[L. & M. / 6,000—5-4-99.]

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 855 M

DATED 3. 5. 98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Printing of forms &c.

Passing orders on the explanations of M. R. Ry S. Singaravelu Pillai and Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib the two Official Chairmen of the Trichinopoly Municipality, for having renewed the printing contract with the local printer contrary to the orders of Government and for having advanced a sum of Rs 500 to the same person and neglected to recover it subsequently.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Explanations of the Chairman of the Trichinopoly Mulity regarding the printing cont

[L. & M. / 20-16-2-98.]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. 1477 M dated 25th June 1897,
Again G.O., No. 2423 M dated 4th November 1897,
Current No. 3571 M of 1897, dated 15th October 97, from the Collector of Trichinopoly
" " 4448 M " 1897, " 24th December " Do.
" " 373 M " 1898, " 19th January 98, " the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Secr ~~misc~~ ORDER. No 855 M. Mis 3/5/98.

Nothing hd abt his being placed at the bottom of Sft list.

In resubmitting ~~this~~ only ~~note~~ notes for orders need be put up.

5-4-98

It is thought that the Rev Deptt will take the necessary action with reference to the notes of the H.M. & H.E. the Govr forwarded to it. Please see however addition made to para 1 of the draft order.

IR
13/4/98

J.

The action of M.R.Ry. P.S. Singaravelu Pillai in having renewed the contract with the local printer, long before the expiry of the existing contract, although he had clear warning of the orders of Government on the subject in the audit notes of the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts for 1894-95, and in the Accountan General's letter of the 28th May 1896, No 111 L.F.D., was most reprehensib As this letter was received in the municipal office on the 30th May 1896, M.R.Ry Singaravelu Pillai ought to have placed it before the municipal council at its meeting of the 4th June 1896, when the resolut renewing the contract was—

passed, and drawn its special attention to the fact that the printing of forms locally was opposed to the orders of Government. If, as stated by M.R.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai, the Government Order quoted by the Accountant General was not to be found in the municipal office, he should have applied to Government for a copy of it and postponed the execution and registration of the contract bond, until he had satisfied himself that the contract in question was unobjectionable. The Council would then have abstained from entering into the contract from which they cannot now withdraw without incurring a serious liability. The failure of M.R.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai to take action in any of these directions shows culpable carelessness on his part. He is also seriously to blame for having improperly advanced a sum of Rs.500 to the same contractor and neglected to recover it subsequently although there were many opportunities of doing so.

(Here insert the addn suggested by R.D. and show me)

Shown in person
S.R.G.
2/5/98

His Excellency the Governor in Council therefore directs that M.R.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai be placed at the bottom of the list of Sub-protem Deputy Collectors.

His Excellency the Governor in Council directs, therefore, that eleven months of the service rendered by M.R.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai as Acting Deputy Collr. shall be deemed to be forfeited in considering any claim that he may have for future promotion.

2. Nor can the explanation offered by Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib be accepted as altogether satisfactory. He was no doubt not in fault as to the making of the contract in question, but he ought to have got a copy of the missing Government Order on the subject of printing forms &c, and reported to Government immediately what had occurred.

3. The proposition statement submitted with the Chairman's letter, dated 27th November 1897, No 44, is sanctioned.

(Form Orders)

V.R.
4/4/98

To
The Rev: Departt for counterinitials.

Will Revenue please see the above draft & say whether it prefers that the draft should issue without the marginal addition on p. 2, itself taking action on the order for reducing the Dep. Coll.? Or shd the draft go out with the addition, under countersignature? H.T.

To
M.R.Ry. P. S. Singaravelu Pillai
Muhammad Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib Bahadur } through the Collectors of Salem and Trichinopoly respectively

The Chairman M. C. Trichinopoly, through the Collector (with papers recd)

Accountant General

Revenue Departt, ~~with a copy of~~ with original notices returned.

To L & M Dept.

For the words (in para 1 of the Draft)
"H. E. the G. in C. therefore directs that Mr K. R. Singaravelu Pillai be placed at the bottom of the list of sub pro tem Dy Collectors" the following may be substituted:—

"H. E. the G. in C. directs ~~is~~ therefore, that 11 months of the ~~acting~~ service rendered by Mr K. R. Singaravelu Pillai as Ag Dy Collector shall be deemed to be ~~[illegible]~~ forfeited in considering any claim that he may have for future promotion."

Thus amended there is no objection to the Draft issuing with L & M. Dept

Wle 7/4/98

4/4/98

To L & M Dept. wo. with original notes for reference & return

Issue as amended & return orig. notes to Reo.

29 4 98

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

2nd

CURRENT No. 3571 M

Copy [illegible] keep papers together

~~Reference~~ ~~Order~~ 1243 / 2-12-97

From Bannatyne Macleod Esqr.,
M. A., Bar-at-Law,
Ag Collector of
Trichinopoly.

Ref: Endt. on Cur. No. 16 B. G. of 1897.

Dated 15th October 1897.

Received (18 OCT 1897)

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies

The collector is requested to obtain and submit to Government at an early date, the notes of the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts on the audit of the Trichinopoly municipal accounts for 1894-95 forwarded by the Accountant General, together with the draft replies thereto as passed by the Chairman and the resolution of the municipal council thereon. He should also forward the letter from the Inspector of Local Fund Accounts to the Chairman dated 28th May 1896 and the Chairman's disposal thereon.

V. R. 29/11/97

30.11.97

1.12.97

To
The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Despd 2/12/97

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the explanations of Khan Bahadur Mahammad Khadir Nawaz Khan Sahib and M. R. Ry. T. S. Singaravelu Pillai are called for in G. O. No. 1477 M. / 25.6.97 regarding the extension of the printing Contract with a local printer.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	1477	Loc.	25-6-97
"	1689	do.	2-10-96
"	1028	Loc.	18-6-96.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
357/BM

MUNICIPAL COUNCILLORS' OFFICE,

No. 26.

TRICHINOPOLY 19th July 1897.

From,
Khan Bahadur Khadir Nawaz Khan Sahib
CHAIRMAN,
Municipal Council,
TRICHINOPOLY.

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

Through the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of G.O. No 1477 M. Mis, dated 25th June 1897, I have the honor to submit that the Council passed on the 4th June 1896, when I was absent from the District on two months' privilege leave, the resolution extending the printing contract to the local printer for 5 years and that M.R.Ry P. S. Singaravelu Pillai Avargal the then Chairman executed the contract bond with the printer on the 25th of that month. This bond was registered in the office of the Sub-registrar of Woriur on the 25th

He was Rev. Divisional Officer at this time; He was appointed Chairman on 8th September 1896-

August 96. I assumed charge of the municipality on the 1st September 96. I had no means, opportunity or occasion of knowing the existence of the Accountant-General's letter or of the G.O. quoted by him, which I have not been able to trace as yet. I knew however that during my absence on leave there was much hubbub in consequence of a rival printer having complained to the Collector that the Chairman had improperly extended the contract long before the expiry of the last contract to favour his personal friend and that the Collector after some investigation dropped the matter. Even in the course of these proceedings, the point that the extension was in disregard of the Accountant-General's requisition and the Government Order does not appear to have been raised, as

Sunganandan
P Kar

otherwise, the Collector is not likely to have dropped it.

2. On the 22nd December: 96, the Government-Endorsement No. 1508, dated 19th December, transferring the letter from the Accountant-General was received by me. It was now for the first time that I knew that the extension was opposed to the orders of the Government and I caused a search to be made for the references quoted in the Accountant General's letter. These could not easily be found. In fact the G.O. quoted by him has not been traced either in this office or in the Collector's or in the Dy. Collector's office up to date. For this reason and on account of press of work on all sides at the end of 1896-97 and the beginning of 1897-98, of which I believe the Government will be aware, there was some delay in replying. My predecesser having

given and completed the Contract and the matter having come up before the Collector and dropped by him, I felt I could not interfere with it, till the Government passed orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Chairman M.C.

General Charge Dy Collectors office
Namakkal Dated 5th October 1897

From

M.R.Ry.
P.S. Singaravelu Pillai Avergal
General Charge Dy Collector
Namakkal

To

The Collector of
Trichinopoly

Through the Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the explanation called for in para 1 of Government Order No 1477 Mis dated 25th June 1897, Land Mr. Communicated with your foot note No 110 dated 7th July 1897.

2 The incident, which has led to the submission of this explanation happened about 1½ years ago. In the absence of all the records of the Case, I have to trust to my memory in great part.

3 Before proceeding further, I beg respectfully to assure Government that I am incapable of doing anything in deliberate disregard of its orders. I have been too long a Servant of Government, not to know my duty in the way of implicit obedience to its orders. !! ! And the position to which, I have risen is evidence of the fact of my not having hitherto been found wanting in a full and proper sense of it.

4 The first time I obtained any knowledge of the orders, as to the printing of Municipal forms, was in the early part of June 1896, on receiving the Assistant Accountant General's letter of the 28th May 1896. It is true that the matter was commented upon by the Assistant Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts in his Audit Notes on the Accounts of the Trichinopoly Municipality for 1894-95, dated || 4th October 1895. But the notes were received in the office long before I assumed charge of the office of Chairman of that Municipality from Khan Bahadur Khadir Navaskhan on the 14th December 1895. The notes had the marginally replies ready drafted and all that had to be done was, to place them before the Council. The matter having thus already been fully dealt with by my predecessor and with which I was too new to the work, the place and the people to interfere — I submitted them to the Council after making verbal alterations, where necessary. They were in due course despatched to the Accountant General and nothing was heard

Yes. but the Contract was executed on the 25th June 96 & he could have brought the matter to the notice of the Council at the first.

in reply until the June following.
My attention was wholly devoted
to noting and mending the mistakes
pointed in the earlier parts of those
Voluminous notes. I did not notice —
at any rate with attention — the
remarks as to the printing affair.
Nor did they require immediate
attention, as they merely referred
to past Correspondence; and the
Contract had then 1½ years to run.

5. In the meanwhile in the latter
part of March or early part of
April 1896, the printer to the Muni-
cipality (Namad Ulagappa Pillai)
addressed a petition to the
Council Soliciting the extension
of the printing Contract for
a fresh term of five years. I
found that the existing Contract
had yet a year to run and I did
not think it necessary to take
any action in the matter. (I
beg to repeat here, that at that
time, I had no idea of the

Government order on the Subject
or of the previous Correspondence
with the Accountant General of 1892.)
But I was required to bring
it before the Council by almost
the whole body of the elected Coun-
cillors, who were about 14 in number
and formed a clear majority.
I obeyed. I was no persona grata
with the elected Councillors —
as was well known to the Collector
and all the European Councillors,
and my endeavour was to avoid
unpleasantness as far as possible.
At the meeting I called attention
to the fact that it was premature
to deal with the matter. But
on the motion of Mr Li Messurier
Assistant Agent and Manager
South Indian Railway, a proposition
to call for tenders, was carried.
In view of the very difficult
position I held as "Official"
Chairman I considered it neither
!! politic nor expedient, on this
as well as on other occasions,

to record a dissent when every other nominated member (including the divisional officer, district Surgeon, Executive Engineer, Assistant Sanitary Engineer &c) did not choose to do so.

6. This was on the 14th May 1896, and before the receipt of the Accountant General's letter referred to in para 4.

7 Accordingly tenders were received and discussed at considerable length at the meeting of the 4th June following and with much vehemence. Ulagappa Pillai was a native of Trichinopoly with landed interest and business connection with all the cigar merchants. He was also printer to the European Club. He had thus secured the countenance of several of the nominated European Councillors also. Finally a resolution was passed renewing the Contract for another term of five years.

8 I am unable to say now whether the Accountant General's letter of the 28th May 1896 had been received in the office or not at that time. But I well remember the action I took on the receipt of that letter. I called for the Government Order referred to by the Accountant General and the manager gave me to understand that no such Government Order had been communicated to the Municipality. I ordered a thorough search to be made for it but with no result.

appears to have been
It ~~[illegible]~~ received
in the usual office
on the 30th May 96

9 It was not only quite possible for the manager, but it was his duty to have brought to my notice the fact of the previous Correspondence of 1892. But he was an officer, who, from the first day of my taking charge, maintained an antagonistic attitude and

and uniformly obstructed and inconvenienced me. He was a near relation of the previous Chairman (Mr S.T. Rangasami Iyer.) who was still on the Council as also was his brother (Mr S.T. Krishnasami Iyer.) Their encouragement and the assurance of a majority in the Council rendered him to a certain extent powerful. I duly brought to the notice of the then Collector (Mr Andrew) his attitude and behaviour. I was so far hampered in my work that I had even to propose his removal, which formed the subject of a reference, to Government. He was Go a man of bad reputation also, having been once committed to the Sessions.

10. These are all facts known to Mr Andrew and I mention them to show how heavily I was handicapped in my administration. It was the manager's interest to keep me in as much ignorance as possible, of past events and he was able to do it, by the one broad plea, that all previous records had been burnt in 1893 or 1894, when the office was moved from the Cantonment to the Fort. He was a local man and it would require no argument to convince Government that his sympathies were with the local printer, who had besides dealt with him for some years.

11. Soon after, I went on privilege leave, and sometime after my return, on the eve of my handing over charge of the Chairmanship, (having been appointed a Forest Settlement Officer on the 21st August 1896,), the manager produced the Accountant General's letter as needing a reply. I sent one on the 17th idem, telling him, that the Government order was not forthcoming in the records and stating that the printer had not until then been found.

fault with and that his rates were cheaper – which was a fact.

12 It will thus be seen that I had no knowledge of the Government Order and therefore I could not have had an intention to disobey it. During the few months I was Chairman, I was so obstructed, harassed and worried by the Councillors, the Municipal Subordinates, and even by some of the Official members, that, but for the consistent and sympathetic support of Mr Andrew, it was enough to make a man go mad. Under these circumstances I was certainly in no condition to add to the bitterness of my position by inviting the displeasure of the Accountant General or the Government by venturing to disobey the orders of either.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Dy Collector

1/3573 M of 1897

Dub 8

2590/Curr

Reply to Rc. 110/B.G. of 97

1897

From

The General charge of Collector Namakkal

TREASURY DEPUTY COLLECTOR
8 OCT. 97
RECEIVED

To

The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Through the Collector of Salem

Dated 5th } October 1897
Despatched 6th }

No 357/Eng.

Enclosures – nil.

Subject. Ells. Nil

Submitting explanation regarding the printing of Municipal forms of the Trichinopoly Municipality called for by Government.

C

COLLECTOR AND MAGISTRATE
13 OCT. 97
TRICHINOPOLY

Endt. No. 2590/Curr dated 1?.10.97.

Forwarded. I am quite convinced that Mr V.D. Srinivasa Chari Aiyangar is not the man who would intentionally disregard orders

Namakkal
6.10.97

C. H. Browning
G. C.W.

Endt. on Rc. No. 110/B.G. of 97.

Forwarded. It is to be regretted that the Chairman did not recollect the Government orders.

Barnaby Macleod

Trichinopoly }
15th Oct. 97 }

Ag. Collector.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4448M

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
29. DEC.-1897
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Order

From R. H. Shipley Esq.,
Ag. Collector of
Trichinopoly.

Ref(g) on **C** **No.** 110/B.G. of 97.

Dated 24th December 1897.
Despd 25th December 1897.
Received

Registered

Enclosures - 4 (as per list).

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting records called for in ~~G.O. No~~ Govt. Memo No 1243 dated 2nd December 1897.

1411

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ext.	1243/97 T C		
G.O.	1479	Loc.	25-6-97
"	388	Loc.	5-3-97
"	1689	Loc.	2-10-96
"	1028	Loc.	18-6-96
"	2534	L	5-12-94
"	769	Loc.	12-6-93

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	499	Loc.	11-4-93
"	333	Loc.	11-3-93
"	2041	Loc.	13-12-92
"	529	Loc.	10-4-91
"	2109	Loc.	30-11-91

List of enclosures to letter No.
Ref(g) on Current No. 110/B.G. of 97 D/ 24-12-97.

Audit notes for 1894-95 Containing draft Replies.	1
Council's resolution No. 430 D/ 20th February 1896.	1.
Letter No. 111. L. F. D. / 28.5-96 from the Inspector of Local Fund Accounts.	1
Chairman's reply thereon No. 2654 / 19-8-96	1
Total	4

Trichinopoly Collector's Office,
24th Decr. 1897.

Ag. Collector.

(9)
Refoulement No. 110/B+G. of 97.

Trichinopoly Collector's ~~District Magistrate's~~ Office,
Dated 24th December 1897.

From

R. H. Shipley Esq.,
Ag. Collector ~~and District Magistrate of~~
of Trichinopoly,

Encls. 4.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 1243 dated the 2nd instant, I have the honour to submit herewith the papers therein alluded to, as per list herein enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,

for Ag. Collector.

Jny

NR/

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
23 JAN.-1898
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT No. 373/M

From R. H. Shipley Esqr.,
Ag Collector of
Trichinopoly.

Ref(d) on C. No. 582/B.G of 1897

Order

Dated 19th January 1898.
Despd 21/1/98.
Received 24-1-98.
Registered
Enclosures – 8
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the explanations of the two Official Chairmen of the Trichinopoly Municipality in the matter of the advance of a sum of Rs 500 to the local printer ~~and of its re-covery.~~ called for in GO 2420 M. dated 4 Novr 97.

NR 24/1/98
29/1

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	2423	Mun	4-11-97
"	471	do	19-3-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Reply to Rc. $\frac{582}{BG}$ of 1897.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

COLLECTOR AND MAGISTRATE, 29 NOV. 97 TRICHINOPOLY.

Order.

From The Chairman,
Municipal Council
Trichinopoly

No. 44

Dated 27th November 97
Received
Registered
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting the explanation called for in para 2 of G.O. No 2423 M. Mis d/4-11-97 in the matter of the advance recovered from the Printing contractor and a proposition statement for the additional establishments referred to in para 4 of the same G.O. for sanction of Government at once: but the printer represented that it would press hard on him and begged that it might be recovered in instalments from his bills. I complied with his request and as in many cases his bills were for small sums I fixed Rs 50 as the amount to be recovered from each bill.

3. As directed in para 4 I beg to submit herewith for sanction of Government a proposition statement for the additional tank watchers and office peons referred to in Nos 26 & 34 of the Remarks in Statement VI of the last audit report.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient
Chairman

Trichinopoly MUNICIPALITY.

Statement of Proposition for revision of Establishment.

Order sanctioning present establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of charge.								Proposition.		Grounds of proposition.
			Present Scale.				Proposed Scale.				Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	
			Number	Designation.	Pay.		Number	Designation.	Pay.				
Number	Date.				Minimum.	Maximum.			Minimum.	Maximum.	Amount.	Amount.	
					RS.	RS.			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
471-M	19.3.96	Grant I. 2 d water works II establishment	1	Driver	100	100	1	Driver	100	100			* owing to the insufficiency of fountains or taps, 8 of the tanks in the Fort Divisions had specially to be reserved for public use for 4 months from 1st June 96 to 30th September 96; a channel watchman on Rs 4 was also entertained in the 2nd ward from 1st March to 30th September 96. The total expenditure incurred on this account was Rs 115-7-5.
			1	Do	70	70	1	Do	70	70			
			1	Do	40.	40	1	Do	40	40			
			1	Do	30	30	1	Do	30	30.			
			1	overseer	75	75	1	overseer	75	75			
			1	Store keeper	25	25	1	Store keeper	25	25			
			2	Turn cocks	12 each	12 each	2	Turn cocks	12 each	12 each			
			6	Do	7 do	7 do	6	Do	7 do	7 do			
			2	Valvemen	12 do	12 do	2	Valvemen	12 do	12 do			
			2	Firemen	12 do	12 do	2	Firemen	12 do	12 do			
			1	Fitter	25	25	1	Fitter	25	25			
			1	Black smith	20	20	1	Black smith	20	20			
			1	Hammerman	8	8	1	Hammerman	8	8			
			1	Bellows boy	3	3	1	Bellows boy	3	3			
			1	Head stoker	20	20	1	Head stoker	20	20			
			2	Assistant do	12 each	12 each	2	Assistant do	12 each	12 each			⊕ 2 Additional peons were entertained in anticipation of sanction of Government from 19th December 95 to 31st May 96. It was disallowed in para 4(6) of G.O. 471-M / 19.3.96
			7	Cleaners	7 do	7 do	7	Cleaners	7 do	7 do			
			8	Do	6 do	6 do	8	Do	6 do	6 do			
			2	Wood carrier	6 do	6 do	2	Wood carrier	6 do	6 do			
			4	Watchmen	6 do	6 do	4	Watchmen	6 do	6 do			
			1	Sweeper	5	5	1	Sweeper	5	5			
			1	do	4	4	1	Do	4	4			
			5	Tank watchman	3 each	3 each	13	Tank watchmen	3 each	3 each	24 *		
							1	Channel do	4.	4.	4.		
Do	Do	Grant V. a. i office	1	Manager	80	80	1	Manager	80	80			
			1	Clerk.	30	30	1	Clerk	30	30			
			1	Do	25	25	1	Do	25	25			
			1	Do	20	20	1	Do	20	20			
			1	Do	15	15	1	Do	15	15			
			1	Accountant	40	40	1	Accountant	40	40			
			1	Mochi	10	10	1	Mochi	10	10			
			3	Peons	7 each	7 each	5	Peons	7 each	7 each	14 ⊕		
			1	Watchman	5	5	1	Watchman	5	5			

500-12-12-93.

Municipal office
Trichinopoly
27th November 97

Chairman.

1/378 W of 1898

No. ______ Gl. ct. Dy COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, SALEM,

Dated 9th December 1897.

(camp) Mahadevi

FROM M.R.Ry. P. S. Singaravelu Pillai Avergal

~~ESQUIRE~~,

Deputy COLLECTOR, Namakkal Dn., SALEM,

TO

THE Collector of Trichinopoly

through the Collector of Salem.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit the explanation called for in your Endorsement No. $\frac{582}{B \& G}$ dated the 11th ultimo on G. O. No. 2423 Mis dated 4th Idem, Local and Municipal Department.

2. I have no recollection of the circumstances under which an advance of Rs 500 was granted to the printer by me while serving as chairman of Trichinopoly Municipality. I remember, however, that the printing contractor was one of those who billed for his work once in two or three months. It is likely — and I am almost sure — that the advance would have been granted against work done of a greater value but not paid for. Such payments were made under the like condition to the Water Supply, P. W.

3158
Harur Cir

Reply to Rx. $\frac{582}{Bg}$ of 97.

3,000—24-9-94.

1897.

TREASURY DEPT. COLLECTOR, 14 DEC 1897 TRICHINOPOLY.

FROM

THE Deputy COLLECTOR,
~~SALEM.~~
Namakkal Dn.

SALEM COLLECTOR'S 12 DEC 97 RECEIVED

MUNICIPAL OFFICE 17 DEC. 97 TRICHINOPOLY

To

THE Collector of
Trichinopoly
(Thro) The Collector of
Salem,

Dated 9. December 97

No. $\frac{2006}{D}$

Enclosure.

Subject.

Submitting the Explanation called for in Endt. No $\frac{582}{Bg}$ of 11 altering the G.O. No. $\frac{2423}{M}$ Mis of 4 Idem.

Endorsement of 12. 12. 1897.

Forwarded

[illegible]

Salem Collector's Office
12 December 1897

Ref (?) on $\frac{582}{Bg}$ of 97 Despd 16.12.97

Forwarded to the Chairman, Municipal Council, Trichinopoly for remarks after examining the records of the transactions herein referred to.

[illegible]
For Ag. Collector

16.12.97

$\frac{2}{3-7-13}$ [illegible]

Contractor [illegible]
Cant. Trichinopoly

24 APR 96

Dy. Coll.
The Chairman, Municipal Council
Trichinopoly.

Respected Sir,

There are some bills due to me but just now as I want some pressing demands I beg to you to kindly grant me an advance of Rs 500 against them. If there is any balance I will clear them by next bills or an early date.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your obedient servant,
[illegible]
23.4.96

Contents declined

[illegible]
24.4.96

ONE ANNA

fice
898.

to the printer between the

3158
Huzur Cir

Reply to Rc. 582/Bg of 97.

3,000—24-9-94.

1897.

TREASURY DEPT. COLLECTOR, 14 DEC. 1897 TRICHINOPOLY.

FROM

THE Actg COLLECTOR,
SALEM.
Namakkal Dn

SALEM COLLECTOR'S 12 DEC 97 RECEIVED

TO

THE Collector of
Trichinopoly
(Thro) the Collector of
Salem,

Dated 9 December 97

No. 2006/D

Enclosure. "

Subject.

Submitting the Explanation called for in Rc. No 582/Bg of 11 ultimo in G.O. No. 2423/M Mis of 4 Decem.

2/
3/3 M of 1898

MUNICIPAL OFFICE 17 DEC. 97 TRICHINOPOLY.

Endorsement dy 12. 12. 1897.

Forwarded

Chairman

for

122

noted

Salem Collector's Office
12 December 1897}.

Ref (c) on 582/Bg of 97 Despd 16.12.97

Forwarded to the Chairman, Municipal Council, Trichinopoly for remarks after examining the records of the transactions herein referred to.

For Ag. Collector

16.12.97

Trichinopoly Collr's Office

Ref (C) on Cir: No. 582/97 B.G. 5 January 1898.
Despd 6th do

From

R. H. Shipley Esqre,
Ag Collector of
Trichinopoly,

To

The Chairman,
Municipal Counci
Trichinopoly.

Sir,

With reference to your letter Ref on Cir: No. 2970/22.12.97, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to inform me of the following particulars so as to enable me to submit a complete report on the matter to Government.

(1) The amount of the bills due at the time of the advance.

(2) The dates on which recoveries of the advance were made.

(3) The payments made to the printer between the

dates of advance and recovery
of the first instalment.

I have etc
(Signed) R.H. Shipley
Collector

(True Copy)

Mundarobled
For Ag Collector
GSR.

5/373 Acct of 1898

Statement of the amounts paid by the Municipal Council, Trichinopoly to contractor M.R.Ry. P. Ulagappa Pillai for printing work done.

dates	Amount of Bill			Advance recovered.			Amount paid.			Remarks.
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	
8-5-96	554	7	8	"	"	"	554	7	8	M.R.Ry. P.S. Singaravelu Pillay avergl. Chairman.
27-6-96	682	6	0	"	"	"	682	6	0	
14-8-96	402	10	8	"	"	"	402	10	8	
26-8-96	30	0	1				30	0	1	
16-9-96	54	14	3				54	14	3	Khan Bahadur Khadir Nawaz Khan Sahib. Chairman
1-10-96	118	11	9	50	"	"	68	11	9	
6-11-96	184	15	4	50	"	"	134	15	4	
17-12-96	133	1	7	50	"	"	83	1	7	
1-2-97	138	14	0	50	"	"	88	14	0	
2-3-97	147	15	9	50	0	0	97	15	9	
26-3-97	179	9	6	50	0	0	129	9	6	
31-3-97	218	9	5	50	0	0	168	9	5	
20-4-97	257	11	9	50	0	0	207	11	9	
1-6-97	111	11	1	50	0	0	61	11	1	
7-7-97	209	15	7	50	0	0	159	15	7	

Municipal office
Trichinopoly
8th January 1898

W Hara
Chairman

C. & O. E. Contents Received.

Cantonment ______________ 189 .

R
7.5.96

Proprietor.

6/373 M of 1898

5/373 M 00

To, The Deputy Collector & Chairman Dr.
Municipal Council
Trichinopoly

Bill No 225

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

7 MAY 96

Clerk

MUNI[illegible] OF 7-MAY.96 TRICHIN[illegible]

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
Printing	1	500 Copies of D.O. letter form:-				
		Printing Ch. Setting Ch. 1/4 p. of Pica plain @ ans 10 a page	"	2	6	✓
1020 26.3.96		Impression Ch. Double	"	10	"	✓
		Paper Ch. 4 packs & 20 papers @ ans 12 a pack	3	2	"	✓
Printing	2	2000 Copies of Letter Form:-				
		Setting Ch. a page of Pica plain @ ans 10 a page	"	10	"	✓
Do		Impression Ch. 8 Impressions	2	8	"	✓
		Paper Ch. 2 Reams & 2 quires @ ans 4 a quire	10	8	"	✓
do	3	300 Copies of Cheque Form				
1012 26.3.96		Setting Ch. a page of Small Pica plain @ ans 12 a page	"	12	"	✓
		Impression Ch. Double	"	10	"	✓
		Paper Ch. 2 quires of Bank paper @ R1-4-0 a quire	2	8	"	✓
		To bind them into 3 books @ ans 8 a book	1	8	"	✓
		To number them - Double numbering @ Re 1/- per 100	3	0	0	✓
		Carried Over Total Rupees...	25	14	6	✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

R
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To, ______________________________________ Dr.

(2)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
	Brought forward	25	14	6	✓
As usual 4	370 Copies of Proceedings d/ 5' Mar. 96				
	Setting Ch: 6 pages of Small Pica plain				
	at Ans 12 a page	4	8	0	✓
	Impression Ch: 6 Impressions	1	14	0	✓
	Paper Ch: 1 Ream & 3½ Quires @ ans 3 a qre	4	6	6	✓
5	370 Copies of Statement Nos. I & II				
	Setting Ch: 10 pp of Small Pica				
1200 3.4.96	full tabular @ R1-8.0 a page	15	0	0	✓
	Impression Ch: 9 Impressions	2	13	0	✓
	Paper Ch: 1 Ream & 19 Quires @ 3 ans a qre	7	5	0	✓
collection 6	120 Copies of Register of Trade-tax				
	Setting Ch: 2 pp of Long Primer				
1226 13.4.96	full tabular @ R1-8.0 a page	3	0	0	✓
	Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0	✓
	Paper Ch: 5¼ Quires @ Ans 4 a qre	1	5	0	✓
	Ruling Ch: @ 8 ans per 100 Copies				
	or fraction thereof .	1	0	0	✓
	Numbering Chs @ 4 ans per 100				
	Single numbering (480)	1	4	0	✓
	To bind them into two books				
	@ R1-8.0 a book . . .	3	0	0	✓ 9-14-0
	Carried over Total Rupees...	71	11	0	✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS, } E. & O. E. Contents Received.

Cantonment ______________ 189 . }

R
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To, ____________________________________ Dr.

(3.)

R. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
1226		Brought forward	71	11	0	✓
13.4.96	7	500 Copies of Mis: License forms::				
Collection		Setting Ch: ½ page of Long Primer plain @ R1.0.0 a page	0	8	0	✓
		½ page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	14	0	✓
		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 10½ quires @ 3 ans a quire	1	15	6	✓ 3-15-6
Printing	8	100 Copies of Cash Book::				
		Setting Ch: 4 pages of Small Pica full tabular @ R1.8.0 a page	6	0	0	✓
1262		Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0	✓
14.4.96		Paper Ch: 2¼ quires @ R1.4.0 a quire	2	13	0	✓
		Ruling Ch: @ ans 8 per 100	0	8	0	✓
		Numbering Ch: - Single. 400 @ 4 ans per 100	1	0	0	✓
		To bind them into two books @ Rs 2/- a book	4	0	0	✓
Printing	9	200 Copies of Income tax forms.				
1266		Setting Ch: a page of Small				
14.4.96						
		Carried over Total Rupees...	90	4	6	✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

R.
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To,

(4)

________________________________ Dr.

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,

PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,

"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"

TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Rs.	A.	P.	
		Brought forward	90	4	6	✓
		- Pica full tabular @ R1.8.0 a page	1	8	0	✓
		2d. A page of Small Pica plain				
		@ Ans 12 a page	0	12	0	✓
		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 4¼ Quires @ 3ans a quire	0	12	9	✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100 Copies	1	0	0	✓
Hospl Conty	10	50,000 Copies of Prescription Ticket				
12912 / 15/4/96		Setting Ch: ¼ page, Long Primer				
		full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	7	0	✓
		Impression Ch: 200 impressions	62	8	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 13 Reams & ½ a quire				
		@ 3ans a quire -	48	13	6	✓
do	11	10,000 Copies of Prescription Ticket				
		for Jubilee Dispensary				
Do		Setting Ch: ¼ page of Long Primer				
		full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	7	0	✓
		Impression Ch: 40 impressions	12	8	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 2 Reams & 12¼ Quires				
		@ Ans 3 a quire - .	9	12	9	134 8 - 3
		Carried over Total Rupees...	229	7	6	✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,

Cantonment ____________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

Rk
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To _______________ Dr.

(5)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.
		Brought forward	229	7	6
Hospl	12	500 Docket forms:-			
12/12 15.4.96		Setting Ch: ½ page of Small Pica			
		plain @ Ans 12 a page	0	6	0
		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0
		Paper Ch: 5¼ Quires @ 3 ans a quire	0	15	9
do.	13	300 Bill of payment:-			
		Setting Ch: ½ a page of Long Primer			
Dv		full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	14	9
		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0
		Paper Ch: 3¼ Quires @ 3 ans a quire	0	9	9
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100 —	1	8	0
do	14	50 Copies of Voucher for Diet:-			
		Setting Ch: ½ a page of Long Primer			
		full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	14	0
Dv		Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0
		Paper Ch: ½ a quire @ 3 ans a quire	0	1	6
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100 or fraction thereof —	0	8	0
		Carried Over Total Rupees...	236	13	6

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

7.5.96

Proprietor.

To   Dr.

(6)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,

PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,

"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"

TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
		Brought forward	236	13	6	✓
Hospl. contg	15	50 Statement of In & Out Patients:-				
1292		Setting Ch: a page of Long Primer				
174/56		full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	1	12	0	✓
		2d - a page of Pica plain				
		@ Ans 10 a page	0	10	0	✓
		Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 1¼ Quires @ 3 ans a quire	0	3	9	✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	0	8	0	✓ 3-6
Vaccination contg	16	100 Return of Vaccination:-				
		Setting Ch: 3 pages of Long Primer				
Do		full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	5	4	0	✓
		4th Page - a page of Pica plain				
		@ 10 ans a page	0	10	0	✓
		Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 2 quires @ Ans 4 a quire.	0	8	0	✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	0	8	0	✓
do	17	10,000 Vaccination Notices:-				
		Setting Ch: a page of Long Primer				
Do		plain @ Re 1/- a page	1	0	0	✓
		Impression Ch: 40 impressions	12	8	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 10 Reams & 10 Quires @ 3 an	39	6	0	60-
		Carried over Total Rupees...	300	5	3	✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

R
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To,
________________________________ Dr.

(7)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
		Brought forward	300	5	3	
Registration County	18	600 Copies of Birth Report Books:-				
		Setting Ch: 3/4 page of Pica plain @ Ans 10 a page	0	7	6	
1292 15/4/96		Impression Ch: 3 impressions	0	15	0	
		Paper Ch: 6½ Quires @ 3 ans a quire	1	3	6	
		To bind them into 4 books @ 8 ans a book	2	0	0	
do	19	900 Copies of Death Report books:-				
		Setting Ch: 3/4 page of Pica full tabular @ R1.2.0 a page	0	13	6	
DV 7		Impression Ch: 4 impressions	1	4	0	
		Paper Ch: 12¾ quires @ Ans 3 a quire	2	6	3	
		To bind them into 6 books @ 8 ans a book	3	0	0	12-1-9
Printing	20	500 Copies of Bill Form:-				
		Setting Ch: 1/2 page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	14	0	
1353 22/4/96		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0	
		Paper Ch: 5¼ Quires @ 3 ans a Quire	0	15	9	
		Ruling Ch: @ 8 ans per 100	2	8	0	
		Carried Over Total Rupees...	317	6	9	

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

7.5.96

Proprietor.

To,

Dr.

(8.)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,

PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,

"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"

TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.
		Brought forward	317	6	9
P. W. Contgy	21	500 Copies of Nominal Muster Roll:-			
1353 27/4/96		Setting Ch: 3 pages of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	5	4	0
		a page of Long Primer plain @ R1.0.0 a page	1	0	0
		Impression Ch: 4 impressions	1	4	0
		Paper Ch: 21 Quires @ 3 ans a quire	3	15	0
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	2	8	0
do	22	500 Copies of Completion Report:-			
Do		Setting Ch: a page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	1	12	0
		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0
		Paper Ch: 10½ Quires @ 3 ans a qr.	1	15	6
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	2	8	0
do	23	1000 Copies of Detailed Measurements:-			
Do		Setting Ch: a page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	1	12	0
		2d page. a page of Small Pica plain @ Ans 12 a page	0	12	0
		Impression Ch: 8 impressions	2	8	0
		Paper Ch: 21 Quires @ 3 ans a quire	3	15	0
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	5	0	0
		Carried over Total Rupees...	352	2	3

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

Pd
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To. ______________________________ Dr.

(9)

R. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.
		Brought forward	352	2	3 ✓
P.W. a/c 1353 22/4/96	24	500 Copies of Abstract Estimate form:			
		Setting Ch: 3 pages Long Primer full tabular @ R 1.12.0 a page	5	4	0 ✓
		4th Page. a page of Pica plain @ Ans 10 a page	0	10	0 ✓
		Impression Ch: 4 impressions	1	4	0 ✓
		Paper Ch: 21 quires @ 3 ans a quire	3	15	0 ✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	2	8	0 ✓
Printing	25	50 Copies of Indent of Articles			
		Setting Ch: 1/2 page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	0	14	0 ✓
Do		Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0 ✓
		Paper Ch: 1/2 quire @ 3 ans a quire	0	1	6 ✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100 or ~~[illegible]~~	0	8	0 ✓
do	26	370 Copies of Proceedings dated 26th March/96 with bills			
		Setting Ch: 19 pages of Small Pica plain @ Ans 12 a page	14	4	0 ✓
		Impression Ch: 15 impressions	4	11	0 ✓
		Paper Ch: 3 Reams & 18 Quires @ Ans 3 a quire	14	10	0 ✓
		Carried Over Total Rupees...	401	0	9 ✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

Rk
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To, ______________________________ Dr.

(10.)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
		Brought forward	401	0	9	✓
Printing	27	30 Copies of Meeting Subjects, dated 30th April 1896:				
1387 / 25/4/96		Setting Ch: 5 pages of Pica plain @ Ans 10 a page	3	2	0	✓
		Impression Ch: Single	0	5	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 2 quire @ Ans 4 a Qu	0	8	0	✓
Registration	28	3000 Copies of Birth Return Forms				
1411 / 27.4.96		Setting Ch: a page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	1	12	0	✓
		Impression Ch: 12 impressions	3	12	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 3 Reams & 2 3/4 Quires @ 3 Ans a quire	11	12	3	✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	15	0	0	✓
Do	29	3000 Copies. Death Return Forms:				
Do		Setting Ch: a page of Long Primer full tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	1	12	0	✓
		Impression Ch: 12 impressions	3	12	0	✓
		Paper Ch: 3 Reams & 2 3/4 Quires @ 3 Ans a quire	11	12	3	✓
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	15	0	0	64-8-
		Total Rupees...	469	8	3	✓

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment ______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

R
7.5.96

Proprietor.

To ____ Dr.

(11)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,

PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,

"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"

TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.	
		Brought forward	469	8	3	
Market Conty	30	7000 Copies of Market Bill Forms:				
		Setting Ch: 2/3 page of Long Primer plain @ R1. a page	0	10	8	
14.11 27.4.96		Impression Ch: 28 impressions	8	12	0	
		Paper Ch: 4 Reams & 17½ Quires @ Ans 2 a quire	12	3	0	21-9-8
Lighting Conty	31	500 Copies of Lighting Receipts				
		Setting Ch: a page of Pica plain @ Ans 10 a page	0	10	0	
Sndt 2106		Impression Ch: double	0	10	0	
13/5/96		Paper Ch: 10½ Quires @ Ans 2 a quire	1	5	0	
		To bind them into 5 Books of 100 Each.	2	8	0	5-1-
Conservancy Conty	32	1200 Copies of Diary Form:				
		Setting Ch: 3 pages of Long Primer, full tabular @ R1½ a page	5	4	0	
		¼ page. a page of Small Pica plain @ Ans 12 a page	0	12	0	
		Impression Ch: 10 impressions	3	2	0	
		Paper Ch: 2 Reams & 10¼ Quires @ 3 Ans a quire	9	6	9	24-8-
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	6	0	0	
		Carried over Total Rupees...	520	11	8	

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,

Cantonment _______________ 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

R
7.5.96

Proprietor.

Collection conty	11-13-6
Hospl do	145-5-0
Vaccination.	60-1-0
Registration	110-6-3
Market	21-9-8
Lighting	5-1-0
Conservancy	24-8-9
Printing	~~200~~ 175-10-6
	554-7-8

To, Dr.

(12)

P. ULAGAPPA PILLAI,
PRINTER, BINDER, STATIONER, & COMMISSION AGENT,
"SOUTHERN STAR PRESS"
TRICHINOPOLY.

	NO.	PARTICULARS.	Amount Rs.	A.	P.
		Brought forward	520	11	8
Registration	33	1000 Copies of Birth Register—			
		Setting Ch: 1½ pages of Long Primer			
		face tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	2	10	0
		Impression Ch: 4 impressions	1	4	0
		Paper Ch: 14 Quires @ 4as a quire	3	8	0
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100	5	0	0
		To bind them into 9 books @ As 8 per 100	4	8	0
Do.	34	1000 Copies of Death Register:-			
		Setting Ch: 1½ pages of Long Primer			
		face tabular @ R1.12.0 a page	2	10	0
		Impression Ch: 4 impressions	1	4	0
		Paper Ch: 14 Quires @ Ans 4 a quire	3	8	0
		Ruling Ch: @ Ans 8 per 100—	5	0	0
		To bind them into 9 books @ Ans 8 a book	4	8	0
			554	7	8
		Passed for Rupees five hundred and fifty four annas seven and pies eight only			
		Chairman 8/5			
		Total Rupees...	554	7	8

27/4/96

Do

SOUTHERN STAR PRESS,
Cantonment 189 .

E. & O. E. Contents Received.

ONE ANNA

7-5-96

Proprietor.

Paid on 8/5/96

8-5-96

all recd

123

COLLECTOR AND MAGISTRATE
11 JAN 98
TRICHINOPOLY.

~~Adjust Rs. 500 – 0 – 0~~
~~Debit to Printing charges~~
~~Credit to Advance Recoverable~~
Chairman.

Rs. (554-7-8) five hundred & fifty four as seven & pies eight
Debit the charge to
Chairman.

Paid by Cheque No 38
Chairman.

Sir, An advance of Rs 501-2-0 is standing against this contractor
7/5

Urgent
Audit to check at once

Checked. 7/5

Samples of the forms supplied are not attached?
7/5

7
3/3 Mar of 1898

R.C. No. 2970-A
10-1-98

Municipal Office,
Trichinopoly 8th January 1898.

From

~~M. R. Ry.~~ The Chairman
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
TRICHINOPOLY.

To

The Collector
of Trichinopoly

Sir,

With reference to your Reference No 582/B&G of 1897, I have the honor to inform you that the value of orders for printing work was on the 24th April 1896 approximately Rs 400 (See expenditure voucher No 123 herewith sent);

2. As required, I beg to send you a Statement showing the dates on which payments were made to this Contractor and the instalments by which the advance was recovered

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt Servnt.
William O'Hara
Chairman

Reply to RC $\frac{582}{B+G}$ 97

221

Encls

8/373 M of 1898

Ent 1115V

Ref (d) on ccr. No. $\frac{582}{B.G.}$ of 1897.
Dated 19th January 1898. Desp'd 21.1.98
Encl - 9.

Forwarded.

2. From the application on which the advance was made and from the expenditure voucher and the statement received from the present Chairman on my requisition, the following facts come to light.

3. The advance was made on the 24th April 1896 when the amount due on the bills of the Contractor was Rs 401-0-9. This sum and those due on his bills of the 25th and 27th idem amounting in aggregate to Rs 554-7-8 were paid to the Contractor on the 8th of the following month when the advance could and should have been fully recovered. Three other payments were also made by M.R.Ry. T. S. Singaravelu Pillai avergal viz. Rs 682-6-.. on 27th June 1896, Rs 402-10-8 on the 14th August 1896, and Rs 30-0-1 on the 26th August 1896. The total amount of the payments thus made without

deducting the advance comes to Rs 1669-8-5. Qadir Navaz Khan Sahib, Khan Bahadur, made a similar payment of Rs 54-14-3 on the 16th September 1896 to the contractor. It was on the 1st October 1896 that the amount of Rs 50 of the first instalment was recovered. The existence of the advance was brought to the notice of M.R.Ry. P. S. Singaravelu Pillai avl. on the 7th May 1896 as is seen from a note made by the office on the back of the expenditure voucher.

4. Comment is superfluous.

5. The Chairman's letters with their enclosures and a copy of my letter, Ref. on current No. $\frac{582}{B.G.}$ of 1897 dated the 5th instant are enclosed.

For Ag Collector.

[G./25]
250—7-4-97.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O. No 1477.M, dated 25th June, 1897, and
C. Nos. 3571.M and 4448.M of 1897.

SUBJECT.

Explanations of the Chairmen of the Trichinopoly municipality regarding the printing contract.

H.M. & Circ

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the notes
A. and orders in G.O. No 1477.M, dated 25th June 1897.

2. In his letter disposed of in that order, the Accountant General complained of the conduct of the Chairman of the Trichinopoly municipality, in having given over the contract for printing forms of accounts, vouchers &c, to a local printer, in deliberate disregard of the order of Government in its Proceedings
C. No 2109.M, dated 30th November 1891, under which all such forms shou be printed in some Central Press under the supervision of the Accountant General, although his attention had been repeated drawn to the necessity of comp ing with that order. The Accoun

General stated that soon after the receipt of the G.O. above referred to, he sent a circular to all chairmen of municipal councils in January 1892, requesting them to send their indents for forms to the Jubilee Press at Madras, which had been selected by him in conformity with that order, that the Trichinopoly chairman having then expressed his inability to comply with this requisition on the ground that he had already entered into a contract with a local press for five years, he (the Accountant General) did not press the matter, as the cancelment of the contract would probably have, caused loss to the municipality, that on the 7th Novembe 1895 the Chairman's attention was again drawn to this subject by the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts in the audit notes for 1894-95, that as the Chairman's reply to these notes was evasive, he was for a third time informed n the 28th May 1896 that the muni-ipality had no option in the matter d should adhere to the orders of

G.O. 2109 M. / 30-11-91 C.

Circular No. 406. L.F.D. / 12-1-1892.

---

of Government and that no-withstanding this, the Chairm renewed the contract with a local printer for a further peri of five years from 1st April 18 Muhammad Qadir Nawaz Kha Sahib was ex-officio Chairman of th Trichinopoly municipality from the 22nd September to 14th December 18 when Mr R Ry. P. Singaravelu Pill was appointed paid Chairman The latter continued as such until the 8th September 1896, and was succeeded by Muhammad Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib, who held the office until the 7th

A. December 1897. In G.O. No 1477. M dated 25th June 1897, the Collector of Trichinopoly was accordingly requested to obtain the explanation of Mr R Ry. P. Singaravelu Pillai for the deliberate disrega of the orders of Government, and that of Muhammad Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib for having carried out the resolution of the council giving the printing work on co [illegible] a proceeding [illegible]

before

3. With the first of the letters ead above, the Collector of ichuiopoly forwards the explanations of these two officers, nd with the second letter the riginals of the audit notes for 894-95, the replies thereto and the correspondence that has taken place on the subject of the printing of the forms &c. In the Accountant General's circular No 406. L.F.D. dated 12th January 1892, he stated that he had "received instructions from "Government to arrange for the "supply to municipalities of account and voucher forms for the "ensuing year" and called for information regarding the number f forms &c required. To this, the late Chairman M.R.Ry. S.T. Rungaswami Aiyar replied that the contract or the supply of forms for five ears from 1892 had already been tered into with a local printer. n the remarks of the Assistant nspector of Local Fund Accounts n the audit report for 1894-95 also [illegible] on was generally

D₂.

vide his letter No L.F.D. dated
28th May 1896. III J.

D.

Inspector of Local Fund Accounts wrote to the Chairman as follows:- "I would enquire the authority "under which the municipal forms "were printed locally. The Govern-"ment has ruled (vide Government "order No 2041 M, dated 13th December "1892) that all municipal account "forms should be printed at "V.N. Jubilee Press, Madras. Mu-"nicipalities have no option in "the matter."

The audit notes were despatched to the Chairman by the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund accounts on the 7th November 1895, and the replies thereto were sent on the 6th April 1896 by Singaravelu Pillai. The Inspector's letter dated 28th May 1896 was received in the municipal office on the 30th idem, and the Council's resolution renewing the contract with the local printer was passed on the 4th June 1896. More than two months after this, a reply was despatched to the Inspector's letter above referred to, in which the Chairman [illegible] e proceeding before

The G.O. referred to is not found
"in the records of this office. The
"printing work is done under con-
"tract lasting for five years and
"it will not be possible to violate
"it without the municipality being
"run in for damages. The rates
"now accepted are immensely
"below those charged by the Jubilee
"Press and it is real economy
"to get all work done locally
"at those rates than go to that Press.
"Indeed it is a question for con-
"sideration whether in view of
"the cheap rates of the local press
"and the neat outturn of work,
"the patronage of the Local Fund
"Account Department should
"not be transferred to this place.
"It has to be remembered that
"no fault has yet been found
"by any auditor with the shape
"or size of the forms in use. It
"would have been better if the
"Assistant Inspector had gone
"into figures and shown how
"the matter actually stood.
"I am sure that economy would
[illegible] the

on was generally

· Letter no. 2654 d/ 19-8-96. J.

4. Muhammad Kadir Nawaz Khan states that the resolution of the council extending the printing contract was passed on the 4th June 1896, when he (as Revenue Divisional Officer) was absent on two months' privilege leave, that Singaravelu Pillai, the then Chairman, executed the contract bond on the 25th of that month and got it registered on the 25th August 1896, that he (Kadir Nawaz Khan) had no means, opportunity or occasion of knowing the existence of the Accountant General's letter or of the G.O. quoted by him, which he has not been able to trace as yet, that during his absence on leave, however, he knew that there was much hubbub in consequence of a rival printer having complained to the Collector that the Chairman (Singaravelu Pillai) had improperly extended the contract long before the expiry of the last contract to favour his personal friend and that the Collector after some investigation dropped the matter. He further states that even in the course of the proceeding

before

before the Collector above referred to, the fact that the extension of the contract was in disregard of the orders of Government was not raised, that he came to know of this only after the receipt of Government Endorsement No. 1508, dated 19th December 1896, K. that he caused a search to be made for the references quoted by the Accountant General, that the G.O. referred to by him has not yet been traced, either in the municipal office or in the Collector's or Deputy Collector's offices and that his predecessors having given and completed the contract and the matter having come up before the Collector and been dropped by him, he felt he could not interfere with it until the Government passed orders. He does not ~~however~~ appear to have placed before the Council the complaint of the Accountant General which was referred by Government for his remarks with endorsement No. 1508, dated 19th December 1896, but submitted his reply after a delay of 4½ months

months, when the contract had taken eff[illegible]. It is however doubtful whether any thing could ~~at~~ ~~all~~ have been done at all in the matter even if Qadir Nawaz Khan had brought it to the notice of the Council or of Government earlier, as the contract bond with the contractor had been executed and registered on the 25th August 1896.

5. Singaravelu Pillai states that the first time he obtained any knowledge of the orders as to the printing of municipal form was in the early part of June 1896, on receiving the Assistant Accountant General's letter of the 28th May 1896. He admits that the matter was commented upon by the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund accounts in the audit notes for 1894-95, dated 4th October I. 1895, but states that these notes were received in the office long before he became Chairman, that the notes had the marginal noted replies ready drafted, that the matter having been fully dealt with by his predece ~~[illegible]~~ he submitted the replies

to the council, after making verbal alterations, that these were passed by the Council and despatched to the Accountant-General and that nothing was heard in reply until June following. He then goes on to narrate the circumstances under which the printing contract was renewed with the local printer for another term of five years. He says that, about March or April 1896, the printer to the Municipality (Ulagappa Pillai) addressed a petition to the council soliciting the extension of the printing contract for a fresh term of five years, that he did not think it necessary to take any action in the matter as the existing contract had yet a year to run, but that he was required to bring it before the council by almost the whole body of the elected councillors, who were about 14 in number and formed a clear majority. He (Singaravelu Pillai) was no persona grata with the elected councillors and his endeavour was therefore to avoid unpleasantness as

as far as possible. He accordingly placed the printer's application at the meeting of the Council of the 14th May 1896, but called the attention of the Council to the fact that it was premature to deal with the matter. The Council nevertheless passed a resolution calling for tenders, but in view of the very difficult position he held as "official chairman" he considered it neither politic nor expedient on that as well as on other occasions to record a dissent when every other nominated member including the officials did not choose to do so. Accordingly tenders were received and discussed at considerable length at the meeting of the 4th June 1896, and a resolution was passed, renewing the contract for another term of five years with Ulagappa Pillai who was a printer to the European Club and had thus secured the countenance of several of the nominated European councillors also. He (Singaravelu Pillai) is unable to say whether the Accountant General's letter of the

28th May 1896, had been received or not at that time. He however states that on receipt of that letter he called for the Government order quoted by the Accountant General, but that no such order was traceable in his office, that the previous correspondence of 1892 was not brought to his notice by the manager, whose duty it was to do so, that the manager from the very beginning maintained an antagonistic attitude against him and uniformly obstructed and inconvenienced him and that it will require no argument to convince Government that his (the manager's) sympathies were with the local printer, who besides had dealt with him for some years. He adds that he soon after went on privilege leave, that some time after his return, on the eve of his handing over charge of the Chairmanship, the manager produced the Accountant General's letter as needing a reply and that he accordingly sent one on the

17th

for 3 weeks from the 29th June 1896. E2
Vide G.O. 1070 M / 25-6-96

17th August, telling him that the Government order was not forthcoming in the records, that the printer had not until then been found fault with and that his rates were cheaper. Granting that he (Singaravelu Pillai) knew of the orders about printing only after the receipt of the Assistant Accountant General's letter of the 28th May 1896, his subsequent conduct does not appear to be free from blame. This letter appears to have been received in the municipal office on the 30th May 1896, that is five days before the Council's resolution renewing the contract was passed. The application of Ellagappa Pillai, the printing contractor, and the resolution of the Council calling for tenders were no doubt previous to the receipt of this letter, but Singaravelu Pillai should have placed the Acct. Genl's letter before the municipal council at its meeting of the 4th June 1896, and drawn its special attention to the fact that local printing was opposed to the orders of Government. This course would certainly have saved the council from the present contract and the failure to do so was in no way justifiable. Nor does the action taken by him on the Accountant General's letter appear to be satisfactory. All that he did was to cause "a thorough search to be made "in his office for the Government order in question", but without avail. Beyond this he does not appear to have done anything. If he did not find the Government order in his office, he ought to have applied to the Accountant General or to the Government, for a copy of it. Without doing this, he replied to the Accountant General on the 17th August 1896, justifying the printing of the forms in a local press on the ground of economy and had the contract bond with the local

printer

printer registered on the 25th idem, instead of postponing the registration and reporting the matter to the Council or the Government. Again Singaravelu Pillai says that the resolution of the 4th June 1896, was passed by the elected councillors and the European members who sympathised with the local printer, but it is a question, whether if the existence of the Government order had been brought to its notice, the council would not have decided otherwise. The explanation of Singaravelu Pillai that in view of the very difficult position he held as Official chairman he considered it neither politic nor expedient on this as well as on other occasions to record a dissent when every other nominated member did not choose to do so, does not appear to be satisfactory. Nor can the circumstance that the previous correspondence of 1892 was not brought to his notice by the manager absolve him for his responsibility in the matter.

V.R.
2/3/98

It almost seems as though his disobedience had been wilful. B.S

This matter will no doubt be considered with his irregular advance Rs. 500 to this printer.

Submitted that at least a severe reprimand has been deserved. H.T.
11.3.98

The case against Singaravelu Pillai is very strange. Considering all the difficulties he met with as chairman of the Trichinopoly Municipality his conduct does not show dishonesty, but it does show culpable carelessness; and for this he must be punished. He is now second in the sub-pro-tem list of 7 in the 6th grade of Deputy Collectors. I think it wd be too severe a punishment to reduce him to a Tahsildar's post. He has acted as a Deputy Collector for 3 years 7 months + 24 days, + I have heard nothing against him before. But I think he shd be placed at the bottom of the sub-pro-tem. list.

Quadir Nawaz Khan was not in fault as to the making of the contract, but he ought to have got a copy of the missing G.O. when his attention was called to it, & he shd be told so.

JG
19/3/98

See other file below (C No 373/1898) — Taking the whole transaction into account — of the renewal of the contract long before its expiring, although Singaravelu Pillai had clear warning of the orders of Govt. in the Asst. Inspr's Notes for 94-95, the neglect to even endeavour to get a copy of the Govt. order said to be missing, ~~and~~ the improper advance to the printer ~~[illegible]~~ and the neglect to recover it, it seems to me that there is ground for very strong suspicion of Singaravelu Pillai's honesty ~~conduct~~ in the matter. He is now a sub pro-tem. Dy. Clr. If the matter lay with me, I should remand him to his Tahsil.

As for Khadir Nawaz Khan, I do not think his explanation altogether satisfactory. Why didn't he also try to get a copy of the missing G.O. & report to Govt. what had occurred.

B.S
12/3/98

I agree with the Hon. Mr. Grose as to the way in which Singaravelu Pillai and Khadir Nawaz Khan's shortcomings should be dealt with.

A.G.H
24.3.98

Re the advance of Rs 500 to the

Again G.O. no 2423. M. of 4-11-97 & C. no 373 M. of 1898.

G.O. no 2423 M.
4-11-97

P.

For Orders.

In his report on the audit of the Trichinopoly municipal accounts for 1896-97, the Inspector of Local Fund accounts brought to the notice of Government that a sum of Rs.500 was advanced in March 1896, by the late Chairman (M.R.Ry. P.Singaravelu Pillai) to the printing contractor Ulagappa Pillai, on his representation that although the payments due to him for work done exceeded that sum, it would take some time to get the bills prepared and passed and that in the mean-time he was in want of money, but that no attempt was made to recover the advance when the bill was eventually submitted in the following month, altho' there was a tacit understanding to that effect, or at any time during the tenure of his office, although there were numerous opportunities for adjusting either the whole or a portion thereof on receipt of further bills from the contractor. The Inspector added that Singaravelu Pillai's successor, Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib took steps to recover the advance at the rate of Rs.50 a month, but that even this rate of recovery was insufficient, considering the circumstances under which the advance was granted and the length of time it had remained as a virtual loan to the contractor free of interest. The Inspector also pointed out that some

some additional tank watchdrs and office peons were entertained in the Municipality without the sanction of Government. In G.O.No.2423 M, dated 4th November 1897, on this report, the Collector of Trichinopoly was requested to obtain from Singaravelu Pillai his explanation of the circumstances under which he advanced a sum of Rs.500 to the printing contractor, apparently without the authority of the Council, and failed to recover the amount from the payment made to him for work done, and from Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib his explanation as to why he recovered the amount only in instalments of Rs.50 per mensem and not fully at once. It was also pointed out that the sanction of Government should be obtained at an early date for the entertainment of the additional tank-watchmen & office peons above referred to.

2. With his endorsement read above, the Collector submits the explanations of these two officers, as also the printing contractor's application on which the advance was made, the expenditure voucher on which he received the next payment and a statement showing the amounts paid to him from time to time for work done. Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib states that the advance of Rs.500 in question was made to the printer in April 1896 by his predecessor Singaravelu Pillai, that on his (the Sahib's) assuming charge of the office of Chairman in September 1896 he found the advance outstanding against the printer and directed its recovery at once, but that as the printer represented that it would press hard on him and begged that it might be recovered in instalments from his bills, he complied with ~~the~~ his request and fixed Rs.50 as the amount to be recovered from each bill, as his bills were in many cases for small sums. He also submits for the sanction of Government a proposition statement for the entertainment of the additional tank watchmen and office peons referred to above. Singaravelu Pillai states that he has no recollection of the circumstances under which the advance of Rs.500 was granted to the printer, but that it is likely that the advance was made against work done, of a greater value and not paid for, that such payments were made under like conditions to the water-supply and public works contractors, and that it was not a clear advance, but practically a part payment made in lump and intended to be adjusted when settling accounts on presentation of bills. As regards his failure to deduct the amount at the next payment, he states, that probably the contractor solicited the full payment of the bills pleading that the amount still due to him for work turned out since or on hand, was more than the advance, and that perhaps he (Singaravelu Pillai) was weak and allowed himself to be persuaded to do the contractor this favour. He adds that the contractor who was ordered to procure from his whole-sale suppliers the annual supply of stationery required for the Municipality, which was till then obtained from a local firm at excessive cost showed an appreciable saving and that this circumstance might have had something to do with the advance. With reference to the remark in the Government Order that the advance was apparently

apparently made without ~~the~~ his authority of the Council, he explains that a statement of expenditure was submitted to the council at the first meeting of the following month and was carefully scrutinized and passed, that the rules do not require the previous sanction of the Council for payment of recurring or budgeted charges, that it is only in special or unforeseen cases that the subject is brought up for discussion and sanction and that therefore the payment in question had the sanction of the Council.

3. From the vouchers &c., submitted by the Collector, it is observed that the advance of Rs.500 was made on the 24th April 1896, and that the amount due to the contractor for work done up to that date was Rs.401-0-9. This sum and those due on his bills of the 25th and 27th idem, amounting in the aggregate to Rs.554-7-8, were paid to the contractor on the 8th of the following month, ~~then~~ and the advance should then have been fully recovered. Three other payments were also made to the contractor during the time this + officer was Chairman viz. Rs.682-6-0 on the 27th June 1896, Rs.402-10-8 on the 14th August 1896 and Rs.30-0-1 on the 26th August 1896, but on none of these occasions was any portion of the advance recovered. The explanation offered by M.R.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai for his failure to recover the amount when the next bill was submitted by the Contractor, namely, that it is likely that the value of the work turned out since or on hand was more than the advance, does not appear to be satisfactory. After Qudir Nawaz Khan Sahib took charge of the office of Chairman, a single payment of Rs.54-14-3 was similarly made to the cont n his bill, but at the time of each of the ten subsequent payments, a sum of Rs.50 was recovered from the contractor, although the amount advanced might have been recovered in a much shorter time.

+ M.R.Ry. Singaravelu Pillai.

4. The proposition statement submitted by the Chairman for the entertainment of the additional tank-watchmen and office peons may be sanctioned.

V.R.
2/3/98

Nawaz Khan Sahib does not seem to have been guilty of a very grave fault in recovering only Rs. 50 a mo: but Singaravelu Pillai seems very greatly to blame for his action & may, it is submitted, be told so.

H.T.
10 3 98

See other file (C.Nos 3571 & 4448 / 1897) about the printing contract.

12/3/98

19/3/98

for copying
d
rined
ed
189

G.O., No. , DATED

No.

ES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

ENT WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
N ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Cop, bstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

s of
ler.