

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 874 M

DATED 6-5-98

INDEXED. A

[Despatch Abstract.]


Budget

Recording, with remark, explanation of points
noticed in the Mannargudi Municipal Budget
for 1898-99.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 1339/m



From The Charities
Municipal Council
Municipal Council
No. 8

Dated 31st March 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

stating that the papers
entered against the two
mills, buildings & land
in statement so I should
be taken as correct.

End of no. $\frac{661}{2}$ of 98

Submitted
to the Board of
Agriculture
Apr 24. 98.

DES

4- APR. 1898

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Municipal office
Mannargudi
31st March 1898

No 8
2.4.98

From

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT 1339h
The Chairman
Municipal Council
Mannargudi

To

The Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Dept.
Madras

Sir. With reference to memorandum
No 314 dated 15th March 1898,
noticing certain discrepancies in
the Budget for 1898-99. I have the
honor to state that the figures
entered against the taxes on arts and
buildings and lands in Statement IV
I should be taken as correct, there
given in Statements IV A + IV B having
to be modified accordingly. It will
be observed that the figures are all
approximate.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant
R. S. Srinivasan
Collector in charge

2906

CAO 220 M

On 3/4
15/3/98

The following points are noticed in the statements submitted with the Chairman's letter:—

(1) In Column 1 of statement I, the gross receipts from tolls and the share payable to local funds have not been given.

(2) In Column 2 of the same statement, the income under the taxes on arts, buildings and lands is given as Rs 2000, Rs 4,000, & Rs 600, respectively, whereas according to Column 5 of statements IV. 14 & IV. 13 it ^{should be} ~~is estimated at~~ Rs 2080, Rs 4700 and Rs 640 respectively.

(3) In Column 6 of statement I ^{in 1896-97} the income of Rs 40 derived from fees under Act II of 1888 has not been shown.

(4) In Column 2 of statement II, ^(Rs 200) the cost of acquiring the lands required for a well and the yanar tank should have been debited to Grant 1 - New works - water supply and not to

Vide para 32 of the Ch's letter.

Grant 4-9(A) Compensation for
lands.

(5) In column 4 of statement II B
the pay of the Slaughterhouse ser-
-vant should have been given
as Rs 5 instead of Rs 4. Vide the
proposition statement sanctioned
in G.O. No. 260, dated 6th January
1898.

(6) A proposition statement showing
the alterations proposed in the
budget has not been submitted.

The necessary corrections have
now been made with reference
to clauses (1) and (3) to (5) above.

The discrepancies noticed in clause (2)
should be explained at an early
date & the omission pointed out in
clause (6) should be guarded against
in future.

1st
8/3/98
H. P.
11 3 25

7

The Chairman, M.C. Museum,
Indi.
supd 15/3/98

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.