval.

Approved, allotment being made from the reserve.

4. Read application dated 25th September 1894, from the President, Tinnevelly Taluq Board applying for an allotment of Rs 740 from the balance of the Pandarakulam chattram towards the completion of the construction of wells on the Tinnevelly—Madura road.

Sanctioned.

5. Read application dated 10th September 1894, from the President, Tuticorin Taluk Board requesting sanction for an allotment, from the "reserve," of Rs 998 for payment of contribution to the Public Works Department on account of the completion of the repairs to the Srivaikuntham Periyakulam tank bund over which road No. XXIII runs.

Approved.

6. Read application dated 1st October 1894 from the President, Tuticorin Taluk Board, requesting sanction for the following allotment, of funds:—

Name of work.	Allotment provided.	Source from which the amount is to be met.
(1) Erecting sheds in the market at Udangudi, Tenkarai Taluk.	Rs. 536	Market surplus funds.
(2) Do. do. at Seidunganallur do.	540	do.
(3) Repairing the bridge of 8 rents on the road from Arumuganeri Salt factory to the Police lines	Rs 722	Reserve.

1. சென்றமீட்டிங்கு முதற்கொண்டு வந்த அடியிற் கண்ட கவர்ண்மெண்டு உத்திரவுகள் வாசிக்கப்பட்டன.

17—9—1894 தெய்தியுள்ள 1834 L நிர்.
ஷை தெய்தியுள்ள 1835 L நிர்.
22—9—1894 தெய்தியுள்ள 1879 L நிர்.
16-10—1894 தெய்தியுள்ள 2066 L நிர்.
24-10—1894 தெய்தியுள்ள 2176 L மிஸ் நிர்.

ரிக்கார்டு செய்யப்பட்டது.

2. தென்காசி பட்டணத்திற்கு தண்ணீர் சப்ளை அபிவிர்த்தியைப்பற்றின ஏற்பாட்டிற்கு அதிக பணச்செலவு பிடிக்குமென்றும் அதற்கு வேண்டிய பிளான்ஸ் எஸ்டிமேட்டு தயார் செய்கிறதற்கு தனக்கு தெரிவிக்க வேண்டுமென்றும் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிற டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீர் அவர்களுடைய 1894-ம்௵ செப்டம்பர்௴
3723
26௳ யுள்ள —— நிர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.
A 451

பண்டு இல்லாததால் தற்காலத்திற்கு அந்த விஷயம் நிறுத்திவைக்கலாச்சுது.

3. சாத்தூர் வடக்கு டோல்கேட்டை ரிப்பேர்செய்ய ரூ 10-க்கு ஒரு எஸ்டிமேட்டுக்கு அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு சாங்கிஷன் செய்யும்பொருட்டு டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீர் அவர்கள் 1894-ம்௵ அக்டோபர்௴ 7௳ எழுதிய
3843
—— நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.
A 469

போர்டாருடைய அனுமதியை முன்னிட்டு ஷை எஸ்டிமேட்டை சாங்கிஷன் செய்த டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்களுடைய 12௳ யுள்ள 1894-ம் ௵த்து 333-ம் நம்பர் ரிபரன்ஸ் எ லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ஒப்புக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது. ரிசர்விலிருந்து அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு செய்யப்பட்டது.

4. திருநெல்வேலி மதுரை ரோட்டில் கிணறுகள் வெட்டுகிறதற்காக பண்டாரக்குளம் சத்திரம் ஐவேஜிலிருந்து ரூ 740 அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு செய்வதற்காக திருநெல்வேலி தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894ம்௵ செப்டம்பர்௴ 25௳ எழுதிய அப்பிளிகேஷன் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

சாங்கிஷன் செய்யப்பட்டது.

5. 23-ம் நம்பர் ரோட்டு செல்லுகிற ஸ்ரீவைகுண்டம் பெரியகுளம் கரையை ரிப்பேர் செய்ய பப்ளிக் வொர்க்ஸ் டிபார்ட்மெண்டுக்கு உதவித்தொகை கொடுக்கிறதற்கு ரிசர்விலிருந்து ரூ 998 அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு சாங்கிஷன் செய்யும்படி தூத்துக்குடி தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894-ம்௵ செப்டம்பர்௴ 10௳ எழுதிய அப்பிளிகேஷன் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ஒப்புக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது.

6. பின்வருகிற அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு பண்டுகளை சாங்கிஷன் செய்யும்படி கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிற தூத்துக்குடி தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894-ம்௵ அக்டோபர்௴ 1௳ எழுதிய அப்பிளிக்கேஷன் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

வேலையின் விபரம்	அல்லாட்டுமெண்டுதொகை ரூ	தொகை எதிலிருந்து செலவு செய்யப்படுமென்பது
1. தென்கரைத் தாலூகா உடன்குடி மார்க்கேட்டு பந்தல்ப்போடுவதற்கு	536	மார்க்கேட்டு ஜாஸ்தி பண்டிலிருந்து
2. செய்துங்க நல்லூர் மார்க்கெட்டு பந்தல் போடுவதற்கு	540	ஷை
3. ஆறுமுகனேரி உப்பளத்திலிருந்து போலீஸ் ஷ்டேஷனுக்குப் போகிற ரஸ்தாவில் எட்டுக் கண்ணுள்ள பாலத்தை ரிப்பேர் செய்ய	ரூ 722	ரிசர்விலிருந்து

Approved.

7. Read letter dated 9th October 1894, reference B on current No. 1304, from the Collector forwarding an award statement for Rs228—3—0 for lands acqured for the Sankaranainarkoil Chintamani road and requesting that the amount be placed at the disposal of the Head Quarters Deputy Collector for disbursement to the parties concerned. This amount is required for the completion of the settlement of compensation.

Sanctioned from the reserve.

8. Read District Board Engineer's letter No.
3988
——dated 18th October 1894, forwarding for
A481
sanction and allotment of funds an estimate amounting to Rs 57 for painting mile and furlong stones on the roads maintined from the District Board funds in the Tenkasi taluk.

Sanctioned from the reserve.

9. Read District Board Engineer's letter
3989
No.—— dated 19th October 1894, forwarding
A482
a sketch showing the position of the present toll gate at the southern end of Palamcottah and that to which it is proposed to be removed the point where the Kalaldakurichi Pallamcottah road and the Palamcottah Travancore road meet.

Read also other papers connected with the subject.

The sketch will be forwarded to Government with a draft notification.

10. Read letter No. 429 dated 1st October 1894 from the Head Master of the Board Training school forwarding 2 bills for the months of August and September last on account of scholarships due to the Lower Secondary student. Up to 1893-94 each student was paid Rs6 monthly, being the total amount of the stipend, from the Provincial Funds. Under the recent grant in aid code the rate of scholarship payable to that grade of student is Rs 4 only which the Director of Public Instructon has sanctioned. The difference of Rs 2 for each student has to be paid from the District Board Fund, the total amount required for the year for the 12 students under training, being Rs 280. The primary grade student draws Rs 5 per mensum the Provincial Funds contributing Rs 3.

Sanctioned from the reserve.

அங்கீகரிக்கலாயிற்று.

7. சங்கரநயினார்கோவில் சிந்தாமணி ரோட்டிற்கு வேண்டிய நிலத்தை யெடுத்துக் கொண்டதற்காக ரூ 228-3--0 கொடுக்கலாமென்று தீர்மானித்த ஒரு ஸ்டேட்டுமெண்டை அனுப்பி, காம்பன் சேஷன் செட்டில்மெண்டை பூர்த்தி செய்யவேண்டியிருக்கிற ஷை தொகையை அந்த நிலங்களின் சொந்தக்காரர்களுக்கு கொடுக்கும்படி எட் குவார்டர் டிப்டி கலெக்டர் அவர்கள் வசத்தில் ஒப்பிவிக்கும்படிகேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறகலெக்டர் அவர்களுடைய 1304 ரிபரன்ஸ் பி நம்பர் உள்ள 1894ம்௵ அக்டோபர்௴ 9௳ யெழுதிய லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ரிசர்விலிருந்து சாங்கிஷன் செய்யப்பட்டது.

8. தென்காசித்தாலூகாவில் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டாருடைய பண்டிலிருந்து காப்பாற்றப்பட்டு வருகிற ரோட்டுகளின் மைல் பர்லாங்கு கல்லுகளுக்கு வர்னம் பூச ரூ 57-க்கு ஒரு யெஸ்டிமேட்டு சாங்கிஷன் செய்யும்படி அனுப்பிய டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீயர் அவர்கள் 1894ம௵ அக்டோபர்௴ 18௳ எழுதிய
3988
—— ம்
A 481
நம்பர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ரிசர்விலிருந்து சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாயிற்று.

9. பாளையங்கோட்டை தெற்குக்கோடியில் தற்காலத்திலிருக்கிற டோல் கேட்டின் இடத்தையும் கல்லிடக்குறிச்சி பாளையங்கோட்டை ரோட்டும் பாளையங்கோட்டை திருவாங்கூர் ரோட்டும் சந்திக்கிற இடமாகிய இப்பொழுதுமாற்ற உத்தேசித்திருக்கிற இடத்தையும் காண்பிக்கிற ஒரு பிளானை அனுப்பியதாய் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீயர் அவர்கள் 1894ம்௵ அக்டோபர்௴ 19௳
3989
எழுதிய —— ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.
A 482

அந்த விஷயத்திற்கு சம்பந்தப்பட்ட மற்ற பேப்பர்களும் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

டிராப்டு நோட்டிபிகேஷனுடன் அந்த பிளானை கவர்ண்மெண்டுக்கு அனுப்பப்படும் என்று தீர்மானிக்கலாச்சுது.

10. லோயர் சக்கண்டரி மாணுக்கருக்கு ஆகஸ்டு செப்டம்பர் மாசங்களுக்கு சேர வேண்டிய ஸ்காலர் ஷிப்புக்காக 2 பில்களை அனுப்பியதாய் போர்டு நார்மல் ஸ்கூல் எட் மாஸ்டர் 1894ம்௵ அக்டோபர்௴ 2௳ எழுதிய 429ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது. 1893ம்௵—94ம்௵ வரையில் ஒவ்வோருமாணுக்கனும் மாசம ஒன்றுக்கு புரோவின்ஷியல் பண்டிலிருந்து கொடுக்கப்பட்ட பூர்த்தி ஸ்டைபெண்டாகிய ரூ 6 பெற்றுவந்தார்கள். புதிய கிராண்டு இன் கோட் பிரகாரம் அந்த கிரேட் மாணுக்கர்களுக்கு ஏற்பட்ட ஸ்காலர்ஷிப் ரூ 4 டைரெக்டர் சாங்கிஷன் செய்ததும் அது தான். ஒவ்வொரு மாணுக்கனுக்கும் கொடுக்க வேண்டிய பாக்கி ரூ 2ம் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு பண்டிலிருந்து கொடுக்கப்படவேண்டியது. பயிற்சியிலிருக்கப்பட்ட மாணுக்கர்கள் 12 பேர்களுக்கும் வருஷத்திற்கு வேண்டிய தொகை ரூ 280 பிரைமெரி கிரேட் மாணுக்கர்கள் ஒவ்வொரு வரும் மாசம் ரூ 5 பெறுகிறார்கள் அதில் புரோவின்ஷியல் பண்டிலிருந்து ரூ 3 தான் கொடுக்கிறார்கள்.

ரிசர்விலிருந்து சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாயிற்று.

11. Read application dated 15th October 1894 from the President, Tinnevelly Taluq Board requesting sanction for the allotment of Rs 557 provided for payment of over earned results grants of 1893—94.

Approved.

12. Read Tinnevelly Tahsildar's report dated 21st September 1894 stating that the stipends drawn by one Purnamiah, a defaulting student of the Board Training school and the cost of the suit instituted for the recovery of the amount cannot be realized the defaulter possessing no property. The total amount, due by him is Rs 20-7.

Rs 20—7—0 irrecoverable.

13. Read Shermadevi Taluk Board President's letter No 1051 dated 2nd October 1894, requesting sanction for the payment of Rs 3 on account of rent for August 1894 for the building in which the Board Muhammadan school at Panagudi, Nanguneri Taluk is kept.

Approved.

14. Read District Medical and Sanitary Officer's letter No. 1381 dated 21st September 1894 requesting that a permanent advance of Rs 10 be sanctioned for the female dispensary at Melaviraraghavapuram.

Sanctioned from the reserve.

15. Read District Medical and Sanitary officer's letter No. 1388 dated 22nd September 1894 requesting to be informed whether the female dispensary at Melaviraraghavapuram be called, Lady Dufferin female Dispensary although it is maintained from the District Board Fund.

Approved.

16. Read letter No. 296 dated 3rd October 1894, from the Honorary Secretary, Countess of Dufferin Fund informing that Mrs. Huffton has been selected for service in this District and requesting that her stipend at Rs 25 per mensem for 1894—95 be remitted in advance.

Read also the President's order sanctioning the remittance of the amount.

Approved.

17. Read letter No. 1587 dated 19th September 1894, from the President, Tuticorin Taluk Board requesting sanction for raising, from Rs 3 to 5, the monthly rent of the building in which the Union Panchayat Office of Kulasekrapatnam is located.

Approved.

18. Read memorandum of the District Board President dated 29th October 1894, requesting the sanction of the Board for the following additional and fresh allotment.

GRANT I.

Items.	Amount.	Remarks.
Refund of other receipts tolls.	Rs 1	Fresh allotment.

GRANT II.

Items.	Amount.	Remarks.
Refund of the share of the Provincial contribution recovered from the defaulting students of the Board training school.	100	Do. Do.

GRANT IV.

Items.	Amount.	Remarks.
Travelling allowance of Office Establishment.	20	Additional Do
Printing work done at the Government Press.	540	Do. Do.
Miscellaneous Office contingencies.	100	Do. Do.

DEBT HEADS.

Items.	Amount.	Remarks.
Refund of Provident fund subscriptions	400	Fresh Do.
Total	1161	

Sanctioned from the reserve.

19. Read petition of appeal presented by S. Muthusami Pillai, late maistry on road No. V Palamcottah—Travancore road requesting to be reinstated in his former post.

The Board resolve that there is no objection to petitioner's re-employment if vacancies arise.

LIONEL VIBERT,
for President.

Proceedings of the District Board of Tinnevelly at their meeting held at Kokarakulam on Monday the 26th November 1894 at 4 P. M.

PRESENT.

Bannatyne Macleod Esquire, President.

OFFICIALS.

J. H. Munro Esquire, Sub Collector.
M. R. Ry. P. Sivarama Aiyar Avargal, Deputy Collector in charge of Head Assistant Collector's Division.
" T. A. Shunmugasundaram Pillai Avl., General Charge Deputy Collector, Srivilliputtur.
Surgeon Major K. C. Sanjana, Dt. Surgeon.
M. R. Ry. M. Krishna Aiyar Avargal, Tahsildar of Tenkarai.
" B. Narasimhalu Aiyar Avl, Tahsildar of Nanguneri.
" S. Akshayalinga Mudaliar Avargal, Sub Assistant Inspector of schools, Tinnevelly Range

NON OFFICIALS.

T. K. Wright Esquire.
M. R. Ry. Subba Naidu Garu.
" M. Shunmugam Pillai Avargal.
" M. S. Vengu Aiyar Avargal.
" Nataduvava Rowter.
" Venkatarama Aiyar Avargal.
" A. Somasundaram Pillai Avargal.
" Sankarasadasiva Somayajiar Avargal
" Kumarasami Mudaliar Avargal.
" W. S. Krishna Aiyar Avargal.
" Syedjemeah Sahib.

1. Read the Local Fund revised estimate of receipts and charges for 1894—95 and the consolidated budget for 1895—96.

---

11. 1893—94ம் ௵த்தில் சாஸ்தியாய் சம்பாதித்த ரிசல்ட்டு கிராண்டு தொகையை கொடுக்க ரூ 557 சாங்ஷன் செய்யவேணு மென்று திருநெல்வேலி தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ அக்டோபர் மீ 15உ யெழுதிய அப்ளிகேஷன் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

அங்கிகரிக்கப்பட்டது.

12. போர்டு பயிர்ச்சி பாடசாலையின் விதிப்படி நடக்கத் தவறிய மாணாக்கராகிய பூர்ணமையா என்பவரால் பெற்றுக்கொள்ளப் பட்டிருக்கிற ஸ்டைபெண்டு தொகையும் அந்தத் தொகையை திருப்ப அடைவதற்காக செய்த பிராதின் செலவும் அவருக்கு யாதொரு சொத்தும் இல்லாததால் திரும்ப அடைய முடியாதென்றும் அவர் செலுத்த வேண்டிய தொகை ரூ 20—7—0 என்றும் திருநெல்வேலி தாசில்தாரவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ செப்டம்பர் மீ 21உ எழுதிய ரிப்போர்ட்டு வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

ரூ 20—7—0 திரும்ப வசூலாக்க கூடியதில்லை என்று தீர்மானிக்கலாயிற்று.

13. நாங்குனேரித் தாலூகா பணகுடி போர்டு மகமதிய பள்ளிக்கூடம் கட்டிடத்தின் 1894ம் ௵ ஆகஸ்டு மீ த்து வாடகை ரூ 3 கொடுக்க சாங்ஷன் செய்யும்படி சேரன்மாதேவி தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ அக்டோபர் மீ 2உ யெழுதிய 1051ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

அங்கீகாரம் செய்யப்பட்டது.

14. மேலவீரராகவபுரம் பெண்பிள்ளை டிஸ்பென்சரிக்காக ரூ 10 பெர்மெனென்ட் அட்வான்ஸ் சாங்ஷன் செய்யும்படி டிஸ்டிரிக்டு சாஜியன் அவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ செப்டம்பர் மீ 21உ யெழுதிய 1381ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

ரிசர்விலிருந்து சாங்கிஷன் செய்யப்பட்டது.

15. மேலவீரராகவபுரம் பெண்பிள்ளை டிஸ்பென்சரியானது டிஸ்டிரிக்டு போர்டு பண்டிலிருந்து காப்பாற்றப்பட்டுவந்த போதிலும் லேடி டப்ரின் பெண்பிள்ளை டிஸ்பென்சரி யென்று அழைக்கப்படுகிறதா என்பதை தனக்கு தெரிவிக்கவேண்டுமென்று கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிற டிஸ்டிரிக்டு சர்ஜியன் அவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ செப்டம்பர் மீ 22உ எழுதிய 1388ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

அங்கீகரிக்கலாயிற்று.

16. இந்த ஜில்லாவின் உபயோகத்திற்காக மிஸ்டரஸ் ஹப்டன் என்பவளை அப்பாத்திகரியாக தெரிந்தெடுத்திருக்கிறதென்றும் 1894—95ம் ௵த்திற்கு மாசம் ஒன்றுக்கு ரூ 25 வீதம் அவளுடைய ஸ்டைபெண்டை அட்வான்ஸாக செலுத்திவிடும்படிக்கு கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிற கௌண்டஸ் டப்ரின்பண்டு ஆனொரரி செக்ரட்டேரி அவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ அகடோபர் மீ 3உ எழுதிய 296ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

அந்த தொகையை செலுத்தி விடும்படி சாங்ஷன் செய்த பிரசிடெண்டவர்களுடைய உத்திரவும் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

அங்கீகரிக்கலாயிற்று.

17. குலசேகரபட்டணம் யூனியன் பஞ்சாயித்தாபீசு கட்டிட வாடகையை ரூ 3 லிருந்து ரூ 5 ஆக உயர்த்த சாங்ஷன் செய்யும்படி தூத்துக்குடி தாலூகா போர்டு பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ செப்டம்பர் மீ 19உ எழுதிய 1587ம் நம்பர் லெட்டர் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

அங்கீகரிக்கப்பட்டது.

18. அடியிற்கண்ட ஜாஸ்தியான புதிய அல்லாட்டுமெண்டுகளை போர்டாரவர்கள் சாங்ஷன் செய்யும்படி பிரசிடெண்டவர்கள் 1894ம் ௵ அக்டோபர் மீ 29உ எழுதிய மெமோராண்டம் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

கிராண்டு I

பாபத்துகள்	தொகை	ஷரா
டோல்ஸ் இதர வரும்படி ரிபண்டு	1	புதிய அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு

கிராண்டு II

பாபத்துகள்	தொகை	ஷரா
போர்டு பயிற்சி பாடசாலையின் விதிப்படி நடக்கத் தவறிய மாணாக்கரிடமிருந்து திரும்ப வசூல் செய்த புரோவின்ஷியல் உதவித் தொகையின் ரிபண்டு	100	ஷை

கிராண்டு IV

பாபத்துகள்	தொகை	ஷரா
ஆபீசு சிப்பந்தியின் வழிப்படி	20	ஜாஸ்தியான அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு
கவரன் மெண்டு அச்சுக்கூடத்தில் அச்சடித்த செலவு	540	ஷை
சில்லரை பாபத்துகள் (ஆபீசு கண்டின்செண்டு)	100	ஷை

டெட் எட்டுகள்

பாபத்துகள்	தொகை	ஷரா
பிராவிடண்டு பண்டு ஸப்ஸ்கிரிப்ஷன் ரிபண்டு	400	புதிய அல்லாட்டுமெண்டு
மொத்தம்	1161	

ரிசர்விலிருந்து சாங்கிஷன் செய்யலாயிற்று.

19. பாளையங்கோட்டை திருவாங்கூர் 5ம் நம்பர் ரோட் மேஸ்திரியாயிருந்த எஸ். முத்துசுவாமிபிள்ளை என்பவன் தன்னை மறுபடியும் தன்னுடைய முந்தின வேலையில் வைக்கவேணுமென்று கேட்டுக்கொண்ட அப்பீல் பெட்டிஷன் வாசிக்கலாயிற்று.

காலி வரும் பக்ஷத்தில் மனுதாரனை திரும்ப வேலையில் வைக்கிறதற்கு யாதொரு தடையும் இல்லை என்று போர்டார் தீர்மானிக்கிறார்கள்.

1894ம் ௵ நவம்பர் மீ 26உ திங்கள்கிழமை யன்று மாலை 4 மணிக்கு திருநெல்வேலி டிஸ்டிரிக்ட் போர்டாரவர்கள் கொக்கிரகுளத்தில் மீட்டிங்கூடி பிரப்பித்த புரோசிடிங்ஸ்.

ஆஜர்.

பானட்டையன் மெக்லியாட் எஸ்குயர் பிரசிடெண்டு

உத்தியோகமுள்ளவர்கள்.

J. H. மன்றோ எஸ்குயர் சப் கலெக்டர்.
மக-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ பி. சிவராமய்யரவர்கள் எட் அசிஸ்டெண்டு டிவிஷன் சார்ஜிலிருக்கிற டிப்டி கலெக்டர்.
" டி. எ. ஷண்முகசுந்தரம்பிள்ளை அவர்கள், ஸ்ரீவில்லிபுத்தூர்ஜெனரல்சார்ஜ டிப்டி கலெக்டர்.
சர்ஜன் மேஜர் கே. சி. சன்ஜானு, ஜில்லா சர்ஜன்.
மக-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ கிருஷ்ணய்யரவர்கள், தென்கரை தாசில்தார்.
" பி. நரசிம்மலு அய்யரவர்கள், நாங்குனேரி தாசில்தார்.
எஸ். அக்ஷயலிங்கமுதலியாரவர்கள் திருநெல்வேலி ரேஞ்சு சப்அசிஸ்டாண்டு இனிஸபெக்டர்.

உத்தியோகமில்லாதவர்கள்.

டி. கே. ரயிட் எஸ்குயர்.
மக-ா-ா-ஸ்ரீ சுப்பா நாயுடு அவர்கள்.
" ஷண்முகம்பிள்ளை அவர்கள்;
" வெங்குவய்யர் அவர்கள்.
" நத்தடுவாவா ரவுத்தரவர்கள்.
" வெங்கிட்டராமய்யரவர்கள்.
" எ. சோமசுந்தரம்பிள்ளை அவர்கள்.
" சங்கர சதாசிவ சோமாஜியரவர்கள்.
" குமாரசுவாமி முதலியாரவர்கள்.
" கிருஷ்ணசாமி சோமாஜியரவர்கள்.
" சைய்து மியா சாய்பு.

1894—95ம் ௵த்துக்கு வரவு செலவுகளுக்கு லோக்கல்பண்டு ரிவைஸ்டு எஸ்டிமேட்டையும் 1895—96ம் ௵த்துக்கு பட் ஜெட்டையும் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

Read the draft letter accompanying the budget together with the subsidiary statements.

Approved and submitted to Government as settled at the meeting.

2. Read District Board Engineer's letter No. $\frac{4222}{\text{A503}}$ dated 10th November 1894 forwarding for sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs 53,400 for the construction of the road from Ettaiyapuram to Vilatikulam. The revised estimate exceeds the original estimate by Rs 1500.

Approved and will be forwarded to Government for sanction.

3. Read District Board Engineer's letter No $\frac{4233}{\text{A510}}$ dated 15th November 1894 forwarding for sanction and allotment of funds an estimate amounting to Rs 4 for rethatching the toll-shed at the Srivaikuntham bridge, Tenkarai Taluk.

Approved from General Savings.

4. Read District Board Engineer's letter No $\frac{4334}{\text{A516}}$ dated 25th November 1894 forwarding for sanction and allotment of funds an estimate amounting to Rs 24,900 for the construction of a building at Kokarakulam to accommodate the Local Fund Offices.

Approved and will be forwarded to Government for sanction. Rs 4000 is provided in the revised estimate for 1894—95.

5. Read letter No. 173 dated 11th November 1894 from the Vaccine Deputy Inspector Satur Range bearing the District Medical and Sanitary Officers endorsement No. 1443 dated 13th idem recommending a consolidated monthly travelling allowance of Rs 3 to the certifying vaccinator who is now performing duty with the batch vaccinators.

Sanctioned.

6. Read letter No. 300 dated 5th November 1894 from the Honorary Secretary Countess of Dufferin Fund stating that stipends shonld be paid to Mrs. Hufton the lady apothecary pupil with effect from October 1893, as her training really began on the 1st idem at her own expense and informing that her services will be available a year sooner than otherwise.

Sanctioned from general savings for one year's stipends from October 1893 to October 1894.

7. Read Treasury Deputy Collector's Office note dated 7th November 1894 suggesting that the District Board Office be provided with a permanent advance of Rs 20 to meet the urgent contingent charges as the practice of that office obtaining small sums for the purpose from the permanent advance of the Collector's Office is found inconvenient.

Sanctioned from the provision of the revised estimate for contingencies.

LIONEL VIBERT.
for President.

பட்ஜெட்டுடன் அனுப்பியிருக்கிற லெட்டரையும் மற்றும் ஸ்டேட்டுமெண்டுகளையும் வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

அங்கீகாரஞ்செய்து மீட்டிங்கில் தீர்மானம் செய்தபடிக்கு கவர்ன்மெண்டுக்கு அனுப்புகிறதென்று தீர்மானம் செய்யலாச்சுது.

2. எட்டையாபுரம் விளாத்தி குளத்துக்கு ரஸ்தாபோட ரூ. 53400 க்கு தயாரான ரிவைஸ்டு எஸ்டிமேட்டை சாங்க்ஷன் செய்து அனுப்பவேணுமென் டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீர் துரையவர்கள் யெழுதியிருக்கிற 1894ம் ஞு நவம்பர் மீ 10உ போட்ட $\frac{4222}{\text{A. 508}}$ ம் நம்பர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது. முதலில் தயாரான எஸ்டிமேட்டைப் பார்க்கிலும் ரிவைஸ்டு எஸ்ட்டுமேட்டு ரூ. 1500 கூட

அங்கீகரித்து கவர்ன்மெண்டு சாங்க்ஷனுக்கு அனுப்புகிறதென்று தீர்மானிக்கலாச்சுது.

3. தென்கரைத் தாலூகா ஸ்ரீவைகுண்டம் பாலத்தில் கட்டியிருக்கிற டோல்கேட்டுக்கு கூரைபோட ரூ. 4 க்கு தயாரான யெஸ்ட்டிமேட்டை சாங்க்ஷன் செய்து தொகையும் சாங்க்ஷன் செய்யவேணுமென்ற டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு இஞ்சினீர் துரையவர்கள் 15—11—94ல் யெழுதியிருக்கிற $\frac{4233}{\text{A. 510}}$ ம் நம்பர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

சேவிங்களிலிருந்து சாங்க்ஷன் செய்யலாச்சுது.

4. லோக்கல்பண்டு கச்சேரிகளிருப்பதற்காக கொக்கரை குளத்தில் ஒரு கட்டடம் கட்ட ரூ. 24900 க்கு தயாரான யெஸ்டிமேட்டை சாங்க்ஷன் செய்து தொகையை சாங்க்ஷன் செய்யவேணுமென்று டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு போர்டு இஞ்சினீர் அவர்கள் 26—11—64 போட்டு யெழுதிய $\frac{4334}{\text{A. 516}}$ ம் நம்பர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

அங்கீகாரம் செய்து கவர்ன்மெண்டு சாங்க்ஷனுக்கு அனுப்புகிறதென்று தீர்மானிக்கலாச்சுது 94—95 ம் வருஷத்துக்கு தயாரான ரிவைஸ்ட்டு எஸ்ட்டிமேட்டில் ரூ. 4000 யேற்படுத்தியிருக்கிறது.

5. பாச்சுவாக்ஸிநேட்டர்களுடன் சேர்ந்து வேலைசெய்கிற சர்டிபையிங் வாக்ஸிநேட்டருக்கு மாசம் 1 க்கு ரூ. 3 படி மொத்தமாக கொடுக்க வேணுமென்று டிஸ்டிரிக்ட்டு மெடிக்கல் சானிட்டேரி ஆபீசரவர்கள் 13-11-94 உ போட்ட இண்டார்சமெண்டு சகிதம் வந்திருக்கிற சாத்தூர் ரேஞ்சு வாக்ஸின் டிப்டி இன்ஸ்பெக்டர் 11-11 94 ல் யெழுதியிருக்கிற 173ம் நம்பர் லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

சாங்க்ஷன் செய்யலாச்சுது.

6. அப்பாத்திகிரி பியூப்பில் மெஸஸ் ஹப்டன் தனைச்சானி 1893ம் ஞு அக்டோபர் மீ 1உ முதல் வாசித்து வருகிறபடியால் அக்க செய்தி முதல் தொண்டு அவளுக்கு சம்பளம் கொடுக்கவேணுமென்றும் ஒரு வருஷத்திற்கு முன்னாடியே அவள் வேலையில் பிரவேசிக்கலாமென்றும் கவுண்டஸ் ஆப் டப்ரின் பண்டு ஹானரெரி செக்கிரிட்டேரி அவர்கள் 5—11—94ல் யெழுதியிருக்கிற லெட்டரை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ஜெனரல் சேவிங்களிலிருந்து 1893—94ம் ஞு அக்டோபர் வரை 1 வருஷத்துக்கு தொகை சாங்க்ஷன் செய்திருக்கிறது.

7. கலெக்டர் துரையவர்களாபீசுக்கு யேற்பட்டிருக்கிற பெர்மனெண்டு அட்வான்ஸ் தொகையிலிருந்து டிஸ்டிரிக்டு போர்டாபீசுக்கு கண்டின் செலவுக்கு வேண்டிய சொற்ப தொகை கொடுத்து வருகிறவழக்கம் அசௌகரியத்திற்கு யிடமாயிருப்பதால் அந்த ஆபீசுக்கு பிரத்தேகமாக ரூ. 20 பெர்மனெண்டு அட்வான்ஸ் கொடுக்க வேணுமென்று கஜானா சார்ஜ் டிப்டி கலெக்டரவர்கள் 7—11-94ல் யெழுதியிருக்கிற நோட்டை வாசிக்கலாச்சுது.

ரிவைஸ்ட்டு எஸ்டிமேட்டில் கண்டின்செண்டு செலவுக்கு யேற்படுத்தியிருக்கிற தொகையிலிருந்து சாங்க்ஷன் செய்யலாச்சுது.

Hindu Desa Abimani Press Ry. Station.

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL
OF FORT St. GEORGE
MADRAS.

14 FEB 96

The humble memorial of Vana Kadir Ibrahim Rowther and the other undersigned inhabitants of the village of Pettai in the Pettai Union, and Tinnevelly Taluq, Tinnevelly District.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

That memorialists have learnt with the greatest surprise that a scheme has been submitted to your Excellency in Council by the Collector of Tinnevelly for the inclusion of Pettai in the Tinnevelly Municipality.

2. That memorialists hereby most respectfully but most earnestly beg to protest against the scheme in question, and to request that it may be rejected *in toto.* It has been submitted without consulting the wishes of the residents concerned, or hearing what they had to say on the subject; and against the opinion and Resolution of the Union Panchayetdars of Pettai who may, to a certain extent, be taken, as the representatives of and to be voicing the views of the rate payers.

3. That in consideration of the serious objections which exist to the proposal to tack on Pettai to the Tinnevelly Municipality, when the subject was once before mooted in the year 1894, the District Board passed a Resolution (in the 7th January 1895) in the following terms:—"The District Board is of opinion that Pettai may most advantageously continue as a Union, and that its inclusion with Tinnevelly Municipality is unnecessary and would not be workable". Vide the Resolution at pages 4 and 5 in the Tinnevelly District Gazette copy hereto attached. It was after the then President of the District Board heard memorialists and inspected the locality that the Board rejected the then proposal, by the said Resolution.

Nothing can be urged and nothing has transpired within the intervening short period of two years for taking a different view now.

4. That even now (memorialists hear), the District Board have not passed a resolution that it is *necessary* or *desirable* that Pettai should be merged in the Tinnevelly Municipality, but only that they have no objection to such a merger. In 1894, they found that the scheme was *unnecessary* and *unworkable.* It has not been, and cannot be shewn that the same scheme has so soon after become *necessary* or *workable.*

5. That there is a distance of about two miles between Pettai and Tinnevelly. This interval of two miles is one long stretch of paddy fields and tanks. Apart from other objections, the proposal under consideration would involve the inclusion of this large block of Nunjah lands which pay high rates of assessment. The inclusion will be followed by the imposition thereon of a tax under Section 63, clause (a) of the Mofussil Municipal Act. Thus the unfortunate agricultural ryots who own these Nunjah lands, some of whom alone after all are residents of Pettai will be called upon to pay an additional tax on their already thrice-taxed Nunjah lands; while, on the other hand, they get no advantage in return for such additional taxation as the said lands are entirely outside the scope of all sanitary and Municipal operations. If the agricultural ryot is a resident of Pettai and is therefore in a position to be benefitted by such operations, it has to be remembered, that as such resident he will pay house tax, professional tax, tax on vehicles and animals &c. In short, the matter is so patently unjust that memorialists feel that there is no necessity to expatiate further on it.

6. That again taking the village of Pettai itself, it is but a small village. Excepting a few merchants, all the rest of its inhabitants are but poor people. The number of houses in it is but 1800, mostly small buildings. The other adjacent hamlets of Pattapathu &c are petty habitations inhabited mostly by Pullars and Pariahs, and situated mostly in the midst of paddy fields. Between all these hamlets there are large blocks of Nunjah lands and tanks.

7. That Pettai was not even fit to be a Union, and was made such only by taking along with it a number of villages lying scattered round about it, and separated from it by large extents of Nanjah lands, tanks, pacharies &c. Hence in the Union the total number of houses is 3543, as against the 1800 houses in Pettai village itself. Your Excellency will thus see that Pettai is a very petty village, and that its inhabitants are too poor to bear the burden of a Municipality. Its sanitary and other needs are more than met by the Union, and there is absolutely no necessity to transform it into a Municipality.

8. That again Pettai is mostly inhabited by Mussalmen, whose females are Gosha. The males are mostly absent in other places, for their livilihood. It is scarcely necessary to point out that considerable irritation and trouble will be caused to them, and hence to the Mussalmen community in general by the entry into the dwellings and by the other operations which must be carried on by the Municipal servants such as Sanitary Inspector, Private scavenging servants, Bill Collectors &c.

9. That as your Excellency is well aware, the introduction of a Municipality places various new duties on the resident within it limits; and he has to attend at the Municipal office for the Registration of Births and Deaths, the licensing of his vehicles and animals, getting permission for putting up or repairing pandals and buildings &c.

Thus a resident in Pettai will have to walk a distance of more than two miles to the office in Tinnevelly for transacting all this petty business. To poor persons who have to work every day for their sustenance this is a great hardship and of course involves pecuniary loss.

10. That at present, Pettai being the central village of the Pettai Union, and the office of the Union being in it, it has some chance of being benefitted by the Panchayetdars, nine out of twelve of whom are all residents of Pettai Village itself, and may be expected to look after its interests.

But if Pettai is merged in the Tinnevelly Municipality, it will be but one of many hamlets which will be so merged, and will thus scarcely have any representation at the Council Board. Thus the chances are that any representation Pettai may be able to secure in the Tinnevelly Municipality, will be too feeble in relation to the whole corporate influence to operate with any effect or for any good so far as the interests and welfare of Pettai are concerned.

Pray, therefore, your Excellency in Council to be graciously pleased to pass orders that Pettai should continue to be a Union as heretofore, and that it should not be tacked on to the Tinnevelly Municipality.

For which act of benevolence, memorialists as in duty bound shall ever pray.

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL
OF FORT St. GEORGE
MADRAS.

The humble memorial of Vana Kadir Ibrahim Rowther and the other undersigned inhabitants of the village of Pettai in the Pettai Union, and Tinnevelly Taluq, Tinnevelly District.

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

That memorialists have learnt with the greatest surprise that a scheme has been submitted to your Excellency in Council by the Collector of Tinnevelly for the inclusion of Pettai in the Tinnevelly Municipality.

2. That memorialists hereby most respectfully but most earnestly beg to protest against the scheme in question, and to request that it may be rejected *in toto*. It has been submitted without consulting the wishes of the residents concerned, or hearing what they had to say on the subject; and against the opinion and Resolution of the Union Panchayetdars of Pettai who may, to a certain extent, be taken, as the representatives of and to be voicing the views of the rate payers.

3. That in consideration of the serious objections which exist to the proposal to tack on Pettai to the Tinnevelly Municipality, when the subject was once before mooted in the year 1894, the District Board passed a Resolution (in the 7th January 1895) in the following terms:—"The District Board is of opinion that Pettai may most advantageously continue as a Union, and that its inclusion with Tinnevelly Municipality is unnecessary and would not be workable". Vide the Resolution at pages 4 and 5 in the Tinnevelly District Gazette copy hereto attached. It was after the then President of the District Board heard memorialists and inspected the locality that the Board rejected the then proposal, by the said Resolution.

Nothing can be urged and nothing has transpired within the intervening short period of two years for taking a different view now.

4. That even now (memorialists hear), the District Board have not passed a resolution that it is *necessary* or *desirable* that Pettai should be merged in the Tinnevelly Municipality, but only that they have no objection to such a merger. In 1894, they found that the scheme was *unnecessary* and *unworkable*. It has not been, and cannot be shewn that the same scheme has so soon after become *necessary* or *workable*.

5. That there is a distance of about two miles between Pettai and Tinnevelly. This interval of two miles is one long stretch of paddy fields and tanks. Apart from other objections, the proposal under consideration would involve the inclusion of this large block of Nunjah lands which pay high rates of assessment. The inclusion will be followed by the imposition thereon of a tax under Section 63, clause (a) of the Mofussil Municipal Act. Thus the unfortunate agricultural ryots who own these Nunjah lands, some of whom alone after all are residents of Pettai will be called upon to pay an additional tax on their already thrice taxed Nunjah lands; while, on the other hand, they get no advantage in return for such additional taxation as the said lands are entirely outside the scope of all sanitary and Municipal operations. If the agricultural ryot is a resident of Pettai and is therefore in a position to be benefitted by such operations, it has to be remembered, that as such resident he will pay house tax, professional tax, tax on vehicles and animals &c. In short, the matter is so patently unjust that memorialists feel that there is no necessity to expatiate further on it.

6. That again taking the village of Pettai itself, it is but a small village. Excepting a few merchants, all the rest of its inhabitants are but poor people. The number of houses in it is but 1800, mostly small buildings. The other adjacent hamlets of Pattapathu &c are petty habitations inhabited mostly by Pullars and Pariahs, and situated mostly in the midst of paddy fields. Between all these hamlets there are large blocks of Nunjah lands and tanks.

7. That Pettai was not even fit to be a Union, and was made such only by taking along with it a number of villages lying scattered round about it, and separated from it by large extents of Nanjah lands, tanks, pacharies &c. Hence in the Union the total number of houses is 3543, as against the 1800 houses in Pettai village itself. Your Excellency will thus see that Pettai is a very petty village, and that its inhabitants are too poor to bear the burden of a Municipality. Its sanitary and other needs are more than met by the Union, and there is absolutely no necessity to transform it into a Municipality.

8. That again Pettai is mostly inhabited by Mussalmen, whose females are Gosha. The males are mostly absent in other places, for their livilihood. It is scarcely necessary to point out that considerable irritation and trouble will be caused to them, and hence to the Mussalmen community in general by the entry into the dwellings and by the other operations which must be carried on by the Municipal servants such as Sanitary Inspector, Private scavenging servants, Bill Collectors &c.

9. That as your Excellency is well aware, the introduction of a Municipality places various new duties on the resident within it limits; and he has to attend at the Municipal office for the Registration of Births and Deaths, the licensing of his vehicles and animals, getting permission for putting up or repairing pandals and buildings &c.

Thus a resident in Pettai will have to walk a distance of more than two miles to the office in Tinnevelly for transacting all this petty business. To poor persons who have to work every day for their sustenance this is a great hardship and of course involves pecuniary loss.

10. That at present, Pettai being the central village of the Pettai Union, and the office of the Union being in it, it has some chance of being benefitted by the Panchayetdars, nine out of twelve of whom are all residents of Pettai Village itself, and may be expected to look after its interests.

But if Pettai is merged in the Tinnevelly Municipality, it will be but one of many hamlets which will be so merged, and will thus scarcely have any representation at the Council Board. Thus the chances are that any representation Pettai may be able to secure in the Tinnevelly Municipality, will be too feeble in relation to the whole corporate influence to operate with any effect or for any good so far as the interests and welfare of Pettai are concerned.

Pray, therefore, your Excellency in Council to be graciously pleased to pass orders that Pettai should continue to be a Union as heretofore, and that it should not be tacked on to the Tinnevelly Municipality.

For which act of benevolence, memorialists as in duty bound shall ever pray.

11-2-1898

[illegible]

A. Kar Mohideen Rauther

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

K. Subramany Aiyar

[illegible]

11—2—1898

51

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 915 M

~~51~~ ~~51~~

From K. G. Krishnaswami Aiyar
Secretary to the Executive Committe
appointed by the public of
Kailasapuram & other villa

Order

No.

Dated 22-2-98

Received 28-2-98.

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies. 5

SUBJECT.

Submitting the memorial from the inhabitants of Kailasapuram & other villages objecting to the inclusion of their villages in the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities.

43

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

UNDER SECY TO GOVT.
28 FEB 98
PUBLIC

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 915 M

Vannarpet - Tinnevelly Railway Station
22nd February 1898.

From.

K. G. Krishnaswami Ayar.

Secretary to the Executive Committee. appointed by the public of Kailasapuram and other Villages for Submitting a memorial to Government objecting to the inclusion of the Said Villages within municipal limits.

To

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal
Department

Sir.

I have the honor to Submit herewith the memorial of the inhabitants of 1. Kailasapuram. 2. Melaviraragavapuram 3. Sanniadigramam. 4. Sikkanarasyam gramam. 5. Minakshipuram. 6 Sindupunthurai. 7. Vannarpet, 8 Kokkarkulam and 9. Kurichi. objecting to the inclusion of the Said Villages within municipal limits, and request that you will be good enough to place the Same before His Excellency, the Governor, and the Honorable members of the Council

5 spare copies of the memorial are enclosed herein.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
K G Krishnaswami Ayyar
Secretary.

From

The Secretary to the Executive Committee appointed by the public of Kailasapuram and other villages for submitting a memorial to Government in the matter of the inclusion of the villages of Kailasapuram &c within municipal limits.

To

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal
department
Fort St George

No. 1.

Dated 22nd February 1898
Despatched. 23rd Feb 98

Enclosures. 6.

Submitting the memorial of the inhabitants of Kailasapuram and other villages objecting to the inclusion of the said villages within municipal limits.

57/11

To

THE RIGHT HONORABLE
THE GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL
FORT ST. GEORGE.

UNDER SECY TO GOVT.
28 FEB 98

Humble Memorial of the residents of 1 Kailasapuram 2. Mela Viraragavupuram, 3. Sanniasigramam, 4. Sikkanarasyan Gramam, 5. Minakshipuram, 6. Sinthupunthurai, 7. Vannarpet, 8. Kokkarakulam, 9. Kurichi 10. Melapalyam & 11. Mela nathaam villages, Tinnevelly Taluk, Tinnevelly District.

Most Respectfully Showeth,

i. That the memorialists are given to understand that the District authorities have recommended to your Excellency's Government

Either (1) that the two Municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah may be amalgamated into a single Municipality and the above mentioned villages may be included within it.

or (2) that the areas of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities may be extended by including within the area of the former the first six of the above-mentioned villages which are situated on the western bank of the Tambraparni river, and incorporating with the latter the last five of the said villages which are situated on the eastern bank of the same river.

ii. As either of the aforesaid schemes is likely to vitally affect the interests of the memorialists they crave permission to submit the undermentioned circumstances for the consideration of your Excellency's Government before passing any order in the matter.

iii. The introduction of Municipality must be shewn either to be necessary or advantageous to the villages in question before their incorporation into Municipal limits may be justified. The following circumstances will shew that there is neither necessity nor advantage.

iv. Of the abovementioned villages 1. Kailasapuram 2. Melaviraragavapuram 3. Sanniasigramam 4. Sikkanarasyangramam 5. Minatchipuram 6. Sinthupunthurai 7. Vannarpet form at present a Local Fund Union styled Melaviraragapuram Union; and 1. Kokkarkulam 2. Kurchi 3. Melapaliam 4. Melanatham, form another by name Kilaviraragavapuram Union. The sanitary arrangements of all the villages are well looked after by the Panchayets of the respective Unions. The state of the villages is sufficiently satisfactory from a sanitary point of view. The streets have been properly metalled and are kept in a far better condition than those in the two Municipal towns of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah. There is already sufficient outlet of water &c. falling into these villages and there is no necessity at all for making artificial arrangements for drainage. The close proximity of the river secures to the villages ample supply of drinking water. Scavengers are employed by the Unions to clean the streets daily and to remove sewage water. The system of private scavengering is being gradually introduced and promises to become a complete success. Thus all the advantages that can be secured by placing the said villages under Municipal Councils viz., Proper drainage, supply of drinking water improved village site, and scavengering system are already enjoyed by the memorialists without subjecting themselves to the extra-taxation incidental to Municipal institutions. There is also a Local Fund Dispensary at Melaviraragavapuram which amply meets the need for medical aid for the inhabitants of these villages. The memorialists understand that the Collector has reported to your Excellency's Government that the Panchayets 'with their limited resources cannot provide these villages with that highly developed sanitary system which these villages require and which can be secured under the District Municipalities Act'. With due deference to the Collector's opinion the memorialists beg to submit that the sanitary arrangements made by the Panchayets are quite up to the mark and leave nothing to be desired in the way of sanitary improvements. Any further improvements which may be desirable to be made may be effected through the agency of the existing Unions, whose funds may be increased if necessary,by so amending the Local Boards Act as to provide for graduated house-tax.

v. While there is thus no advantage likely to be gained by including the villages within Municipal limits, there is every thing to lose by the adoption of any of the alternative proposals before your Excellency's Governments.

vi. The proposal to have a single Municipality for Tinnevelly and Palamcottah and all the intervening villages will, if adopted, bring into existence a big Municipality 6½ miles from east to west and 2½ miles from north to south. (vide Resolution No. 15 Proceedings of the Tinnevelly District Board dated 18th October 1897). The said Municipality will comprise within it 2 distinct towns situated at a distance of 2½ miles from each other, and a number of villages situate apart and distant from the said towns (vide plan submitted to Government by the District authorities). It will be necessary to maintain costly establishments in all departments in order to cope with the work devolving upon such a big institution. A big high road requiring about 4000 Rs for its annual upkeep and lighting, a Local Fund dispensary at Mela Veeraragavapuram and several schools now either maintained by or assisted by grants from the Local Funds will be made over to the newly constituted Municipality. All this will necessitate a large additional expenditure of money and the financial strain will not in any way be diminished by the proposal to transfer the income from the toll now managed by the District Board to the Municipality subject to a contribution of Rs 8000 or 9000 to the District Board. As will be seen from the letter sent by the Collector to Government, the transfer of tolls will be just sufficient to meet the cost of the above mentioned high road and other institutions. The income from the Tolls in question cannot be relied on as a permanent source of receipt, as there is every likelihood of its dwindling down considerably by opening of the Railway to Quilon, which will certainly replace the the present enormous cart traffic from Tinnevelly to Shermadevi, Viravanallur,

This makes 15¼ sqre miles

Ambasamudram, Papavinasam, Tenkasi, Kuttalam, Sencottai and Quilon. Thus ultimately there is every probability of the extra expenditure becoming a burden upon the general Municipal Revenue. Judging from the present annual expenditure of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities which together amount to about Rs 54000, the amout that will have to be expended for the big Municipality that will come into existence will not be less than 100,000 Rs, an amount which the memorialists beg to submit will be impossible to raise. Even the officer who originated the proposal i. e. the Head quarter Deputy Collector of Tinnevelly, estimates the income to be about only Rs 80,000. The memorialists are not aware upon what data the said officer has based his estimate but think that the said officer has not forseen the likely fall in the receipts from tolls in future years. The present incomes of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities amount together to about Rs 53,000 per annum; and those of the portions of the Unions of Mela Viraragavapuram, Kila Viraragavapuram and Pettai which are to be incorporated within Municipal limits, amount together to about Rs 8000, which may perhaps be increased to Rs 12000 by introducing Municipal methods of taxation. Thus there is a probability of only Rs 65000 being raised from sources other than tolls which have, for reasons stated above, to be left out of consideration in calculating permanent sources of revenues. The expenditure of the combined Municipality as well as of the extended Municipalities appear to have been under estimated by the Deputy Collector. The amount of Rs 80,000 estimated for the combined Municipality will not at all be sufficient for efficiently administering the affairs of a such a large Municipality as the one proposed. In-sufficiency of resources and excess of expenditure will lead to resort being had to the oppressive measure of imposing Municipal land tax upon the nunjah fields which will come under Municipalities as a consequence of the incorporation of the villages in question within Municipal limits. As will be pointed out later on such a measure will prove a great hardship to ryots whose holdings are already over assessed. Thus the proposal will bring into existence an institution which will find it impossible to make both ends meet and the result will be that either even the amounts now spent on the improvement of the villages in question will, by being compelled to be diverted to other purposes, cease to be spent on their behalf or the lot of the agricultural population will be rendered more miserable by taking away from them the little margin of profit, if any, they derive from their Nanjah lands. The memorialists hear that the Collector says in his letter to Government that personally he is not for this proposal and that the Councillors of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipality have not adopted it unanimously.

vii. Similar objections apply with equal force to the other proposal also. The extension of the Palamcottah Municipality westward to the Tambraparni River, and of the Tinnevelly Municipality eastward to the same river, will bring into existence two Municipalities with scattered villages situated at a distance from the central Towns instead of a single Municipality with distant towns and scattered villages which will be the result of the proposal referred to in para vi. The high road referred to in the same para will be apportioned between the two Municipalities, one mile of it going to Palamcottah and 1½ miles to Tinnevelly. The burden of maintaining the Viraragavapuram dispensary will fall upon Tinnevelly and each of the two Municipalties will have its quota of additional schools either to maintain or assist with grants. All this means large additional expenditure which they will be unable to bear. For reasons stated in para vi the income from tolls may not prove a permanent source of revenue. Both the Municipalities will find the need to maintain increased establishments in all the departments and this circumstance along with the others mentioned above will necessitate the diversion of the amounts now available for the villages in question to other channels which will not at all be beneficial to them. For reasons similar to those mentioned in para vi, the memorialists beg to point out that both the Municipalities will find it difficult to shew even the present progress that is being made by them unless they have recourse to the objectionable measure of subjecting Nunjah lands to the Municipal land tax. The official estimates of the probable expenditure of the proposed extended Municipalities are very low and will have to be increased.

viii. The natural situation of the villages in question lying detached and far away from the Municipal towns, as will be seen by the plan submitted to your Excellency's Government by the District officers, is itself a strong circumstance against their inclusion within the municipal limits of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah. The Collector says in his letter to Government that none of these localities taken by itself is large enough to constitute a Municipality, nor can they be grouped into a distinct Municipality apart from Tinnevelly and Palamcottah. The said statement is based upon the geographical situation of the villages in question. The same circumstance is equally against the feasibility of the proposals submitted by him to Government.

ix. The memorialists hear that the Collector has recommended that the village of Melapalayam may be exempted from being included within Municipal limits. If this information is correct, the memorialists beg to point out that the case of the other ten villages does not differ from that of Melapoliam and they also deserve to be excluded.

x. The inclusion of the villages in question within Municipal limits under either of the above schemes will result in placing the large area of Nanjah fields which surround the villages and separate them from the Towns of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah, under the mercy of the Municipal Council. The memorialists fear that all this large area of Nanjah lands will be-come liable to Municipal land tax under Section 63A. The memorialists respectfully but firmly protest against such injustice being done to the ryots owning such lands. Most of the lands are charged with the bigest rate of assessment prevailing in the district and to subject them to a further charge by imposing Municipal tax means to their owners financial annihilation, which will not fail to indirectly act adversely upon the agricultural labourers whose present condition itself is far from encouraging. A perusal of para 6 of Collector's letter will bear out the above statement about the heavy assessment, to which the Nanjah lands in the villages in question are subject. The agricultural population is already over-taxed to a degree that even the present road cess and village cess press heavily upon them. To strain their resources further on the plea of founding institutions for their supposed improvement, will be nothing else than killing the patient in attempting to cure his disease. The Sub Committee who first formulated the schemes in question were under the mistaken impression that under the new Municipal Act exemption of Nanjah lands from Municipal assessment may be secured, when they approved of the proposal to include the villages in question within Municipal limits (vide para 7 of the Collector's letter). The Collector is also not for subjecting Nanjah lands to Municipal land tax, which is more than three

But th
no f
D m
all tha
land w
mull

times the land cess paid for such lands to the Local Funds. But he tries to get over this phase of the question by observing that 'the several localities are each a compact block of habitations and are connected with one another and with the existing Municipal area by roads and that it can be easily arranged to convert them and the connecting roads into Municipal tracts with only as much of the adjoining assessd lands as must necessarily be added to make up good boundaries'. A view of the plan submitted by the District officers to Government will shew that even under this arrangement a large area of heavily assessed Nanjah lands will have to be included within Municipal limits and a large number of ryots will be injuriously affected thereby. The fields that outskirt the villages in question and the connecting roads are all wet lands and it will not be possible to avoid inflicting serious loss upon a large number of people. The said proposal has also the objection of creating individious distinction between burdens imposed upon lands situated in the same village and,in a number of cases, side by side with each other. The memorialists learn that the Tinnevelly Council has already recommended the levy of land tax on the nanjah lands situated within the present municipal limits. This circumstance is enough to show that the above mentioned lands will not escape taxation if any of the alternative schemes are sanctioned.

xi. The situation of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah at a distance from the Tambraparni river, renders it necessary to introduce pipes for supplying the towns with drinking water. Under the Municipal Act, it will be necessary to impose a drainage and water tax to recoup the cost incurred by the introduction of pipes. If the villages in question are to be incorporated within the limits of the Municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah, the memorialists also will have to be made to pay drainage and water tax though no works connected with either are necessary to be made and as a matter of fact need not be made on their behalf. The provisions of the Municipal Act seem to be peremptory and cannot be avoided even though the executive authorities may be inclined to afford some relief in the matter of such taxes.

xii. More than one half of the houses situated in the villages are small ones and the owners thereof feel the existing Union taxation itself very heavy. It will be cruel to further strain their slender resources by imposing upon them a costly Municipal institution.

xiii. A major portion of the inhabitants are poor agriculturists, and labourers such as Shepherds, Shanars, Pallars, Weavers, Barbers, and Washermen, living from hand to mouth. There are a few substantial houses, but most of them belong to people who are dependent upon the house rent as the sole means of their livelihood. The officials have evidently been misled by the sprinkling of Vakils, officials &c. in the villages in question and have failed to see that the bulk of the inhabitants are very poor. Thus the introduction of Municipal institution will result in the imposition of burdens direct or indirect, upon persons who will be hardly able to bear them.

xiv. The memorialists hear that the proposals have not the unqualified approval of the Local Boards here. The three Unions of Mela Viraragavapuram, Kila Viraragavapuram and Pettai, are opposed to both the proposals. The District Board simply said that it has no objection to the proposal of the Municipalities and even that statement has been made by a majority of 12 against 11 one member present abstaining from giving his vote. More than this the District Board has not been prepared to do: for, when it was proposed that it should record a resolution supporting the proposal to combine the two Municipalities with the proposed extensions of each into one Municipality, the sense of the meeting was evidently against the proposition and the same had to be withdrawn. The Tinnevelly Municipal Council has not unanimously declared in favour of either of the two schemes. The proposal to have a consolidated Municipality was carried only by a majority of 6 against 3, one Councillor abstaining from voting and on the other scheme the Council was equally divided and it required the casting vote of the Chairman to carry it. The Palamcottah Municipal Council alone adopted the proposal of extending the Municipal limits to the Tambraparni river unanimously, and even the said body accepted the other proposal only by a majority. The memorialists humbly beg to state that the rate-payers of the two towns are not in favour of the extension. All these circumstances go to shew that the proposals have not such an amount of popular assent as to justify their adoption by Government.

xv. The memorialists beg to bring to the notice of your Excellency's Government that similar proposals were considered by Government in 1886 on the recommendation of District officers, but were given up for reasons which retain their force to the present day.

The proposal did not apparently come up to Govt.

xvi. From the report of the proceedings of the District Board the memorialists learn, that the Head Quarter Deputy Collector has recommended the alternative proposals in view of appointing paid secretaries to the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities—a concession granted by Government to Municipalities with an annual income of Rs 30,000 and upwards. The memorialists also believe that the desire to have paid secretaries seems to have influenced the Municipal Councils in not rejecting the proposals. The financial condition of the Palamcottah and Tinnevelly Municipalities not admitting the entertainment of paid secretaries, is no ground why the outlying villages should be made to suffer in order to enable them to have such officers on their staff. As already pointed out, the villages in question have everything to lose and nothing to gain by their inclusion within Municipal limits.

xvii. From para 10 of the Collector's letter it will appear that the gain to the existing Municipal areas has chiefly induced the adoption of the schemes in question by the Local officials, and that surplus receipts are expected to be raised from the memorialists' villages and utilised for the existing Municipal areas. This circumstance lends support to the fear entertained by the memorialists that the desire to make them pay for the improvement of existing areas is at the bottom of the proposals in question. The memorialists beg to submit that such a policy will work great injustice to the inhabitants of the villages in question.

The memorialists therefore humbly pray that both the proposals before your Excellency's Government may be rejected and matters be ordered to remain *instatu quo.*

Abstract Shewing the number of

Signatories from each Village.

1. Kailasapuram -	38. Signatories.
2. Melaviraragavapuram -	77 — do
3. Sanniasigramam	32 — do —
4 Sikkanarasayangramam.	65 — do —
5. Meenakshipuram	43 — do —
6 Sinthupunthurai	133 — do —
7. Vannarpet -	111 — do —
8. Kokkarkulam -	89 — do —
9 Kurichi -	20 — do —
Total	618 Signatories

NB (1) As the Collector has distinctly recommended the exclusion of Melanatham the inhabitants of the said village have not signed the memorial

(2) A separate memorial is being prepared by the people of Melapalayam and hence they have not signed this

K G Krishnaswami Ayyar

Secretary to the Executive Committee

22-2-98

NAME OF VILLAGE. [illegible]

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்திபோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	A. Kristnasamy Iyer	Land-lord and pleader
2.	V. R. Soondram Iyer	[illegible] House-owner
3	A. Rungasamy Iyer	Land holder, [illegible]
4	A. Krishnasamiaiyar	House owner & clerk
5	A. S. Balasubrahmani	Do & Landholder
6	A. Ramasamy Iyer	House owner - Vakil
7	ஸ்ரீநிவாசய்யர்.	வீட்டுக்குடையவர் [illegible]
8	வெங்கிடசுப்பராவ்	வீட்டுக்குடையவன்
9	K. R. Venkusami Iyer	House owner
10	A. Narayana Iyer.	Clerk Sub Court & house owner
11	S [illegible]ஐயர்.	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்.
12	ராமசாமையர்	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்
13	சிவராமையர	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்
14	[illegible] ஐயர்	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்
15	[illegible]	வீட்டுக்குடையவன்
16	வெங்கிடாசலமைய்யர்	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்
17	[illegible]ஐயர்	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்
18.	[illegible]	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்
19	C. R. Venkatanarayanan	வீட்டுக்குடையவன்.
20	Seenammal	[illegible]
21	J. Lakshmiyamm	[illegible]
22	வெங்கடேசையர்	

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kailasapuram

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். கைலாசபுரம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
23	[illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] [illegible]
24	[illegible]	[illegible]
25	K. Narasimha Iyer	[illegible]
26	[illegible]	[illegible]
27	T. V. Ranga Rao	Householder & clerk, Collector's office.
28	[illegible]	[illegible]
29	Janakiraman.	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்.
30	S. Mutharamalingam	வீட்டுக்குடையவர்.
31	[illegible]	[illegible]
32.	Sriarama Iyer	Pleader Dist. [illegible] Court
33	H. K. Doraswamaiah	Clerk, Temporary Sub. Court, Tinnevelly
34	A. Kuppuswamy Iyer	Copyist District Court Tinnevelly
35	வெங்கடராமய்யர்	[illegible]
36	A. Daniel	Teacher, Hindu College Tinnevelly
37	A. Sundaracartu B.A. B.L.	Pleader
38	M. K. Ramaswamy Iyer	Clerk, Temporary Sub Court Tinnevelly

2/1/

NAME OF VILLAGE. Mela Veeraraghavapuram

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	S. [illegible]	[illegible]
2	Mukiapiramu Chetty alias Venkatturamanachetty	Cultivated. & L. &: Book
3	கோபாலகிருஷ்ணய்யர்	விவசாயம்
4	[illegible]	Land Lord.
5	Venkata Row	Land Lord
6	B. Sooba Row	Contractor to Messrs Best & Co & Commercial Bk of India
7	S. Soobramania Pillai	Late 1st class Vaccinator
8	N. Venkata Rao	Land holder
9	T. K. Raghavandraachar	Sanskrit pandit Hindu college Tinnevelly
10	P. Sitaramaiyer	Profr. Hindu College. Tinnevelly
11	S. Ramanujam Iyengar	Superintendent Sarangapani sugar mill Tinnevelly
12	G. Kristna Rau.	Land lord & proprietor of Sub. express Palamcottah
13	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
14	[illegible]	[illegible]
15	[illegible]	[illegible]
16	S. Venkata Rao.	[illegible]
17	V. T. Rungaswamy Naidu	Pattadar
18	R. Srinivasaraghava Iyer	Land-lord.
19	S. Narayanaswamy Aiyar	Pleader.
20	Mowanatha	Vakil.
21	P. R. Vaidisvaraiyer	High Court Vakil
22	S. Ramachandra Row	Writer Hindu college Tinnevelly.

NAME OF VILLAGE. *Mela Viraraghavapuram*

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். மேலவீரராகவபுரம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
23	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
24	[illegible]	[illegible]
25	M. Annasamy Mudaly	[illegible]
26	[illegible]	[illegible]
27	[illegible]	[illegible]
28	C. S. Sundaram Sastri	Hindu College, Tinnevelly.
29	[illegible]	[illegible]
30	[illegible]	[illegible]
31	[illegible]	[illegible]
32	[illegible]	[illegible]
33	G. Narayana Row	Clerk Sub Court Tinnevelly
34	T. A. Srinatha Row	Land lord.
35	[illegible]	[illegible]
36	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
37	[illegible]	[illegible]
38	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
39	[illegible]	[illegible]
40	Seshagiri Row	Land Lord
41	C. [illegible]	Land owner
42	[illegible]	[illegible]
43	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
44		

NAME OF VILLAGE. Mela Viraraghavapuram

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். மேலவீரராகவபுரம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
44	சுப்பய்யர	விவசாயம்
45	லக்ஷ்மணய்யர்	விவசாயம்
46	எல் ஸ்ரீநிவாஸய்யங்கார்	விவசாயம்
47	சுப்ரமண்யசாஸ்திரியார	விவசாயம்
48	க. [illegible]சாரியார்	விவசாயம்
49	S. V. Subba Rao	Clerk Messrs Parry & Co
50	R. Krishnaswamy	Cultivation
51	கிருஷ்ணய்யர்	விவசாயம்
52	K. Srinivasa Aiyangar.	Cultivation
53	கஸ்தூரிரெங்கய்யங்கார்	விவசாயஜீவனம்
54	சு ஆறுமுகம்	வியாபாரம். வைசியம்
55	R. Subbier	Cultivation
56	சீதாராமய்யர்	விவசாயம்
57	ஆர். வேங்கடரமணன்	விவசாயம்
58	ராகவேந்த்ராசாரியார	விவசாயஜீவனம்
59	தி வெங்கடராகவாசாரியார்	ஷ டிடு
60	S. Setu	Clerk Messrs Parry & Co
61	ராமாசாரியார்	விவசாயஜீவனம்
62	வெங்கிட சுப்பய்யர்	விவசாயஜீவனம்
63	சுப்பிரமண்ய ய்யர்	ஷ

NAME OF VILLAGE. Melaviraragavapuram

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். மேலவீரராகவபுரம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
64	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
65	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
66	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
67	A. S. Thirumangalathu Aiyar	Jaggary trade.
68	[illegible]	[illegible]
69	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
70	வ. சுந்தரலிங்கம்பிள்ளை	வியாபாரம்
71	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்.
72	Ramachandra Rao	Merchant.
73	G. Sankaralingam Pillai	Merchant
74	Venkatasawmy Naidu	Commission Agent S.I.R.
75	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
76	[illegible]	[illegible]
77	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்

83/1

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sanniyasigramam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	[illegible]	1st grade Pleader
2	Ramasawmy Iengar	Clerk Dt. Court Tinnevelly
3.	S.R. Venkatachalam Aiyar	Record Keeper Dt. Court.
4	L. Appadurai Aiyer	Process Writer Sub Court Tinnevelly.
5	T. Krishnaswamy Iyer	Clerk Sub Court Tinnevelly.
6.	[illegible]	Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.
7.	P. Ramachandra Ayyar	Do.
8	T. A. Ananthanarayana Aiyar	Assistant Master, Hindu College, Tinnevelly
9.	S. [illegible]	[illegible]
10	R. Narasimhachariar	Supt. Board Technical Institute Tinnevelly
11	Srinivasa Aiyangar	Supt. dist. [illegible] office
12	M. Subramania Iyer	Clerk Dt. Court, Tinnevelly
13	K. Venkatarama Iyer	L. F. Auditor, Colr. Office
14	[illegible]	[illegible]
15	[illegible]	[illegible]
16	[illegible]	[illegible]
17	[illegible]	[illegible]
18	Srinivasa Aiyar	Clerk Collr's Office Tinnevelly
19	T. V. Sankara Iyer	Local Fund Accountant.
20	A. Raghunatha Iyengar	Clerk, Collector's Office, Tinnevelly
21	[illegible]	Clerk Local Fund Engineering Deptt. [illegible]

5/11

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sannyasigramam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். சன்னியாசிகிராமம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
22	அய்யாவாத்தியார்	வைதீகம்
23	ஸ்ரீராம வாத்தியார்	[illegible]
24	S. V. Srinivasa Iyengar	Barrister's Clerk
25	S. Ramanatha Iyer	Vakil's clerk
26	V. Ramalingamier	Pensioned Dy Sheristadar.
27.	S. Seoba Iyengar.	Copyist Dt Ct Tinnevelly.
28	R. Somayajulu	School-Master H. C. T.
29	[illegible]	[illegible]
30	R. Vencatachellumiyer	[illegible]
31	சுப்பய்யா	[illegible]
32	S. Ramaswami Iyangar B.A. L.T.	Professor, Hindu College, Tinnev

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sikkarara Srampramam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	வெங்கடாசாரியார்	[illegible]
2	Veerullan	〃
3	[illegible]	[illegible]
4	V. S. Seshayya.	[illegible]
5	சப்பாசாரியார்	〃
6	C. R. Narayana Rao	〃
7	ராஜமய்யங்கார்	〃
8	[illegible] சாரியார்	〃
9	[illegible]	〃
10	[illegible] சாரியார்	〃
11	[illegible]	〃
12	[illegible]	〃
13	[illegible]	〃
14	[illegible]	〃
15	[illegible]	〃
16	[illegible]	〃
17	ஸ்ரீநிவாஸசாரியர்	〃
18	வெங்கடேசய்யர்	〃
19	வெங்கோபாசாரியர்	〃
20	[illegible]	〃
21	வெங்கடராமையர்	〃

8/11

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sikkanarasian pramam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
22	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
23	P. Sankaralingam Pillai	[illegible]
24	சுப்பய்யாபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
25	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
26	[illegible]	〃
27	[illegible]	〃
28	[illegible]	〃
29	பழனியாண்டி	〃
30	[illegible]	〃
31	[illegible]	〃
32	[illegible]	〃
33	கு பழனியாண்டி	〃
34	[illegible]	〃
35	[illegible]	〃
36	[illegible]	〃
37	[illegible]	〃
38	[illegible]	〃
39	[illegible]	〃
40	[illegible]	〃
41	P. [illegible] Pilly	Surveyor
42	S. N. Kuppasamy Pillay	Contractor.
43	[illegible]	[illegible]

NAME OF VILLAGE. Ikkaraiboluvampatti

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். இக்கரைபொளுவாம்பட்டி

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
44	S Saravanaperumal Iyer	[illegible]
45	[illegible]	வெவசாயம்
46	சுப்பிரமணிய [illegible]	〃
47	கா ராமசாமிசெட்டியார்	〃
48	[illegible] சுப்பிரமணிய [illegible] [illegible]	〃
49	[illegible]	〃
50	[illegible]	〃
51	[illegible]	〃
52	[illegible]	〃
53	[illegible]	〃
54	ப. சங்கா [illegible]	〃
55	[illegible] செட்டியார்	〃
56	[illegible]	〃
57	S Potthulingam Pillai	〃
58	[illegible]	〃
59	[illegible]	〃
60	[illegible]	〃
61	[illegible]	〃
62	[illegible]	〃
63	[illegible]	〃
64	[illegible]	〃
65	[illegible]	〃

NAME OF VILLAGE. [illegible]

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	[illegible]	வியாசாயம்
2	சு. சுப்பய்யாபிள்ளை	[illegible]
3	[illegible]	விபசாயம்
4.	[illegible]	வியசாயு.
5	[illegible]	விவசாயு
6	வைகுண்டபிள்ளை	வியசாயு
7	[illegible]	[illegible]
8	நு-மாணிக்கம்பிள்ளை	வியசாயு
9	வெ. சிவசுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	வியசாயு
10	[illegible]	[illegible]
11	[illegible]	[illegible]
12	[illegible]	[illegible]
13	[illegible]	வியசாயம்
14	[illegible]	வியசாயம்
15	[illegible]	தச்சுவேலை
16	வேலாயுதபிள்ளை	வியசாயு
17	[illegible]	வியசாயு
18	வைகுண்டம்பிள்ளை	வியசாயு
19	சு. வேலாயுதபிள்ளை	வியசாயு
20	[illegible]	வியசாயு
21	[illegible]	வியசாயு
22	[illegible]	[illegible]

NAME OF VILLAGE.

கிராமத்தின் பெயர்.

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
23	சண்முகம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
24	இ. இருதயசாமி	[illegible]
25	சுந்தரலிங்கம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
26	சுப்பராவ் [illegible]	[illegible]
27	சு. [illegible] ஐயாசாமி	விவசாயம்
28	ஸ்ரீ [illegible]	[illegible]
29	நயனாபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
30	சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
31	ஆ. இராமசுவாமி	விவசாயம்
32	[illegible] நாராயணசாமி	விவசாயம்
33	சு. வீராசாமி	விவசாயம்
34	ஆ. [illegible]	[illegible]
35	[illegible]	[illegible] வியாபாரம்
36	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
37	தொ. சடகோபஐயங்கார்	விவசாயம்
38	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
39	சுப்பன்	[illegible]
40	வீரபத்திரன்	[illegible]
41	அ. [illegible]	விவசாயம்
42	சங்கரலிங்கம்பிள்ளை	வண்டி தொழில்
43	[illegible]	[illegible]

NAME OF VILLAGE. Senthupunthurai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். திருப்பூந்துறை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	[illegible] வேலுப்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
2	மா. சுடலைமுத்துக்கோன்	விவசாயம்
3	ந. வேலாயுதக்கோன	விவசாயம்
4	[illegible]பப்பக்கோன	விவசாயம்
5	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
6	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
7	[illegible]க்கோன	விவசாயம்
8	— [illegible]க்கோன	விவசாயம்
9	— [illegible]க்கோன	விவசாயம்
10	— [illegible]க்கோன	விவசாயம்
11	[illegible]	விவசாயம் [illegible]
12	— [illegible]க்கோன	விவசாயம்
13	— [illegible]	விவசாயம்
14	— [illegible] [illegible]க்கோன	விவசாயம்
15	— [illegible]	விவசாயம்
16	[illegible]	விவசாயக்கூலி[illegible]
17	— [illegible]	விவசாயம் [illegible]
18	— [illegible]	விவசாயம்
19	— [illegible]	விவசாயம்
20	— [illegible]	விவசாயம்
21	— [illegible]	விவசாயம்

99/1

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sittumpunthurai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். சிற்றம்புத்துறை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
22	[illegible]	[illegible]
23	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
24	சு. மாணிக்கம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
25	S. Ammaiappapilly	விவசாயம் farmer
26	பாண்டியம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
27	K S Peramanayagam Pillai	Cultivation
28	தில்லைநாயகக்கோனார்	விவசாயம்
29	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
30	தி.ல.ம. கோபாலக்கோனா	விவசாயம்
31	தி.ல.கி. சிவனுக்கோனா	விவசாயம்
32	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
33	தி.ல.சு. [illegible]	விவசாயம்
34	தி.ல.அ. பாசக்கோனா	விவசாயம்
35	தி.ல.மா. [illegible]க்கோனா	கூலிவேலை
36	தி.ல. பெருமாள்கோனா	கூலிவேலை
37	தி.ல. இசக்கிக்கோனா	விவசாயம்
38	தி.ல.பி. சுடலைக்கோனா	விவசாயம்
39	தி.ல. சுப்பாணிக்கோனா	விவசாயம்
40	தி.ல. வேலாயுதக்கோனா	கூலிவேலை
41	[illegible]கோனா	விவசாயம்

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sittupunttinai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
42	ஈ அளபையாகோன்	வியசாயம்
43	சாமி ஆசாரி	தச்சுவேலை
44	கநகரவா	வியசாயம
45	ஈல ஆயனககோன	வியசாயம
46	ஈல சுபயனாககோன	வியசாய
47	முதுகலகோன	வியசாயம
48	ஈல சுபயககோன	வியசாயம
49	ஈலபாடசுவாமிகோன	வியசாயம
50	ஈல ஆவெறுககோன	வியசாயம
51	ஈல நிலலகனைறுகோன	வியசாயம
52	ராதபுலவன் பலைதன்	வைத்தியம்
53	அய்யம்பெருமாள்	விவசாய
54	அலகாவிநாசிபிள்ளை	விவசாயம
55	ஏசிவசுதகாணபதி	விபசாயம்
56	கநிசொக்கையபிள்ளை	விவசாயம
57	பருவதரூநையனாா	வியசாயம
58	சலகாமிநாதமாமன	வயசாயம்
59	சி.சி.கி. வியாபதி	வியாபாரம்
60	வைரமணி	பரக்கை
61	P.S. [illegible]	விவசாயம்

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sirupunthurai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். சிறுபூந்துறை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
62	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
63	S. ஞானமுத்து [illegible]	விவசாயம்
64	S. Kuppusamy Pillai	Do
65	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
66	அ. சண்முகம் பிள்ளை	வியாபாரம்
67	ஞா. சவரியாபிள்ளை	வியாபாரம்
68	[illegible]	வியாபாரம் [illegible]
69	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
70	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
71	கருப்பசாமிப்பிள்ளை	வெற்றிலை
72	முத்தையபாண்டி	பலகாரகடை
73	பொன்அப்பநாடார்	வியாபாரம்
74	ம சுப்பிரமணியநாடார்	யாபாரம்
75	R. Iyalu Naidu	வியாபாரம்
76	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
77	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
78	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
79	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
80	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
81	சி. சிதம்பரம்	[illegible]

185

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sittupputhurai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
82	K. Mahadeva Aiyer	வியாபாரம் விவசாயம்
83	[illegible]	வியாபாரம் விவசாயம்
84	[illegible]	வியவசாயம்
85	[illegible]	வியவசாயம்
86	S. P. Sankaralingam Pillai	வியவசாயம்
87	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
88	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
89	[illegible]	[illegible]
90	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
91	[illegible]	வியவசாயம்
92	[illegible]	வியவசாயம்
93	[illegible]	வியவசாயம்
94	S. Subbiah	Farmer.
95	A. Gopalam Aiyer	Farmer
96	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
97	[illegible]	கோவில்பூஜை
98	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
99	[illegible]	விவசாயம்

107

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sinthupunthurai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். சிந்துபூந்துறை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
100	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
101	K. S. Ramasami Pillai	Teacher
102	சி. [illegible] பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
103	S. Maharaja Pillay	Clerk P.W.D.
104	முத்துசாமி செட்டியார்	யாபாரம்
105	[illegible] செட்டியார்	விவசாயம் யாபாரம்
106	A. Chinnasamy நாயுடு	வியாபாரம்
107	சு. சொக்கலிங்கம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
108	சி. சு. பரமசிவம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
109	வெ. சிதம்பரம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
110	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
111	S. Ramappa Pillai	Police Inspector [illegible] on leave
112	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
113	[illegible]	யாபாரம்
114	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
115	Ayyanayagam Pillai	Shop keeper
116	[illegible]	யாபாரம்
117	வெ. நாராயணம்பிள்ளை	யாபாரம்
118	[illegible]	[illegible]
119	மா. சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	யாபாரம் [illegible]

609

NAME OF VILLAGE. Sri Superondurai

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். திருப்பெருந்துறை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
120	S. E. Sankaralingam Pillai	Kaluand of Sri Superondurai
121	Jaganatha Naidoo	[illegible]
122	T. Sinna Alagrisamy Naidoo	[illegible]
123	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
124	[illegible]	[illegible]
125	N Padayatchy	Do
126	S. A. Vannamuthoo Pilly	Land lord.
127	Chockalingam Pillai	Land Lord.
128	Do Vangara Kumaran Pillai	L. F. Maistry
129	[illegible]	[illegible]
130	[illegible]	[illegible]
131	[illegible]	[illegible]
132	[illegible]	[illegible]
133	[illegible]	[illegible]

NAME OF VILLAGE. Vannarpet

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். வண்ணாரப்பேட்டை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1.	[illegible] Sundram Aiyar BA BL.	Vakil. (High Court).
2	V. Rangachari BA BL	First Grade Pleader
3.	B. Rajagopalaiyar BA BL.	First Grade Pleader.
4.	[illegible]	Landlord
5	V. Subbusamial.	First Grade Pleader and Land Lord.
6	V. Chinnasamy [illegible] BA BL	First grade Pleader.
7	V. Valayuthum Pillai	Land Lord
8	A. [illegible] Iyer BA + BL	High Court Vakil.
9	T.V.R. Narayanaswami Ayyar BA BL	First Grade Pleader.
10	A.K. Padmanabha aiyar	Translator Sessions Court
11	M. Subramania pillai B.A.	Land-Lord.
12	N.R. Soolochanam Moodaliar	Land Lord
13	T. Ponnusamy Pillai	Pensioned Sub. Magistrate
14	M. Shanmugasundram Pillai	clerk R.O. Tinnevelly & Land Lord.
15	P.S. Rathina Mudali	Land Holder.
16	S. Varada [illegible].	Vakil + land holder.
17	[illegible]	Asst Hindu College Tinnevelly
18.	A.R. Krishna aiyar, BA & BL,	Vakil, Dt. Ct. Tinnevelly
19	K. [illegible]	Clerk Registrar Office Tinnevelly
20.	M. Shunmugam	Land lord.
21	A.R. Ramaswamy Aiyar.	clerk, collector's office, Tinnevelly
22	[illegible]	[illegible]

NAME OF VILLAGE. Vannarpet

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். வண்ணார்பேட்டை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
23	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
24	[illegible]	[illegible]
25	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
26	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
27	[illegible]	[illegible]
28	[illegible]	[illegible]
29	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
30	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
31	சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
32	V. S. Palavamani Pillai	Village Munsif Vannarpet
33	முத்துக்குமாரசாமிபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
34	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
35	[illegible]	[illegible]
36	[illegible]	[illegible]
37	[illegible]	[illegible]
38	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
39	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
40	[illegible]	[illegible]
41	[illegible]	[illegible]
42	[illegible]	[illegible]
43	[illegible]	[illegible]
44	[illegible]	[illegible]

NAME OF VILLAGE. Vannarpet

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். வண்ணார்பேட்டை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
45	நாராயணஅய்யங்கார்	ஜோஸ்யம்
46	K. Subramania Pillai	Ryot
47	P. Narayanasamy	[illegible]
48	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
49	[illegible]	[illegible]
50	சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	யாபாரம்
51	Muthu Pillai	Huzur Hd. Shroff
52	Krishnasamy Pillai	Huzur Asst. Shroff
53	வேலாயுதசெட்டி	வியாபாரஜீவனம்
54	ஆவுடையப்பமுதலியார்	[illegible]
55	[illegible]	[illegible]
56	[illegible]	வியாபாரஜீவனம்
57	[illegible]	வியாபாரஜீவனம்
58	[illegible]	வியாபாரஜீவனம்
59	[illegible]	[illegible]
60	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
61	[illegible]	வியாபாரம்
62	[illegible]	[illegible]
63	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
64	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
65	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
66	A. S. Saravanaperumal Pillai	Surveyor

147

NAME OF VILLAGE. Vannarpet

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். வண்ணார்பேட்டை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
67	நாராயணையயங்கார்	வகீல்குமாஸ்தா
68	கு. சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
69	நல்லவதெபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
70	V. S. பெரியசொல்லா மெய்யப்பர்	விவசாயம்
71	தி. மகராஜு	விவசாயம்
72	S. வெங்கடேசுவரையங்கார்	வகீல்குமாஸ்தா
73	சோ. சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை	ஆப்காரி சூப்பரண்டெண்டு
74	பா. த. ஆறுமுகம்	விவசாயத்தொழில்
75	— பாரதக்கி நாராயணன்	விவசாயம்
76	— பாரதக்கி நல்லமுத்துசாமி	விவசாயம்
77	சடைமுத்துபிள்ளை	வண்டிச்சேவகம்
78	சுப்பையாபிள்ளை	வண்டித்தொழிலன்
79	V. Nallaswam Pillai	Compositor of. C. M. S.
80	A. Valayudhum Pillay	Agriculture
81	ஈ. சிதம்பரம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
82	நாராயணம்பிள்ளை --	விவசாயம்
83	ராமகிருஷ்ணையர்	விவசாயம்
84	K. G. Krishnaswami Ayyar B.A.	District Court Vakil Tinnevelly
85	சுப்பிரமணியபிள்ளை மகன்	விவசாயம் வயித்தியன்
86	மகாலிங்கமபிள்ளை	விவசாயம் வயித்தியன்
87	கிருஷ்ணபிள்ளை	கொல்லத்தொழில்
88	சுப்பம்மாள்	விவசாயம்

NAME OF VILLAGE. Vannarpet

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். வண்ணார்பேட்டை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
89	[illegible]	[illegible]
90	மாடசாமிபிள்ளை	யாவாரம்
91	சொக்கலிங்கம் பிள்ளை	வாசி
92	[illegible]	[illegible]
93	நயினார் பிள்ளை	[illegible]
94	பரமசிவம்பிள்ளை	[illegible]
95	கு. சொக்கலிங்கம்பிள்ளை	[illegible]
96	சொக்கலிங்க ஆசாரி	தச்சுவேலை
97	சுந்தரம் ஆசாரி	கொல்வேலை
98	சுடலையாண்டிஆசாரி	கொலவேலை
99	கருப்பப்ப ஆசாரி	தச்சவேலை
100	கந்தசாமிஆசாரி	கொல்வேலை
101	ராமசுவாமி ஆசாரி	கொலவேலை
102	சயண ஆசாரி	கொலவேலை
103	முத்துக்குமாரசு ஆசாரி	கொலவேலை
104	கந்தசுவாமிஆசாரி	கொலவேலை
105	[illegible]	விவசாயம்

121

NAME OF VILLAGE. Vannarpet

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். வண்ணார்பேட்டை

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
106	V. Seshadri Iyengar.	Head Clk. Salt Inspr office Tinn. Dt.
107	[illegible]	[illegible]
108	C.V. Venugopalachariar B.A	District Court Pleader
109	[illegible]	1st Grade Pleader
110	M. Gopalakrishna Iyer	Land lord -
111	A Ramakrishnan B.A	Landlord.

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kokkarkulam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். கொக்கரகுளம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	S. Chidambaram Pillai	Vakil
2	S. Vanamamalai Pillai	District Court Amin
3	L. S. [illegible] Pillai	Vakil District Court
4	T. Muthiya Pillai	Vakil gumahstah
5	[illegible]	[illegible]
6	A. S. [illegible] Naidu	Land Lord
7	M. Muthalaghiry Naidu	Landlord
8	[illegible]	[illegible]
9	[illegible]	[illegible]
10	S. R. [illegible] Naidu	Student
11	R. G. Parasurama Naidu	Land Lord [illegible]
12	[illegible]	[illegible]
13	[illegible] Naidu	Land lord
14	[illegible]	[illegible]
15	[illegible]	[illegible]
16	[illegible]	[illegible]
17	[illegible]	[illegible]
18	[illegible]	[illegible]
19	[illegible]	[illegible]
20	Vencatasawmy Naidoo	Commission Agent S. I. Tinnevelly
21	[illegible]	[illegible]

125

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kokkarkulam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். கொக்கிரகுளம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
22	மூ. ராமலக்ஷ்மணபாண்டி	விவசாயம்
23	டி. செ. [illegible] பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
24	அ. கி. ஸ்ரீ[illegible]	விவசாயம்
25	Kanniah.	District Court Amin
26	அருணாசலம் பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
27	[illegible]	[illegible]
28	வெள்ளைத்தேவன்	[illegible]
29	Muthukrishna Nayudu	Private Teacher
30	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
31	வைரவநாடார்	போலீஸ்பென்சினர்
32	K.S. Aiyemperumal Pillai.	விவசாயம்
33	சு. [illegible]	விவசாயம்
34	சடகோபதாசரி	[illegible]
35	அருணாசலம்	வியாபாரம்ஜீவனம்
36	P.S. [illegible] நாயுடு	விவசாயம்.
37	பாலசிவசுப்பிரமணியன்	விவசாயம்
38	சுப்பிரமணியபட்டர்	விவசாயம்
39	அதிவீரசுந்தரமாசாரி	சாயவேலை
40	கிருஷ்ணையசாமி	சாயவேலை
41	சு. முத்துக்குட்டிக்கோனார்	[illegible]
42	சு. சடகோப[illegible]	[illegible]

122

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kokkarkulam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். கொக்கர்குளம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
43	மணவாளதுரை ஆசாரி	சாமவேலை
44	சொகல ஆசாரி	சாமவேலை
45	[illegible]	தச்சுவேலை
46	சுடலைமுத்து ஆசாரி	தச்சுவேலை
47	Shunkundaramanupalochaide	விவசாயம்
48	தி. வரதசாமி	வியாபாரம்
49	ஜனமுகைய அ	விவசாயம்
50	இ வணை ஜீவன	விவசாயம்
51	கு. சொக்கலிங்கம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
52	முத்தியாபிள்ளை	பாதுமின
53	A. Rathnamoodaliar.	விவசாயம்
54	நாராயணசாமி	வியாபாரம்
55	தங்கம்பிள்ளை	வியாபாரம்
56	சிவகுமரு	கொத்துவேலை
57	மாணிக்க ஆசாரி	தங்கவேலை
58	பொன்னய்யாபிள்ளை	
59	ஆ. ஆள்வார் ஆசாரி	விவசாயம்
60	[illegible]	[illegible]
61	பெச்சிமுத்துபிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
62	வேலாயுத ஆசாரி	தச்சுவேலை
63	[illegible]	வெவசாயம்

Collector

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kokkatkulam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். கொக்காகுளம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
64	நாராயண [illegible]	விவசாயம்
65	வெ. சின்னு	விவசாயம்
66	S. கல்லகுத்து	குடிமிகாரன்
67	தவசிமுத்து [illegible]	[illegible]
68	[illegible]	தலையாரி வேலை
69	[illegible]	[illegible]
70	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
71	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
72	சண்முகவேலாசாரி	தச்சுவேலை
73	பாலகிருஷ்ணநாயுடு	விவசாயம்
74	சங்கரலு ஆசாரி	தட்டார்வேலை
75	[illegible] தேவர்	விவசாயம்
76	அடைக்கலம்பிள்ளை	விவசாயம்
77	வெங்கடாசலஆசாரி	தட்டார்வேலை
78	சுப்பயாதேவன்	விவசாயம்
79	பெரியபெருமாள்ஆசாரி	விவசாயம்
80	கி. கிருஷ்ணசாமி	விவசாயம்
81	[illegible] ஆசாரி	விவசாயம்
82	ஜ. நாராயண ஆசாரி	தச்சுவேலை
83	[illegible] ஆசாரி	கொல்லவேலை
84	வ. [illegible]	தச்சுவேலை

into the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah municipalities

	Tax on Vehicles	Tolls	Other miscellaneous receipts	Total receipts	Remarks
	9	10	11	12	13.
3	Rs 3000	Rs 4650	Rs 6635	Rs 27415	A. Tinnevelly Municipality The actual figures for 1896-97 are given. B. Palamcotta Municipality The figures given are the actuals of 1895-96. C. The figures for profession tax are returned considering the importance of the places which are trading centres. D. There being no data, figures in column 10 are given approximately. E. The tolls are now leased out by the District Board, and a contribution of Rs 4650 to the Tinnevelly municipality and of Rs 4500 to the Palamcotta Municipality is being paid. By the proposed extension, the whole of the tolls will go to the municipalities, i.e. Rs 14000 to the Tinnevelly Municipality and Rs 1000 to the Palamcottah Municipality. A contribution similar to that now paid by the District Board will have, however, to be paid by the municipalities to the District Board, as a large part of the traffic liable to municipal tolls will pass through District Board roads.
0	1500	-	1200	8900	
0	800	..	800	4900	
3	5300	4650	8635	41215	
3	2757	4500	9305	25106	
10	1500	1250	8650		
00	300				
	4557	4500	10555	33756	

(Signed) T. Raghaviah
Deputy Collector

(True Copy)

16/11/97 Collector

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kokkarkulam

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். கொக்கர்குளம்

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
85	மாடசாமித்தேவர்	விவசாயம
86	வீ ஆறுமுகதேவர்	விவசாயம்
87	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
88	[illegible]	[illegible]
89	ஸ்ரீனிவாசன்	நூல் வியாபாரம்

NAME OF VILLAGE. Kurichi

கிராமத்தின் பெயர். [illegible]

Serial Number. வரிசை நம்பர்.	Signature of the Head of a family. குடும்பத்தின் முக்கியஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Occupation. உத்தியோகம் அல்லது ஜீவனம்.
1	[illegible]	[illegible]
2	Sreenivasa aiyangar	Ryot
3	[illegible]	விவசாயம்
4	மு. அய்யாசுவாமி அய்யர்	விவசாயம்
5	ந. உமையொருபாகமுதலி	ஷை
6	[illegible]	ஷை
7	முத்தையப்ப[illegible]	ஷை
8	Thandyappa Mudeliar	Ryot
9	[illegible]	ஷை
10	[illegible]	ஷை
11	[illegible]	ஷை
12	[illegible]	ஷை
13	[illegible]	ஷை
14	[illegible] ஆசாரி	ஷை
15	அழகுப்பண் ஆசாரி	ஷை
16	ஆண்டான் ஆசாரி	ஷை
17	மாணிக்கமாசாரி	ஷை
18	[illegible]	ஷை
19	[illegible] ஆசாரி	ஷை
20	குமாரவேலு ஆசாரி	ஷை

Enclosures Sixteen (16).

to Letter Refm cm no: 1594/Gl. of 1897

dated 13th November 1897.

From The Collector of

Tinnevelly

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT * LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT *
20 NOV. 1897

To,

Government Local &

Municipal Department.

1/4026 M of 1897

1897

Tinnevelly Head Quarters Deputy
Collector's offic

From

The Head Quarters Deputy
Collector, Tinnevelly

To

The Collector of
Tinnevelly

Dated 26th September 1897
Dis No 1301 of 97

Sir,

Adverting to your Endorsement, cur No $\frac{1594 \text{ of } 97}{7\text{-}9\text{-}97}$ on the office Note of the ~~He~~ English Head clerk regarding the extension of the municipal limi of Tinnevelly and Palamcot I have the honour to repo that notices were issued to the marginally notic gentlemen to attend a meeting to discuss t question. Two meetings were held and the proceedings of the meeti are enclosed. The

Surgeon Major K. C. Sanjana.
Babu S. Streenivasa Esquire. Chairman municipal council Palamcottah.
M.R.Ry V Subbier avl Treasury deputy collector and Vice President District Board –
M.R.Ry P. N. Venkatachalam Iyer avl
" S. Palaniandi Mudalier avl
" Dalavoy Theetharappa – Mudaliar avl, chairman Tinnevelly municipality;
" T Shanmugasundram Pillai avl chairman, Kila Viraraghavapuram Union.
" A. Somasundram Pillai avl District Board member
" L Subba Row avl Do
" A. Kuppusamy Iyer avl, chairman Mela Viraraghavapuram Union
" Anandasundaram Pillai avl chairman, Pettai Union.

istrict Surgeon was not able to attend e meeting of the 24th instant owing o absence from the station. Eight gentlemen were present at the latter meeting. The committee proposed two schemes, one of them being the extension of the existing Municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah by the addition of the adjoining villages, and the other being the constitution of the whole area comprised by the two existing Municipalities and the additions proposed to them into one single Municipality.

. The first scheme, viz. the extension of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities. The proposal is to fix the Tambrapurni River as the boundary between the two Municipalities, and to add to the present Tinnevelly Municipality the present Mela Viiraghavapuram Union exclusive of Vannarpet and Vella Koil, and the present Pettai union exclusive of Palaya pettai and Thenirkulam. To the Palamcottah Municipality the portion of the present Kila Viira-raghavapuram Union lying to the north of cross road 20 VI (Roa from the District Jail to Kallidai-kurichi via Tharuvai) and Vannarpet which now forms part of Mela Viiraghavapuram Union are proposed to be added. A detailed statement of the estimated receipts of house-tax &c. from the areas proposed to be added and the actual receipts of the existing Municipalities is enclosed. The total estimated income from the portions of Pettai and Mela Viira-raghavapuram Unions proposed to be tacked on to the Tinnevelly Municipality is Rs 13,800, and that from the areas proposed to be added on to the Municipality of Palamcottah is Rs. 8650. The estimates of house-tax are based on the actual figures now realize by the Unions. To be on the safe side, very moderate figures of income have been entered in Cols 7, 8 and 10 of the Statemen will be seen from this statement that the enlarged municipalities of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah will derive incomes of not less than Rs 41,215 and Rs 33,756 respectively, apart from the increase in income from tolls (vide remarks column of the — statement). Instead of the 9150 Rs which the municipalities now get between them as contributions from the District Board from income from tolls, the municipalities will then have all the income from that source to be shared between them after paying a contribution of 8000 or 9000 rupees to the District Board; and that means that the income of each of the municipalities will be enhanced by about Rs 3000.

3. The inclusion of the Unions of Pettai, Mela Viraraghavapuram and Kila Viraraghavapuram within municipal limits is an exceedingly well-advised step.

All the three Unions are thickly populated. Pettai is not behin Tinnevelly in point of trade. In fact it is one of the largest centres of trade in this Taluk. Mela Viraraghavapuram and Vannarpet are owing to their close proximity to the Railway Station and public offices are studded with officials, Vakils and business men of all sorts and descriptions, while Kila Vira-raghavapuram Union has a ver large population of Mohemedan many of whom are engaged in trade. Further, the large Mahomedan population of Pettai and Kila Viraraghavapur Unions is strongly addicted the most objectionable practic of burying corpses most indiscriminately amidst habitations and in the vicin will be seen. Le

t wells and other drinking water ources. The special provisions—ontained in the District Municipalities Act against ~~indiscriminate~~ indiscriminate burial of corpses an alone effectually put a stop o it. Unions cannot with their limited resources provide effective nd thorough sanitation to the areas comprised in them. The present proposal will, therefore primarily benefit the areas proposed to be included within municipal limits by giving them improved sanitation and, econdarily, strengthen the hands of the Municipal Councils y enlarging their resources. Unde the new act the difficulty in bringing a large extent of paddy fields within municipal taxation has ceased to exist. The second scheme of the Committee is that the two Municipalities with the proposed additions—should be constituted into one

Municipality. The committee considers that this scheme is even preferable to the first, as there is less likelihood of friction on account of tolls &c. I quite agree with the Committee. The one Municipality so constituted will be about 6½ miles in length and 2½ miles in breadth—a size not inconveniently large—and will have an income of over Rs 80,000. With such a large income a highly efficient executive body can be entertained and large schemes such as water-supply and drainage schemes of which we are so sadly in need, can be with confidence undertaken and with ease accomplished. A plan prepared by the Minor Irrigation overseer

which is more or less accurate
is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obedient servant
(Signed) T. Raghaviah
Dy Collector

(True copy)

Robert B Clegg
Ag Collector
16/11/97

Sd
15.11.97

Statement showing particulars of villages proposed to be al

	Names of Villages.	area	Population as per census of 1891	No: of houses assessed	House tax and land tax		
					as per Union rate	as per municipal rate	C
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
						Rs.	
	Tinnevelly municipality	1½ Sq miles	24768	7019	"	9077	40
	Villages proposed to be added to Tinnevelly municipality						
	Pettai Union excluding Palayapettai and Tenrikulam.	1220 acres about 2 Square miles.	9642	2753	2700	3500	25
	Melaviraragavapuram Union excluding Vannarpet and Vellakoil.	595 acres about 1 Sq mile	5861	1223	1700	2300	10
					4400	14877	75
	Palamcottah municipality	3 Sq miles.	18686	"	"	5711	28
	Kilaviraragavapuram Union i.e. portions of Kulavanikapuram, Kila Viraragavapuram and Melapalayam Villages to the north of Road No: VI and Melanattham.	-	20399	4442	2400	3000	20
	Vannarpet.	235 acres	800	255	300	400	2
					2700	9111	50

Sd
15.11.97

Proceedings dated 24th September 1897.

Present: –

M.R.Ry. T. Raghaviah avergal Head Quarters Deputy Collector. Presiding.
" V. Subbier avergal, Treasury Dy Collr.
" S. Palaniandi Mudaliar avergal.
" Dalavoy Neetharappa Mudaliar avgl. Chairman, Tinnevelly Municipality
" Somasundaram Pillai avergal.
" Shanmugasundaram Pillai avergal. Chairman, Kila Viraragavapuram Union.
" Anandasundaram Pillai avergal Chairman, Pettai Union.
Bala S. Sreenivassa Esquire. Chairman, Palamcottah Municipality

---

The Committee after a due consideration of territorial position and extent and the population and probable income as detailed in the margin from the Union villages that now exist between and by the side of the

House tax derived at present from the three Unions is	Rs. 7500
House tax to be expected is	Rs. 10000
Increase in tolls (18000 – 9000 =)	Rs. 9000
Profession tax, tax on vehicles, animals &c. –	Rs. 10000
Total –	Rs. 29000
At present	40000
Total	Rs. 69000.

present Municipalities of Palamcottah and Tinnevelly, is of opinion that one or other of the two schemes detailed below might be adopted.

(1) Fixing the Tambraparni river as the boundary between the two Municipalities and extending them as follows:

(a) By adding to the present Palamcottah Municipality parts of Melapalayam Kila Viraraghavapuram and Kulavanikapuram villages that lie to the north of Road No. VI (Road from Palamcottah to Kalladakurichi) and the whole of Vannarpet and Melanatham villages.

(b) By adding to the present Tinnevelly Municipality the present Melaviraraghavapuram Union exclusive of Vannarpet and Vellakoil, and the present Petai Union exclusive of Palayapetai and Thenirkulam.

(2) To constitute the whole of the extent described above into one single is

Municipality.

The Committee prefers the latter scheme to the former as less likely to cause friction, and it apprehends no difficulty in the matter of management as the services of a Secretary on ~~th~~ a decent pay can be availed of.

The President is requested to give the necessary statistics in his covering letter..

(Signed) T. Raghaviah.
Deputy Collector
24. 9. 97.

(True Copy)

Ronald W Clegg
Collector.
16/11/97

15.4.97

15.11.97

Proceedings of the Municipal Council of Palamcottah dated 2nd October 1897.

Present.

Babu S. Sreenivassa Esqr., Chairman.
M.R.Ry. T. Raghaviah avergal.
E. Winckler Esqr.
M.R.Ry. W. E. Ganapathi Pillai avergal.
" S. R. Krishnasami Aiyangar avl.
" John S. Devasagaya Nadar avergal.
" S. Krishnama Rajah avergal.
" Paul Rowtar avergal.
" M. Palaniyandia Pillai avergal.
" Kunangudi Mustan Sahib avergal.

Collector's foot note to letter from the Head Quarters Deputy Collector, Tinnevelly, regarding the extension of the Municipal limits of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah.

The Council is unanimously in favour of the proposed extension of the Palamcottah Municipality, and by a majority prefers the amalgamation of the two Municipalities into one together with the proposed additions.

(True Copy)

(Signed) Balu. S. Sreenivassa
Chairman.

(True Copy)

Robert W. Clegg,
Ag Collector.
16/11/97

Ed
15.11.97

20/11

Proceedings of the District Board Tinnevelly.

Dated 18th October 1897.

Resolution No. 15.

Read. Head Quarter Deputy Collector's letter, Dis. No. 1301 of 1897 dated 26th September 1897, submitting the undermentioned proposals for the enlargement of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities, in view of the villages surrounding the Municipalities being kept under better sanitation &c.; and in view of appointing paid secretaries to those Municipalities, a concession granted by G. O. No. 1465 M. dated 21-6-97, to Municipalities with~~in~~ an annual income of Rs 30,000 and upwards:—

(1) To fix the Tambrapurni river as the boundary between the two Municipalities and add to the Tinnevelly Municipality the present Pettai union, exclusive of Palaya Pettai and Tenirkulam, as well as the Melaviraragavapuram union excluding Vannarpett and Vellaikoil, while to the Palamcottah Municipality, the portion of the present Kilaviraragavapuram union lying north of road No. VI, Palamcottah—Kalladakurichi road, and Vannarpet proposed to be excluded from the new Tinnevelly Municipal limits:

(2) The two Municipalities with the propose additions to be constituted into one Municipality, the dimensions of the combined

institution being 6½ miles east to west and 2½ miles north to south, with an estimated income of about Rs 80,000. The income from tolls now managed by the District Board will be included in the Municipal income, and Rs 8000 or 9000 will be paid as contribution to the Board on that account.

The Deputy Collector is more in favor of the 2nd than the 1st proposal.

Read proceedings of the Palamcottah Municipal council, dated 2nd October 1897, agreeing with the proposed extension, and by a majority preferring the amalgamation of the two Municipalities with the proposed additions.

Read proceeding of the Tinnevelly Municipality dated 11th idem, proposing the amalgamation of the two municipalities. In case the Government does not accept ~~the~~ proposal, the council is in favour of the extension as indicated in the 1st proposal of the Deputy Collector.

Read also the former papers bearing on the subject.

Proposed by M. R. Ry. Raghaviah Avl. and seconded by M. R. Ry. S. Palaniandi Mudaliar Avl. that the District Board supports the proposal to combine the two Municipalities with the proposed extensions of each into one Municipality:

Proposed by M. R. Ry. M. Ravi Varma Raja Avl. and seconded by M. R. Ry. Syed Mustapha Sahib Bahadur that the Board has no objection to the proposals of the Municipalities.

The first proposition is withdrawn.

For the proposal. 12.
Against the proposal - 11. The proposal is carried:
(Present 24 members).

(True extract)

(Signed) V. Subbiah
For President.

(True copy)

Robert H Clegg
~~for~~ Collector.
16/11/97

Ed
15-11-97.

Extract from the proceedings of the Councillors of Tinnevelly Municipality dated the 11th October 1897 at 7.30 A.M. No. 16.

Present.

Officials

M.R.Ry T. Raganiah Avergal. Revenue officer in charge of Tinnevelly.

S. Sankara Aiyar avergal. Tahsildar

Non officials.

Dalavay Theerthatappa Mudaliar avergal Chairman.

S. Alavandi Mudaliar avergal

Kumarasamia Pillai avergal

S.S. Pichumani Aiyar avergal

T.K. Tirumalaiyappa Pillai avergal

P.M. Kailasam Pillai avergal

P.S. Venkatachellam Aiyar avergal

A. Ananda Chayler Avergal.

x x x x x

Para 7. Read Collector's endorsement No. $\frac{159}{5.10.97}$ forwarding the resolution of the Palamcottah Municipality Council on the correspondence regarding the extension of the municipal limits of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah.

Proposed by Mr S. Palaniandi Mudaliar avargal, and seconded by Mr S. Sankara Aiyer avargal that the two municipalities, Palamcottah and Tinnevelly, with the suburbs be amalgamated as one big municipality as proposed by the Sub-Committee.

Carried by majority.
Mr. P. M. Kailasam Pillai avargal does not vote. Messrs P. A. Venkatachellam Aiyer avargal, T. S. Subbramaniya Aiyer avargal and the Chairman was against it.

In the event of the above proposal not being accepted by the Government, the Council were equally divided about the proposition of extending the Tinnevelly Municipality with the suburbs to the west of Tamraparni river. By the casting vote of the Chairman, the proposition was carried by majority.

× × × × × × ×

(Signed). Dalavoy Theetharappa Mudaliar
Chairman.

11-10-97.

(True Extract)

(Signed) Dalavoy Theetharappa Mudaliar
Chairman.

(True copy)
Robert B. Clegg
Ag Collector
16/11/97

15.11.97

[illegible] யூனியன் பஞ்சாயத்து

1897ம் [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible]

[illegible]

Ex officio.

2 " [illegible]

[illegible]

3 " [illegible]

[illegible]

4 " [illegible]

[illegible]

Nominated

5 " [illegible]

6 " [illegible]

7 " [illegible]

1— [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Ref. A. on Cur Nº 1440/8-11-97
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(Signed, T. Shanmugamudalar
Chairman

(True copy)

Ronald H Clegg
for Collector
16/11/97

af
15/11/97

15.11.97

மேல விசாரணைபுரம் யூனியன் பஞ்சாயத்தார்

1897-ம் வருஷம் நவம்பர் மீ 12 தேதி 8 மணிக்கு

மீட்டிங்கில் பாஸ்பண்ணின புரொசீடிங்ஸ்.

ஆஜர்.

மகா. ள. ள. ஸ்ரீ A. கோபாலகிருஷ்ணையரவர்கள் சேர்மென்.
" P. ஸ்ரீநிவாசையங்காரவர்கள் மெம்பர்
" A. ~~Ra~~ ராமையரவர்கள் "
" [illegible]. வை. விஸ்வநாதபிள்ளையவர்கள் "
" V. S. பால்வண்ணம்பிள்ளையவர்கள் "
" வண்ணமுத்துப்பிள்ளையவர்கள் "
" R. நடேசையரவர்கள் "
" C. அய்யாவுபிள்ளையவர்கள் "
" K. S. கிருஷ்ணசாமிபிள்ளையவர்கள் "
" [illegible]ரவர்கள் "

58. முந்திய மீட்டிங்கின் புரொசீடிங்ஸ் படிக்கப்பட்டு ஊர்ஜிதம் செய்யப்பட்டது.

59. [illegible] யூனியன் பிரசிடெண்டவர்களுடன் இந்த யூனியன் [illegible] [illegible] கொடுக்கவேண்டிய [illegible] Ref A oncurrent $\frac{1440/97}{8-11-97}$ ம் [illegible] படிக்கப்பட்டது.

[illegible] [illegible] இந்த யூனியன் [illegible]

[illegible]

1. [illegible] 8 [illegible] 4 [illegible]

Madras [illegible]

2. [illegible] Paid [illegible] S.P.W. [illegible]

3. [illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible]

Honorary Chairmanஆல் [illegible]

[illegible]

4. [illegible] Sanitation, Roads, Lighting [illegible]

5. [illegible]

யூனியன் [illegible]

[illegible] 1689 [illegible]

338 [illegible] 8 அணா [illegible]

[illegible] 558 [illegible]

[illegible] 1689 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 அணா [illegible]

[illegible] 4 அணா 8 [illegible] 896 [illegible]

[illegible]

(Signed) K. Kuppusamy Iyer
Chairman

(True copy)

Rsp
15/11/87. 15.11.87

Robert B. Clegg
Ag. Collector
16/11/87

1897ம் ளு நவம்பர் மீ 9உ காலை 8 மணிக்கு
பேட்டை யூனியன் பஞ்சாயத்து மெம்பர்கள்
கூடின மீட்டிங் ப்ரொஸீடிங்ஸ்.

ஆஜர்.

[illegible] அவர்கள்
மெம்பர் ப்ரஸிடெண்ட்.

- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம சுப்ரமணிய அய்யரவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] ஷேக் அப்துல் காதர் இராவுத்தரவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.
- ம [illegible] அவர்கள்.

(1) திருநெல்வேலி பாளையங்கோட்டை முனிசிபா[illegible] பேட்டை [illegible] யூனியன் [illegible] முனிசிபாலிடி [illegible] பஞ்சாயத்தார் [illegible] வேண்டுமென்று [illegible] ஆர். ஓ. ஸி. No. 1594 தே 4.11.97, [illegible] வாசிக்கப்பட்டது.

1894ம் வருஷத்தில் பேட்டையை திருநெல்வேலி முனிசிபாலிடியோடு சேர்க்க வேண்டுமென்று [illegible] பேட்டையை [illegible] யூனியன் [illegible] திருநெல்வேலி முனிசிபாலிடியோடு சே[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Signed) [illegible]

9-11-97.

(True copy)

Robert B. Clegg

Collector

16/11/97.

15-11-97

9/ 4026 [illegible]

I—
Statement showing the extent to be brought under the operation of the Municipal Act

Villages or hamlets	Nattam including other lands occupied by buildings		Other lands found necessary to be included in Municipal limits		Others such as roads, channels, rivers which are ~~connected~~ unconnected with the nattam or other lands.		Total		Remarks
	acres	c	acres	c	acres	c	ac	c.	
To be added to Palamcottah									
Vannarpet	101	86	40	31	2	86	145	03	
Kokarkulam	42	58	6	85	1	24	50	67	
Kila Veeraraghavapuram	317	65	53	3	3	81	374	49	
Melapalayam	135	95	4	26	..	..	140	21	
Total	598	4	104	45	7	91	710	46	
To be added to Tinnevelly									
Mela Veeraraghavapuram	44	67	50	94	57 ×	72	153	33	× of this extent, ac 55.60 is the Tambrapurni
Sindupundurai	105 ∴	..	51	73	87 ×	33	244	6	× of this extent, ac. 83.83 is ~~the~~ the Tambrapurni
Pettai including Pillaiyankattalai	178	98	31	92	..	..	240	90	∴ of this extent ac 27.50 represent the Railway premises.
Total	328	65	134	59	145	5	608	29	
Grand Total	926	69	239	4	152	96	1318	69	

Robert B. Clegg

for Collector 16/11/97

11/97

Urban 1907
10
2

Statement No I —

Showing the extent of land brought under the operation of the Municipal Act.

10
[illegible] 9207

Statement No I — showing the extent of land brought under the operation of the Municipal Act.

Statement IV showing the receipts and charges from which the Local Boards will be free in consequence of the proposed reconstitution of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities

Items of receipts or expenditure	District Board	Taluk Board	Total District and Taluk Boards	Remarks
Receipts				
1 Land cess	70	80	150	
2 Tolls	18870	..	18870	
3 School fees.	..	40	40	
4 Avenue receipts	..	600	600	
5 Contribution from Government — education	..	30	30	
Total	18940	750	19690	
Charges				
Grant I. Road No I, Palamcottah to Madura, $1\frac{3}{4}$ miles.	1230	..	1230	
Road No VII. Hindu College to Tinnevelly 1 mile	230	..	230	
Road from Tinnevelly to Pettai (No IX) $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles	380	..	380	
Road from road No I to Melapalayam (No VI A) 2 miles	..	300	300	
Road from Railway station to Veeraraghavapuram (ie "from the Hindu Colle	..	60	60	
Road from road No I to Kokarkulam (No I C)	..	100	100	
Road between Vannarpet and Udayarpettai at the river crossing	..	10	10	
Periodical renewals of roads, roughly	400	100	500	
Avenue maintenance	..	80	80	
Melapalayam S. R. School	..	390	390	
Results grant	..	500	500	
Mela Veeraraghavapuram dispensary	..	1280	1280	
Contribution to municipal hospitals	..	1390	1390	
Vaccination	200	..	200	
Choultries	..	230	230	
IV Lighting	..	2150	2150	
Total	2440	6590		

Statement No IV —
showing how the proposal
would the Local Board
financially

Statement showing the receipts on account of Melapalay and Keela Veeraraghavapuram if added to a — Municipality

Items of receipts	Amount	Remarks
1 Opening balance	"	
2 Municipal rates and taxes		
a Tax on arts	600	
b Tax on buildings	3190	
c Tax on lands	200	
e Tax on vehicles with springs + palanquins f Tax on animals g Tax on vehicles without springs	2300	
h Tolls	4000*	See note below.
4 Revenue derived from Municipal property and powers apart from taxation		
a. iii Avenue receipts	200	
b iii Sale proceeds of old materials,	~~20~~	
empty oil Cans, packing cases &c	~~70~~20	
c Conservancy receipts, such as sale proceeds of night soil, street refuse &c	90	
f ii Income from slaughter houses	300	
g i Distraint fees	40	
ii License fees	100	
iv Other fees	70	
h Fines under the municipal and other Acts	180	
6 Recoveries on account of services rendered to private individuals		
a i Private scavenging	170	

ii other purposes	40
b 1 Fishery rents	..
iii Fee for numbering carts &c	..
iv other items	..
Total	115 00
Excluding Rs 4000 under tolls which will be received for the (amalgated) Municipality whether Melapalayam & Keela Veeraraghavapuram included not, the receipts will be	75 00

for Collector

26 Aug 1897

---

ment showing the charges on account of
nd Keela Veeraraghavapuram if added to a municipality

Items of charges	Amount	Remarks
Grant I		
New works		
a Communications	150	
b Buildings	700	
c Drainage	..	
d Water supply	..	
2 Repairs		
a Communications	430	
(b) Buildings	80	
c Drainage		
i Repairs to works	50	
ii Establishment for flushing drains	..	
d Water supply		
i Repairs to works, machinery &c	100	
ii Establishment	120	
iii Contingencies	..	
Establishment	200	
Contingencies	..	
Tools and plant	70	
Contributions	..	
Total	1900	
Grant II		
Training schools	..	

2 Municipal schools	
ii Salary Result System	
a Fixed payments	250
b Results grants	40
c Contingencies	90
4 Results grants	80
6 Municipal Inspectors	
1 Establishment	60
ii Carriage allowance	20
iii Contingencies	10
7 Mis-cellaneous	
(a) Building, furniture &c grants	10
b Common gymnasium	
1 Establishment	60
ii Contingencies	100
c Common Drawing Master	50
1 Establishment	20
ii Carriage allowance	
iii Contingencies	10
d Other items	
Total	800
Grant III	
1 Hospitals and Dispensaries	-
2 Contributions	-
a to Provincial funds	
i Medical College	30
ii Sanitary Inspection	40
iii Medical Reserve staff	10
iv Cutlers Establishment	

on	
lishment	130
ontingencies	70
Contributions to Local Boards	
4 Registration of Births + Deaths	
a Establishment	90
b Contingencies	40
5 Conservancy, road cleaning and road watering	
a 1 Establishment	1210
ii Horse allowance	60
iii Other charges such as purchase or hire of bullocks dry earth &c	400
Private Scavenging	
1 Establishment	400
Contingencies	90
holera charges	80
Contingencies	..
Total	2650
Grant IV	
Lighting	
Establishment	280
Contingencies	720
Avenues	
stablishment	
Contingencies	20
charges	
Rewards for the destruction of animals and snakes	20
Printing	160
pensation for lands	

other item	
Total	12
rant V	
General Establishment	
1 office Establishment	840
i(a) Horse allowance	60
ii Inspection	120
Collection of municipal taxes	
1 Establishment	220
Purchase of account books, money bags &c	40
Collection of tolls	
office contingencies other than b ii	100
Contribution for central office and audit Establishment	80
Total	1460
Total Expenditure	8040

Robert H. Cle
Ag Collector.
16/11/97

15 / 126 M of 1897

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3903 M

From H. A. Sim Esq. ICS.
Ag. Private Secretary to
H. E. the Governor.

Order

No.

Dated 4th November '97.

Received 11-

Registered 11-

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT * LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT * 11 NOV 1897

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dd 27th October 1897 from the residents of Kolarakulam village, Tinnevelly, praying that their village may not be included in the Palamcottah municipality.

(By post)

26/11

a 16/1/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cir	40	26 Ly/97	
G.O.	795	Lt	9-5-96
〃	122	L	27-1-86
〃	827	Pub	17-6-69
〃	491	Pub	15-4-69

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LOCAL &

67

3903M

To

His Excellency Sir Arthur
Elibank Havelock G.C.M.G.
G.C.I.E. Governor of Madras.

The humble memorial of the poor inhabitants of Kocorakulam a petty village attached to Vilaveeraragavapuram Union in the Tinnevelly Taluq

May it please your Excellency.

That we the poor inhabitants are very much alarmed to hear that this petty village is recommended to be included in the Palamcottah Municipality. That the question of introducing Municipal act into this village was in 1869 brought before the Government who declined to accept this proposal on mature consideration of the grounds raised by the villagers.

G.O. 827 Pub
17-6-1869

That again in 1884 the same subject matter was placed before Government with strong recommendation by the then Collector. The Government on perusing the reasons & objections raised by the poor ryots against

must be traced

against the inclusion, set forth in the memorials submitted by them was pleased to disapprove of the proposal as the village was then about to be made into a Local Fund Union.

That though the Introduction of the Local Boards Act into this village has conferred no permanent and important benefit on the inhabitants yet it had remedied a few defects viz. want of scavenging lighting &c, and so at present this village does not stand in need of any further improvement or Sanitation by reason of its being perfectly sanitary ^in its^ situation and thus all the requirements of the village are now properly supplied and attended to.

That this is a small village situated on the Eastern Bank of the Tambraparani River with about 100 houses, most of them are small thatched huts which are often subject to inundations and so most of them were once washed away by heavy floods when the poor inhabitants were so unable to rebuild their huts that they

Government for the grant of money to meet the cost of rebuilding.

100 houses
48 Signatories
Of these, 12
Sign in English
Not bad for
"poor coolies"!
Really the popn. is 1500
And it is an
unimportant hamlet
(Collr.)

That most of the inhabitants are poor coolies & agriculturists who live by cultivating the lands of the ryots of the neighbouring villages.

That this village which has a small area without sufficient village site for Communal purposes is two miles off Palamcottah and is almost surrounded by paddy fields and neither the villagers nor the Government will derive any kind of benefit from the inclusion of this village in the Palamcottah Municipality. The Villagers who are already overburdened with taxes on account of Land Revenue are quite unable to bear any additional taxes as they now find it very difficult to earn money even for their livelyhood and support their large and poor families

That we beg to state that it is rather rediculous and quite unnecessary to renew without any grounds at the instigation of some unconcerned persons a question which

which was finally decided once for ever.

We have to bring to the notice of your Excellency the fact that the District Board passed very recently a resolution for the inclusion of the village in the Municipality by an insignificant majority of 12 against 11 quite disproportionate to the magnitude and importance of the subject. The said majority consists mostly of officials who have no idea of the difficulties which the question imposes on the poor taxpayers.

That therefore we the poor petitioners most humbly beg your Excellency may be graciously pleased to take into mature consideration the troubles and inconveniences which we may be put to in consequence, inspect this hamlet which is close to office buildings before your Excellency leaves the district and pass orders not to introduce Municipal Act into this petty village & thus relieve the poor petitioners from these hardships and troubles.

For which act

of charity and benev

the poor petitioners shall as in duty bound ever pray for your Excellency's longevity and prosperity. 27-10-97

P. G. Parasurama Naidu

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

R. Muthukrishna Nayudu

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

K. Arunachalam Pillai

[illegible]

Arunachalam Pillai

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Naidoo

[illegible]

A. Kasturi Rengu Naidu

[illegible]

P. L. Arumugam Moodeliar

[illegible]

[illegible]

P. V. Ramachandra Moodeliar

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

K. Arumugam Pillai

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

S. Veerabagoo Pillai

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Daivanayagam Pillai

[illegible]

Streenivasalu Naidu

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 402 bm

From The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Order

Ref on Cur No. 1594/Gl of 1897

Dated 13. 11. 1897.

Received 20. 11. 1897.

Registered 20. 11. 1897.

Enclosures 16*. (*one plan), sent separately

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the consideration and approval of Government a scheme for the proposed extension of the limits of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah Municipalities.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

15 70

[G. / 25]
1,000—16-3-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No.............

SUBJECT.

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. The Governor.

I concur in the general lines of the proposal set forth in the draft G.O. But I think it might be well to consult Mr Higgens on the proposed alterations in the boundaries. Mr. H. will soon be back from leave.

A.S.H.
6.5.98

So
7.5.98

Please see separate draft put up.

The Hon. Sir H. Bliss has desired that this file shall be placed before His Excellency.

2. The first point is whether some important suburbs shall be added to the Tinnevelly & Palamcottah Municipalities, & if so in what way. The H.M. is in favour of adding them, including as little as possible of the intervening highly-taxed rice lands.

3. The second point is whether the two Municipalities, each thus extended, shall be merged into one. H.M. is not in favour of this.

4. That the matter may be clearly put before His Excellency, I have had a draft order prepared.

H.T.
4.5.98

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Current No. 3903. m of 1897, dated 4-11-1897, ~~from~~ Petition.
" " 4026. m " 1897, " 13. 11. 97, " from the Collr. of Tinnevelly.
" " 4449. m " 1897, " 27. 12. 97, " Petition
" " 788. m " 1898, " 11. 2. 98, " do
" " 915 m " 1898 " 22. 2. 98, " do.

H. E. the Governor
Mis. ORDER.

In his letter read above, the Collector of Tinnevelly submits for the Orders of Government, two alternative proposals for the extension of the municipal limits of Tinnevelly a Palamcottah, made by a Committee appointed by him to consider the matter:—

(1) To include in the Tinnevell municipality the union of Pettai (Excluding the outlying hamlets of Palayapetti and Tenikulam) a the villages of Melaviraraghava puram and Sindupundurai Comprised in the Melaviraragh -puram Union, and in the Palamcottah municipality village of Vannarpet in same union, and the villages of Kulavanika Kilavraragh-

Spelling cannot be right. Perhaps -patti or -pettai

(17) 2

-puram (including the hamlet of Kokkarakulam), Melapalayam and Melnattam Comprised in the Kilaviraraghavapuram Union, Excluding the tract to the South of the District Board Road No. VI, and,

(2) To Combine the two municipalities above mentioned, with the Extensions now proposed, into a Single Municipality.

2. The Second proposal does not Commend itself to Government, as the ~~Combined~~ area ~~of the two municipalities, as~~ proposed to be included ~~extended,~~ would be ~~far~~ beyond the Capabilities of a Single Executive.

With the increased Revenue which would be obtained by combining the two Municipalities one would, 'it would be possible to pay a good Chairman and Secretary, — when the work would probably be not too much. A more cogent reason for not combining seems to me to be, that there is a considerable area of land between the two places which should first be 'digested', and that the interests of the two places are not quite identical. A.S.H. 5.5.98

3. With reference to the first proposal, the Collector observes that t would be desirable to omit Zikkinarasaiyangramam, a Small amlet of Melaviraraghavapuram, rom the proposed Extension of the innevelly municipality, and the s villages of Kulavanikapuram ul Melnattam from the roposed Extension of the mcottah Municipality,

in order

3 (18) 72

in order to keep out large tra of wet lands which would oth wise be included in munic limits. He also recommends omission of the villages of Kil -Viraraghavapuram (Exclud the hamlet of Kokkarakulan and Melapalayam from th Palamcottah Municipality, Ch on the ground that they lie at Considerable distance from th other villages proposed to be included in it. The Collector's Suggestion to omit the hamlet of Zikkinarasaiyangramam is approved; but the Governmen Considers that the omission of the other villages recommended by the Collector is undesirable, as it would render it difficult to secure the strict Conservation of the banks of the Palayam Chann which is of the first importanc ~~not only~~ in the interests not only of the villages themselves, but also in ~~those~~ of the adjoining municipal of Palamcottah. But the portion of these villages to be included in the Municipality

(19) 4

y as much of the wet lands as
uld be necessary for effectually
inging both banks of the Palayam
hannel under municipal Control.
would be sufficient, if the
illage of Melanattam, the
Palayam Channel, and the land
ying between this channel and
he District Board Road No. VI
re added to Palamcottah, as
oughly marked with red
pencil on the plan herewith
returned. Subject to these
remarks, the first proposal
f the Committee to extend
the municipal limits of
Tinnevelly and Palamcottah
is approved. As regards
the lands lying between
Tinnevelly and Pettai and
Melaviraraghavapuram, and
between Palamcottah and
Kokkarakulam and Vannarpet,
the Government considers
that only the roads connecting
these villages with the
municipalities should be
included in municipal
imits.

I

---

(20) 5

73

requested to submit the n
draft notifications under
4. A of the District Municip
Act.

(From Orders)

V.R.
28/4/98

Subject to His Excellency's approval
H.T.
4.5.98

To

The Public Works Department (Railway Branch)
for Counter-initials, with refer
to its office note No: T 1264 D, ~~dated~~ 1-9-97

To

The Collector of Tinnevelly
" President, Dt. Bd., "
" Chairman, M.C. "
" " " Palamcottah.
" Public Works Department.

Public Works Department,

Railway Branch.

OFFICE NOTE No.

Dated 189 .

From

THE JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, P. W. D. (RAILWAY BRANCH),

To

THE Sec. L & M. Dept.

I find it impossible to ascertain from the File to what extent, if at all, the S.I.Ry. is likely to be affected. For all I can tell to the contrary, though the Railway is referred to in Mr Higgins' letter, it may not be proposed to bring within the Municipal boundary any more of the Railway buildings than are now included therein.

Again it may be that even though it is proposed to include additional railway buildings and so increase its taxation, the importance of the whole scheme, in the general interest of the locality, may be so great that there is no need to give the Railway a special opportunity of objecting.

Can L & M. Dept. give me any information as to the ~~extent~~ degree in which the S.I.Ry will be affected, if at all?

Issue

F.K.S.
25/5/98

A reference to the sketch will show that the suburb which has grown up round the railway terminus is not now within Municl. limits.

If it is included, the Ry will become subject to such taxation as is now levied in other Mufassal Municipalities.

The proposal to extend the Municl. limits has not been made with any view to taxing the Railway, but solely to give Municl. administration to several large suburbs including that in which the station lies. From that point of view, it does not seem necessary to give the Ry any further opportunity of objecting than is enjoyed by private persons.

I concur FKS 25-5-98

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3903 M

From H. A. Sim Esq. I.C.S.
Ag. Private Secretary to
H.E. The Governor

Order

No.

Dated 4 - 11 - 1897
Received 11 - 11 - 1897
Registered 11 - 11 - 1897.
Enclosures
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated 27th Oct. 1897 from the residents of Kokkarakulam village, Tinnevelly, praying that their village may not be included in the Palamcottah Municipality.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

V.R.

[L. & M.]
30

Extension of Muni. limits Tinnevelly & Palamcottah

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

76

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

14 P

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,

Current No. 3903. M of 1897, dated 4th November 97, ~~from~~ Petition

" " 4026 M " 1897, " 13th November 97, from the Collector of Tinnevelly

" " 4449 M " 1897, " 27th December 97 " Petition

" " 788 M " 1898, " 11th February 98 — do

" " 915 M " 1898 " 22nd February 98 — do

Secy
misc

ORDER. No. 988 M Misc 27/5/98.

In his letter read
above, the Collector of Tinnevelly
submits for the orders of Govern-
ment two alternative proposal
for the extension of the mun
limits of Tinnevelly and Pala
cottah, made by a Committe
appointed by him to conside
the matter:—

(1) To include in the Tinne
municipality the union of Pe
(excluding the outlying ham
of Palayapatti and Tenikulam
and the villages of Melavira
raghavapuram and Sindupun
comprised in the Melaviraraj
-puram union, and in the Pa
cottah municipality the vil
of Vannarpet in the same

Ref
Please keep papers
together
2Kh
27/5/98

and the villages of Kulavanikapuram, Kilaviraraghavapuram (including the hamlet of Kokkarakulam), & Melapalayam and Melnattam, comprised in the Kilaviraraghavapuram union, excluding the tract to the south of the District Boards road No VI,

(2) To combine the two municipalities above mentioned, with the extensions now proposed, into a single municipality.

2 The second proposal does
ot commend itself to Government.
there is a considerable area of
d between the two municipaliti
ich should first be absorbed
the existing municipalities and
e interests of the two municipalities
not quite identical.

(Better give no reason: they might be awkward later) W.

3. With reference to the first
oposal, the Collector observes that
would be desirable to omit
kinarasaiyangramam, a small
mlet of Melaviraraghavapuram
n the proposed extension of the
nevelly municipality, and the
villages of Kulavanikapuram
d Melnattam from the proposed
of the Pala

municipality, in order to keep
out large tracts of wet lands which
would otherwise be included in
municipal limits. He also re-
commends the omission of the
villages of Kilaviraraghavapuram
(excluding the hamlet of Kokkara
-kulam) and Melapalayam from
the Palamcottah municipality,
chiefly on the ground that they
lie at a considerable distance
from the other villages proposed
to be included in it. The Govern-
ment has no objection to the
omission of the hamlet of Sikki
narasaiyangramam, but thinks
that the omission of the other
villages recommended by the
Collector would be undesirable
as it might render it difficult
to secure the strict conservation
of the banks of the Palayam Chann
which is of the first importance
in the interests not only of the
villages themselves, but also of
the adjoining municipality of
Palamcottah. It would, howe
be sufficient to include in the
municipality only as much of
the wet lands belonging to th

villages as might be necessary for effectually bringing both banks of the Palayam Channel under municipal control and the Government accordingly proposes to add to the Palamcottah municipality the village of Melnattam, the Palayam Channel and the land lying between this channel and the District Board's road No VI, as roughly marked with red pencil on the plan herewith returned. As regards the lands lying between Tinnevelly and Pettai and Melaviraraghavapuram, and between Palamcottah and Kokkarakulam and Vannarpet, the Government proposes to include in the unicipal limits only the roads nnecting these villages with the unicipalities.

4 The Collector is requested give his opinion on the modi- cations proposed by Govern- nt.

(For orders)

R.T 23 5 98

JWS

To The Public Works Departt. (Rai M. Bu) for counter initials with reference to its office note no. 1264 J D. dated 1-9-97

Recd 2/6/98 PJO

Collector of Tinnevelly with

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

5

C. Nos. 3903, 4026 and 4449 M. of 1897.
and C.Os. 7887 915 M/98

78

SUBJECT.

Proposed extension of the municipal limits of Tinnevelly and Palamcottah.

FOR ORDERS.

The papers read abov relate to the inclusion within municipal limits of certain villages lying in the vicinity of the Tinnevelly and Palamcottah municipalities The proposals are fully and clea stated and discussed in the Colle letter read above.

2. A committee, consisti the Head Quarters and the Treas Deputy Collectors, two nonofficials of the District Board, the Chairm of the municipalities of Tinneve and Palamcottah and the Ch men of the Union Panchaya Kilaviraraghavapuram and which had been formed to the subject made two alter proposals:—

(1) To include in the

municipality the ~~villages of Pettai~~ union of Pettai,
~~[illegible]~~,
~~comprised in the Pettai Union~~, excluding
the outlying hamlets of Palayapetti
and Tenkulam, and the villages of
Melaviraraghavapuram and Sindupundurai;
comprised in the Melaviraraghavapuram
Union, and in the Palamcottah muni-
ipality the village of Vannarpet in the
ame union and the villages of
ulavanikapuram, Kilaviraraghava-
uram (including the hamlet of
kKarakulam) Melapalayam
d Melnatham comprised in the
aviraraghavapuram Union, ex-
ding the tract to the south of the
rict Board's road No. VI.

(2) To constitute as a single mu-
ality all the villages above men-
d and the existing two municipalities.
Collector strongly recommends
the villages of Melaviraraghava-
m, Sindupundurai, Pettai, Pillayan-
, kokkarakulam, and Vannarpet
d be brought under the sphere
District municipalities Act, as they
portant centres of population
de in the immediate vicinity
some of them lie between, the

Vide paras 2 & 3 of the Collr's letter.

Vide para 6 of the Collr's letter

Vide para 15 - ibid

---

municipalities of Tinnevelly a
Palamcottah, and their sanitary
and other requirements have there-
-fore to be most carefully attended
to. He thinks that these villages
must be added to the existing
municipal areas, as none of them
taken by itself is large enough
to be constituted a municipality,
and they cannot be conveniently
grouped together and formed into
a separate municipality. He,
however, considers it desirable
to exclude the two unimportant
and poor villages of Kulavanika-
puram and Melnatham, Karunga
a small outlying hamlet of
Melapalayam in the Kilavira
raghavapuram union and Sikk
narasaiyangramam, a small ham
of Melviraraghavapuram, as b
doing so, large tracts of wet land
which will otherwise be include
in municipal limits may with
-vantage be kept out of them. Th
suggestion may be adopted. T
Collector prefers also the omissi
of the villages of Kilaviraragha
puram (excluding the hamlet
Kokkarakulam) and Melapa

6

n the grounds that they lie at a considerable distance from the other villages proposed to be included within municipalities and will therefore be somewhat difficult to supervise, that they do not form such an important centre of trade as Pettai, that they are in themselves dry and fairly healthy places and that the contamination of the Palayam Channel passing through them can to a large extent be avoided by stricter attention to sanitation on the part of the Union Panchayat. He fears that the omission of these villages may possibly reduce the income of the new Palamcottah municipality below Rs 30,000 and thus make it impossible for it to have a paid Secretary, but on the other hand e thinks that without these villages the municipality will be easily vorkable by an Honorary Chairnan without a Secretary. From aragraph 2 of the Collector's etter however it appears that the inclusion of these villages ithin municipal limits is ghly desirable, not only in the

9

M

but also in those of the adjo[illegible] municipality of Palamcottah. Moreover the Panchayat of the Kilaviraraghavapuram Union of which they now form part, cann afford to provide for the proper conservancy of the Palayam Channe which is the chief source of wate supply to the western half of Palamcottah and which is no said to be much contamina by the drainage from the house of the Muhammadans living o its banks. The exclusion of these villa does not therefore appear to be advisabl

3. Now the question for consideration is whether it is better have two separate municipalit or to combine them into a sing municipality. The municipal councils of Tinnevelly and Palam cottah, as well as the Commis above referred to, prefer the seco proposal, to have a combine municipality. The District Bo has no objection to the Commi proposals, but the Union Panch of Pettai, Melviraraghavapura and Kilviraraghavapuram o to them on the ground that

Vide para 8 of the Collr's letter.

n the grounds th
[illegible]
their inclusion within muni-
cipal limits will make the muni-
cipalities inconveniently large
and that the people are too poor
to be saddled with additional
taxation.

4 In support of the proposal
to have a combined municipality
it is stated that the expenditure
under establishments and some
other heads will be smaller than
that for two separate municipalities
at there will be no difficulty
egarding the division of tolls
hich is likely to arise from
e existence of two municipalities
side by side and that large
schemes affecting sanitation,
hich are much needed, can be
rried out with greater ease by
combined municipality. On
other hand, it is argued against
hat it will be difficult to find
gentleman having sufficient
ure and public spirit to
dertake the duties of Chairman
he large combined municipality
lent remuneration, that his
will more than counterbalan

---

the saving which may be obta
under establishments, that it
doubtful whether one Chairm
and one establishment can
effectually supervise the larg
as the smaller area, and that
the interests of Tinnevelly
Palamcottah not being identi
there will be very serious ris
of friction between the representa
-tives of these towns. The Co
is in favour of having two separ
municipalities.

Vide para. 14 of his letter

5. The alleged difficulty
in regard to the efficient manage-
ment of the combined municipality
can be met by the appointment
of an efficient and able officer
as its Secretary under Section 39-
of the Act, to whom most of the
Chairman's powers may be
delegated. The area of the combined
municipality will be about 15
square miles and the revenue
Rs 80,000, and as these are con-
siderably less than the area and
revenue of a Revenue Taluk, there
should be no difficulty whatever
in an officer of the grade of Tahsil

their inclusion wit
cipal limits will make
cipalities inconvenien
and that the people are
to be saddled with ad
taxation.

4 In support of
to have a combined mu
it is stated that the expe
under establishments a
other heads will be su
that for two separate mu
that there will be no di
egarding the division
hich is likely to arise
e existence of two mun
side by side and that larg
chemes affecting sanitation
hich are much needed, can be
arried out with greater ease
combined municipality.
other hand, it is argue
that it will be difficult
gentleman having suffi
uire and public spir
ndertake the duties of Ch
the large combined mun
thout remuneration, tha
y will more than counter

---

ith an honorary Chairman. More-
ver this area is not larger than
hat of some other municipal
owns in the Presidency, such
s Bellary (26 square miles) Nellore
25 sq. mls.) and Cuddapah (19 sq. mls)
The objection to a combined mu-
icipality on this ground is therefore
untenable. With reference to the
argument that the salary of a paid
Chairman will exceed the saving
which may be expected under
establishment &c, it may be ob-
served that, besides the admi-
nistrative efficiency which will
t, secured by the appointment
instead of a Chairman, the Secretary
ecretary ~~ex officio~~ will
, to increase the revenues
ffect savings more than
ficient to cover the cost of his
ployment. The objection on
the ground of friction between
the representatives of the two towns
caused by diversity of their
interests is of no weight, as such
differences of interest exist more
or less in all municipal insti-
tutions. It is therefore submitted
that no sufficient case has been

---

6. The first of the letters read above is a petition from some of the inhabitants of Kokkarakulam protesting against the inclusion of their village within municipal limits. As stated by the petitioners, the question of including this village and Vannarpettai in the municipality of Palamcottah was first mooted in 1869, but the Government did not approve the proposal on the ground that these villages were separated from Palamcottah by a broad stretch of paddy land — vide G.O. No 827, Public, dated 17th June
A 1869. ~~But~~ From paragraph 7 of the Collector's letter, however, it appears that there will be no room for this objection, as it is proposed to include within municipal limits the inhabited areas of the villages above referred to "with only so much of the adjoining assessed lands as must necessarily be added to make good boundaries."

The last letter is another petition objecting to the proposed amalgamation of the two municipalities and to the inclusion wet lands within municipal limits

V.H.
1/3/98

Current No. 788 and 915 in/98, since received are petitions, the former from the inhabitants of Pettai objecting to its inclusion within municipal limits, and the latter from the ople of all the other villages which it is now proposed to include within municipal limits, protesting against their exclusion.

V.H.
1/3/98 I am rather inclined to think that before being amalgamated, the two Municipalities should absorb & digest each its own additions; Tinnevelly adding Pettai & the neighbourhood of the Ry. Statn. & Palamcottah the neighbourhood of the Judicial Court (Kokkirakulam & Vannarpet) & Kil-Viraghavapuram. Then after a few years, amalgamation might well take place.

The only alteration I wd. suggest in the plan is to link Kil-Viraghavapuram with Palamcottah by including hamlets between the

This wd. be rather like making two bites at a cherry, if it is admitted as it seems to be, that the 2 towns wd. be amalgamated - I have long thought that this was the proper thing to do, but feared that the difficulties on account of distance were greater than they seem to be. If the Munl. Hd. Quarters were near the Clr's house, a single Executive should be able to work the whole under an Hony. Chairman & a well paid Secretary. The combined town could well afford to pay a Secretary 200-250. However, before finally deciding I shd. like to know Mr. Forbes' opinion on this point - Please ask him. HS

I approve of this. HS

89

I see only one point of difficulty viz. whether the other additions (besides Kil-Viraghavapuram) are to be left as "islands" or to be joined by boldly annexing the intervening ~~some of the~~ wet land, or by a narrow strip of wet land on either side of the connecting road, or by making the road ~~stop~~ alone Municl. land. The point is that the land is heavily assessed about 18 Rs an acre & Municl. tax (5 value which may were & 8: will come on the top of the It is important therefore to take in as little of this wet land as possible. Perhaps these "islands" might be connected with the present Municipalities by the road + an arbitrary ~~road~~ margin of 22 yards on each side.

HT
8.3.98

If these outlying parts are left as "islands" the roads between them will belong to the L. Bd. and will have to be kept up by it. This wd. hardly work. I would take the connecting roads into the Muncy. The district is a surveyed one & the ~~road~~ lands belonging to the roads must be known — probably form separate revenue numbers. Why take up more than the actual road lands? If the result of not doing so should be found to be ~~[illegible]~~ inconvenient from the commission of nuisances in the fields just outside Munl. land, or for other reasons, the boundaries can at any time be extended.

Notice will of course have to issue under S. 4-A before anything more can be done and the papers shd. go to H.E. after I have seen & considered Mr. Forbes' opinion above asked for.

HS
7/3/98

L. & M. Dept.
500—23-9-97.

84

NOTE FOR THE

Forwarded to the Hon^ble Mr. Forbes with reference to Hon. Member's note.

H. T.
8/3/98

I wd. be much obliged if the office will ~~mark~~ insert with pencil the names of the hamlets of Melavattam, Vilamia-raghavapuram &c &c wh. Mr Higgins mentions thro' out his report. They can be got from the village maps.

13/12

The names of the hamlets hav
been entered in pencil in the
map, with the exception of the main
village of Pillayarkattalai referred
to in para. 2 of Mr. Higgin's letter
the position of which cannot be
traced. As the village maps did not
show the position
of the hamlets, it
had to be ascertained from the
records in the Revenue B.O. office

Ilk
25/3/98

Ilk

12

88

Send to H.M. when he
takes papers again

H.T.
13.4.98

The Hon. Mr. Forbes knows the place so well that it will be safest to accept his opinion that the two Munies cannot be amalgamated, but that each should be extended. This also is the opinion of the Secy, who also knows the place. As to the details Mr. Forbes' suggestions may be followed unless Secy sees any objection, in which case the points in doubt may be referred to me.

The papers can now go to H.S., who had better see them, as there is sure to be an outcry from the people of the towns & villages now proposed to be included within the limits of the two Munies

HS

I am very strongly of
opinion that one single
lity ~~for~~ to include Tinnev
& Palamcottah & the addi
tions to be made thereto
is impracticable. It wd.
far beyond the capabil
of a single Executive. Pal
quires comparative
trouble, but the out
to be added will give a
deal. The difficulties
however be on the
side of the river. Tin
is an Augean stable
the people are so wea
& influential that I do
if things will ever be
really be placed on
sound sanitary f
without ~~European~~ the
position of Govt. &
officer. Pettai w
to be added is a mos
important place & a
[illegible]

ll task the energies of
ny good men before they
e broken to the municipal
le

The distances too are
at It must be about
miles from the farthest
of Pettai to the extreme
pe Palamcottah

ncrease sharply
the maintenance of
ict duties.

With regard to the
between Tint. &
raghavapuram
the boundary along
highroad i.e. along
ld bund parallel
road. The fields are
lds of high value. I see
in putting the
back into the
a 50 ft. from
a nuisance will
conspicuous & as
ods at that distance

---

are inaccessible to the Crown.

With regard to Palamcottah
the strict conservation of the
banks of the Palayam channel
is of the first importance
but I see the Collector differs
from the Comtee to exclude
as I understand him Ne
? Kil-
Nelapalayam & Kla
raghavapuram.

It'd be much des
to include these: but
line might be dra
so as to exclude as much
of the wet lands as possible
— in some such direction
as I have shown roughly
with red pencil on the
plan. This wd. effectually
get hold of both banks
of the Palayam channel

4/11/98

To L & M.